Plan for the lecture

- \star Brief summary of the Nelson-Aalen estimator
- $\star\,$ Do two groups have different hazard rates?
 - formulated as a hypothesis test
- \star Using a transformation to find an alternative confidence interval

Multiplicative intensity model

* Multiplicative intensity model

$$\lambda(t) = \alpha(t)Y(t)$$

- Y(t): predictable process
- \star Frequent situation leading to a multiplicative intensity model
 - *n* individuals
 - each individual has the same hazard rate $\alpha(t)$
 - may have truncation and/or censoring
 - Y(t): number of individuals at risk just before time t

Nelson-Aalen estimator

- \star *N*(*t*): counting process with $\lambda(t) = \alpha(t)Y(t)$
- ⋆ Notation:

$$\begin{array}{l} - \ J(t) = \mathbb{I}(Y(t) > 0) \\ - \ A(t) = \int_0^t \alpha(t) dt \\ - \ A^*(t) = \int_0^t J(t) \alpha(t) dt \end{array}$$

* Nelson–Aalen estimator for A(t):

$$\widehat{A}(t) = \int_0^t \frac{J(s)}{Y(s)} dN(s) = \sum_{j:T_j \le t} \frac{1}{Y(T_j)}$$

* Recall: $E[\widehat{A}(t) - A^{\star}(t)] = 0$

Nelson-Aalen estimator

- \star *N*(*t*): counting process with $\lambda(t) = \alpha(t)Y(t)$
- ⋆ Notation:

$$- J(t) = \mathbb{I}(Y(t) > 0)$$

- $A(t) = \int_0^t \alpha(t) dt$
- $A^*(t) = \int_0^t J(t)\alpha(t) dt$

* Nelson–Aalen estimator for A(t):

$$\widehat{A}(t) = \int_0^t \frac{J(s)}{Y(s)} dN(s) = \sum_{j:T_j \le t} \frac{1}{Y(T_j)}$$

* Recall:
$$E[\widehat{A}(t) - A^{\star}(t)] = 0$$

 $\star\,$ Using the optional variation process we found

$$\operatorname{Var}[\widehat{A}(t) - A^{\star}(t)] = E\left[\int_{0}^{t} \frac{J(s)}{Y^{2}(s)} dN(s)\right]$$

Nelson-Aalen estimator

- \star *N*(*t*): counting process with $\lambda(t) = \alpha(t)Y(t)$
- ⋆ Notation:

$$- J(t) = \mathbb{I}(Y(t) > 0)$$

- $A(t) = \int_0^t \alpha(t) dt$
- $A^*(t) = \int_0^t J(t)\alpha(t) dt$

* Nelson–Aalen estimator for A(t):

$$\widehat{A}(t) = \int_0^t \frac{J(s)}{Y(s)} dN(s) = \sum_{j:T_j \le t} \frac{1}{Y(T_j)}$$

$$\star$$
 Recall: $E[\widehat{A}(t) - A^{\star}(t)] = 0$

 $\star\,$ Using the optional variation process we found

$$\operatorname{Var}[\widehat{A}(t) - A^{\star}(t)] = E\left[\int_{0}^{t} \frac{J(s)}{Y^{2}(s)} dN(s)\right]$$

 $\star\,$ So an unbiased estimator for ${\sf Var}[\widehat{A}(t)-A^{\star}(t)]$ is

$$\widehat{\sigma}^2(t) = \int_0^t rac{J(s)}{Y^2(s)} d\mathsf{N}(s) = \sum_{j:T_j \leq t} rac{1}{Y^2(T_j^2)}$$

Nelson-Aalen with tied observations

★ Recall

$$\widehat{A}(t) = \int_0^t \frac{J(s)}{Y(s)} dN(s), \widehat{\sigma}^2(t) = \int_0^t \frac{J(s)}{Y(s)^2} dN(s)$$

- \star Why tied observations:
 - (*i*) events happens in continuous time, but we observe ties because of rounding
 - (ii) events happens in discrete time
- \star Denote event times by $T_1 < T_2 < \ldots$ and multiplicities d_1, d_2, \ldots
- ⋆ Assuming (i) we get

$$\widehat{A}(t) = \sum_{j: T_j \leq t} \left[\sum_{\ell=0}^{d_j-1} \frac{1}{Y(T_j) - \ell} \right], \widehat{\sigma}^2(t) = \sum_{j: T_j \leq t} \left[\sum_{\ell=0}^{d_j-1} \frac{1}{(Y(T_j) - \ell)^2} \right]$$

 \star Assuming (ii) we get (we haven't discussed the reason for $\widehat{\sigma}^2(t)$)

$$\widehat{A}(t) = \sum_{j:T_j \leq t} \frac{d_j}{Y(T_j)}, \widehat{\sigma}^2(t) = \sum_{j:T_j \leq t} \frac{(Y(T_j) - d_j)d_j}{Y(T_j)^3}$$

Large sample properties for $\widehat{A}(t)$

★ Assume:

- *n* individuals
- each individual has the same hazard rate lpha(t)
- may have truncation and/or censoring
- Y(t) is number of individuals at risk just before time t
- * Multiplicative intensity process: $\lambda(t) = \alpha(t)Y(t)$
- ★ Assume also

$$rac{Y(t)}{n}
ightarrow y(t) > 0 \hspace{0.2cm} ext{when} \hspace{0.2cm} n
ightarrow \infty$$

 \star Then Rebolledo's theorem gives that

$$\sqrt{n}(\widehat{A}(t) - A^{\star}(t))$$

converges to a Gaussian martingale U(t) with

$$\langle U \rangle(t) = \int_0^t \frac{\alpha(s)}{y(s)} ds$$

 \star Thus, for large *n*: $\widehat{A}(t) pprox \mathcal{N}(A(t), \sigma^2(t))$

Stochastic integral

 \star Stochastic integral

$$\mathbb{I}(t) = \int_0^t H(s) dM(s) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n H_k(M_k - M_{k-1})$$

\star Consequences of the definitions

 $- \mathbb{I}(t)$ is a mean zero martingale

$$- \langle \int H dM \rangle = \int H^2 d\langle M \rangle$$

- $[\int H dM] = \int H^2 d[M]$
- $\langle \int H_1 dM_1, \int H_2 dM_2 \rangle = \int H_1 H_2 d\langle M_1, M_2 \rangle$
- $[\int H_1 dM_1, \int H_2 dM_2] = \int H_1 H_2 d[M_1, M_2]$

Counting processes

- $\star~ \textit{N}(t):$ A counting process, N(t) adapted to $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$
 - right continuous
 - jumps of size one
 - constant between jumps
- \star Recall informal definition of intensity process

$$\lambda(t)dt = P(dN(t) = 1|\mathcal{F}_{t-}) = \mathsf{E}[dN(t)|\mathcal{F}_{t-}]$$

 \star Precise definition of intensity process by Doob-Meyer

$$N(t) = \Lambda(t) + M(t)$$

* When $\Lambda(t)$ is absolutely continuous

$$\Lambda(t) = \int_0^t \lambda(s) ds$$

* Variation processes

$$\langle M \rangle(t) = \Lambda(t) = \int_0^t \lambda(s) ds$$
 and $[M](t) = N(t)$