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## Quadratic Programming (QP):

- Common form for a lot of problems
- The iterative step in Sequential Quadratic Programming (SQP) methods


## THE QP PROBLEM

We are considering problems where the objective function is quadratic,

$$
q(x)=\frac{1}{2} x^{\prime} G x+d^{\prime} x, \quad G \text { symmetric. }
$$

For the non-constrained problem we know

$$
\nabla q(x)^{\prime}=G x+d
$$

where

$$
x^{*}=-G^{-1} d \text { when } G>0 .
$$

In general, $G$ will not necessarily be positive definite, not even semi-definite.
The feasibility domain $\Omega$ is defined in terms of

- linear equality constraints,

$$
a_{i}^{\prime} x=b_{i}, i \in \mathcal{E},
$$

- linear inequality constraints,

$$
a_{i}^{\prime} x \geq b_{i}, i \in I .
$$

NOTE:

- $\Omega$ is convex
- The objective function will be convex if $G \geq 0$.



## Indefinite



Positive definite


An indefinite matrix $G$ may lead to several local minima/maxima!

## THE QP PROBLEM WITH EQUALITY CONSTRAINTS ONLY

This case can always be reduced to the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min q(x) \\
& A x=b,
\end{aligned}
$$

## $A$ has full rank $r<n$.

$\Omega=\{x ; A x=b\}=\left\{x_{0}+Z u ; A x_{0}=b, Z\right.$ contains a basis for $\left.\mathcal{N}(A), u \in R^{n-r}\right\}$.

## 1. Solution by Eliminating Unknowns

From the linear system of constraints, express $r$ variables in terms of the remaining $n-r$ unknowns. Insert this into the objective function and solve the unconstrained problem in the remaining $n-r$ variables!

## 2. Solution by the Null-Space Method

Find an $x_{0} \in \Omega$, and a basis for the null space of $A$. Insert $x=x_{0}+Z u$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
f(u)=q\left(x_{0}+Z u\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{0}+Z u\right)^{\prime} G\left(x_{0}+Z u\right)+d^{\prime}\left(x_{0}+Z u\right) \\
=\frac{1}{2} u^{\prime} \tilde{G} u+\tilde{d}^{\prime} u+\text { const. , } \tilde{G}=Z^{\prime} G Z, \tilde{d}=Z^{\prime}\left(G x_{0}+d\right) . \\
\nabla f(u)=\tilde{G} u+\tilde{d}=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Three cases, depending on $\tilde{G}$ :

1) A unique solution if the matrix is positive definite
2) Infinitely many solutions if $\tilde{G}$ is singular, as long as it is positive semi-definite and $\tilde{d} \in \mathcal{R}(\tilde{G})$
3) No solutions if it is not positive semi-definite (or $\tilde{d} \notin R(\tilde{G})$ )

## 3. Solving the KKT-equations

The Lagrange function is

$$
L(x, \lambda)=\frac{1}{2} x^{\prime} G x+d^{\prime} x-\lambda^{\prime}(b-A x)
$$

and hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{x} L(x, \lambda)^{\prime} & =G x+d+A^{\prime} \lambda=0, \\
A x & =b .
\end{aligned}
$$

Collected into a system:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
G & A^{\prime} \\
A & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
\lambda
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
-d \\
b
\end{array}\right]
$$

Lemma 16.1: The coefficient matrix of the system is non-singular if $A$ has full rank and $G$ is positive definite on the null-space of $A$.

Assume that $A$ has full rank and $G$ is positive definite on the null space of $A$, that is,

$$
(Z u)^{\prime} G(Z u)=u^{\prime} Z '^{\prime} G Z u=u^{\prime} \tilde{G} u>0 \forall u \neq 0 .
$$

Then $\left(x^{*}, \lambda^{*}\right)$ is a unique KKT point and a global minimum (Follows from the Null-Space Method, which then solves a strictly convex problem).

There are many ways of solving the KKT system in this case. However, if $x^{*}$ is known,

$$
\lambda^{*}=-\left(A A^{\prime}\right)^{-1} A\left(G x^{*}+d\right)
$$

If $\lambda^{*}$ is known, we reduce the over-determined system

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G x=-\left(d+A^{\prime} \lambda^{*}\right) \\
& A x=b
\end{aligned}
$$

to a (non-singular) system with $n$ unknowns (Simple if $G>0$ !)

## INEQUALITY CONSTRAINTS

Inequality constraints spoil the elegant theory above completely!

Let us consider the general problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
\min _{x}\left\{\frac{1}{2} x^{\prime} G x+d^{\prime} x\right\}, \\
a_{i}{ }^{\prime} x-b_{i}=0, i \in \mathcal{E}, \\
a_{i}{ }^{\prime} x-b_{i} \geq 0, i \in I .
\end{gathered}
$$

We assume that all equality constraints are linearly independent.

Let as $\mathcal{A}$ or $\mathcal{A}(x)$ denote the active set of constraints in $x$

The KKT-conditions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G x+d-\sum_{i \in \mathcal{A}(x)} \lambda_{i} a_{i}=0, \\
& a_{i}^{\prime} x=b_{i}, \quad i \in \mathcal{A}(x), \\
& a_{i}^{\prime} x>b_{i}, \quad i \in I \backslash \mathcal{A}(x), \\
& \lambda_{i} \geq 0, \quad i \in I \cap \mathcal{A}(x) \\
& \lambda_{i}\left(a_{i}^{\prime} x-b_{i}\right)=0, \quad i \in \mathcal{E} \cup I
\end{aligned}
$$

(Recall that the LICQ condition is not necessary for linear constraints).
If $x^{*}$ is a KKT-point for the full problem, then $x^{*}$ and a corresponding subset of the Lagrange multipliers is also a KKT-point for the reduced problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min _{x}\left\{\frac{1}{2} x^{\prime} G x+d^{\prime} x\right\}, \\
& a_{i}^{\prime} x=b_{i}, i \in \mathcal{A}\left(x^{*}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(Setting $\lambda_{i}=0$ for all $\left.i \notin \mathcal{A}(x)\right)$.

- The reduced problem is a QP problem with equality constraints.
- If we have an active set $\mathcal{A}$ and have found a KKT-point $x^{*}$ for the reduced problem, it is easy to check the KKT-conditions for the full problem.
- The next step would be to check the $2^{\text {nd }}$ order conditions: Form the matrix $A$ consisting of the gradients of the active constraints and investigate $Z$ ' $G Z$, where $Z$ is a basis of $\mathcal{N}(A)$ ( Unless $G \geq 0$, in which case KKT-points are global minima)


## ACTIVE SET METHODS

1. Assume we stay at a point $x_{0} \in \Omega$.
2. We choose a working set $\mathcal{W}$ so that

$$
\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{A}\left(x_{0}\right)
$$

3. Let $A_{\mathrm{w}}$ be the corresponding matrix of gradients and solve the (equality constrained) reduced problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min q\left(x_{0}+p\right) \\
& A_{w}\left(x_{0}+p\right)=b_{w}
\end{aligned}
$$

4. If $p$ turns out to be 0 , we have to check whether $x_{0}$ could be the full solution.
5. If $p \neq 0$, we consider $p$ as a search direction and determine $\alpha \leq 1$ as the maximum value where

$$
x_{1}=x_{0}+\alpha p \in \Omega .
$$

6a. If $\alpha=1$, we are at a KKT-point $x_{1}$ for the reduced problem ( $x_{1} \in \Omega$ !). We then check whether the full KKT-equations are satisfied (in which case we have a solution).

6b. Otherwise, new inequality constraints have become active, which we now include in $\mathcal{W}$, and continue as above from $x_{1}$.

When this stops, we have a KKT-point $x^{*}$ and an active set $\mathcal{W}^{*}$ for the reduced problem satisfying

$$
G x^{*}+d-\sum_{i \in \mathcal{W}^{*}} \lambda_{i}^{*} a_{i}=0
$$

7a. Set the Lagrange multipliers for the constraints that are not in $\mathcal{W}^{*}$ to be 0 .

$$
\lambda_{i}^{*} \geq 0
$$

for all $i \in \mathcal{W}^{*} \cap \mathcal{I}$, we have reached a KKT-point for the full problem and that needs to be checked (finished if we have a convex problem).

7b. However, if some of the Lagrangian multipliers are negative, we throw the corresponding constraints out from $\mathcal{W}^{*}$ and solve the new reduced problem as in (3).
(It may be shown, Theorems 16.5 and 16.6 in N\&W, that this will decrease the objective further!).

Note:

- In order to start the method, that is to identify a feasible point $x_{0} \in \Omega$, it may be necessary to carry out a Phase 1 problem as in the LP case.
- The Active Set algorithm is listed on p. 472. Numerical aspects shown on pp. 477 - 480.

```
Algorithm 16.1 (Active-Set Method for Convex QP).
    Compute a feasible starting point \(x_{0}\);
    Set \(\mathcal{W}_{0}\) to be a subset of the active constraints at \(x_{0}\);
    for \(k=0,1,2, \ldots\)
    Solve (16.27) to find \(p_{k}\);
    if \(\quad p_{k}=0\)
    Compute Lagrange multipliers \(\hat{\lambda}_{i}\) that satisfy (16.30),
        set \(\hat{\mathcal{W}}=\mathcal{W}_{k}\);
    if \(\quad \hat{\lambda}_{i} \geq 0\) for all \(i \in \mathcal{W}_{k} \cap \mathcal{I}\);
        STOP with solution \(x^{*}=x_{k}\);
    else
        Set \(j=\arg \min _{j \in \mathcal{W}_{k} \cap I} \hat{\lambda}_{j}\);
        \(x_{k+1}=x_{k} ; \mathcal{W}_{k+1} \leftarrow \mathcal{W}_{k} \backslash\{j\} ;\)
    else ( \({ }^{*} p_{k} \neq 0^{*}\) )
        Compute \(\alpha_{k}\) from (16.29);
    \(x_{k+1} \leftarrow x_{k}+\alpha_{k} p_{k}\);
    if there are blocking constraints
        Obtain \(\mathcal{W}_{k+1}\) by adding one of the blocking
                        constraints to \(\mathcal{W}_{k+1}\);
    else
        \(\mathcal{W}_{k+1} \leftarrow \mathcal{W}_{k} ;\)
    end (for)
```


## Example 16.3:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\min _{x}\left\{\left(x_{1}-1\right)^{2}+\left(x_{2}-\frac{5}{2}\right)^{2}\right\} \\
x_{1}-2 x_{2}+2 \geq 0 \\
-x_{1}-2 x_{2}+6 \geq 0 \\
-x_{1}+2 x_{2}+2 \geq 0 \\
x_{1} \geq 0 \\
x_{2} \geq 0
\end{gathered}
$$



## THE GRADIENT PROJECTION METHODS

The traditional gradient projection method admits non-linear objective functions as long as the constraints are linear:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\min f(x) \\
a_{i}^{\prime} x=b_{i}, i \in \mathcal{E} \\
a_{i}^{\prime} x \geq b_{i}, i \in \mathcal{I}
\end{gathered}
$$

We are in a point $x_{k} \in \Omega$ with a corresponding set of active constraints $\mathcal{A}_{k}$ and the (full rank) matrix of gradients $A_{k}$.

A (local) feasible domain is then

$$
\Omega_{k}=\left\{x ; A_{k} x=b_{k}\right\}=\left\{x_{k}+\mathcal{N}\left(A_{k}\right)\right\} .
$$

The gradient in $x_{k}$ is

$$
g_{k}=\nabla f\left(x_{k}\right)^{\prime},
$$

but in general $x_{k}-\alpha g_{k}$ will not be in $\Omega_{k}$ for any $\alpha \neq 0$.
We therefore project the gradient onto $\mathcal{N}\left(A_{k}\right)$ and consider the 1-D problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
\min _{\alpha} f\left(x_{k}-\alpha P_{\mathcal{N}\left(A_{k}\right)} g_{k}\right), \\
x_{k}-\alpha P_{\mathcal{N}\left(A_{k}\right)} g_{k} \in \Omega \\
P_{\mathcal{N}\left(A_{k}\right)}=I-A_{k}^{\prime}\left(A_{k} A_{k}^{\prime}\right)^{-1} A_{k}
\end{gathered}
$$

We find the operator by solving the equality constrained QP-problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
\min _{x}\|g-x\|^{2} \\
A x=0
\end{gathered}
$$

## THE NON-LINEAR PROJECTION METHOD

$$
\begin{gathered}
\min q(x) \\
l \leq x \leq u
\end{gathered}
$$

Consider the following (and obvious!) non-linear projection operator

$$
P_{l u}(x)_{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
l_{i}, & x_{i} \leq l_{i} \\
x_{i}, & l_{i}<x_{i}<u_{i} \\
u_{i}, & u_{i} \leq x_{i}
\end{array}\right.
$$

We start at $X_{0}$ and compute the continuous broken line path

$$
\begin{gathered}
x(t)=P_{l u}\left(x_{0}-t \nabla q\left(x_{0}\right)\right) . \\
x(t) \in \Omega
\end{gathered}
$$



- Let $X_{C}$ be the first local minimum along the path.
- From this point the simplest would be to just compute a new gradient and repeat the operation.
- It is also possible to possible to do an approximate Active Set iteration using the already active bounds as the active set, as discussed in N\&W p. 480.

