

Proof of Lax-Milgram theorem, given in the note by Curry, thm. 3.7.

I am going to refer to the note by Curry (CC) and the TMA4145 note by Luef (FL)

Given that all the assumptions of the theorem hold.

Choose some $u \in V$, and let

$$\varphi_u(w) = a(u, w)$$

(see FL, def. 4.3.4)

Then, for a given u , by continuity of a , $\varphi_u \in V'$, the dual space of V

By assumptions, $F \in V'$

Riesz' representation theorem:

(LF, thm. 5.8)

For each $\varphi \in V'$ there is a unique $z \in V$ s.t. $\varphi(w) = \langle z, w \rangle$, $\forall w \in V$.

And, we have $\|z\|_V = \|\varphi\|_{V'}$.

Let $\mathcal{R}: V' \rightarrow V$ be the (unique and linear) operator, given by $\mathcal{R}(\varphi) = z$.

Now we have three equivalent expressions for our problem:

Find $u \in V$ s.t.:

$$\varphi_u(w) = F(w), \quad \forall w \in V$$

$$\varphi_u = F \quad (\text{in } V')$$

$$\mathcal{R}(\varphi_u) = \mathcal{R}(F) \quad (\text{in } V)$$

Let $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$, $\rho \neq 0$, and define $T: V \rightarrow V$ by

$$T(w) = w - \rho \cdot (\mathcal{R}(\varphi_w) - \mathcal{R}(F))$$

If we can find a ρ , such that T is a contraction, then, by Banach's fixed point theorem T has a unique fixed point u ; that is:

(FL, thm. 3.21)

$$T(u) = u \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{R}(\varphi_u) - \mathcal{R}(F) = 0$$

which is then also the unique solution of the original problem:

T is a contraction if

(FL, def. 3.4.3)

$$\|T(w_1) - T(w_2)\|_V < \|w_1 - w_2\|.$$

for all $w_1, w_2 \in V$.

T is linear, so by using $w = w_1 - w_2 \in V$ we only have to prove that

$$\|T(w)\|_V < \|w\|_V, \quad \forall w \in V$$

for some ρ . We have

$$\|T w\|_V^2 = \|w - \rho \mathcal{Z}(\varphi_w)\|_V^2$$

(inner product norm)

$$= \|w\|_V^2 - 2\rho \langle w, \mathcal{Z}(\varphi_w) \rangle_V + \rho^2 \|\mathcal{Z}(\varphi_w)\|_V^2$$

By the definition of \mathcal{Z} and φ_w :

$$\langle w, \mathcal{Z}(\varphi_w) \rangle_V = \varphi_w(w) = a(w, w)$$

$$\|\mathcal{Z}(\varphi_w)\|_V^2 = \varphi_w(\mathcal{Z}(\varphi_w)) = a(w, \mathcal{Z}(\varphi_w))$$

So

$$\|T(w)\|_V^2 = \|w\|_V^2 - 2\rho a(w, w) + \rho^2 a(w, \mathcal{Z}(\varphi_w))$$

(Coercivity and continuity of a)

$$\leq \|w\|_V^2 - 2\rho\alpha \|w\|_V^2 + \rho^2 M \|w\|_V \|\mathcal{Z}(\varphi_w)\|_V.$$

$$\leq (1 - 2\rho\alpha + \rho^2 M^2) \|w\|_V^2$$

The last inequality holds since by Riesz and the definition of \mathcal{Z} :

$$\|\mathcal{Z}(\varphi_w)\|_V = \|\varphi_w\|_V = \sup_{\substack{w \in V \\ \|w\|_V=1}} |\varphi_w(w)|$$

and

$$|\varphi_w(w)| = |a(w, w)| \leq M \|w\|_V \cdot \|w\|_V \quad \forall w, w \in V$$

So, T is a contraction on V if

$$|1 - 2\rho\alpha + \rho^2 M^2| < 1$$

which is the case if $\rho \in (0, \frac{2\alpha}{M^2})$.

In conclusion, there is a contraction T on V with u as the unique fixed point, thus, the variational problem has a unique solution.

□