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## Problem 1

a) To sample from this distribution the most natural alternative is to use the probability integral transform method. We start by finding the cumulative distribution function $G(x)$. For $x \in(-\pi / 2, \pi / 2]$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
G(x) & =\int_{-\infty}^{x} g(u) \mathrm{d} u=\int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{x} \frac{1}{2} \cos (u) \mathrm{d} u=\left[\frac{1}{2} \sin (u)\right]_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{x} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sin (x)-\frac{1}{2} \sin \left(-\frac{\pi}{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \sin (x)-\frac{1}{2} \cdot(-1) \\
& =\frac{1}{2}(1+\sin (x))
\end{aligned}
$$

Sampling a $u \sim \operatorname{Unif}(0,1)$ we get a sample from $g(x)$ by solving $u=G(x)$ with respect to $x$. This gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
u & =G(x) \\
u & =\frac{1}{2}(1+\sin (x)) \\
2 u-1 & =\sin (x) \\
x & =\sin ^{-1}(2 u-1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Pseudo-code for generating one sample is then simply:

Generate $u \sim \operatorname{Unif}(0,1)$.
Compute $x=\sin ^{-1}(2 u-1)$.
Return x .
Note: An alternative is to use rejection sampling with for example a uniform distribution on $(-\pi / 2, \pi / 2]$ as proposal distribution. However, one then also needs to discuss how to sample from this uniform distribution.
b) To sample from $f(x)$ by rejection sampling and using $g(x)$ as proposal distribution we first need to find a constant $c$ so that

$$
\frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \leq c \text { for all } x \text { where } g(x)>0
$$

We have that $g(x)>0$ for $x \in\left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right]$, for which we have

$$
\frac{f(x)}{g(x)}=\frac{k|x|^{\alpha} \cos (x)}{\frac{1}{2} \cos (x)}=2 k|x|^{\alpha} \leq 2 k\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^{\alpha} .
$$

We can thereby choose $c=2 k\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^{\alpha}$. The acceptance probability in the rejection sampling thus becomes

$$
p=\frac{1}{c} \cdot \frac{f(x)}{g(x)}=\frac{1}{2 k\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^{\alpha}} \cdot 2 k|x|^{\alpha}=\left(\frac{2}{\pi} \cdot|x|\right)^{\alpha} .
$$

Pseudo-code for generating one sample from $f(x)$ is then:

```
finished := 0
while finished \(=0\) do
    Generate \(x \sim g(x)\)
    Generate \(u \sim \operatorname{Unif}(0,1)\)
    Compute \(p:=\left(\frac{2}{\pi} \cdot|x|\right)^{\alpha}\)
    if \(u<p\) then finished \(:=1\)
return \(x\)
```


## Problem 2

The acceptance probability for the specified Metropolis-Hastings algorithm becomes, for $y \neq x$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha(y \mid x) & =\min \left\{1, \frac{f(y)}{f(x)} \cdot \frac{q(x \mid y)}{q(y \mid x)}\right\}=\min \left\{1, \frac{f(y)}{f(x)} \cdot \frac{\frac{1}{3}}{\frac{1}{3}}\right\} \\
& =\min \left\{1, \frac{f(y)}{f(x)}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \frac{y / 10}{x / 10}=\frac{y}{x}
\end{array} \quad\right. \text { otherwise. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The off-diagonal elements of the transition matrix is thereby

$$
P(y \mid x)=q(y \mid x) \alpha(y \mid x)=\frac{1}{3} \alpha(y \mid x)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{3} & \text { if } y>x \\ \frac{y}{3 x} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Using this and that the elements in each row must sum to one we get the transition matrix $P$,

$$
P=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \\
\frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \\
\frac{1}{9} & \frac{2}{9} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \\
\frac{1}{12} & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

A Markov chain is irreducible if it is possible to come from any state to any other state in a finite number of steps. For the Markov chain in question it is possible to come from any state to any other state in one step. The Markov chain is thus
irreducible. A sufficient condition for an irreducible Markov chain to be aperiodic is that at least one diagonal element of the transition matrix is strictly larger than zero. This condition is fulfilled for the above transition matrix so the Markov chain is aperiodic.

## Problem 3

a) Up to proportionality, for $\theta, \alpha, \beta>0$ the posterior distribution becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
f\left(\theta, \alpha, \beta \mid y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) & \propto f(\theta, \alpha, \beta) \cdot f\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n} \mid \theta, \alpha, \beta\right) \\
& =f(\theta) f(\alpha) f(\beta) \prod_{i=1}^{n} f\left(y_{i} \mid \theta, \alpha, \beta\right) \\
& \propto \frac{1}{\theta} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\theta}} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 \theta}\left(y_{i}-\frac{\alpha x_{i}}{\beta+x_{i}}\right)^{2}\right\} \\
& \propto \frac{1}{\theta^{\frac{n}{2}+1}} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 \theta} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\frac{\alpha x_{i}}{\beta+x_{i}}\right)^{2}\right\} \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

The full conditional for $\theta$ becomes

$$
f\left(\theta \mid \alpha, \beta, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \propto \frac{1}{\theta^{\frac{n}{2}+1}} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 \theta} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\frac{\alpha x_{i}}{\beta+x_{i}}\right)^{2}\right\}
$$

which we can recognise as an inverse gamma distribution. Different parameterisations are in use for the inverse gamma distribution. Adopting the parameterisation

$$
f(z ; a, b)=\frac{1}{b^{a} \Gamma(a)} \frac{\exp \left\{-\frac{1}{z b}\right\}}{z^{a+1}},
$$

the parameters in the full conditional for $\theta$ becomes

$$
a=\frac{n}{2} \quad \text { and } \quad b=\frac{2}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\frac{\alpha x_{i}}{\beta+x_{i}}\right)^{2}} .
$$

The full conditional for $\alpha$ becomes

$$
f\left(\alpha \mid \theta, \beta, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \propto \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 \theta} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\frac{\alpha x_{i}}{\beta+x_{i}}\right)^{2}\right\} \quad \text { when } \alpha>0
$$

and $f\left(\alpha \mid \theta, \beta, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)=0$ otherwise, where we can observe that the exponent is a second order function in $\alpha$. The full conditional distribution for $\alpha$
is therefore a truncated normal distribution. To find the mean and variance of this normal distribution we study the exponent in more detail. We get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\frac{\alpha x_{i}}{\beta+x_{i}}\right)^{2} & =\text { constant }+\frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[-2 \alpha \frac{x_{i} y_{i}}{\beta+x_{i}}+\alpha^{2}\left(\frac{x_{i}}{\beta+x_{i}}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& =\text { constant }+\alpha^{2} \frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{x_{i}}{\beta+x_{i}}\right)^{2}-2 \alpha \frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i} y_{i}}{\beta+x_{i}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $\mu$ and $\sigma^{2}$ denote the mean and variance, respectively, in the full conditional for $\alpha$ we must have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { constant }+\alpha^{2} \frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{x_{i}}{\beta+x_{i}}\right)^{2}-2 \alpha \frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i} y_{i}}{\beta+x_{i}} & =\frac{1}{\sigma^{2}}(\alpha-\mu)^{2} \\
& =\text { constant }-2 \alpha \cdot \frac{\mu}{\sigma^{2}}+\frac{\alpha^{2}}{\sigma^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we have

$$
\frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{x_{i}}{\beta+x_{i}}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{\sigma^{2}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \sigma^{2}=\frac{\theta}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{x_{i}}{\beta+x_{i}}\right)^{2}}
$$

and

$$
\frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i} y_{i}}{\beta+x_{i}}=\frac{\mu}{\sigma^{2}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mu=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i} y_{i}}{\beta+x_{i}}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{x_{i}}{\beta+x_{i}}\right)^{2}}
$$

Thus,

$$
f\left(\alpha \mid \theta, \beta, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \propto \mathrm{N}\left(\alpha \left\lvert\, \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i} y_{i}}{\beta+x_{i}}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{x_{i}}{\beta+x_{i}}\right)^{2}}\right., \frac{\theta}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{x_{i}}{\beta+x_{i}}\right)^{2}}\right) \cdot I(\alpha>0)
$$

where $N\left(\alpha \mid \mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$ is the density function of a normal distribution with mean $\mu$ and variance $\sigma^{2}$. Finally, the full conditional for $\beta$ becomes

$$
f\left(\beta \mid \theta, \alpha, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \propto \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 \theta} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\frac{\alpha x_{i}}{\beta+x_{i}}\right)^{2}\right\}
$$

which does not belong to a known parametric family.
b) Since the full conditional for $\theta$ and $\alpha$ belongs to known parametric families which we know how to sample from, we can use Gibbs updates for these two.

We can sample from the truncated normal distribution by rejection sampling with the (un-truncated) normal distribution as proposal distribution. If the proposed value $\alpha$ is larger than zero it should be accepted with probability one (i.e. always accepted) and otherwise it should be accepted with probability zero (i.e. always rejected). The Metropolis-Hastings acceptance probabilities for Gibbs steps are always identical to one.
For $\beta$ we can for example propose a new value from a normal distribution centered at the current value, i.e. for a tuning parameter $\tau^{2}>0$ we propose

$$
\widetilde{\beta} \sim \mathrm{N}\left(\beta, \tau^{2}\right)
$$

Using the expression in (1) when $\beta>0$ and $f\left(\theta, \alpha, \beta \mid y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)=0$ whenever $\beta \leq 0$ the associated Metropolis-Hastings acceptance probability becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
a(\widetilde{\beta} \mid \beta) & =\min \left\{1, \frac{f\left(\theta, \alpha, \widetilde{\beta} \mid y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)}{f\left(\theta, \alpha, \beta \mid y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{N}\left(\beta \mid \widetilde{\beta}, \tau^{2}\right)}{\mathrm{N}\left(\widetilde{\beta} \mid \beta, \tau^{2}\right)}\right\} \\
& = \begin{cases}\min \left\{1, \frac{\exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 \theta} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\frac{\alpha x_{i}}{\widetilde{\beta}+x_{i}}\right)^{2}\right\}}{\exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 \theta} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\frac{\alpha x_{i}}{\beta+x_{i}}\right)^{2}\right\}}\right\} & \text { if } \widetilde{\beta}>0, \\
0 & \text { otherwise, }\end{cases} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used $\mathrm{N}\left(\beta \mid \widetilde{\beta}, \tau^{2}\right)=\mathrm{N}\left(\widetilde{\beta} \mid \beta, \tau^{2}\right)$.
c) Let $\left\{\theta_{m}, \alpha_{m}, \beta_{m}\right\}_{m=1}^{M}$ denote the values simulated by the Metropolis-Hastings algorithm. To estimate properties of the posterior distribution we first need to identify the burn-in period. We typically do this by studying trace plots of the simulated values and see when the traces seem to have stabilised statistically. In the following we assume the burn-in period to end at $m=T$, so we use $\left\{\theta_{m}, \alpha_{m}, \beta_{m}\right\}_{m=T}^{M}$ to estimate the posterior properties. We estimate the posterior mean values simply by

$$
\widehat{\mathrm{E}}\left[\alpha \mid y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right]=\frac{1}{M-T+1} \sum_{m=T}^{M} \alpha_{m}
$$

and

$$
\widehat{\mathrm{E}}\left[\beta \mid y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right]=\frac{1}{M-T+1} \sum_{m=T}^{M} \beta_{m}
$$

A simple way to estimate a $90 \%$ prediction interval for a new observation $y_{0}$ is first to simulate

$$
y_{0}^{m} \sim \mathrm{~N}\left(\frac{\alpha_{m} x_{0}}{\beta_{m}+x_{0}}, \theta_{m}\right)
$$

for $m=T, \ldots, M$ and thereafter estimate the prediction interval limits by the $5 \%$ and $95 \%$ quantiles of the simulated $y_{0}^{m}$ values. Thus, we should sort $y_{0}^{T}, \ldots, y_{0}^{M}$ from smallest to largest, denoted as

$$
y_{0}^{(1)}, \ldots, y_{0}^{(M-T+1)}
$$

Assuming $M$ is chosen so that $(M-T+1) \cdot 0.05$ is an integer, the estimate of the prediction interval is

$$
\left[y_{0}^{((M-T+1) \cdot 0.05)}, y_{0}^{((M-T+1) \cdot 0.95)}\right] .
$$

## Problem 4

a) The empirical distribution puts probability $\frac{1}{n}$ on each observed value. Letting $\widehat{F}$ denote the empirical distribution we have

$$
\operatorname{Prob}_{\widehat{F}}(x \in A)=\frac{\# x_{i}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \sin A}{n} .
$$

For a parameter $\theta$ defined as $\theta=t(F)$, the plug-in estimator is defined as

$$
\widehat{\theta}=t(\widehat{F})
$$

Letting $x^{\star}$ denote a sample from $\widehat{F}$, the plug-in estimator for $\mu=\mathrm{E}_{F}[x]$ is

$$
\widehat{\mu}=\mathrm{E}_{\widehat{F}}\left[x^{\star}\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \cdot \frac{1}{n}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}=\bar{x} .
$$

The plug-in estimator for the the variance $\sigma^{2}=\operatorname{Var}_{F}[x]$ becomes

$$
\widehat{\sigma}^{2}=\operatorname{Var}_{\widehat{F}}\left[x^{\star}\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\mathrm{E}_{\widehat{F}}\left[x^{\star}\right]\right)^{2} \cdot \frac{1}{n}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2} .
$$

b) The bias of $\widehat{\mu}$ is defined as

$$
\operatorname{bias}_{F}=\mathrm{E}_{F}[s(x)]-\mathrm{E}_{F}[x] .
$$

The ideal bootstrap estimator for the bias is defined as the plug-in estimator for this quantity, i.e.

$$
\operatorname{bias}_{\widehat{F}}=\mathrm{E}_{\widehat{F}}\left[s\left(x^{\star}\right)\right]-\mathrm{E}_{\widehat{F}}\left[x^{\star}\right] .
$$

Above we have shown that $\mathrm{E}_{\widehat{F}}\left[x^{\star}\right]=\mu$, so it remains to find a simple expression for $\mathrm{E}_{\widehat{F}}\left[s\left(x^{\star}\right)\right]$. Inserting for $s(x)$ we get

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\widehat{F}}\left[s\left(x^{\star}\right)\right]=\mathrm{E}_{\widehat{F}}\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{\star}\right]=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{E}_{\widehat{F}}\left[x_{i}^{\star}\right]=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu=\mu,
$$

where we have used that $\mathrm{E}_{\widehat{F}}\left[x_{i}^{\star}\right]=\mu$ when $x_{i}^{\star} \sim \widehat{F}$. We get

$$
\operatorname{bias}_{\widehat{F}}=\mathrm{E}_{\widehat{F}}\left[s\left(x^{\star}\right)\right]-\mathrm{E}_{\widehat{F}}\left[x^{\star}\right]=\mu-\mu=0
$$

## Problem 5

a) We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x ; \mu, \sigma) & =\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \frac{1}{\sigma} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}}\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{2}\right\}\right] \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{1}{\sigma^{n}} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the logarithm we get

$$
\ln f(x ; \mu, \sigma)=-\frac{n}{2} \ln (2 \pi)-n \ln \sigma-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{2} .
$$

Taking the expected value under the assumption $x_{i} \sim N\left(\mu^{(t)},\left(\sigma^{(t)}\right)^{2}\right)$ we get

$$
\mathrm{E}\left[\ln f(x ; \mu, \sigma) \mid z, \mu^{(t)}, \sigma^{(t)}\right]=-\frac{n}{2} \ln (2 \pi)-n \ln \sigma-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{E}\left[\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{2} \mid z, \mu^{(t)}, \sigma^{(t)}\right]
$$

Expanding the square inside the expectation operator we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}\left[\left(x_{i}-\mu\right)^{2} \mid z, \mu^{(t)}, \sigma^{(t)}\right] & =\mathrm{E}\left[x_{i}^{2}-2 \mu x_{i}+\mu^{2} \mid z, \mu^{(t)}, \sigma^{(t)}\right] \\
& =\mathrm{E}\left[x_{i}^{2} \mid z, \mu^{(t)}, \sigma^{(t)}\right]-2 \mu \mathrm{E}\left[x_{i} \mid z, \mu^{(t)}, \sigma^{(t)}\right]+\mu^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we need to find expressions for $\mathrm{E}\left[x_{i} \mid z, \mu^{(t)}, \sigma^{(t)}\right]$ and $\mathrm{E}\left[x_{i}^{2} \mid z, \mu^{(t)}, \sigma^{(t)}\right]$. The density of $x_{i} \sim N\left(\mu^{(t)},\left(\sigma^{(t)}\right)^{2}\right)$ can be expressed as

$$
f\left(x_{i} \mid \mu^{(t)}, \sigma^{(t)}\right)=\frac{1}{\sigma^{(t)}} \varphi\left(\frac{x_{i}-\mu^{(t)}}{\sigma^{(t)}}\right)
$$

and corresponding cumulative distribution function is

$$
F\left(x_{i} \mid \mu^{(t)}, \sigma^{(t)}\right)=\Phi\left(\frac{x_{i}-\mu^{(t)}}{\sigma^{(t)}}\right)
$$

The conditional density for $x_{i}$ given $z_{i}$ becomes

$$
f\left(x_{i} \mid z_{i}, \mu^{(t)}, \sigma^{(t)}\right)=\frac{f\left(x_{i} \mid \mu^{(t)}, \sigma^{(t)}\right)}{P\left(x_{i} \in\left[z_{i}, z_{i}+1\right)\right)}=\frac{\frac{1}{\sigma^{(t)}} \varphi\left(\frac{x_{i}-\mu^{(t)}}{\sigma^{(t)}}\right)}{\Phi\left(\frac{z_{i}+1-\mu^{(t)}}{\sigma^{(t)}}\right)-\Phi\left(\frac{z_{i}-\mu^{(t)}}{\sigma^{(t)}}\right)},
$$

and the corresponding conditional expectation is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}\left[x_{i} \mid z, \mu^{(t)}, \sigma^{(t)}\right] & =\int_{z_{i}}^{z_{i}+1} x_{i} f\left(x_{i} \mid z_{i}, \mu^{(t)}, \sigma^{(t)}\right) \mathrm{d} x_{i} \\
& =\frac{\frac{1}{\sigma^{(t)}}}{\Phi\left(\frac{z_{i}+1-\mu^{(t)}}{\sigma^{(t)}}\right)-\Phi\left(\frac{z_{i}-\mu^{(t)}}{\sigma^{(t)}}\right)} \int_{z_{i}}^{z_{i}+1} x_{i} \varphi\left(\frac{x_{i}-\mu^{(t)}}{\sigma^{(t)}}\right) \mathrm{d} x_{i} \\
& =\frac{A\left(\mu^{(t)}, \sigma^{(t)}, z_{i}, z_{i}+1\right) / \sigma^{(t)}}{\Phi\left(\frac{z_{i}+1-\mu^{(t)}}{\sigma^{(t)}}\right)-\Phi\left(\frac{z_{i}-\mu^{(t)}}{\sigma^{(t)}}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Correspondingly we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}\left[x_{i}^{2} \mid z, \mu^{(t)}, \sigma^{(t)}\right] & =\int_{z_{i}}^{z_{i}+1} x_{i}^{2} f\left(x_{i} \mid z_{i}, \mu^{(t)}, \sigma^{(t)}\right) \mathrm{d} x_{i} \\
& =\frac{\frac{1}{\sigma^{(t)}}}{\Phi\left(\frac{z_{i}+1-\mu^{(t)}}{\sigma^{(t)}}\right)-\Phi\left(\frac{z_{i}-\mu^{(t)}}{\sigma^{(t)}}\right)} \int_{z_{i}}^{z_{i}+1} x_{i}^{2} \varphi\left(\frac{x_{i}-\mu^{(t)}}{\sigma^{(t)}}\right) \mathrm{d} x_{i} \\
& =\frac{B\left(\mu^{(t)}, \sigma^{(t)}, z_{i}, z_{i}+1\right) / \sigma^{(t)}}{\Phi\left(\frac{z_{i}+1-\mu^{(t)}}{\sigma^{(t)}}\right)-\Phi\left(\frac{z_{i}-\mu^{(t)}}{\sigma^{(t)}}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thereby we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{E}\left[\ln f(x ; \mu, \sigma) \mid z, \mu^{(t)}, \sigma^{(t)}\right]=-\frac{n}{2} \ln (2 \pi)-n \ln \sigma \\
-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\frac{B\left(\mu^{(t)}, \sigma^{(t)}, z_{i}, z_{i}+1\right) / \sigma^{(t)}}{\Phi\left(\frac{z_{i}+1-\mu^{(t)}}{\sigma^{(t)}}\right)-\Phi\left(\frac{z_{i}-\mu^{(t)}}{\sigma^{(t)}}\right)}-2 \mu \frac{A\left(\mu^{(t)}, \sigma^{(t)}, z_{i}, z_{i}+1\right) / \sigma^{(t)}}{\Phi\left(\frac{z_{i}+1-\mu^{(t)}}{\sigma^{(t)}}\right)-\Phi\left(\frac{z_{i}-\mu^{(t)}}{\sigma^{(t)}}\right)}+\mu^{2}\right] \\
=-\frac{n}{2} \ln (2 \pi)-n \ln \sigma-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}}\left(n \mu^{2}-2 \mu \alpha\left(z, \mu^{(t)}, \sigma^{(t)}\right)+\beta\left(z, \mu^{(t)}, \sigma^{(t)}\right)+n \mu^{2}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
\alpha\left(z, \mu^{(t)}, \sigma^{(t)}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{A\left(\mu^{(t)}, \sigma^{(t)}, z_{i}, z_{i}+1\right) / \sigma^{(t)}}{\Phi\left(\frac{z_{i}+1-\mu^{(t)}}{\sigma^{(t)}}\right)-\Phi\left(\frac{z_{i}-\mu^{(t)}}{\sigma^{(t)}}\right)}
$$

and

$$
\beta\left(z, \mu^{(t)}, \sigma^{(t)}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{B\left(\mu^{(t)}, \sigma^{(t)}, z_{i}, z_{i}+1\right) / \sigma^{(t)}}{\Phi\left(\frac{z_{i}+1-\mu^{(t)}}{\sigma^{(t)}}\right)-\Phi\left(\frac{z_{i}-\mu^{(t)}}{\sigma^{(t)}}\right)} .
$$

b) To find for what values of $\mu$ and $\sigma$ the $\mathrm{E}\left[\ln f(x ; \mu, \sigma) \mid z, \mu^{(t)}, \sigma^{(t)}\right]$ has its maximum we find the partial derivatives of this expected value with respect
to each of $\mu$ and $\sigma$. We get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \mathrm{E}\left[\ln f(x ; \mu, \sigma) \mid z, \mu^{(t)}, \sigma^{(t)}\right] & =-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}}\left(2 n \mu-2 \alpha\left(z, \mu^{(t)}, \sigma^{(t)}\right)\right) \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \mathrm{E}\left[\ln f(x ; \mu, \sigma) \mid z, \mu^{(t)}, \sigma^{(t)}\right] & =-\frac{n}{\sigma}+\frac{1}{\sigma^{3}}\left(n \mu^{2}-2 \mu \alpha\left(z, \mu^{(t)}, \sigma^{(t)}+\beta\left(z, \mu^{(t)}, \sigma^{(t)}\right)\right)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Setting the partial derivative with respect to $\mu$ equal to zero and solving with respect to $\mu$ gives

$$
\mu=\frac{\alpha\left(z, \mu^{(t)}, \sigma^{(t)}\right)}{n}
$$

and setting the partial derivative with respect to $\sigma$ equal to zero gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{n}{\sigma} & =\frac{1}{\sigma^{3}}\left(n \mu^{2}-2 \mu \alpha\left(z, \mu^{(t)}, \sigma^{(t)}+\beta\left(z, \mu^{(t)}, \sigma^{(t)}\right)\right)\right. \\
\sigma^{2} & =\frac{1}{n}\left(n \mu^{2}-2 \mu \alpha\left(z, \mu^{(t)}, \sigma^{(t)}+\beta\left(z, \mu^{(t)}, \sigma^{(t)}\right)\right)\right. \\
\sigma & =\sqrt{\mu^{2}-\frac{1}{n}\left(2 \mu \alpha\left(z, \mu^{(t)}, \sigma^{(t)}\right)-\beta\left(z, \mu^{(t)}, \sigma^{(t)}\right)\right) .}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\mu^{(t+1)}$ and $\sigma^{(t+1)}$ can be computed from $\mu^{(t)}$ and $\sigma^{(t)}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu^{(t+1)} & =\frac{\alpha\left(z, \mu^{(t)}, \sigma^{(t)}\right)}{n} \\
\sigma^{(t+1)} & =\sqrt{\left(\mu^{(t+1)}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{n}\left(2 \mu^{(t+1)} \alpha\left(z, \mu^{(t)}, \sigma^{(t)}\right)-\beta\left(z, \mu^{(t)}, \sigma^{(t)}\right)\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

The standard deviations of the maximum likelihood estimators can be estimated by bootstrapping by the following algorithm.
for $b=1, \ldots, B$ do
Draw a bootstrap sample $z_{1}^{\star b}, \ldots, z_{n}^{\star b}$ from $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}$.
Use the EM algorithm to compute maximum likelihood estimates based on $z_{1}^{\star b}, \ldots, z_{n}^{\star b}$. Denote the result by $\widehat{\mu}_{b}^{\star}$ and $\widehat{\sigma}_{b}^{\star}$.
Estimate the standard deviations of $\widehat{\mu}$ and $\widehat{\sigma}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widehat{\mathrm{SD}}[\widehat{\mu}]=\sqrt{\frac{1}{B-1} \sum_{b=1}^{B}\left(\widehat{\mu}_{b}^{\star}-{\overline{\mu^{\star}}}^{\star}\right)^{2}}, \\
& \widehat{\mathrm{SD}}[\widehat{\sigma}]=\sqrt{\frac{1}{B-1} \sum_{b=1}^{B}\left(\widehat{\sigma}_{b}^{\star}-\overline{\widehat{\sigma}^{\star}}\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

respectively, where

$$
\overline{\hat{\mu}^{\star}}=\frac{1}{B} \sum_{b=1}^{B} \widehat{\mu}_{b}^{\star} \quad \text { and } \quad \overline{\widehat{\sigma}^{\star}}=\frac{1}{B} \sum_{b=1}^{B} \widehat{\sigma}_{b}^{\star} .
$$

