



1 Given the equation:

$$\begin{aligned} u_t &= u_{xx} + \beta u, & 0 < x < 1 \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}(0, t) &= 0, & u(1, t) = 0, \\ u(x, 0) &= \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}x\right), \end{aligned}$$

and β is some constant.

a) Derive the exact solution for the equation.

Solution:

$$u(x, t) = e^{(-\frac{\pi^2}{4} + \beta)t} \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}x\right)$$

b) Set up the weak formulation of the problem.

Solution: Multiply the equation by a test function $v(x)$, integrate over $\Omega = (0, 1)$:

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} v dx = - \int_0^1 u_x v_x dx + \int_0^1 u_x v + \beta \int_0^1 u v dx$$

Let $V = \{v \in H^1(0, 1) : v(1) = 0\}$. Then we get

$$\text{For each } t > 0 \text{ find } u(t) \in V \text{ such that } \int_0^1 \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} v dx + a(u, v) = 0, \quad \forall v \in V,$$

where $a(u, v) = \int_0^1 u_x v_x dx - \beta \int_0^1 u v dx$.

c) Write a MATLAB code to solve this problem. In space, use $V_h = X_h^1$ and a uniform grid. If time, try all three schemes: Forward and backward Euler, as well as Crank-Nicolson. Experiment with different stepsizes, and compare your numerical results with the exact solution.

Solution: The FEM solution, using $V_h = X_h^1$ with constants stepsize $h = 1/N$ becomes

$$M_h \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_h}{\partial t} = -A_u \mathbf{u}_h + \beta M_h \mathbf{u}_h$$

with

$$M_h = \frac{h}{6} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & & \\ 1 & 4 & \ddots & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & 1 \\ & & 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_h = \frac{1}{h} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & & \\ -1 & 2 & \ddots & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & -1 \\ & & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

One step of Crank-Nicolsons method becomes

$$M_h \frac{1}{\Delta t} (\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} - \mathbf{u}_h^n) = \frac{1}{2} (-A_h + \beta M_h) (\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} + \mathbf{u}_h^n).$$

The matlab coding and experimentation is left for you.

- 2 Quarteroni Chapter 5, Exercise 2.
In b), no convergence analysis is required.

- 3 For those of you who have taken the course Numerical Mathematics or something equivalent:

Write down the set of fully discrete equations in the case of solving the semidiscretized system

$$M_h \dot{\mathbf{u}}(t) + A_h \mathbf{u}(t) = \mathbf{f}(t)$$

(Q: p.121, last line), by

- A second order Adams-Bashforth scheme
- A second order Adams-Moulton scheme
- A second order Backward-Differentiation scheme

Solution: For simplicity, let $\mathbf{F}_n = A_h \mathbf{u}_h^n + \mathbf{f}(t_n)$, where $t_n = t_0 + n\Delta t$.

Adams-Bashforth:

$$M_h(\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} - \mathbf{u}_h^n) = \frac{\Delta t}{2} (3\mathbf{F}_n - \mathbf{F}_{n-1}).$$

Adams-Moulton (coincide with the Crank-Nicolson scheme)

$$M_h(\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} - \mathbf{u}_h^n) = \frac{\Delta t}{2} (\mathbf{F}_{n+1} + \mathbf{F}_n).$$

BDF:

$$M_h \left(\frac{3}{2} \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} - 2\mathbf{u}_h^n + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{u}_h^{n-1} \right) = \Delta t \mathbf{F}_{n+1}.$$

- 4 Problem 1-6 in the note *Spectra of the continuous and discrete Laplace operator* by Einar Rønquist.

Solution:

- From the definition of eigenvectors and eigenvalues, we have that

$$M_h \mathbf{u}_j = \lambda_j(M_h) \mathbf{u}_j, \quad A_h \mathbf{u}_j = \lambda_j(A_h) \mathbf{u}_j,$$

where $\lambda_j(\cdot)$ are the eigenvalues of M_h and A_h respectively. We know that the eigenvectors are the same in this case (not in general true!). But then

$$M_h^{-1} A_h \mathbf{u}_j = \lambda_j(A_h) M_h^{-1} \mathbf{u}_j = \frac{\lambda_j(A_h)}{\lambda_j(M_h)} \mathbf{u}_j,$$

so $\lambda_j(M_h^{-1} A_h) = \lambda_j(A_h) / \lambda_j(M_h)$.

- From the note, we know that the eigenvalues of the continuous operator is $j^2 \pi^2$. We also know that

$$\lambda_j(M_h^{-1} A_h) = \frac{6}{h^2} \frac{1 - \cos(\pi j h)}{2 + \cos(\pi j h)} = j^2 \pi^2 + \frac{1}{12} \pi^4 j^4 h^2 + \dots$$

So the approximation is of order 2.

For the second question:

$$\frac{6}{h^2} \frac{1 - \cos(\pi j h)}{2 + \cos(\pi j h)} > j^2 \pi^2 \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, N$$

since

$$6(1 - \cos(x)) + x^2(2 + \cos(x)) > 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in (0, \pi).$$

3. To solve the system exactly, you need N iterations. If you are happy with some approximation, use the error bound

$$\|\mathbf{e}_k\|_{M_h} \leq 2 \left(\frac{\sqrt{\kappa} - 1}{\sqrt{\kappa} + 1} \right)^k \|\mathbf{e}_0\|_{M_h}$$

where $\mathbf{e}_k = \mathbf{z}^k - \mathbf{z}^*$, $\|\mathbf{x}\|_{M_h}^2 = \mathbf{x}^T M_h \mathbf{x}$, and the condition number is $\kappa = \lambda_{\max}(M_h) / \lambda_{\min}(M_h)$. For the matrix in question, $\kappa \approx 3$, so $(\sqrt{\kappa} - 1) / (\sqrt{\kappa} + 1) = K \approx 0.268$. To be sure the error is reduced by a factor of τ , then

$$2K^k < \tau \quad \Rightarrow \quad k > \frac{\log(\tau/2)}{\log(K)}.$$

To take some examples:

τ	10^{-2}	10^{-4}	10^{-6}	10^{-8}
k_{\min}	5	8	12	15

4. The eigenfunctions $u_j(x)$ are orthogonal on the inner product (\cdot, \cdot) . So

$$v \in V_h \quad \Rightarrow \quad v = \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j u_j$$

and

$$a(v, v) = \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j \alpha_j^2 \|u_j\|_2^2 \geq \lambda_{\min} \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j^2 \|u_j\|_2^2 = \lambda_{\min}(v, v).$$

Similar arguments can be used to prove that $a(v, v) \leq \lambda_{\max}(v, v)$.

5. The finite difference approximation becomes

$$\frac{1}{h^2}(u_{i-1,j} + 2u_{i,j} - u_{i+1,j}) = \lambda_j u_{i,j}, \quad u_{0,j} = u_{N+1,j} = 0,$$

with $h = 1/(N + 1)$. Which is satisfied for

$$u_{i,j} = \sin(\pi j i h), \quad \lambda_j = \frac{1}{h^2}(1 - \cos(\pi j h)) \approx \pi^2 j^2 - \frac{1}{12} \pi^4 j^4 h^2 + \dots$$

And $\frac{1}{h^2}(1 - \cos(\pi j h)) < \pi^2 j^2$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$ since $2(1 - \cos(x)) - x^2 < 0$ for all $x \in (0, \pi)$.

6. Let us start with (28): The element i of the eigenvector $\mathbf{u}_{h,j}$ corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_j is given as

$$(u_{h,i})_j = \sin(\pi j i h)$$

which satisfies $A_h \mathbf{u}_{h,j} = \lambda_j \mathbf{u}_{h,j}$, or

$$\frac{1}{h} \left(-(u_{h,i-1})_j + 2(u_{h,i})_j - (u_{h,i+1})_j \right) = \lambda_j (u_{h,i})_j, \quad (1)$$

which becomes

$$\frac{1}{h} \left(-\sin(\pi j(i-1)h) + 2\sin(\pi j i h) - \sin(\pi j(i+1)h) \right) = \lambda_j \sin(\pi j i h).$$

Using (see the footnote)

$$\sin(\pi j(i-1)h) + \sin(\pi j(i+1)h) = 2\sin(\pi j i h) \cos(\pi j h)$$

we prove that (1) is satisfied with $\lambda_j = 2(1 - \cos(\pi j h))$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$.