

# Diagonals without tears

Harald Hanche-Olsen

2024-04-11

This little note explores a minor innovation in the pedagogy of mathematical analysis. Maybe it's a rediscovery; I have not scoured the literature for previous work in this area.

Diagonal arguments play a minor but important role in many proofs of mathematical analysis: One starts with a sequence, extracts a subsequence with some desirable convergence property, then one obtains a subsequence of *that* sequence, and so forth. Finally, in what seems to the beginning analysis student like something of a sleight of hand, one “takes the diagonal” and ends up with a sequence sharing the nice properties of all the subsequences used in the construction.

One problem with the diagonal argument is that it quickly turns into something of a notational nightmare if you want a rigorous exposition, keeping careful track of things, as you should indeed do – particularly when teaching beginning students.

The only novelty presented here is a natural redefinition of the very concept of a sequence, and consequently that of a subsequence: Instead of insisting that sequences are indexed with the set of *all* natural numbers, we shall use *subsets* of the natural numbers instead. From this simple change of viewpoint, the rest follows naturally.

**1 Definition.** A *sequence* is a function defined on an infinite set  $I$  of natural numbers. The set  $I$  will be called the *index set* of the sequence. We use the customary index notation for sequences, writing  $\langle x_n \rangle_{n \in I}$  for a sequence defined on the index set  $I$ .

So we can define sequences on the set of all natural numbers, or the even numbers, the powers of 2, or the prime numbers. The usual definition of convergence applies: A sequence  $\langle x_n \rangle_{n \in I}$  of real numbers is said to *converge* to a limit  $a$  if, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $|x_n - a| < \varepsilon$  for almost all  $n \in I$ . Here, *almost all* means all except a finite number of  $n \in I$ . We may then write  $x_n \rightarrow a$  as  $I \ni n \rightarrow \infty$  reading the latter formula as “ $n \rightarrow \infty$  through  $I$ ”. However, this notation is cumbersome, so we will write  $x_n \rightarrow a$  as  $n \in I$  instead; or simply  $\lim_{n \in I} x_n = a$ .

We can now do subsequences without breaking a sweat, and more importantly, without indexing our indices:

**2 Definition.** A *subsequence* of a sequence  $\langle x_n \rangle_{n \in I}$  is a sequence of the form  $\langle x_n \rangle_{n \in J}$  where  $J \subseteq I$ . In other words, we obtain a subsequence by restricting the original sequence to a smaller index set.

A *tail* of an index set  $I$  is a set of the form  $\{n \in I \mid n \geq m\}$ . A tail of a sequence is a subsequence indexed by a tail of the original sequence.

The following lemma is utterly trivial, but utterly essential as well.

**3 Lemma** Any subsequence of a convergent sequence is itself convergent, with the same limit. Further, if a sequence has a convergent tail, then the sequence itself is convergent.

The following lemma is what makes diagonal arguments work:

**4 Lemma (Diagonal lemma)** Assume that a given sequence  $\langle x_n \rangle_{n \in I}$  has nested subsequences  $\langle x_n \rangle_{n \in I_m}$ , i.e.,  $I \supseteq I_1 \supseteq I_2 \supseteq I_3 \supseteq \dots$ . Then there exists an index set  $J \subseteq I$  such that, for every  $m$ , some tail of  $J$  is contained in  $I_m$ .

In other words,  $\langle x_n \rangle_{n \in J}$  is almost a subsequence of  $\langle x_n \rangle_{n \in I_m}$ , with only finitely many exceptions, which clearly do not matter for convergence purposes.

We call  $\langle x_n \rangle_{n \in J}$  a *diagonal sequence* associated with the given sequence of nested subsequences.

**Proof:** For each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $i_m$  be the  $m$ 'th smallest member of  $I_m$ , that is, the smallest member remaining after removing the smallest  $m - 1$  members. Let  $J = \{i_m \mid m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . It is easily seen that  $i_{m+1} > i_m$ , since  $I_{m+1} \subseteq I_m$ . Thus the tail  $\{n \in J \mid n \geq i_m\}$  of  $J$  is contained in  $I_m$ . ■

This proof justifies the use of the adjective *diagonal*: Create an infinite rectangular table in which the  $m$ th row contains the set  $I_m$  in increasing order. Then  $J$  is the set made up of the diagonal in this table.

**Examples.** Having revised the definitions of two familiar concepts, we now apply the revised definitions to improve the exposition of two proofs using the diagonal argument.

**5 Theorem (Bolzano–Weierstrass)** Every bounded sequence of real numbers has a convergent subsequence.

**Proof:** Let  $\langle x_n \rangle_{n \in I}$  be a bounded sequence, and let  $s = \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$ . We shall find a subsequence converging to  $s$ . Define

$$I_m = \left\{ n \in I : x_n > s - \frac{1}{m} \right\}.$$

It is an easy exercise to show that  $I_1 \supseteq I_2 \supseteq I_3 \supseteq \dots$ , and each  $I_m$  is infinite. Let  $\langle x_n \rangle_{n \in J}$  be an associated diagonal subsequence. Recalling that any sort of limit depends only on the tails of a sequence, we find

$$\underline{\lim}_{n \in J} x_n \geq \underline{\lim}_{n \in I_m} x_n \geq s - \frac{1}{m}$$

for all  $m$ , and so

$$\underline{\lim}_{n \in J} x_n \geq s.$$

Also

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \in J} x_n \leq \overline{\lim}_{n \in I} x_n = s,$$

and the two inequalities above complete the proof. ■

Our second example is the proof of a countable version of Tychonov's theorem, that the product of compact spaces (using  $[0, 1]$  as the canonical example) is compact.

**6 Proposition** *Let  $\langle x_n \rangle_{n \in I}$  be a sequence of functions  $x_n: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ . Then there exists a subsequence  $\langle x_n \rangle_{n \in J}$  so that  $\langle x_n(m) \rangle_{n \in J}$  converges in  $[0, 1]$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

**Proof:** By the compactness of  $[0, 1]$ , there exists an index set  $I_1 \subseteq I$  so that  $x_n(1)$  converges for  $n \in I_1$ . Next, there is some  $I_2 \subseteq I_1$  so that  $x_n(2)$  converges for  $n \in I_2$ , and so forth. In summary, we have a descending family of index sets

$$I \supseteq I_1 \supseteq I_2 \supseteq I_3 \supseteq \dots$$

so that  $x_n(m) \rightarrow y(m)$ , say, for  $n \in I_m$ .

Let  $\langle x_n \rangle_{n \in J}$  be a diagonal sequence associated with the subsequences  $\langle x_n \rangle_{n \in I_m}$ .

Now fix some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since some tail of  $\langle x_n(m) \rangle_{n \in J}$  is a subsequence of  $\langle x_n(m) \rangle_{n \in I_m}$ , it is convergent by Lemma 3. ■

**Version history** (ignoring the correction of minor misprints)

**2007-03-05** First version.

**2011-08-25** Extensive revision.

**2013-09-07** Minor adjustments.

**2021-01-27** Simplified version, much closer to the classical formulation.

**2024-03-19** Simplified further.

**2024-04-11** Explain the terminology.