## 4.1 Order conditions for Runge-Kutta methods.

Theorem 4.2. Let

$$y' = f(t, y),$$
  $y(t_0) = y_0,$   $t_0 \le t \le t_{end}$ 

be solved by a one-step method

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + h\Phi(t_n, y_n; h),$$
(10)

with stepsize  $h = (t_{end} - t_0)/N_{step}$ . If

- 1. the increment function  $\Phi$  is Lipschitz in y, and
- 2. the local truncation error  $d_{n+1} = \mathcal{O}(h^{p+1})$ ,

then the method is of order p, that is, the global error at  $t_{end}$  satisfies

$$e_{N_{step}} = y(t_{end}) - y_{N_{step}} = \mathcal{O}(h^p).$$

The proof is left as an exercise.

A RK method is a one-step method with increment function  $\Phi(t_n, y_n; h) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i k_i$ . It is possible to show that  $\Phi$  is Lipschitz in y whenever f is Lipschitz and  $h \leq h_{max}$ , where  $h_{max}$  is some predefined maximal stepsize. What remains is the order of the local truncation error. To find it, we take the Taylor-expansions of the exact and the numerical solutions and compare. The local truncation error is  $\mathcal{O}(h^{p+1})$  if the two series matches for all terms corresponding to  $h^q$  with  $q \leq p$ . In principle, this is trivial. In practise, it becomes extremely tedious (give it a try). Fortunately, it is possible to express the two series very elegant by the use of *B*-series and rooted trees.

## B-series and rooted trees

B-series in different forms, and under different names, is essential the main tool for constructing order theory for time-dependent problems, like ODEs, DAEs and SDEs. In this note, with a B-series we mean a formal series of the form

$$B(\varphi, x_0; h) = x_0 + \sum_{\tau \in \bar{T}} \alpha(\tau) \cdot \varphi(\tau)(h) \cdot F(\tau)(\mathbf{x}_0).$$
(11)

Here, T is a set of rooted trees,  $\overline{T} = T \setminus \emptyset$  where  $\emptyset$  refer to the initial value term,  $F(\tau)(x_0)$  the elementary differentials,  $\varphi(\tau)(h)$  some integral, and  $\alpha(\tau)$  is a symmetry factor. The idea is to express the solutions of the exact and the numerical solution after one step as B–series. For instance, consider the automomous ODE  $y' = f(y), y(t_0) = y_0$ , and let us solve this by the Euler method. Thus we have

$$B(e, y_0; h) = y(t_0 + h) = y(t_0) + hf(y_0) + \frac{1}{2}h^2f'f + \cdots$$
  
$$B(\phi, y_0; h) = y_1 = y(t_0) + hf(y_0).$$

So, if the these solution can be expressed as B–series, which we still have to prove, the first terms will be

But at the moment, we do not know how the rest of the terms looks like.

Before a more formal derivation of the series, let present a few definitions and results:

**Definition 4.3.** Let  $y = [y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m]^T \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and  $f(y) = [f_1(y), f_2(y), \dots, f_m(y)]^T \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . The  $\kappa'$ th Frechet derivative of f, denoted by  $f^{(\kappa)}(y)$  is a  $\kappa$ -linear operator  $\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^m$ ( $\kappa$  times)  $\to \mathbb{R}^m$ . Evaluation of component i of this operator working on the m operands  $v_1, v_2, \dots v_{\kappa} \in \mathbb{R}^m$  is given by

$$\left[f^{(\kappa)}(y)(v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_{\kappa})\right]_i = \sum_{j_1=1}^m \sum_{j_2=1}^m \cdots \sum_{j_{\kappa}=1}^m \frac{\partial^{\kappa} f_i(y)}{\partial y_{j_1} \partial y_{j_2} \cdots \partial y_{j_{\kappa}}} v_{1,j_1} v_{2,j_2} \cdots v_{\kappa,j_{\kappa}}\right]_i$$

where  $v_l = [v_{l,1}, v_{l,2}, \cdots , v_{l,m}] \in \mathbb{R}^m$  for  $l = 1, 2, \cdots, \kappa$ .

Note that the  $\kappa$ 'th Frechet derivative is independent of permutations of its operands, thus e.g.  $f'''(y)(v_1, v_2, v_3) = f'''(y)(v_3, v_1, v_2).$ 

The multivariable Taylor expansion is, for  $y, v \in \mathbb{R}^m$ :

$$f(y+v) = f(y) + \sum_{\kappa=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\kappa!} f^{(\kappa)}(y)(v, v, \dots, v) = \sum_{\kappa=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\kappa!} f^{(\kappa)}(y)(v^{\kappa}),$$
(12)

the expression to the right is only a convenient way to write the expression in the middle.

Finally, the multinomial theorem states:

$$(v_1 + v_2 + \ldots + v_q)^{\kappa} = \sum_{r_1 + \cdots + r_q = \kappa} \frac{\kappa!}{r_1! \cdots r_q!} v_1^{r_1} \cdots v_q^{r_q}$$

A similar argument applied to the Frechet derivative gives

$$f^{(\kappa)}(y)(a_1v_1 + a_2v_2 + \dots + a_qv_q)^{\kappa} = \sum_{r_1 + \dots + r_q = \kappa} \frac{\kappa!}{r_1! \cdots r_q!} \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{\kappa} a_k \cdot f^{(\kappa)}(y)(v_1^{r_1}, \dots, v_q^{r_q})$$
(13)

where  $\alpha_k \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $v_k \in \mathbb{R}^m$ .

A list of trees, denoted by  $\{\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_\kappa\}$ ,  $\tau_i \in T$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, \kappa$  is an ordered set of trees, where each tree might appear more than once. If  $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in T$  then  $\{\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_1\}$  and  $\{\tau_2, \tau_1, \tau_1\}$ are two different lists. If a tree appear k times in the list, the tree has multiplicity k. A multiset of trees, denoted by  $(\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_\kappa)$  is a set of trees where multiplicity is allowed and order does not matter. So  $(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_1) = (\tau_2, \tau_1, \tau_1)$ . A tree with multiplicity k will sometimes be denoted by  $\tau^k$ , so  $(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_1) = (\tau_1^2, \tau_2)$ . The set of all possible lists of trees is denoted  $\tilde{U}$ , and the set of all possible multisets is denoted U:

$$\begin{split} \tilde{U} &= \{\{\tau_1, \tau_2, \cdots, \tau_\kappa\} : \tau_i \in T, \quad i = 1, \cdots, \kappa, \quad \kappa = 0, 1, 2, \cdots\}, \\ U &= \{(\tau_1, \tau_2, \cdots, \tau_\kappa) : \tau_i \in T, \quad i = 1, \cdots, \kappa, \quad \kappa = 0, 1, 2, \cdots\}. \end{split}$$

In the lemma below,  $U_f$  is the set of trees formed by taking a multiset from U and include a root f.

**Lemma 4.1.** If  $X(h) = B(\phi, x_0; h)$  is some B-series and  $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^m)$  then f(X(h)) can be written as a formal series of the form

$$f(X(h)) = \sum_{u \in U_f} \beta(u) \cdot \psi_{\phi}(u)(h) \cdot G(u)(x_0)$$
(14)

where  $U_f$  is a set of trees derived from T, by

**a)**  $[\emptyset]_f \in U_f$ , and if  $\tau_1, \tau_2, \cdots, \tau_{\kappa} \in T$  then  $[\tau_1, \tau_2, \cdots, \tau_{\kappa}]_f \in U_f$ .

**b)** 
$$G([\emptyset]_f)(x_0) = f(x_0)$$
 and  
 $G(u = [\tau_1, \cdots, \tau_\kappa]_f)(x_0) = f^{(\kappa)}(x_0) (F(\tau_1)(x_0), \cdots, F(\tau_\kappa)(x_0)).$ 

c) 
$$\beta([\emptyset]_f) = 1$$
 and  $\beta(u = [\tau_1, \cdots, \tau_\kappa]_f) = \frac{1}{r_1! r_2! \cdots r_q!} \prod_{k=1}^{\kappa} \alpha(\tau_k),$   
where  $r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_q$  count equal trees among  $\tau_1, \tau_2, \cdots, \tau_\kappa$ .

**d)** 
$$\psi_{\phi}([\emptyset]_f)(h) \equiv 1$$
 and  $\psi_{\phi}(u = [\tau_1, \cdots, \tau_{\kappa}]_f)(h) = \prod_{k=1}^{\kappa} \phi(\tau_k)(h).$ 

*Proof.* Writing X(h) as a B-series, we have

$$f(X(h)) = f\left(x_0 + \sum_{\tau \in \bar{T}} \alpha(\tau) \cdot \phi(\tau)(h) \cdot F(\tau)(x_0)\right)$$

$$\stackrel{(12)}{=} \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\kappa!} f^{(\kappa)}(x_0) \left(\sum_{\tau \in \bar{T}} \alpha(\tau) \cdot \phi(\tau)(h) \cdot F(\tau)(x_0)\right)^{\kappa}$$

$$\stackrel{(13)}{=} f(x_0) + \sum_{\kappa=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\kappa!} \sum_{(\tau_1, \tau_2, \cdots, \tau_\kappa) \in U} \frac{\kappa!}{r_1! r_2! \cdots r_q!}$$

$$\cdot \left(\prod_{k=1}^{\kappa} \alpha(\tau_k) \cdot \phi(\tau_k)(h)\right) f^{(\kappa)}(x_0) \left(F(\tau_1)(x_0), \cdots, F(\tau_\kappa)(x_0)\right)$$

The number above the equal sign refer to the equation used. The last sum is taken over all possible unordered combinations of  $\kappa$  trees in T. For each set of trees  $\tau_1, \tau_2, \cdots, \tau_{\kappa} \in T$  we assign a  $u = [\tau_1, \tau_2, \cdots, \tau_{\kappa}]_f \in U_f$ . The theorem is now proved by comparing term by term with (14).

To find the B-series of the exact solution, write the ODE in integral form:

$$y(t_0 + h) = y_0 + \int_0^h f(y(t_0 + s))ds.$$
(15)

Assume that the exact solution can be written as a B-series

$$y(t_0 + h) = B(e, y_0; h).$$
(16)

Plug this into (15), apply Theorem 4.1 to get

$$y_0 + \sum_{\tau \in \bar{T}} \alpha(\tau) \cdot e(\tau)(h) \cdot F(\tau)(y_0) = y_0 + \sum_{u \in U_f} \beta(u) \cdot \int_0^h \psi_e(u)(s) ds \cdot G(u)(y_0).$$

For each term on the left hand side, there has to be a corresponding term on the right. Or for each  $\tau = [\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_{\kappa}] \in T$  there is a corresponding  $u = [\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_{\kappa}]_f \in U_f$ , and  $\alpha(\tau) = \beta(u)$ ,  $F(\tau)(y_0) = G(u)(y_0)$  and finally  $e(\tau)(h) = \int_0^h \psi_e(s) ds$ .

This gives us the following theorem:

**Theorem 4.4.** The exact solution of (4) can be written as a formal series of the form (16)with

- i)  $\emptyset \in T$ ,  $\bullet = [\emptyset] \in T$ , and if  $\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_{\kappa} \in T$  then  $\tau = [\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_{\kappa}] \in T$ . ii)  $F(\emptyset)(y_0) = y_0$ ,  $F(\bullet) = f(y_0)$ , and  $F(\tau)(y_0) = f^{(\kappa)}(y_0) (F(\tau_1)(y_0), \ldots, F(\tau_{\kappa})(y_0))$ . iii)  $\alpha(\emptyset) = 1$ ,  $\alpha(\bullet) = 1$  and  $\alpha(\tau) = \frac{1}{\tau_1! \tau_2! \cdots \tau_q!} \prod_{k=1}^{\kappa} \alpha(\tau_k)$ , where  $r_1, \ldots, r_q$  counts equal trees
- among the subtrees  $\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_{\kappa}$ . *iv*)  $e(\emptyset)(h) = 1$ ,  $e(\bullet)(h) = h$  and  $e(\tau)(h) = \int_0^h \prod_{k=1}^{\kappa} e(\tau_k)(s) ds$ .

Notice that  $e(\tau)(h) = \frac{1}{\gamma(\tau)}h^{\rho(\tau)}$ , where  $\gamma(\tau)$  is an integer and  $\rho(\tau)$  is the number of nodes. This is called *the order* of the tree  $\tau$ .

To find the B-series of the numerical solution, write one stop of the RK-method in the form

$$Y_i = y_0 + h \sum_{j=1}^s a_{ij} f(Y_j), \qquad i = 1, \dots, s$$
 (17)

$$y_1 = y_0 + h \sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i f(Y_j).$$
(18)

and assume that both the stage values  $Y_i$  and the numerical solutions can be written as

$$Y_i = B(\phi_i, y_0; h), \quad i = 1, \dots, s, \text{ and } y_1 = B(\phi, y_0; h)$$

It is straightforward to see that  $\phi_i(\emptyset)(h) = \phi(\emptyset)(h) = 1$  and

$$\phi_i(\bullet) = \sum_{j=1}^s a_{ij}h = c_ih, \qquad \phi(\bullet)(h) = \sum_{i=1}^s b_ih.$$

For a general tree  $\tau \in T$ , insert the B-series for  $Y_i$  and  $y_1$  into (18), apply Lemma 4.1 and compare equal terms. This results in the following reccurence formula for the weight functions  $\phi_i(\tau)$  and  $\phi(\tau)$  for a given  $\tau = [\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_{\kappa}]$ :

$$\phi_i(\tau)(h) = \sum_{j=1}^s a_{ij} \prod_{k=1}^\kappa \phi_j(\tau_k)(h), \qquad \phi(\tau)(h) = \sum_{i=1}^s b_i \prod_{k=1}^\kappa \phi_i(\tau_k)(h)$$
(19)

Notice again that  $\phi(\tau)(h) = \hat{\phi}(\tau) \cdot h^{\rho(\tau)}$ , where  $\hat{\phi}(\tau)$  is a constant depending of the method coefficients. Similar, we can write  $\phi_i(\tau)(h) = \hat{\phi}_i(\tau) \cdot h^{\rho(\tau)}$ .

Comparing the series for the exact and the numerical solutions and applying Theorem 4.2 gives the following fundamental theorem:

**Theorem 4.5.** A Runge-Kutta method is of order p if and only if

$$\hat{\phi}(\tau) = \frac{1}{\gamma(\tau)}, \quad \forall \tau \in T, \quad \rho(\tau) \le p.$$

All trees up to and including order 4 and their corresponding terms are listed below:

$$\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline \tau & \rho(\tau) & \hat{\phi}(\tau) = 1/\gamma(\tau) \\ \hline \bullet & 1 & \sum b_i = 1 \\ \hline \bullet & 2 & \sum b_i c_i = 1/2 \\ \hline \bullet & 3 & \sum b_i c_i^2 = 1/3 \\ \hline \bullet & & \sum b_i a_{ij} c_j = 1/6 \\ \hline \bullet & 4 & \sum b_i c_i^3 = 1/4 \\ \hline \bullet & & & \sum b_i c_i a_{ij} c_j = 1/8 \\ \hline \bullet & & & \sum b_i a_{ij} c_j^2 = 1/12 \\ \hline \bullet & & & \sum b_i a_{ij} a_{jk} c_k = 1/24 \end{array}$$