# Steak, shake or break - and other applications for the FEM 

## Programming project in TMA4220

```
by Kjetil André Johannessen and Anne Kværnø
```

You are required to do task 1-3 as this will generate a sufficient code base to do practical "real world" problems. For problem 4, choose either 4.1, 4.2 or 4.3.

## 1 Gaussian quadrature

At the heart of every finite element code, lies the evaluation of an integral. This integral may be of varying complexity depending on the problem at hand, and many of these integrals does not even have a known analytical solution. Some integrals are possible to solve analytically, but of such computational complexity that it is impractical to do so. As such, one often refers to numerical integration schemes to do the core integration. One popular integration scheme is the Gaussian quadrature.
In one dimension the gauss quadrature takes the form

$$
\int_{-1}^{1} g(z) d z \approx \sum_{q=1}^{N_{q}} \rho_{q} g\left(z_{q}\right)
$$

where $N_{q}$ is the number of integration points, $z_{q}$ are the Gaussian quadrature points and $\rho_{q}$ are the associated Gaussian weights.

This extends to higher dimensions by

$$
\int_{\hat{\Omega}} g(\boldsymbol{z}) d \boldsymbol{z} \approx \sum_{q=1}^{N_{q}} \rho_{q} g\left(\boldsymbol{z}_{q}\right)
$$

and specifying the vector quadrature points $\boldsymbol{z}_{q}$ as well as integrating over a suitable reference domain $\hat{\Omega}$ (i.e. squares or triangles in 2D, tetrahedra or cubes in 3D).

## a) 1D quadrature

Write a matlab function $I=$ quadrature1D $(a, b, N q, g)$. With the following arguments:

| I | $\in \mathbb{R}$ |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| a | $\in \mathbb{R}$ | integration start |
| b | $\in \mathbb{R}$ |  |
| integration end |  |  |
| Nq | $\in[1,4]$ | number of integration points |
| g | $: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ | function pointer* |

verify that the function evaluates correctly by comparing with the analytical solution of the integral

$$
\int_{1}^{2} e^{x} d x
$$

| $N_{q}$ | $z_{q}$ | $\rho_{q}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-point-rule | 0 | 2 |
| 2-point-rule | $-\sqrt{1 / 3}$ | 1 |
|  | $\sqrt{1 / 3}$ | 1 |
| 3-point-rule | $-\sqrt{3 / 5}$ | $5 / 9$ |
|  | 0 | $8 / 9$ |
|  | $-\sqrt{\frac{3+2 \sqrt{6 / 5}}{7}}$ | $\frac{18-\sqrt{30}}{36}$ |
| 4-point-rule | $-\sqrt{\frac{3-2 \sqrt{6 / 5}}{7}}$ | $\frac{18+\sqrt{30}}{36}$ |
|  | $\sqrt{\frac{3-2 \sqrt{6 / 5}}{7}}$ | $\frac{18+\sqrt{30}}{36}$ |
|  | $\sqrt{\frac{3+2 \sqrt{6 / 5}}{7}}$ | $\frac{18-\sqrt{30}}{36}$ |

Table 1: 1D gauss quadrature

## b) 2D quadrature

Using all numerical quadratures, it is important to first map the function to the referance domain. In one dimension, this is the interval $\zeta \in[-1,1]$. In higher dimensions, we often map to barycentric coordinates (or area coordinates as they are known in 2D). The gauss points are then given as triplets in this coordinate system. The area coordinates are defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\zeta_{1} & =\frac{A_{1}}{A} \\
\zeta_{2} & =\frac{A_{2}}{A} \\
\zeta_{3} & =\frac{A_{3}}{A}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $A_{1}, A_{2}$ and $A_{3}$ are the area of the triangles depicted in figure 1 and $A$ is the total area of the triangle. Note that these do not form a linear independent basis as $\zeta_{1}+\zeta_{2}+\zeta_{3}=1$.

| $N_{q}$ | $\left(\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}, \zeta_{3}\right)$ | $\rho$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-point rule | $(1 / 3,1 / 3,1 / 3)$ | 1 |
| 3-point rule | $(1 / 2,1 / 2,0)$ | $1 / 3$ |
|  | $(1 / 2,0,1 / 2)$ | $1 / 3$ |
|  | $(0,1 / 2,1 / 2)$ | $1 / 3$ |
| 4-point rule | $(1 / 3,1 / 3,1 / 3)$ | $-9 / 16$ |
|  | $(3 / 5,1 / 5,1 / 5)$ | $25 / 48$ |
|  | $(1 / 5,3 / 5,1 / 5)$ | $25 / 48$ |
|  | $(1 / 5,1 / 5,3 / 5)$ | $25 / 48$ |

Table 2: 2D gauss quadrature
Write a matlab function $I=$ quadrature $2 \mathrm{D}(\mathrm{p} 1, \mathrm{p} 2, \mathrm{p} 3, \mathrm{Nq}, \mathrm{g})$. With the following arguments:

Hint: An easy way of mapping barycentric coordinates $\boldsymbol{\zeta}$ to physical coordinates $\boldsymbol{x}$ is by $\boldsymbol{x}=$ $\zeta_{1} \boldsymbol{p}_{1}+\zeta_{2} \boldsymbol{p}_{2}+\zeta_{3} \boldsymbol{p}_{3}$, where $\boldsymbol{p}_{i}, i=1,2,3$ is the corner points of the triangle.


Figure 1: Barycentric coordinates in two dimensions

| I | $\in \mathbb{R}$ | value of the integral |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| p1 | $\in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ | first corner point of the triangle |
| p2 | $\in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ | second corner point of the triangle |
| p3 | $\in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ | third corner point of the triangle |
| Nq | $\in\{1,3,4\}$ | number of integration points |
| g | $: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ | function pointer* |

verify that the function evaluates correctly by comparing with the analytical solution of the integral

$$
\iint_{\Omega} \log (x+y) d x d y
$$

where $\Omega$ is the triangle defined by the corner points $(1,0),(3,1)$ and $(3,2)$.

## c) 3D quadrature

The extension of the barycentric coordinates to 3 dimensions and tetrahedral elements, should be straightforward. The integration schemes can be found in the following table

Write a matlab function $I=$ quadrature3D (p1,p2,p3,p4,Nq,g). With the following arguments:

| $N_{q}$ | $\left(\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}, \zeta_{3}, \zeta_{4}\right)$ | $\rho$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-point rule | $(1 / 4,1 / 4,1 / 4,1 / 4)$ | 1 |
| 4-point rule | $(0.5854102,0.1381966,0.1381966,0.1381966)$ | 0.25 |
|  | $(0.1381966,0.5854102,0.1381966,0.1381966)$ | 0.25 |
|  | $(0.1381966,0.1381966,0.5854102,0.1381966)$ | 0.25 |
|  | $(0.1381966,0.1381966,0.1381966,0.5854102)$ | 0.25 |
|  | $(1 / 4,1 / 4,1 / 4,1 / 4)$ | $-4 / 5$ |
| 5-point rule | $(1 / 2,1 / 6,1 / 6,1 / 6)$ | $9 / 20$ |
|  | $(1 / 6,1 / 2,1 / 6,1 / 6)$ | $9 / 20$ |
|  | $(1 / 6,1 / 6,1 / 2,1 / 6)$ | $9 / 20$ |
|  | $(1 / 6,1 / 6,1 / 6,1 / 2)$ | $9 / 20$ |

Table 3: 3D gauss quadrature

| I | $\in \mathbb{R}$ |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| p1 | $\in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ |  |
| value of the integral |  |  |
| p2 | $\in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ |  |
| p3 | $\in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ |  |
| second corner point of the tetrahedron |  |  |
| p4 | $\in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ | ford corner point of the tetrahedron |
| Nq | $\in\{1,4,5\}$ |  |
| number of integration points |  |  |
| g | $: \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ |  |
| function pointer* |  |  |

verify that the function evaluates correctly by comparing with the analytical solution of the integral

$$
\iiint_{\Omega} e^{x} d x d y d z
$$

where $\Omega$ is the tetrahedron defined by the corner points $(0,0,0),(0,2,0),(0,0,2)$ and $(2,0,0)$.
${ }^{(*)}$ A function pointer in mat 1 ab is a variable which represents a function instead of the usual numerical values. In its simplest form it is declared as

```
f = @(x) x^2 + 1
```

which would cause the variable f to contain a pointer to the function $f(x)=x^{2}+1$. The function can then be evaluated using one of two methods

```
y = f(4);
y = feval(f,4);
```

both of which should yield the same result $\mathrm{y}=17$. A function may take in several arguments, i.e. $f(x, y)=x^{2}+y^{2}$ may be declared as
$\mathrm{f}=@(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}) \mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2+\mathrm{y}^{\wedge} 2$
again the evaluation of the function is straightforward

```
y = f(2,2);
y = feval(f,2,2);
```

Provided that the actual function body is capable of vector or matrix operations, then the input arguments may be of vector or matrix form. The syntax remains unchanged by this. You may also use variables in the function declaration, i.e.

```
a = 2;
f = @(x) x*a
```

will result in a function $f$ which is doubling its input argument (even if $a$ is changed at a later point).

## 2 Poisson in 2 dimensions

We are going to solve the two-dimensional Poisson problem, given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla^{2} u(x, y) & =-f(x, y)  \tag{1}\\
\left.u(x, y)\right|_{r=1} & =0
\end{align*}
$$

with $f$ given in polar coordinates as

$$
f(r, \theta)=-8 \pi \cos \left(2 \pi r^{2}\right)+16 \pi^{2} r^{2} \sin \left(2 \pi r^{2}\right)
$$

on the domain $\Omega$ given by the unit disk, i.e. $\Omega=\left\{(x, y): x^{2}+y^{2} \leq 1\right\}$.

## a) Analytical solution

Verify that the following expression is in fact a solution to the problem (1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, y)=\sin \left(2 \pi\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

## b) Weak formulation

Show that the problem can be rewritten as

$$
a(u, v)=l(v), \quad \forall v \in X
$$

with the bilinear functional $a$ and the linear functional $l$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
a(u, v) & =\iint_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v d x d y \\
l(v) & =\iint_{\Omega} f v d x d y
\end{aligned}
$$

What is the definition of the space $X$ ?

## c) Galerkin projection

Instead of searching for a solution $u$ in the entire space $X$ we are going to be looking for a solution in a much smaller space $X_{h} \subset X$. Let $\Omega$ be discretized into $K$ triangles such that our computational domain is the union of all of these $\Omega=\cup_{k=1}^{K} T_{h}^{k}$. Each triangle $T_{h}^{k}$ is then defined by its three corner nodes $\boldsymbol{x}_{i}$. For each of these nodes there corresponds one basis function. The space $X_{h}$ is then defined by

$$
X_{h}=\left\{v \in X:\left.v\right|_{T_{h}^{k}} \in \mathbb{P}_{1}\left(T_{h}^{k}\right), 1 \leq k \leq K\right\}
$$

for which the basis functions $\left\{\varphi_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ satisfy

$$
X_{h}=\operatorname{span}\left\{\varphi_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n} \quad \varphi_{j}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right)=\delta_{i j}
$$

and $\delta_{i j}$ is the Kronecker delta. By searching for a solution $u_{h} \in X_{h}$, it is then possible to write this as a weighted sum of the basis functions, i.e. $u_{h}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{h}^{i} \varphi_{i}(x, y)$.
Show that the problem 'Find $u_{h} \in X_{h}$ such that $a\left(u_{h}, v\right)=l(v) \quad \forall v \in X_{h}$ " is equivalent to the following problem
Find $\boldsymbol{u}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \boldsymbol{u}=\boldsymbol{f} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{A} & =\left[A_{i j}\right]=\left[a\left(\varphi_{i}, \varphi_{j}\right)\right] \\
\boldsymbol{u} & =\left[u_{h}^{i}\right] \\
\boldsymbol{f} & =\left[f_{i}\right]=\left[l\left(\varphi_{i}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## d) Implementation

We are now going to actually solve the system (3). First we are going to take a look at the triangulation $\left\{T_{h}^{k}\right\}$. From the webpage http://wiki.math.ntnu.no/tma4220/2011h/start you may download the mesh generators. For organization purposes you might want to keep them in a separate directory and see the matlab addpath command.
The function getDisk is generating the unit disk $\Omega$. Plot at least three meshes of different sizes using the mesh generated from this function. You may want to check the matlab function trimesh ortriplot.

## e) Stiffness matrix

Build the stiffness matrix $\boldsymbol{A}$. You may choose if you perform the integration analytically or by Gaussian quadrature.

The matrix $\boldsymbol{A}$ should now be singular. Verify this in your code and explain why this is the case.

## f) Right hand side

Build the right hand side vector $\boldsymbol{f}$ in the same manner as $\boldsymbol{A}$. Here you might need to resort to Gaussian quadrature.

## g) Boundary conditions

Implement the homogeneous dirichlet boundary conditions. Describe what method you used for this and how you did it.

## h) Verification

Solve the system (3) and verify that you are getting (approximately) the same result as the analytical solution (2).

## 3 Moving into 3 dimensions



Figure 2: The beef which is to be studied

## a) The Poisson in $\mathbf{3 d}$

We are now going to solve the problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla^{2} u & =f \\
\left.u\right|_{\partial \Omega} & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

in three dimensions, meaning that we are looking for a solution $u(x, y, z)$.
Generate a mesh, using the function getBeef from the downloaded mesh generators. This will give you three variables which will describe the nodal points, the tetrahedral elements and the index of the boundary nodes. These should be familiar from task 2 as the only difference is that spatial coordinates have one more component, as well as the elements require one more index to describe. Note that the function getBeef takes three input arguments. This is since the beef is stored as a parametric volume described by three parametric variables $\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}\right)$. You will be asked to specify the tessellation in each of these directions separately, see figure 3 for details.
Modify your code from task 2 to deal with tetrahedral elements in three dimensions. Use the following $f$

$$
f(x, y, z)=\frac{1}{x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}}
$$

and homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions ( $u^{D}=0$ ).


Figure 3: Computational domain $\Omega$

## b) Volume visualization

Plot the domain $\Omega$ (i.e. the beef). Note that you will not be required to plot every element, as most will be hidden on the inside of the domain. See the matlab function TriRep for functionality relating to this.

Plot your solution using isosurfaces. Note that the matlab function isosurface requires your data to be structured, which it currently is not. You will have to post process the data to get it on the desired form. Read up on TriScatteredInterp for this.

You are by no means limited to the above functions for plotting. Feel free to experiment using different techniques or functions.

## 4.1: Cooking a beef (steak)

## a) The heat equation

The heat equation reads

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} & =\alpha \nabla^{2} u \\
\left.u(t, x, y, z)\right|_{\partial \Omega} & =u^{D}  \tag{4}\\
\left.u(t, x, y, z)\right|_{t=0} & =u_{0}(x, y, z)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha$ is an positive constant defined by

$$
\alpha=\frac{\kappa}{c_{p} \rho}
$$

with $\kappa^{* *}$ being the thermal conductivity, $\rho^{* *}$ the mass density and $c_{p}^{* *}$ the specific heat capacity of the material.

We are going to semidiscretize the system by projecting the spatial variables to a finite element subspace $X_{h}$. Multiply (4) by a test function $v$ and integrate over the domain $\Omega$ to get

$$
\iiint_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} v d V=-\iiint_{\Omega} \alpha \nabla u \nabla v d V
$$

Note that we have only semidiscretized the system, and as such our unknown $u$ is given as a linear combination of the spatial basis functions, and continuous in time, i.e.

$$
u_{h}(x, y, z, t)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{h}^{i}(t) \varphi_{i}(x, y, z)
$$

The variational form of the problem then reads: Find $u_{h} \in X_{h}^{D}$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\iiint_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} v d V=-\iiint_{\Omega} \alpha \nabla u \nabla v d V, \quad \forall v \in X_{h} \\
\Rightarrow \quad \sum_{i} \iiint_{\Omega} \varphi_{i} \varphi_{j} d V \frac{\partial u_{h}^{i}}{\partial t}=-\sum_{i} \iiint_{\Omega} \alpha \nabla \varphi_{i} \nabla \varphi_{j} d V u_{h}^{i} \quad \forall j
\end{array}
$$

which in turn can be written as the linear system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{M} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}}{\partial t}(t)=-\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{u}(t) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is an ordinary differential equation (ODE) with the matrices defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{A}=\left[A_{i j}\right]=\iiint_{\Omega} \alpha \nabla \varphi_{i} \nabla \varphi_{j} d V \\
& \boldsymbol{M}=\left[M_{i j}\right]=\iiint_{\Omega} \varphi_{i} \varphi_{j} d V
\end{aligned}
$$

Construct the matrix $\boldsymbol{A}$ and $\boldsymbol{M}$ as defined above.

## b) Time integration

The system (5) is an ODE, which should be familiar from previous courses. Very briefly an ODE is an equation on the form

$$
\frac{\partial y}{\partial t}=f(t, y)
$$

where $y$ may be a vector. The simplest ODE solver available is Eulers method

$$
y_{n+1}=y_{n}+h f\left(t_{n}, y_{n}\right) .
$$

More sophisticated include the improved eulers methods

$$
y_{n+1}=y_{n}+\frac{h}{2}\left(f\left(t_{n}, y_{n}\right)+f\left(t_{n+1}, y_{n}+h f\left(t_{n}, y_{n}\right)\right)\right)
$$

or the implicit trapezoid rule

$$
y_{n+1}=y_{n}+\frac{h}{2}\left(f\left(t_{n}, y_{n}\right)+f\left(t_{n+1}, y_{n+1}\right)\right)
$$

and the famous Runge Kutta methods.
Choose an ODE scheme (based on your previous experience and expertize) and implement your time integration. Why did you choose the solver you did?

## c) Experimentation

The boundary conditions are the physical variables which we have control over. The initial condition $\left.u(t, x, y, z)\right|_{t=0}$ is the beef as it is prior to any cooking. A proper choice here would be room temperature, say $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
The actual cooking will be a product of the dirichlet boundary conditions. Frying the beef on a pan will result in a high (how high?) temperature on the bottom and room temperature on the other sides of the beef. What should be done to turn the beef and fry the other side? When should we turn it? Cooking it in an oven would result in a uniform boundary conditions on all sides of say $225^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. How long will it have to stay in? Is it a good idea to keep it in room temperature after cooking (and how does this change the boundary conditions)? More exotic cooking techniques include wrapping it in plastic and putting it in a water bath (not boiling) for some time, and only frying it on a pan for seconds prior to serving.
Experiment around by cooking it in a number of ways using different boundary conditions. The optimality criterion is left up to the student. How well is your optimal beef cooked?

## (**) Physical proprties of meat

It is hard to generalize too much on the physical properties of the beef as they are dependant on a number of variables outside the scope of this task. Not only are they dependant on the meat composition (i.e. what primal cut it is derived from), but it is also dependant on the temperature. Try and find good approximations for these numbers. A start may be the work of Pan and Singh ("Physical and Thermal Properties of Ground Beef During Cooking") which suggests that the density $\rho$ is in the range 1.006 to $1.033 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ and the thermal conductivity $\kappa$ in the range 0.35 to
$0.41 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{K}$. The specific heat capacity is not mentioned in the abstract, but may be commented on in the actual article for those that get their hands on the entire document.
Unconfirmed sources list the specific heat capacity $c_{p}$ of meat as $3973 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{K}$. You may use these values, or better yet: find more reliable, documented values.

### 4.2 Structural analysis (break)

We are in this problem going to consider the linear elasticity equation. The equations describe deformation and motion in a continuum. While the entire theory of continuum mechanics is an entire course by itself, it will here be sufficient to only study a small part of this: the linear elasticity. This is governed by three main variables $\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ (see table 4). We will herein describe all equations and theory in terms of two spatial variables $(x, y)$, but the extension into 3D space should be straightforward.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\boldsymbol{u}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
u_{x} \\
u_{y}
\end{array}\right]-\begin{array}{l}
\text { the displacement vector measures } \\
\text { how much each spatial point has moved } \\
\text { in }(x, y) \text {-direction }
\end{array} \\
\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\varepsilon_{x x} & \varepsilon_{x y} \\
\varepsilon_{x y} & \varepsilon_{y y}
\end{array}\right]-\begin{array}{l}
\text { the strain tensor measures how } \\
\text { much each spatial point has deformed } \\
\text { or stretched }
\end{array} \\
\boldsymbol{\sigma}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\sigma_{x x} & \sigma_{x y} \\
\sigma_{x y} & \sigma_{y y}
\end{array}\right]-\begin{array}{l}
\text { the stress tensor measures how } \\
\text { much forces per area are acting on a } \\
\text { particular spatial point }
\end{array}
\end{gathered}
$$

Table 4: Linear elasticity variables in two dimensions

Note that the subscript denotes vector component and not derivative, i.e. $u_{x} \neq \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$.
These three variables can be expressed in terms of each other in the following way:

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{u} & =\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x})  \tag{6}\\
\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} & =\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{u})  \tag{7}\\
\boldsymbol{\sigma} & =\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

The primary unknown $\boldsymbol{u}$ (the displacement) is the one we are going to find in our finite element implementation. From (6) we will have two displacement values for each finite element "node", one in each of the spatial directions.
The relation (7) is a purely geometric one. Consider an infinitesimal small square of size $d x$ and $d y$, and its deformed geometry as depicted in figure 4. The strain is defined as the stretching of the element, i.e. $\varepsilon_{x x}=\frac{\text { length }(a b)-\text { length }(A B)}{\operatorname{length}(A B)}$. The complete derivations of these quantities is described well in the Wikipedia article on strain, and the result is the following relations

$$
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon_{x x}(\boldsymbol{u}) & =\frac{\partial u_{x}}{\partial x} \\
\varepsilon_{y y}(\boldsymbol{u}) & =\frac{\partial u_{y}}{\partial y}  \tag{9}\\
\varepsilon_{x y}(\boldsymbol{u}) & =\frac{\partial u_{x}}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial u_{y}}{\partial x}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that these relations are the linearized quantities, which will only be true for small deformations.


Figure 4: An infinitesimal small deformed rectangle

For the final relation, which connects the deformation to the forces acting upon it, we turn to the material properties. Again, there is a rich literature on the subject, and different relations or physical laws to describe different materials. In our case, we will study small deformations on solid materials like metal, wood or concrete. It is observed that such materials behave elastically when under stress of a certain limit, i.e. a deformed geometry will return to its initial state if all external forces are removed. Experiment has shown that the Generalized Hooks Law is proving remarkable accurate under such conditions. It states the following. Consider a body being dragged to each side by some stress $\sigma_{x x}$ as depicted in figure 5 . Hooks law states that the forces on a spring is linearly dependant on the amount of stretching multiplied by some stiffness constant, i.e. $\sigma_{x x}=E \varepsilon_{x x}$. The constant $E$ is called Young's modulus. Generalizing upon this law, we see that materials typically contract in the $y$-direction, while being dragged in the $x$-direction. The ratio of compression vs expansion is called Poisson's ratio $\nu$ and is expressed as $\varepsilon_{y y}=-\nu \varepsilon_{x x}$. This gives the following relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon_{x x} & =\frac{1}{E} \sigma_{x x} \\
\varepsilon_{y y} & =-\frac{\nu}{E} \sigma_{x x}
\end{aligned}
$$

Due to symmetry conditions, we clearly see that when applying a stress $\sigma_{y y}$ in addition to $\sigma_{x x}$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon_{x x} & =\frac{1}{E} \sigma_{x x}-\frac{\nu}{E} \sigma_{y y} \\
\varepsilon_{y y} & =\frac{1}{E} \sigma_{y y}-\frac{\nu}{E} \sigma_{x x}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, it can be shown (but we will not) that the relation between the shear strain and shear stress


Figure 5: Deformed geometry under axial stresses
is $\varepsilon_{x y}=2 \frac{1+\nu}{E} \sigma_{x y}$. Collecting the components of $\varepsilon$ and $\sigma$ in a vector, gives us the compact notation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{\varepsilon} & =C^{-1} \bar{\sigma} \\
{\left[\begin{array}{l}
\varepsilon_{x x} \\
\varepsilon_{y y} \\
\varepsilon_{x y}
\end{array}\right] } & =\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{1}{E} & -\frac{\nu}{E} & 0 \\
-\frac{\nu}{E} & \frac{1}{E} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 2 \frac{1+\nu}{E}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\sigma_{x x} \\
\sigma_{y y} \\
\sigma_{x y}
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

or conversely

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{\sigma} & =C \bar{\varepsilon}  \tag{10}\\
{\left[\begin{array}{c}
\sigma_{x x} \\
\sigma_{y y} \\
\sigma_{x y}
\end{array}\right] } & =\frac{E}{1-\nu^{2}}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \nu & 0 \\
\nu & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1-\nu}{2}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\varepsilon_{x x} \\
\varepsilon_{y y} \\
\varepsilon_{x y}
\end{array}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

For a body at static equilibrium, we have the governing equations

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla \boldsymbol{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{u}) & =-\boldsymbol{f}  \tag{11}\\
{\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\sigma_{x x} & \sigma_{x y} \\
\sigma_{x y} & \sigma_{y y}
\end{array}\right] } & =-\left[f_{x}, f_{y}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

and some appropriate boundary conditions

## a) Weak form

Show that (11) can be written as the scalar equation

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{j=i}^{2} \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon_{i j}(\boldsymbol{v}) \sigma_{i j}(\boldsymbol{u}) d A=\sum_{i=1}^{2} \int_{\Omega} v_{i} f_{i} d A
$$

(where we have exchanged the subscripts $(x, y)$ with $(1,2)$ ) by multiplying with a test function $\boldsymbol{v}=\left[\begin{array}{l}v_{1}(x, y) \\ v_{2}(x, y)\end{array}\right]$ and integrating over the domain $\Omega$ Moreover, show that this can be written in compact vector form as

$$
\int_{\Omega} \bar{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{v})^{T} C \bar{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{u}) d A=\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{v}^{T} \boldsymbol{f} d A
$$

## b) Galerkin projection

As in 2 b ) let $\boldsymbol{v}$ be a test function in the space $X_{h}$ of piecewise linear functions on some triangulation $T$. Note that unlike before, we now have vector test functions. This means that for each node $\hat{i}$, we will have two test functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\hat{i}, 1}(\boldsymbol{x})=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\hat{i}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \\
0
\end{array}\right] \\
& \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{\hat{i}, 2}(\boldsymbol{x})=\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
\varphi_{\hat{i}}(\boldsymbol{x})
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Let these functions be numbered by a single running index $i=2 \hat{i}+d$, where $i$ is the node number in the triangulation and $d$ is the vector component of the function.
Show that by inserting $\boldsymbol{v}=\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{j}$ and $\boldsymbol{u}=\sum_{i} \varphi_{i} u_{i}$ into (3) you get the system of linear equations

$$
A \boldsymbol{u}=\boldsymbol{b}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
A=\left[A_{i j}\right] & =\int_{\Omega} \bar{\varepsilon}\left(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{i}\right)^{T} C \bar{\varepsilon}\left(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{j}\right), d A \\
b=\left[b_{i}\right] & =\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{i}^{T} \boldsymbol{f}, d A
\end{aligned}
$$

(Hint: $\bar{\varepsilon}(\cdot)$ is a linear operator)

## c) Test case

Show that

$$
\boldsymbol{u}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\left(x^{2}-1\right)\left(y^{2}-1\right) \\
\left(x^{2}-1\right)\left(y^{2}-1\right)
\end{array}\right]
$$

is a solution to the problem

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla \boldsymbol{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{u}) & =-\boldsymbol{f} \text { in } \Omega  \tag{12}\\
\boldsymbol{u} & =\mathbf{0} \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{x} & =\frac{E}{1-\nu^{2}}\left(-2 y^{2}-x^{2}+\nu x^{2}-2 \nu x y-2 x y+3-\nu\right) \\
f_{y} & =\frac{E}{1-\nu^{2}}\left(-2 x^{2}-y^{2}+\nu y^{2}-2 \nu x y-2 x y+3-\nu\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\Omega=\{(x, y): \max (|x|,|y|) \leq 1\}$ is the refereance square $(-1,1)^{2}$.

## d) Implementation

Modify your Poisson solver to solve the problem (12). Verify that you are getting the correct result by comparing with the exact solution. The mesh may be obtained through the Grid function getPlate( ).

## e) Extension into 3d

Modify your 3d Poisson solver to assemble the stiffness matrix from linear elasticity in three dimensions.

## f) Experimentation

Import a 3d mesh from Minecraft or create one using your choice of meshgenerator.Apply gravity loads as the bodyforces acting on your domain, this will be the right hand side function $f$ in (11). In order to get a non-singular stiffness matrix you will need to pose some Dirichlet boundary conditions. Typically you should introduce zero displacements (homogeneous Dirichlet conditions) where your structure is attached to the ground. This would yield a stationary solution.


Figure 6: Block-structured mesh from the computer game Minecraft

## g) Stress analysis

Solving (11) with a finite element method gives you the primary unknown: the displacement $u$. If you are interested in derived quantities such as the stresses, these can be calculated from (10). Note that $\sigma$ is in essence the derivative of $u$ which means that since $u$ is $C^{0}$ across element boundaries, then $\sigma$ will be discontinuous. To get stresses at the nodal values, we propose to average the stresses over all neighbouring elements.

Loop over all elements and evaluate (the constant) stresses on that element.For each node, assign the stresses to be the average stress over all neighbouring elements. This method is called "Stress Recovery".

### 4.3 Vibration analysis (shake)

Do problem 4.2a) - 4.2d) and read the theory on linear elasticity.


Figure 7: Mass-spring-model

The forces acting on a point mass $m$ by a spring is given by the well known Hooks law:

$$
m \ddot{x}=-k x
$$

This can be extended to multiple springs and multiple bodies as in figure 8


Figure 8: 2 degree-of-freedom mass spring model

The physical laws will now become a system of equations instead of the scalar one above. The forces acting on $m_{1}$ is the spring $k_{1}$ dragging in negative direction and $k_{2}$ dragging in the positive direction.

$$
m_{1} \ddot{x_{1}}=-k_{1} x_{1}+k_{2}\left(x_{2}-x_{1}\right)
$$

This is symmetric, and we have an analogue expression for $m_{2}$. The system can be written in matrix form as

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\begin{array}{cc}
m_{1} & 0 \\
0 & m_{2}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\ddot{x_{1}} \\
x_{2}
\end{array}\right] } & =\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-k_{1}-k_{2} & k_{2} \\
k_{2} & -k_{2}-k_{3}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2}
\end{array}\right] \\
M \ddot{\boldsymbol{x}} & =A \boldsymbol{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

When doing continuum mechanics, it is the exact same idea, but the actual equations differ some. Instead of discrete equations, we have continuous functions in space and the governing equations are

$$
\rho \ddot{\boldsymbol{u}}=\nabla \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{u}
$$

semi-discretization yields the following system of equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
M \ddot{\boldsymbol{u}}=-A \boldsymbol{u} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the usual stiffness and mass matrix

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{A}=\left[A_{i j}\right] & =\iiint_{\Omega} \bar{\varepsilon}\left(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{i}\right)^{T} C \bar{\varepsilon}\left(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{j}\right) d V \\
\boldsymbol{M}=\left[M_{i j}\right] & =\iiint_{\Omega} \rho \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{i}^{T} \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{j} d V
\end{aligned}
$$

e)

Build the 3d mass matrix as given above.
We are now going to search for solutions of the type:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{u}=\boldsymbol{u} e^{\omega i t} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

which inserted into 13 yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega^{2} M \boldsymbol{u}=A \boldsymbol{u} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

## f)

Equation (15) is called a generalized eigenvalue problem (the traditional being with $M=I$ ). Find the 20 first eigenvalues $\omega_{i}$ and eigenvectors $\boldsymbol{u}_{i}$ corresponding to this problem.

## g)

Let $x_{0}$ be your initial geometric description (the nodal values). Plot an animation of the eigenmodes by

$$
\boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{x}_{0}+\alpha \boldsymbol{u}_{i} \sin (t)
$$

You may want to scale the vibration amplitude by some visually pleasing scalar $\alpha$, and choose the time steps appropriately. Note that for visualization purposes, you will not use the eigenfrequency $\omega_{i}$ since you are interested in viewing (say) 1-5 complete periods of the vibration, but for engineering purposes this is a very important quantity.

## h)

Experimentation really depends on your choice of problem. Typically one is interested in that the lowest frequencies does not resonate with natural frequencies of the environment. What exactly these natural frequencies are depends on your problem at hand, but may be sound from airplanes or machines influencing buildings or it may be wind frequencies flowing around bridges.
Another interesting question is with regards to "harmonic" frequencies. The 1st nonzero frequency is often called the fundamental frequency, and the rest is called overtones. If the overtones are multiplies of the natural frequency (i.e. $f_{i}=n f_{0}$, where $n$ is an integer and $f_{0}$ is the fundamental frequency) the sound is said to be harmonic. This is an important part in all musical instruments. More information can be found in the Wikipedia article on pitch

