

MA8105 Spring 2019

Solutions to exercise set 8

1 Counterexample for L^1

Define $g_n(x)4\chi_{(0,2\pi)}(x)(1+\sin(nx))$. We show that $g_n \rightharpoonup 4\chi_{(0,2\pi)}(x)$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$: For $\varphi \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$,

$$|\langle \varphi, g_n - 4\chi_{(0,2\pi)} \rangle| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} 4\varphi(x)\chi_{(0,2\pi)}(x)\sin(nx)dx \right| = 4 \left| \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi(x)\sin(nx)dx \right|.$$

The simple functions are dense in $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. Let ψ_{ϵ} be a simple function such that $\|\varphi - \psi_{\epsilon}\| < \epsilon$. Then

$$\left|\int_{0}^{2\pi}\varphi(x)\sin(nx)dx\right| \leq \left|\int_{0}^{2\pi}\psi_{\epsilon}(x)\sin(nx)dx\right| + \left|\int_{0}^{2\pi}(\varphi(x)-\psi_{\epsilon}(x))\sin(nx)dx\right|.$$

For the last integral:

$$\left| \int_0^{2\pi} (\varphi(x) - \psi_{\epsilon}(x)) \sin(nx) dx \right| \le \|\varphi - \psi_{\epsilon}\|_{\infty} \|\sin(nx)\|_1 < 2\pi\epsilon.$$

For the first integral, we first consider $\psi_{\epsilon} = \chi_A$, where $A \subset (0, 2\pi)$ is a measureable set. Since all simple functions are linear combinations of such indicator functions, it suffices to consider those. Since A is Lebesgue measureable, $\mu(A) < 2\pi$, we can find a compact subset $K \subset (0, 2\pi)$ such that $\mu(K) + \delta > \mu((0, 2\pi))$. Then K is covered by finitely many intervals of the form $(a, b), a, b \in [0, 2\pi]$, and the integral reduces to

$$\begin{split} \left| \int_{A} \sin(nx) dx \right| &\leq \left| \int_{A \setminus K} \sin(nx) dx \right| + \left| \int_{K} \sin(nx) dx \right| \leq \mu(A \setminus K) + \sum_{i=1}^{m_{\delta}} \left| \int_{a_{i}}^{b_{i}} \sin(nx) dx \right| \\ &< \delta + \sum_{i=1}^{m_{\delta}} \frac{2}{n} \to 0 \end{split}$$

From the above estimates, we see that $g_n \rightharpoonup 4\chi_{(0,2\pi)}(x)$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Next, we show that $||g_n||_1 \rightarrow ||4\chi_{(0,2\pi)}||_1$:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \|g_n\|_1 - \|4\chi_{(0,2\pi)}\|_1 \right| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| 4\chi_{(0,2\pi)}(x)(1+\sin(nx)) \right| dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| 4\chi_{(0,2\pi)}(x) \right| dx \right| \\ &= 4 \left| \int_0^{2\pi} \sin(nx) dx \right| \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} 0. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we show that $||g_n - 4\chi_{(0,2\pi)}||_1 = 16$:

$$\left| \|g_n - 4\chi_{(0,2\pi)}\|_1 \right| = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| 4\chi_{(0,2\pi)}(x)\sin(nx) \right| dx = 4 \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \sin(nx) \right| dx = 16.$$

Counterexample for L^{∞}

Define $f_n = \chi_{(\frac{1}{n},1)}$. We show that $f_n * \chi_{(0,1)}$ in $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$: For $\varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$,

$$|\langle f_n - \chi_{(0,1)}, \varphi \rangle| = \bigg| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x) \chi_{(0,\frac{1}{n})}(x) dx \bigg|.$$

Here, $\varphi(x)\chi_{(0,\frac{1}{n})}(x) \to 0$ and $|\varphi(x)\chi_{(0,\frac{1}{n})}(x)| \leq |\varphi(x)|$, so by the dominated convergence theorem

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x) \chi_{(0,\frac{1}{n})}(x) dx \right| \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} 0,$$

and $f_n * \chi_{(0,1)}$ in $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$.

That $||f_n||_{\infty} = ||\chi_{(0,1)}||_{\infty} = 1$ is an immediate consequence of the definition of the essential supremum norm. Similarly, $||f_n - \chi_{(0,1)}|| = ||\chi_{(0,\frac{1}{n})}|| = 1$, so we have our counterexample.

2 Let $f_n \in L^p(X)$ with $p \in (1, \infty)$. Then the following equivalence holds: $f_n \rightharpoonup f$ if and only if $\sup_n ||f_n||_p < \infty$ and

$$\int_A f_n d\mu \to \int_A f d\mu$$

for any measurable set A, $\mu(A) < \infty$.

Proof. $f_n \to f$ means that $\langle \varphi, f_n \rangle \to \langle \varphi, f \rangle$ for any $\varphi \in L^q(X)$, where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ such that $(L^q(X))^* = L^p(X)$. In other words,

$$\int_X \varphi(x) f_n(x) d\mu(x) \to \int_X \varphi(x) f(x) d\mu(x)$$

for any $\varphi \in L^q(X)$.

Suppose first that $f_n \rightharpoonup f$. Then have that $\sup_n ||f_n||_p < \infty$ if and only if $\sup_n \langle f_n, \varphi \rangle < \infty$ for any $\varphi \in L^q(X)$ by the uniform boundedness principle, thus $\sup_n ||f_n||_p < \infty$ is a necessary condition for $f_n \rightharpoonup f$. Moreover, since characteristic functions χ_A , for any measurable set A with $\mu(A) < \infty$, belong to $L^q(X)$ for any $q \in [1, \infty)$, we may take $\phi = \chi_A$ to see that

$$\int_X \chi_A(x) f_n(x) d\mu(x) \to \int_X \chi_A(x) f(x) d\mu(x),$$

equivalent to

$$\int_{A} f_n(x) d\mu(x) \to \int_{A} f(x) d\mu(x),$$

which is our our second claim.

Conversely, if $\sup_n ||f_n||_p < \infty$ and

$$\int_{A} f_n(x) d\mu(x) \to \int_{A} f(x) d\mu(x)$$

for any measurable set A, $\mu(A) < \infty$, then we may use the density of simple functions in $L^q(X)$ to show that

$$\int_X \varphi(x) f_n(x) d\mu(x) \to \int_X \varphi(x) f(x) d\mu(x)$$

for any $\varphi \in L^q(X)$. Let ψ_{ϵ} be a simple function such that $\|\varphi - \psi_{\epsilon}\| < \epsilon$. Then

$$\begin{split} \left| \int_{X} \varphi(x) (f_{n}(x) - f(x)) d\mu(x) \right| &\leq \left| \int_{X} \psi_{\epsilon}(x) (f_{n}(x) - f(x)) d\mu(x) \right| + \left| \int_{X} (\varphi(x) - \psi_{\epsilon}(x)) (f_{n}(x) - f(x)) d\mu(x) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_{X} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i} \chi_{A_{i}}(x) \right) (f_{n}(x) - f(x)) d\mu(x) \right| + \|\varphi - \psi_{\epsilon}\|_{q} \|f_{n} - f\|_{p} \\ &< \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i} \left| \int_{A_{i}} (f_{n}(x) - f(x)) d\mu(x) \right| + \epsilon \sup_{n} \|f_{n} - f\|_{p}. \end{split}$$

Because

$$\int_{A_i} (f_n(x) - f(x)) d\mu(x) \to 0,$$

we can find N_i such that

$$\int_{A_i} (f_{N_i}(x) - f(x)) d\mu(x) < \frac{\epsilon}{a_i}.$$

Let then $N = \max_i \{N_i\}$. Then

$$\left| \int_X \varphi(x) (f_n(x) - f(x)) d\mu(x) \right| \le \epsilon (1 + \sup_n ||f_n - f||),$$

and $f_n \rightharpoonup f$.

3 Direct proof that $\{f_n\}$ is not uniformly integrable

We have the sequence $\{f_n\}$, $f_n(x)n\chi_{(0,\frac{1}{n})}(x)$. We show that there exists ϵ such that no $\delta > 0$ can guarantee

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\int_A |f_n|d\mu<\epsilon$$

for any $A \in X$, $\mu(A) < \delta$.

Consider $0 < \epsilon < 1$ and sets of the form $(0, \frac{1}{n})$. Then choosing n large enough guarantees that $\mu(A) = \frac{1}{n} < \delta$, yet

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{A} |f_n| d\mu = 1 > \epsilon,$$

a contradiction to uniform integrability.

Indirect proof that $\{f_n\}$ is not uniformly integrable

We show that $\{f_n\}$ converges to δ_0 in distribution, i.e.

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f_n(x)\varphi(x)d\mu(x) \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} \varphi(0)$$

for any $\varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. Because any subsequence $\{f_{n_k}\}$ then also converges to δ_0 in distribution, and because $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \subset L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, we can conclude that $\{f_n\}$ is not relatively weakly compact in $L^1(\mathbb{R})$. By Dunford-Pettis, this implies that $\{f_n\}$ is not uniformly integrable.

We have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f_n(x)\varphi(x)d\mu(x) = n \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} \varphi(x)d\mu(x) = n\varphi(x^*)\frac{1}{n} = \varphi(x^*)$$

for some $x^* \in (0, \frac{1}{n})$, by the mean value theorem. Letting n go to infinity, we obtain our result.

4 Let $0 \neq g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d), p \in (1,\infty)$, and $f_n(x) = g(x+n)$. Show what $f \to 0$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Weak convergence can be shown with thm 45. Since $g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d), \forall \epsilon > 0 \exists N \in \mathbb{N}^d$ st $\forall n \geq N$ (componentwise), $\int_n^\infty |g|^p dx \leq \epsilon$.

Let I = (a, b). Then $\forall n \ge N - a$, $\int_I f_n(x) d\mu \le \epsilon^{1/p} \mu(I)^{1/q}$.

5 Show the p=1 case in Example 4.25 (i) p 72 in Holden.

First, note that since (0,1) is bounded, $L^{\infty}(0,1) \subset L^2(0,1)$. Also note that $\sup_n ||f_n||_{\infty} = max(|a|,|b|)$, so $f_n \in L^{\infty}(0,1)$.

To check L^1 convergence of f_n , consider test functions $\varphi \in L^{\infty}(0, 1)$. But then since both f_n and φ are in $L^2(0, 1)$, $\int_0^1 f_n \varphi dx \to \int_0^1 f \varphi dx$ by applying the result for p=2.