... The essence of the Bayesian approach is to provide a mathematical rule explaining how you change your existing beliefs in the light of new evidence. In other words, it allows scientists to combine new data with their existing knowledge or expertise. ..

The Economist, September 30th 2000

## Bayes Theorem II

Thus, from $\mathrm{P}(A \mid B) \mathrm{P}(B)=\mathrm{P}(B \mid A) \mathrm{P}(A)$ follows
Bayes Theorem
$\mathrm{P}(A \mid B)=\frac{\mathrm{P}(B \mid A) \mathrm{P}(A)}{\mathrm{P}(B)}$ Law of tot. prob. $\frac{\mathrm{P}(B \mid A) \mathrm{P}(A)}{\mathrm{P}(B \mid A) P(A)+\mathrm{P}(B \mid \bar{A}) \mathrm{P}(\bar{A})}$

More general, let $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}$ be exclusive and exhaustive events, then

$$
\mathrm{P}\left(A_{i} \mid B\right)=\frac{\mathrm{P}\left(B \mid A_{i}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(A_{i}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{P}\left(B \mid A_{i}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(A_{i}\right)}
$$

## Interpretation

$\mathrm{P}\left(A_{i}\right)$ prior probabilities
$\mathrm{P}\left(A_{i} \mid B\right)$ posterior probabilities
After observing $B$ the prob. of $A_{i}$ changes from $\mathrm{P}\left(A_{i}\right)$ to $\mathrm{P}\left(A_{i} \mid B\right)$.

## Bayes Theorem I


named after the English theologian and mathematician Thomas Bayes [1701-1761]

The theorem relies on the asymmetry of the definition of conditional probabilities:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{P}(A \mid B)=\frac{\mathrm{P}(A \cap B)}{\mathrm{P}(B)} \Rightarrow \mathrm{P}(A \cap B)=\mathrm{P}(B) \mathrm{P}(A \mid B)  \tag{3}\\
& \mathrm{P}(B \mid A)=\frac{\mathrm{P}(A \cap B)}{\mathrm{P}(A)} \Rightarrow \mathrm{P}(A \cap B)=\mathrm{P}(A) \mathrm{P}(B \mid A) \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

for any two events $A$ and $B$ under regularity conditions,
i.e. $\mathrm{P}(B) \neq 0$ in (3) and $\mathrm{P}(A) \neq 0$ in (4).

## Towards inference

A more general formulation of Bayes theorem is given by

$$
f(X=x \mid Y=y)=\frac{f(Y=y \mid X=x) f(X=x)}{f(Y=y)}
$$

where $X$ and $Y$ are random variables.
(Note: Switch of notation from $\mathrm{P}($.$) to f($.$) to emphasise that we$ do not only relate to probabilities of events but to general probability functions of the random variables $X$ and $Y$.)

Even more compact version

$$
f(x \mid y)=\frac{f(y \mid x) f(x)}{f(y)}
$$

## Posterior distribution

Let $X=x$ denote the observed realisation of a random variable or random vector $X$ with density function $f(x \mid \theta)$. Specification of a prior distribution with density function $f(\theta)$ allows to compute the density function of the posterior distribution using Bayes theorem:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(\theta \mid x) & =\frac{f(x \mid \theta) f(\theta)}{f(x)} \\
& =\frac{f(x \mid \theta) f(\theta)}{\int f(x \mid \theta) f(\theta) d \theta}
\end{aligned}
$$

For discrete parameter space the integral has to be replaced with a sum.

The posterior distribution is the most important quantity in Bayesian inference. It contains all information about the unknown parameter $\theta$ after having observed the data $X=x$.

## Bayesian point estimates

Statistical inference about $\theta$ is based solely on the posterior distribution $f(\theta \mid x)$. Suitable point estimates are location parameters, such as:

- Posterior mean $\mathrm{E}(\theta \mid x)$ :

$$
\mathrm{E}(\theta \mid x)=\int \theta f(\theta \mid x) d \theta
$$

- Posterior mode $\operatorname{Mod}(\theta \mid x)$ :

$$
\operatorname{Mod}(\theta \mid x)=\arg \max _{\theta} f(\theta \mid x)
$$

- Posterior median $\operatorname{Med}(\theta \mid x)$ is defined as the value a which satisfies

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{a} f(\theta \mid x) d \theta=0.5 \quad \text { and } \quad \int_{a}^{\infty} f(\theta \mid x) d \theta=0.5
$$

## Posterior distribution (II)

Since the denominator in

$$
f(\theta \mid x)=\frac{f(x \mid \theta) f(\theta)}{f(x)}
$$

does not depend on $\theta$, the density of the posterior distribution is proportional to

$$
\underbrace{f(\theta \mid x)}_{\text {Posterior }} \propto \underbrace{f(x \mid \theta)}_{\text {Likelihood }} \times \underbrace{f(\theta)}_{\text {Prior }}
$$

where $1 / \int f(x \mid \theta) f(\theta) d \theta$ is the corresponding normalising constant to ensure $\int f(\theta \mid x) d \theta=1$.

Reminder:
A likelihood approach uses only the likelihood and calculated Maximum Likelihood estimate (MLE), defined as the particular value of $\theta$ that maximises the likelihood.

## Binomial experiment

Let $X \sim \operatorname{Bin}(n, p)$ with $n$ known and $p \in \Pi=(0,1)$ unknown.
Since $p$ is constrained to be within 0 and 1 , a usual prior distribution is a beta distribution, so that
$p \sim \operatorname{Be}(\alpha, \beta) \quad$ with $\alpha, \beta>0$ and $\mathcal{T}=(0,1)$.


Binomial experiment (2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X \sim \operatorname{Bin}(n, p), x=0,1, \ldots, n, \quad p \sim \operatorname{Be}(\alpha, \beta), 0<p<1 \\
& \left.\mathrm{~L}(p) \stackrel{\Downarrow}{f} \mathrm{f}(x \mid p)=\binom{n}{x} p^{\times}(1-p)^{n-x} \quad \begin{array}{c}
\Downarrow \\
f(p)
\end{array}\right) \frac{1}{\mathrm{~B}(\alpha, \beta)} p^{\alpha-1}(1-p)^{\beta-1} \\
& \left.\mathrm{~L}(p) \stackrel{\Downarrow}{f} \mathrm{f}(x \mid p)=\binom{n}{x} p^{\times}(1-p)^{n-x} \quad \begin{array}{c}
\Downarrow \\
f(p)
\end{array}\right) \frac{1}{\mathrm{~B}(\alpha, \beta)} p^{\alpha-1}(1-p)^{\beta-1} \\
& \propto p^{\times}(1-p)^{n-x} \quad \propto p^{\alpha-1}(1-p)^{\beta-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the posterior distribution results as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(p \mid x) & \propto f(x \mid p) \times f(p) \\
& =p^{x}(1-p)^{n-x} \times p^{\alpha-1}(1-p)^{\beta-1} \\
& =p^{\alpha+x-1}(1-p)^{\beta+n-x-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

This corresponds to the core of a beta distribution, so that

$$
p \mid x \sim \operatorname{Be}(\alpha+\underbrace{x}_{\text {successes }}, \beta+\underbrace{n-x}_{\text {failures }})
$$

Binomial experiment: Simple example


Posterior density of $p \mid x$ for a $\operatorname{Be}(3,2)$ prior and observation $x=8$ in a binomial experiment with $n=10$ trials. An equi-tailed $95 \%$ credible interval is also shown.
Using a $\operatorname{Be}(1,1)$ the posterior mode equals the Maximum Likelihood (ML) estimate.

