

# Representation theorem for polyhedral sets\*

Anton Evgrafov

Department of Mathematical Sciences, NTNU [anton.evgrafov@math.ntnu.no](mailto:anton.evgrafov@math.ntnu.no)

Consider the following linear programming problem in the standard form:

$$\begin{aligned} & \underset{x \in \mathbb{R}^n}{\text{minimize}} && c^T x, \\ & \text{subject to} && Ax = b, \\ & && x \geq 0, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . The existence of solutions for a feasible and bounded problem (1) relies upon the representation of the feasible set  $\Omega = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid Ax = b, x \geq 0\}$  as a sum  $\Omega = P + C$ ,  $P$  is a convex, closed, and bounded set and  $C$  is a closed convex cone.

Before we begin, we reformulate  $\Omega$  in terms of inequalities only:

$$\Omega = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \tilde{A}x \leq \tilde{b}\}, \tag{2}$$

where

$$\tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} A \\ -A \\ -I \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{b} = \begin{pmatrix} b \\ -b \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3}$$

Note that the matrix  $\tilde{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{(2m+n) \times n}$  always has rank  $n$  due to the presence of the identity matrix in the last block-row. The representation theorem applies to all matrices  $\tilde{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell \times n}$  with rank  $n$  (full column rank in particular  $\ell \geq n$ ), not only matrices of the form (3).

For every  $x \in \Omega$  we will write  $\bar{A}_x$  and  $\bar{b}_x$  to denote those rows of  $\tilde{A}$  and the corresponding components of  $\tilde{b}$ , where the inequalities are active (binding) at  $x$ . The rest of the rows of  $\tilde{A}$ /components of  $\tilde{b}$  will be denoted with  $\check{A}_x$  and  $\check{b}_x$ . Thus  $\bar{A}_x x = \bar{b}_x$  and  $\check{A}_x x < \check{b}_x$ .

Consider all points  $v_i \in \Omega$  such that  $\text{rank } \bar{A}_{v_i} = n$ ; thus  $v_i = \bar{A}_{v_i}^{-1} \bar{b}_{v_i}$ . Note that the number of such points is not larger than the number of ways of selecting  $n$  rows out of  $\ell$  possibilities, that is  $\ell!/(n!(\ell-n)!)$ , and in principle could be 0. For a given  $\tilde{A}$  and  $\tilde{b}$  we will denote this number with  $N$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} P &= \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i v_i \mid \lambda_i \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i = 1 \right\}, \\ C &= \{d \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \tilde{A}d \leq 0\}. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

---

\* Based on Section 3.2.3 in "Introduction to continuous optimization" by N. Andréasson, AE, M. Patriksson, E. Gustavsson, M. Önnheim: Studentlitteratur (2013), 2nd ed.

**Theorem 1 (Representation theorem).** *Consider a matrix  $\tilde{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell \times n}$  and a vector  $\tilde{b} \in \mathbb{R}^\ell$  defining the set (2), and the sets  $P$  and  $C$  defined in (4). Suppose that  $\text{rank } \tilde{A} = n$ . If  $P$  is non-empty then  $\Omega = P + C$ .*

*Proof.* The inclusion  $P + C \subset \Omega$  is easy to verify. The other inclusion is proved is by induction in  $\text{rank } \bar{A}_x$ ,  $x \in \Omega$ .

First, consider the points in  $x \in \Omega$  with  $\text{rank } \bar{A}_x = n$ . These are precisely the points  $v_i$  defining the non-empty set  $P$ . Thus  $x = v_i + 0$ , for some  $i = 1, \dots, N$ . Note that  $0 \in C$ , thus  $x \in P + C$ .

Now assume that the representation holds for all  $x \in \Omega$  such that  $k \leq \text{rank } \bar{A}_x \leq n$ . We will show that the representation holds also for points  $x \in \Omega$  with  $\text{rank } \bar{A}_x = k - 1$ .

Let  $x \in \Omega$  be such a point. Since  $\text{rank } \bar{A}_x < n$  there is  $0 \neq z \in \text{null } \bar{A}_x$ . Consider a perturbed point  $x + \lambda z$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . Since  $\bar{A}_x z < \bar{b}_x$  and  $\bar{A}_x z = 0$ , it holds that  $x + \lambda z \in \Omega$  for all small  $\lambda$ .

Let  $\lambda^+ = \sup\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} : x + \lambda z \in \Omega\}$  and  $\lambda^- = \sup\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} : x - \lambda z \in \Omega\}$ . If  $\lambda^+ = +\infty$  then

$$\tilde{A}z = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda^{-1} \tilde{A}[x + \lambda z] \leq \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda^{-1} \tilde{b} = 0.$$

and therefore  $z \in C$ . Similarly, if  $\lambda^- = +\infty$  then  $-z \in C$ .

*Case 1:* Suppose that  $\lambda^- = \lambda^+ = +\infty$ ; then  $0 \neq z \in C \cap [-C] = \text{null } \tilde{A}$ , which contradicts the assumption  $\text{rank } \tilde{A} = n$ .

*Case 2:* Suppose  $\lambda^+ < \infty$  but  $\lambda^- = +\infty$ . Consider the point  $x^+ = x + \lambda^+ z$ . Then  $x^+ \in \Omega$  since  $\Omega$  is closed. We claim that  $\text{rank } \bar{A}_{x^+} \geq k$ . Indeed,  $\bar{A}_x$  is a submatrix of  $\bar{A}_{x^+}$  (recall,  $\bar{A}_x z = 0$ ) and thus  $\text{rank } \bar{A}_{x^+} \geq k - 1$ . If  $\text{rank } \bar{A}_{x^+} = k - 1$  then the additional rows in  $\bar{A}_{x^+}$  (in relation to  $\bar{A}_x$ ) may be expressed as linear combinations of rows in  $\bar{A}_x$ . Therefore,  $z \in \text{null } \bar{A}_{x^+}$  and  $x^+ + \lambda z \in \Omega$ , for all small  $\lambda$ . This contradicts the selection of  $\lambda^+$ , which was such that  $x + \lambda z \notin \Omega$ ,  $\lambda > \lambda^+$ . It remains to utilize the induction hypothesis for  $x^+$ , that is  $x^+ = x + \lambda z \in P + C$ , and as a result  $x \in P + (C + \lambda^+(-z)) = P + C$ , since in this case  $-z \in C$ .

*Case 3:* Suppose  $\lambda^+ = +\infty$  but  $\lambda^- < \infty$ . This case is completely symmetric with *Case 2*.

*Case 4:* Suppose that  $\lambda^+ < \infty$  and  $\lambda^- < \infty$ . In this case the induction hypothesis applies to both  $x^+$  and  $x^-$ . Therefore

$$x = \frac{\lambda^+}{\lambda^+ + \lambda^-} x^- + \frac{\lambda^-}{\lambda^+ + \lambda^-} x^+ \in \frac{\lambda^+}{\lambda^+ + \lambda^-} (P + C) + \frac{\lambda^-}{\lambda^+ + \lambda^-} (P + C) \subset P + C,$$

where the last inclusion is owing to the convexity of  $P, C$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 1 (Existence of extreme points; see Theorem 13.2 in N&W).** *Suppose that  $\Omega$  given by (2) is non-empty and  $\text{rank } \tilde{A} = n$ . Then the set  $P$  defined in (4) is non-empty.*

*Proof.* Take any  $x \in \Omega \neq \emptyset$ . If  $\text{rank } \bar{A}_x = n$  we are done; otherwise we proceed as in the proof of Theorem 1 and define  $\lambda^+$ ,  $\lambda^-$ . If  $\lambda^+ < \infty$  we then go to the point  $x^+$ ; otherwise  $\lambda^- < \infty$  and then we go to the point  $x^-$ . In any case,  $\text{rank } \bar{A}_{x^+} > \text{rank } \bar{A}_x$  or  $\text{rank } \bar{A}_{x^-} > \text{rank } \bar{A}_x$ . Repeating this procedure, we eventually reach a point  $x \in \Omega$  where  $\text{rank } \bar{A}_x = n$ .  $\square$