Norwegian University of Science and Technology Department of Mathematical Sciences

Page 1 of 4



Contact during exam: Name: Brynjulf Owren (93021641)

EXAM IN NUMERICAL MATHEMATICS (TMA4215)

10. December 2006 Time: 09:00–13:00, Grades due: 15.08.2006

Permitted aids: Cathegory B, all written aids permitted. Simple calculator with empty memory allowed.

Problem 1 Calculate

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{e^x \, dx}{\sqrt{1-x^2}}$$

with at least 4 significant digits (note the given formulas).

Answer: We can use Gauss-Chebyshev quadrature with $f(x) = e^x$, which yields $f^{(k)}(x) = e^x$ for all k and thus that $|f^{(2n)}(\xi)| \le e$ for all k. Hence we can give a table listing the error for each n.

| | 1 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 1 |
|----------|---------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| error 2. | 13 0.04 | $0.37 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $0.16 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | $0.45 \cdot 10^{-8}$ | $0.87 \cdot 10^{-11}$ | $0.11 \cdot 10^{-13}$ |

We see that Q_4 is enough. We have $Q_4(f) = 3.9775$.

$$Q_4(f) = \frac{\pi}{4} \left(\exp(-\cos(\frac{\pi}{8})) + \exp(-\cos(\frac{3\pi}{8})) + \exp(\cos(\frac{3\pi}{8})) + \exp(\cos(\frac{\pi}{8})) \right)$$

Problem 2 The Van der Pol oscillator can be modelled by the initial value problem

 $u'' + \alpha(u^2 - 1) u' + u = 0, \qquad u(0) = u_0, \ u'(0) = v_0 \tag{1}$

The method backward Euler (reluE) for the problem y' = f(y) is given as

$$y_{m+1} = y_m + h f(y_{m+1}) \tag{2}$$

where h is the step size.

a) Show that (2) applied to (1) leads to the following equation to be solved (with respect to u) for $u_1 \approx u(h)$:

$$\alpha h u^{3} - \alpha h u_{0} u^{2} + (1 - \alpha h + h^{2}) u - u_{0}(1 - h\alpha) - h v_{0} = 0$$
(3)

Answer: First we have to state the problem as a system of first order equations, u' = v and $v' = -\alpha(u^2 - 1)v - u$. If we write v for v_1 and u for u_1 we get

$$u = u_0 + hv,$$
 $v = v_0 - \alpha h (u^2 - 1) v - h u$

We now insert $v = (u - u_0)/h$ into the second of these equations and end up with the given answer.

b) Now let $\alpha = 5$, h = 0.1, $u_0 = 2$, $v_0 = 0$, and find u_1 with at least 6 significant digits.

Answer: Newton's method with an initial guess $u^{(0)} = u_0 = 2$ is the best way to solve this. We start by inserting $\alpha = 5$, $u_0 = 2$, $v_0 = 0$, and h = 0.1 which leads to

$$F(u) = 0.5 u^3 - u^2 + 0.51 u - 1 = 0 \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad F'(u) = 1.5 u^2 - 2 u + 0.51.$$

We calculate $u^{(k+1)} = u^{(k)} - F(u^{(k)}) / F'(u^{(k)})$ which gives the table below.

| k | $u^{(k)}$ | $F(u^{(k)})$ | $F'(u^{(k)})$ |
|---|-----------|---------------------------|---------------|
| 0 | 2.000000 | 0.02 | 2.51 |
| 1 | 1.992032 | $0.126729 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | 2.478222 |
| 2 | 1.991980 | $0.519868 \cdot 10^{-8}$ | 2.478019 |
| 3 | 1.991980 | $0.874959 \cdot 10^{-17}$ | 2.478019 |

We conclude that $u_2 = 1.991980$ is correct to at least 6 digits.

c) Find a polynomial p(x) of degree at most 3 which satisfies

$$p(0) = 2, p'(0) = 0, p(h) = u^*, p'(h) = (u^* - 2)/h,$$

where h and u^* are arbitrary parameters. Then use these to approximate u(0.05) for the Van der Pol oscillator with u_0, v_0 and α as in the previous task.

Answer: There are several ways to attack this problem. For instance we can use generalized divided differences. From the left end point we can immediately see that

$$p(\theta h) = 2 + a\theta^3 + b\theta^2 \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad p'(\theta h) = \frac{1}{h}(3a\theta^2 + 2b\theta)$$

Now; $p(h) = 2 + a + b = u^*$ and $p'(h) = \frac{1}{h}(3a + 2b) = \frac{1}{h}(u^* - 2)$. Hence we have that $a = 2 - u^*$ and $b = 2(u^* - 2)$, that is

$$p(\theta h) = 2 + (2 - u^*)\theta^3 + 2(u^* - 2)\theta^2$$

We can approximate u(0.05) from earlier by using $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$, h = 0.1, and $u^* = u_1 p(0.05) = 2 + (2 - u_1)/8 + 2(u_1 - 2)/4 = 1.25 + 0.375u_1 = 1.996992$.

Problem 3 The tip of a robotic arm moves along a path in the *xy*-plane which can be described by a parabola-like graph(x, f(x)), that is f(x) can be approximated well by a 2. degree polynomial p(x). The following have been observed.

| x_m | -1.0 | -0.6 | -0.2 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 1.0 |
|-------|-------------|------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|
| y_m | -8.7065e-03 | 3.2370e-01 | 9.4428e-01 | 1.2189 | 1.1431 | 1.0584 |

You can assume that the x-values are exact, while there is some uncertainty in the y-values.

a) Find $p(x) \in \Pi_2$ which minimizes the sum of squares

$$E[p] = \sum_{m=1}^{6} (y_m - p(x_m))^2$$

Answer:

We state the normal equations by letting $\phi_k(x) = x^{k-1}, k = 1, 2, 3$, and defining the 6 × 3-matrix Φ by $\Phi_{m,k} = \phi_k(x_m)$. We now consider $\Phi^T \Phi c = \Phi^T y$

| Γ | 6 | 0 | 2.8 | | 4.6797 | | [| 1.0336 | |
|---|-----|-----|----------|-------------|--------|---------------|-----|---------|--|
| | 0 | 2.8 | 0 | $\cdot c =$ | 1.6137 | \Rightarrow | c = | 0.5763 | |
| L | 2.8 | 0 | 2.2624 - | | 1.6643 | | l | -0.5436 | |



Hence $p(x) = 1.0336 + 0.5763 x - 0.5436 x^2$.

b) We suspect that two of the data points are rather inexact, namely the second (-0.6, 3.2370e-01), and the fourth (0.2, 1.2189). We want to give these a somewhat reduced weight in the sum of square, which leads us to defining

$$E_w[p] = \sum_{m=1}^{6} w_m (y_m - p(x_m))^2.$$

We now let $w_1 = w_3 = w_5 = w_6 = 1$ and $w_2 = w_4 = \frac{1}{2}$. We can define an inner product on \mathbf{R}^6 by

$$\langle u, v \rangle_w = \sum_{m=1}^6 w_m u_m v_m$$

To a polynomial $\phi \in \Pi_2$ we associate the vector $\bar{\phi} = [\phi(x_1), \ldots, \phi(x_6)]^T \in \mathbf{R}^6$. It turns out that the three polynomials

$$\phi_0(x) \equiv 1, \quad \phi_1(x) = x - \frac{1}{25}, \quad \phi_2(x) = x^2 - \frac{13}{25}$$

satisfies $\langle \bar{\phi}_k, \bar{\phi}_\ell \rangle_w = 0$ when $k \neq \ell$. Use this (without proving it) to find $q \in \Pi_2$ which minimizes $E_w[q]$.

Answer: >From the orthogonality principle we get that the sought polynomial $p(x) = c_0\phi_0(x) + c_1\phi_1(x) + c_2\phi_2(x) \in \Pi_2$ satisfies the normal equations

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{2} c_{\ell} \langle \bar{\phi}_{\ell}, \bar{\phi}_{k} \rangle_{w} = \langle y, \bar{\phi}_{k} \rangle_{w}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2$$

The fact that $\langle \bar{\phi}_k, \bar{\phi}_\ell \rangle_w = 0$ when $k \neq \ell$, then leads to the rather simple solution

$$c_{\ell} = \frac{\langle y, \phi_{\ell} \rangle_w}{\langle \bar{\phi}_{\ell}, \bar{\phi}_{\ell} \rangle_w},$$

that is $c_0 = 0.7818$, $c_1 = 0.5527$, $c_2 = -0.5335$

If we now state p(x) on canonical form, we have

$$p(x) = -0.5335 x^2 + 0.5527 x + 1.0370$$



Some useful formulas

1. Chebyshev-quadrature

$$I(f) = \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{f(x) \, dx}{\sqrt{1 - x^2}} \approx Q_n(f) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{n,k} f(x_{n,k})$$
$$c_{n,k} = \frac{\pi}{n}$$
$$x_{n,k} = \cos \frac{2k - 2n - 1}{2n} \pi, \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

2. Error in Chebyshev-quadrature

$$I(f) - Q_n(f) = \frac{\pi}{(2n)! \, 2^{2n-1}} f^{(2n)}(\xi), \quad -1 < \xi < 1$$