Solution of systems of nonlinear equations

Given a system of nonlinear equations

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \qquad \mathbf{f}: \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^m$$
 (1)

for which we assume that there is (at least) one solution xi. The idea is to rewrite this system into the form

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}), \qquad \mathbf{g} : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^m.$$
 (2)

The solution ξ of (1) should satisfy $\xi = \mathbf{g}(\xi)$, and is thus called a *fixed point* of \mathbf{g} . The iteration schemes becomes: given an initial guess $\mathbf{x}^{(0)}$, the *fixed point iterations* becomes

$$\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} = \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}^{(k)}), \qquad k = 1, 2, \dots$$
 (3)

The following questions arise:

- (i) How to find a suitable function **g**?
- (ii) Under what conditions will the sequence $\mathbf{x}^{(k)}$ converge to the fixed point ξ ?
- (iii) How quickly will the sequence $\mathbf{x}^{(k)}$ converge?

Point (ii) can be answered by Banach fixed point theorem:

Theorem 0.1. Let $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ be a convex¹ and closed set. If

$$\mathbf{g}(D) \subseteq D$$
 (4a)

and

$$\|\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{y}) - \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{v})\| \le L\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{v}\|, \quad \text{with } L < 1 \text{ for all } \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{v} \in D,$$
 (4b)

then G has a unique fixed point in D and the fixed point iterations (3) converges for all $\mathbf{x}^{(0)} \in D$. Further,

$$\|\mathbf{x}^{(k)} - \xi\| \le \frac{L^k}{1 - L} \|\mathbf{x}^{(1)} - \mathbf{x}^{(0)}\|.$$
 (4c)

Proof. The proof is based on the Cauchy Convergence theorem, saying that a sequence $\{\mathbf{x}^{(k)}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ converges to some ξ if and only if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an N such that

$$\|\mathbf{x}^{(l)} - \mathbf{x}^{(k)}\| < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all} \quad l, k > N.$$
 (5)

Assumption (4a) ensures $\mathbf{x}^{(k)} \in D$ as long as $\mathbf{x}^{(0)} \in D$. From (3) and (4b) we get:

$$\|\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} - \mathbf{x}^{(k)}\| = \|\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}^{(k)}) - \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}^{(k-1)})\| \le L\|\mathbf{x}^{(k)} - \mathbf{x}^{(k-1)}\| \le L^k\|\mathbf{x}^{(1)} - \mathbf{x}^{(0)}\|.$$

We can write $\mathbf{x}^{(k+p)} - \mathbf{x}^{(k)} = \sum_{i=1}^{p} (\mathbf{x}^{(k+i)} - \mathbf{x}^{(k+i-1)})$, thus

$$\|\mathbf{x}^{(k+p)} - \mathbf{x}^{(k)}\| \le \sum_{i=1}^{p} \|\mathbf{x}^{(k+i)} - \mathbf{x}^{(k+i-1)}\|$$

$$= (L^{p-1} + L^{p-2} + \dots + 1)\|\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} - \mathbf{x}^{(k)}\| \le \frac{L^{k}}{1 - L}\|\mathbf{x}^{(1)} - \mathbf{x}^{(0)}\|,$$

D is convex if $\theta y + (1 - \theta)v \in D$ for all $y, v \in D$ and $\theta \in [0, 1]$.

since L < 1. For the same reason, the sequence satisfy (5), so the sequence converges to some $\xi \in D$. Since the inequality is true for all p > 0 it is also true for ξ , proving (4c).

To prove that the fixed point is unique, let ξ and η be two different fixed points in D. Then

$$\|\xi - \eta\| = \|\mathbf{g}(\xi) - \mathbf{g}(\eta)\| < \|\xi - \eta\|$$

which is impossible.

For a given problem, it is not necessarily straightforward to justify the two assumptions of the theorem. But it is sufficient to find some L satisfying the condition L < 1 in some norm to prove convergence.

Let $\mathbf{x} = [x_1, \dots, x_m]^T$ and $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) = [g_1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, g_m(\mathbf{x})]^T$. Let $\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{v} \in D$, and let $\mathbf{x}(\theta) = \theta \mathbf{y} + (1 - \theta)\mathbf{v}$ be the straight line between \mathbf{y} and \mathbf{v} . According to the mean value theorem for functions, for each g_i there exist at $\tilde{\theta}_i$ such that

$$g_{i}(\mathbf{y}) - g_{i}(\mathbf{v}) = g_{i}(\mathbf{x}(1)) - g_{i}(\mathbf{x}(0)) = \frac{dg_{i}}{d\theta}(\tilde{\theta}_{i})(1 - 0), \qquad \tilde{\theta}_{i} \in (0, 1)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\partial g_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{i})(y_{j} - v_{j}), \qquad \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{i} = \tilde{\theta}_{i}\mathbf{y} + (1 - \tilde{\theta}_{i})\mathbf{v}$$

since $dx_j(\theta)/d\theta = y_j - v_j$. Then

$$|g_i(\mathbf{y}) - g_i(\mathbf{v})| \le \sum_{j=1}^m \left| \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial x_j} (\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i) \right| \cdot |y_j - v_j| \le \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \left| \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial x_j} (\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i) \right| \right) \max_l |y_l - v_l|.$$

If we let \bar{g}_{ij} be some upper bound for each of the partial derivatives, that is

$$\left|\frac{\partial g_i}{\partial x_j}(\mathbf{x})\right| \leq \bar{g}_{ij}, \text{ for all } \mathbf{x} \in D.$$

then

$$\|\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{y}) - \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{v})\|_{\infty} = \left(\max_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \bar{g}_{ij}\right) \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{v}\|_{\infty}.$$

We can then conclude that (4b) is satisfied if

$$\max_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \bar{g}_{ij} < 1.$$

Newton's method

Newton's method is a fixed point iterations for which

$$\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}^{(k)}) = \mathbf{x}^{(k)} - J_f(\mathbf{x}^{(k)})^{-1} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^{(k)}), \tag{6}$$

where the *Jacobian* is the matrix function

$$J_f(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1}(\mathbf{x}) & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_m}(\mathbf{x}) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_1}(\mathbf{x}) & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_m}(\mathbf{x}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

The Newton method can be derived as follow: Consider element i in \mathbf{f} , that is $f_i(\mathbf{x})$. Do a multidimensional Taylor expansion of $f_i(\xi)$ around the vector $\mathbf{x}^{(k)}$, using $\mathbf{e}^{(k)} = \xi - \mathbf{x}^{(k)}$ This gives

$$0 = f_i(x_1^{(k)} + e_1^{(k)}, \dots, x_m^{(k)} + e_m^{(k)}) = f_i + \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_1} e_1^{(k)} + \dots + \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_m} e_m^{(k)} + R_i$$

The function and all the derivatives are evaluated in $\mathbf{x}^{(k)}$. The remainder term R_i consists of quadratic terms like $\mathcal{O}(e_i^{(k)}e_j^{(k)})$. If the error is small, this term is even smaller, so let us now ignore it and replace the errors $e_i^{(k)}$ with an approximation to the error $\Delta x_i^{(k)}$ to compensate. Doing so for each $i=1,2,\ldots,m$ gives us the following system of linear equations,

$$f_i + \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_1} \Delta x_1^{(k)} + \dots + \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_m} \Delta x_m^{(k)} = 0, \qquad i = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

which is

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}^{(k)}) + J_f(\mathbf{x}^{(k)}) \cdot \Delta x^{(k)} = \mathbf{0}.$$

Solve this with repect to $\Delta \mathbf{x}^{(k)}$. Remember that $\Delta \mathbf{x}^{(k)} \approx \xi - \mathbf{x}_k^{(k)}$ it seems reasonable to update our iterate with this amount, thus

$$\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} = \mathbf{x}^{(k)} + \Delta x_k^{(k)}$$

which finally results in (6).

It is possible to prove that if i) (1) has a solution ξ , ii) $J_f(\mathbf{x})$ is nonsingular in some open neighbourhood around ξ and iii) the initial guess $\mathbf{x}^{(0)}$ is sufficiently close to ξ , the Newton iterations will converge to ξ and

$$\|\xi - \mathbf{x}^{(k+1)}\| \le K \|\xi - \mathbf{x}^{(k)}\|^2$$

for some positive constant K. We say that the convergence is quadratic.