

Sobolevrom 2.3.2017

17. W. conv. and comp. in L^1

(X, μ) meas. sp.

Bnd'ness $\not\Rightarrow$ w. seq'l comp. in $L^1(X)$

Need also equiint.!

$\{f_n\}_n \subset L^1(X)$ is equiintegrable if:

(i) $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists B \subset X$ meas., $\mu(B) < \infty$ s.f.

$$\sup_n \int_{X \setminus B} |f_n| d\mu < \epsilon \quad (\text{tightness!})$$

(ii) $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0$ s.t.

$$A \subset X, \mu(A) < \delta \Rightarrow \sup_n \int_A |f_n| d\mu < \epsilon$$

Thm. 49 (Dunford-Pettis): $\{f_n\}_n \subset L^1(X)$,

$\{f_n\}_n$ w. seq'lly comp. in L^1



$\{f_n\}_n$ bnd. ($\sup_n \|f_n\|_1 < \infty$) and equiint.

Pf \Updownarrow):

o) We may assume $f_n \geq 0$ $f_n = f_n^+ - f_n^-$ and $\{f_n^+\}_n$ and $\{f_n^-\}_n$ also bnd. + equiint. Seq'l comp. of $\{f_n^+\}_n, \{f_n^-\}_n \Rightarrow$ seq'l comp. of $\{f_n\}_n$

1) Must find subsequence $\{f_{n_k}\}$ ^{and $f \in L^1$} s.t. (Riesz repr. thm.): 2)

0) Must $\int f_{n_k} g \rightarrow \int f g \quad \forall g \in L^\infty$
 Since simple func's dense in L^∞ , it suffices to take $g = \chi_A$: A meas.:

1) By (i) $\int_A f_{n_k} \rightarrow \int_A f \quad \forall A \subset X$ meas. [from (i)].

2) By (i) we may assume $\mu(X) < \infty$ [$X = B$ from (i)]

[Let $B = B_m$ in (i) w. $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{m}$ and $\int_{A \cap B_m} f_{n_k} \rightarrow \int_{A \cap B_m} f$:

$$\Rightarrow \left| \int_A (f_{n_k} - f) \right| \leq \left| \int_{A \cap B_m} (f_{n_k} - f) \right| + \underbrace{\left(\int_{X \setminus B_m} |f_{n_k}| + \int_{X \setminus B_m} |f| \right)}$$

$\xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} 0$
 by assumption

$< 2 \cdot \frac{1}{m}$
 by (i)

Take diag. seq'nce conv. on each $B_m \Rightarrow$ conv. in X]

3) Conv. subsequence f_{n_k} in $M(X)$:

2) By bnd'ness and $\mu(X) < \infty$

Hölder

$$\sup_n \sup_{\varphi \in C_0(X)} \left| \int f_{n_k} \varphi \right| \leq \sup_n \int |f_{n_k}| < \infty$$

$$\|\varphi\|_\infty \leq 1$$

Hence $\{f_{n_k} d\mu\}$ unif. bnd. in $M(X) = (C_0(X))'$,

By seq'l comp. in $M(X)$, ^(later?) $\exists f_{n_k}$ and $\nu \in M(X)$ s.t.

$$\int f_{n_k} \varphi d\mu \rightarrow \int \varphi d\nu \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0(X)$$

4) f_{n_k} ^{w.} Cauchy in $L^1(X)$: (Fix $\varepsilon > 0$, and take $\delta > 0$ from (ii)).

3) Take $C_0 \ni \varphi_k \rightarrow \chi_A$ a.e. and $\|\varphi_k\|_\infty \leq 1$

[e.g. $\varphi_k = \chi_A * \rho_k$]

By Egorov's thm. $\exists A_\delta \subset X$ meas. s.t.

$$\mu(X \setminus A_\delta) < \delta \quad \text{and} \quad \|\varphi_k - \chi_A\|_{L^\infty(A_\delta)} \rightarrow 0$$

5 Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \int_{A_s} (f_{n_j} - f_{n_l}) \overset{\chi_A}{\checkmark} \right| \\
 & \leq \underbrace{\left| \int_{A_s} (f_{n_j} - f_{n_l}) (\chi_A - \varphi_k) \right|}_{\leq 2 \sup \|f_{n_j}\|_r \|\chi_A - \varphi_k\|_{L^\infty(A_s)}} + \underbrace{\left| \int_{A_s} (f_{n_j} - f_{n_l}) \varphi_k \right|}_{< \frac{\epsilon}{2} \text{ if } j, k \text{ big}} \\
 & \leq 2 \sup \|f_{n_j}\|_r \|\chi_A - \varphi_k\|_{L^\infty(A_s)} < \frac{\epsilon}{2^M} \text{ if } k \text{ big (indep. of } j, l) \\
 & < 2\epsilon \text{ if } k, j, l \text{ big enough} \\
 & \text{and by equicont (ii):}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \int_A (f_{n_j} - f_{n_l}) \right| \\
 & \leq \underbrace{\left| \int_{A \cap A_s} (f_{n_j} - f_{n_l}) \right|}_{< 2\epsilon \text{ if } j, l \text{ big}} + \underbrace{\left| \int_{A \setminus A_s} (f_{n_j} - f_{n_l}) \right|}_{\leq 2 \sup_k \int_{A \setminus A_s} |f_{n_k}| < 2\epsilon \text{ (ii)}} < 4\epsilon
 \end{aligned}$$

5) Def $F(\varphi) = \lim_k \int_X f_{n_k} \varphi \quad \forall \varphi \in L^\infty$ See p. 3,5

Well-def. by 4) and density of simple f's in L^∞ : $F \in (L^\infty)'$

15=06 $[F(\varphi) = \int \varphi d\nu \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0 !]$

False !!

By Riesz repr. thm., $\exists f \in L^1(X)$ s.t.

$$F(\varphi) = \int f \varphi \quad \forall \varphi \in L^\infty$$

and hence by def.

$$\int f_{n_k} \varphi \rightarrow \int f \varphi \quad \forall \varphi \in L^\infty \quad \square$$

does not hold in L^∞

5) Def: $v_k(A) = \int_A f_{n_k} d\mu$ $\forall A \in X$ meas.

Obs: $\tilde{v}(A) = \lim_k v_k(A)$ $\forall A \in X$ meas.

\tilde{v} well-def. by 4); $\checkmark v_k, \tilde{v}$ pos. meas. on X . ($f_n \geq 0$).

By equicont. of f_n ; $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists \delta > 0$ s.t.

$$A \in X \text{ meas.}, \mu(A) < \delta \Rightarrow \sup_n \int_A f_n d\mu = \sup_n \int_A |f_n| d\mu < \epsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow |\tilde{v}(A)| \leq \lim_k \int_A |f_{n_k}| d\mu < \epsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{v} \text{ abs. cont. w.r.t. } \mu \quad (\mu(B) = 0 \Rightarrow \tilde{v}(B) = 0)$$

1) By Radon-Nikodym $\exists f \in L^1(X, \mu)$ s.t.

$$\tilde{v} = f\mu,$$

and then

$$\int_A f_{n_k} d\mu = v_k(A) \rightarrow \tilde{v}(A) = \int_A f d\mu \quad \forall A \in X \text{ meas.} \quad \square$$

(Ambrosio - Fusco p. 14)

Fonseca - Leoni p. 175

Goaquitá - Modica - Souček p. 55

18. Equicont. Also called uniform int. (4.)

Ex. 50: X bnd., f_n meas., $|f_n| \leq g \in L^1 \Rightarrow \{f_n\}_n$ equicont.:

Ex. 50: $\sup_n \int_A |f_n| \leq \int_A g \leq \int_A \tilde{g} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \leq \|\tilde{g}\|_\infty \mu(A) + \frac{\epsilon}{2} < \epsilon$
 $\forall A \subset X, \mu(A) < \frac{\epsilon}{2\|\tilde{g}\|_\infty}$

Ex. 51: $\|g - \tilde{g}\|_{L^1(X)} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$
cont.

$\{f_n\}_n \subset L^1(X, \mu)$, $\sup_n \|f_n\|_p < \infty$ for $p \in (1, \infty]$

$\Rightarrow \{f_n\}_n$ satisfy (ii) in equicont. def.:

$\Rightarrow \sup_n \int_A |f_n| \leq \int_A |f_n| \leq \int_A |f_n|^p \mu(A)^{1/q} < \epsilon$

$\sup_n \int_A |f_n| d\mu \leq \|X_A\|_q \sup_n \|f_n\|_p$
Hölder

\Rightarrow for all A , $\mu(A)^{1/q} \underbrace{\sup_n \|f_n\|_p}_{=: M} < \epsilon$

Hence

$\mu(A) < \left(\frac{\epsilon}{M}\right)^q \Rightarrow \sup_n \int_A |f_n| < \epsilon$

Rem. 52:

a) $\sup_n \|f_n\|_p < \infty, p \in (1, \infty] + \text{tightness (i)} \Rightarrow$ equicont.
 $p \in (1, \infty)$

b) X bnd.: $\sup \|f_n\|_p < \infty, p \in (1, \infty] \Rightarrow$ equicont.
(ii) ok

Generally:

Thm. 53 (de la Vallée-Poussin): equicont.

$\{f_n\}_n \subset L^1(X, \mu), \mu(X) < \infty.$

$\{f_n\}_n$ equicont. $\iff \sup_n \int \Phi(|f_n|) d\mu < \infty$

for some func. $\Phi \geq 0$, incr., convex,

$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Phi(t)}{t} = \infty$

Lem. 54 (Vitali conv. thm):

(X, μ) meas. sp., $\mu(X) < \infty$, $\{f_n\}$ equibnd.,
 $f_n(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ a.e., $|f(x)| < \infty$ a.e. (f, f_n meas.)

Then $f \in L^1(X)$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X |f_n - f| d\mu = 0.$$

Rem. 55:

Obs a) VCT $\implies \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n = \int f$ since

b) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n = \int f$ since $\mu(X) < \infty$ and $\{f_n\}$ equibnd.

Typ b) VCT \implies LDCT by Ex. 50

Usefull consequence:

Cor. 56:

$\mu(X) < \infty$, $f_n \in L^1(X, \mu)$, $f_n \rightarrow f$ a.e., $\sup_n \|f_n\|_p < \infty$, $p \in (1, \infty)$.

$\implies f_n \rightarrow f$ in $L^r(X, \mu)$ $\forall 1 \leq r < p$.

Pf.:

$$g_n := |f_n - f|^r$$

Obs: $\sup_n \|g_n\|_{\frac{p}{r}} < \infty$, $\frac{p}{r} > 1 \implies \{g_n\}_n$ equibnd.

Since $g_n \rightarrow 0$ a.e., by Lem 54,

$$0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|g_n\|_1 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - f_n\|_r^r$$

□

19. W. conv. and comp. in L^1 , part II

Alt. char. of w. conv.:

Thm. 57: $\{f_n\}_n \subset L^1(X)$

$$f_n \rightarrow f \text{ in } L^1 \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \sup_n \|f_n\|_1 < \infty \\ \int_A f_n d\mu \rightarrow \int_A f d\mu \\ \forall A \subset X \text{ meas.} \end{cases}$$

Pt.: --- simple func'ns dense in L^∞ --- \square

Rem. 58:

$p=1$, different from $p>1$ (Thm 45):

Cannot take $\mu(A) < \infty$, nor A cubes.

Alt. "comp." result:

Thm. 58 (Chacon's biting lem.)

$\{f_n\}_n \subset L^1(X)$, $\sup \|f_n\|_1 < \infty$.

\exists subsequence $\{f_{n_k}\}_k$, $f \in L^1(X)$, and
 $\forall m \exists \{\Omega_m\}_m \subset X$, meas., $\Omega_{m+1} \subset \Omega_m$, $\mu(\Omega_m) \rightarrow 0$,

s.t.

$$f_{n_k} \rightarrow f \text{ on } L^1(X \setminus \Omega_m) \quad \forall m$$

Pt.: Omitted.

Exs. 59:

$f_n = n \chi_{(0, 1/n)}$: $\sup \|f_n\|_1 = 1 + f_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R} \setminus [0, k])$, $k > 0$
 But $f_n \rightarrow \delta_0$ in \mathcal{M}

" f in Thm. 58 is the abs. cont. part of the \mathcal{M} -lim f_n "
 Hence sing. part supported on meas. 0 set.