

# The derivative of a determinant

Harald Hanche-Olsen

hanche@math.ntnu.no

**Abstract?** No, not really. Surely, this is a *classical* result. But I have been unable to find a reference.

## Background and a simple result

Let  $\Phi(t)$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix depending on a parameter  $t$ . If  $\Phi$  is a differentiable function of  $t$  — that is, each of its components is differentiable with respect to  $t$  — then so is  $\det \Phi(t)$ , since we know that the determinant is a polynomial in the components of  $\Phi$ . To get from this to an actual computation of the derivative of  $\det \Phi(t)$  is a different matter, though.

What we shall need is the fact that *the determinant is a multilinear function of its rows*: If we write the rows of  $\Phi$  as  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$  and think of the determinant as a function of the rows

$$\det \Phi = d(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n)$$

then  $d$  is a linear function of each of its arguments as long as we keep each of the remaining rows constant. We then get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \det \Phi(t) &= d(\dot{\varphi}_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_n) + d(\varphi_1, \dot{\varphi}_2, \dots, \varphi_n) + \dots \\ &\quad + d(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \dot{\varphi}_n) \quad (1) \end{aligned}$$

I outline the proof of this only for  $n = 3$ , to keep the notation simple. It should be clear how to generalize the proof to arbitrary  $n$ . If  $h \neq 0$  then

$$\begin{aligned} h^{-1}(\Phi(t+h) - \Phi(t)) &= d(h^{-1}(\varphi_1(t+h) - \varphi_1(t)), \varphi_2(t+h), \varphi_3(t+h)) \\ &\quad + d(\varphi_1(t), h^{-1}(\varphi_2(t+h) - \varphi_2(t)), \varphi_3(t+h)) \\ &\quad + d(\varphi_1(t), \varphi_2(t), h^{-1}(\varphi_3(t+h) - \varphi_3(t))) \end{aligned}$$

which has the stated limit as  $h \rightarrow 0$ . (We must use the continuity of  $d$  for this argument to work.)

## A better result

Equation (1) requires the computation of  $n$  determinants for the computation of a single derivative. We can do much better than this! For example, if  $\Phi(t)$  is the identity matrix then a moment's contemplation of the righthand side of (1) shows it is the trace of  $\dot{\Phi}$ . Indeed, the first term will be

$$\begin{vmatrix} \dot{\varphi}_{11} & \dot{\varphi}_{12} & \cdots & \dot{\varphi}_{1n} \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{vmatrix} = \dot{\varphi}_{11}$$

and so forth, with the sum  $\dot{\varphi}_{11} + \dot{\varphi}_{22} + \cdots + \dot{\varphi}_{nn} = \text{tr } \dot{\Phi}$ . The resulting formula

$$\frac{d}{dt} \det \Phi(t) = \text{tr } \dot{\Phi}(t) \quad \text{when } \Phi(t) = I$$

may seem like a rather useless special case, but appearances deceive! For, let  $A$  be a constant, invertible matrix and apply the above result to the function  $\det(A\Phi(t)) = \det A \det \Phi(t)$ . Now, the above formula states that

$$\det A \frac{d}{dt} \det \Phi(t) = \text{tr}(A\dot{\Phi}(t)) \quad \text{when } A\Phi(t) = I$$

Whenever  $\Phi(t)$  is invertible we can apply this result with  $A = \Phi(t)^{-1}$  and rearrange to get the result

$$\frac{d}{dt} \det \Phi(t) = \det \Phi(t) \text{tr}(\Phi(t)^{-1}\dot{\Phi}(t))$$

This result can also be written in the following useful form:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \ln \det \Phi(t) = \text{tr}(\Phi(t)^{-1}\dot{\Phi}(t)).$$

**Revision information:** I wrote this little note in 1997, and it is substantially unchanged since then. The only reasons for the 2012 version are  $\text{\TeX}nical$ : I now typeset with pdf $\text{\TeX}$ , and use the Latin Modern fonts and the `geometry` package.