Review: inverse transform technique

- Let F be a distribution, and let $U \sim \mathcal{U}[0, 1]$.
- a) Let *F* be the distribution function of a random variable taking non-negative integer values. The random variable *X* given by

$$X = x_i$$
 if and only if $F_{i-1} < u \le F_i$

has distribution function *F*.

b) If F is a continuous function, the random variable $X = F^{-1}(u)$ has distribution function F.

Review scaling: Change of variables

 $X \sim \text{Exp}(1)$. We are interested in $Y = \frac{1}{\lambda}X$, i.e. $y = g(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda}x$.

$$g^{-1}(y) = \lambda y$$
 $\frac{dg^{-1}(y)}{dy} = \lambda$

Application of the change of variables formula leads to:

$$f_Y(y) = \exp(-\lambda y)\lambda$$

It follows: $Y \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda)$.

Exercise: Check other transformations, we mentioned.

Review: inverse transform technique (II)

a) Discrete case:

b) Continuous case:



The inverse transform technique is conceptually easy, but

- in the discrete case, a large number of comparisons may be necessary.
- in the continuous case, F^{-1} must be available.

Review: Bivariate techniques

- $(x_1, x_2) \sim f_X(x_1, x_2)$
- $(y_1, y_2) = g(x_1, x_2) \Leftrightarrow (x_1, x_2) = g^{-1}(y_1, y_2)$
- $f_Y(y_1, y_2) = f_X(g^{-1}(y_1, y_2)) \cdot |\mathbf{J}|$

Example: Box-Muller to simulate from $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$

Review: Box-Muller algorithm

Let

$$X_1 \sim \mathcal{U}[0, 2\pi]$$

 $X_2 \sim \mathsf{Exp}\left(rac{1}{2}
ight)$

independently (We aleady know how to do this). Thus,

$$f_X(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}x_2\right), \quad x_1 \in [0, 2\pi], x_2 \ge 0$$

Review: Box-Muller algorithm

Thus,

$$f_{Y}(y_{1}, y_{2}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(y_{1}^{2} + y_{2}^{2})\right) \cdot 2$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(y_{1}^{2} + y_{2}^{2})\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}y_{1}^{2}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}y_{2}^{2}\right)$$

so that $y_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ and $y_2 \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ independently.

Graphical interpretation:

Relationship between polar and cartesian coordinates.

Review: Box-Muller algorithm

Let

$$\begin{array}{c} y_1 = \sqrt{x_2} \cos x_1 \\ y_2 = \sqrt{x_2} \sin x_1 \end{array} \end{array} \right\} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} x_1 = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{y_2}{y_1} \right) \\ x_2 = y_1^2 + y_2^2 \end{cases}$$

This defines a one-to-one function g. Now we can define

$$f_Y(y_1, y_2) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(y_1^2 + y_2^2)\right) \cdot |\mathbf{J}|.$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{J}| &= \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial y_1} & \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial y_1} \\ \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial y_2} & \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial y_2} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{y_2}{y_1}\right)^2} \left(-\frac{y_2}{y_1^2}\right) & 2y_1 \\ \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{y_2}{y_2}\right)^2} \frac{1}{y_1} & 2y_2 \end{vmatrix} \\ &= |-\frac{y_2}{y_1^2 + y_2^2} \cdot 2y_2 - \frac{y_1}{y_1^2 + y_2^2} \cdot 2y_1| = |-2| = 2 \end{aligned}$$

Ratio-of-uniforms method

General method for arbitrary densities f known up to a proportionality constant.

Theorem

Let $f^{\star}(x)$ be a non-negative function with $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f^{\star}(x) dx < \infty$. Let $C_f = \{(x_1, x_2) \mid 0 \le x_1 \le \sqrt{f^{\star} \left(\frac{x_2}{x_1}\right)}\}.$

- a) Then C_f has a finite area
- Let (x_1, x_2) be uniformly distributed on C_f .
- b) Then $y = \frac{x_2}{x_1}$ has a distribution with density

$$f(y) = \frac{f^{\star}(y)}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f^{\star}(u) du}$$

Algorithm to sample form a standard Cauchy

Generate (x_1, x_2) from $\mathcal{U}(C_f)$ (\leftarrow How can we do this?)

 $y = \frac{x_2}{x_1}$

return y.

Proof of theorem

Lineorem

see blackboard

How to sample from C_f ?

see blackboard

Methods based on mixtures

Remember: $f(x_1, x_2) = f(x_1|x_2)f(x_2)$

Thus: To generate $(x_1, x_2) \sim f(x_1, x_2)$ we can

- generate $x_2 \sim f(x_2)$
- generate $x_1 \sim f(x_1|x_2)$

Note: This mechanism automatically provides a value x_1 from its marginal distribution, i.e. $x_1 \sim f(x_1) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x_1, x_2) dx_2$.

 \Rightarrow We are able to generate a value for x_1 even when its marginal density is awkward to sample from directly.

Example: Simulation from Student-t (II)

Thus, we can simulate $x_1 \sim t_n(\mu, \sigma^2)$ by

$$x_2 \sim \mathsf{Ga}\left(rac{n}{2}, rac{n}{2}
ight)$$

 $x_1 \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mu, rac{\sigma^2}{x_2}
ight)$

return x₁.

Another application is sampling from a mixture distribution, i.e. mixture of two normals.

Example: Simulation from Student-t (I)

The density of a Student *t* distribution with n > 0 degrees of freedom, mean μ and scale σ^2 is

$$f_t(x) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n\pi\sigma^2}} \left[1 + \frac{1}{n}\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^2\right]^{-\frac{n+1}{2}}, \quad -\infty < x < \infty.$$

Let

$$egin{aligned} x_2 &\sim \mathsf{Ga}\left(rac{n}{2},rac{nS}{2}
ight) \ x_1 | x_2 &\sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mu,rac{\sigma^2}{x_2}
ight) \end{aligned}$$

It can be shown that then

$$x_1 \sim t_n(\mu, S\sigma^2)$$
 (show yourself)

Multivariate normal distribution

$$oldsymbol{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_d)^\top \sim \mathcal{N}_d(oldsymbol{\mu}, \Sigma)$$
 if the density is $f(oldsymbol{x}) = rac{1}{(2\pi)^{rac{d}{2}}} \cdot rac{1}{\sqrt{|\Sigma|}} \exp\left(-rac{1}{2}(oldsymbol{x} - oldsymbol{\mu})^\top \Sigma^{-1}(oldsymbol{x} - oldsymbol{\mu})
ight)$

with

- $\pmb{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$
- $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_d)^\top$
- $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$, Σ must be positive definite.

Important properties (I)

Important properties of $\mathcal{N}_d(\mu, \Sigma)$ (known from "Linear statistical models")

i) Linear transformations:

 $\mathbf{x} \sim \mathcal{N}_d(\mathbf{\mu}, \mathbf{\Sigma}) \Rightarrow \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b} \sim \mathcal{N}_r(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{\mu} + \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{A}^{\top}), \text{ with } \mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times d}, \ \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^r.$

ii) Marginal distributions:

Let
$$\mathbf{x} \sim \mathcal{N}_d(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$$
 with
 $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1 \\ \mathbf{x}_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\mu} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\mu}_1 \\ \boldsymbol{\mu}_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} \\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22} \end{bmatrix}$

Then

$$egin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_1 &\sim \mathcal{N}(oldsymbol{\mu}_1, \Sigma_{11}) \ \mathbf{x}_2 &\sim \mathcal{N}(oldsymbol{\mu}_2, \Sigma_{22}) \end{aligned}$$

Simulation from the multivariate normal

How can we simulate from $\mathcal{N}_d(\mu, \Sigma)$?

Let $\mathbf{x} \sim \mathcal{N}_d(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$

 $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{\mu} + \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \quad \stackrel{\mathrm{i})}{\Rightarrow} \quad \mathbf{y} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{\mu}, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^{ op})$

Thus, if we choose **A** so that $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^{\top} = \Sigma$ we are done.

Note: There are several choices of **A**. A popular choice is to let **A** be the Cholesky decomposition of Σ .

Important properties (II)

iii) Conditional distributions:

With the same notation as in ii) we also have

$$m{x}_1 | m{x}_2 \sim \mathcal{N}(m{\mu}_1 + \Sigma_{12} \Sigma_{22}^{-1}(m{x}_2 - m{\mu}_2), \Sigma_{11} - \Sigma_{12} \Sigma_{22}^{-1} \Sigma_{21})$$

iv) Quadratic forms:

$$\mathbf{x} \sim \mathcal{N}_d(\mathbf{\mu}, \mathbf{\Sigma}) \Rightarrow (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{\mu})^\top \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{\mu}) \sim \chi_d^2$$