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Abstract. We prove rigidity type results on the vanishing of stable Ext and
Tor for modules of finite complete intersection dimension, results which gen-
eralize and improve upon known results. We also introduce a notion of pre-
rigidity, which generalizes phenomena for modules of finite complete intersec-
tion dimension and complexity one. Using this concept, we prove results on
length and vanishing of homology modules.

1. Introduction

The notion of rigidity of Tor was introduced by Auslander [Au] in order to study
torsion in tensor products, and the zero divisor conjecture, for finitely generated
modules over a commutative local ring. The general idea of rigidity of Tor for mod-
ules $M$ and $N$ over a ring $A$ is that the vanishing of $\text{Tor}_i^A(M, N)$ for some $i$ implies
the vanishing of $\text{Tor}_j^A(M, N)$ for $j$’s different from $i$. Ever since its introduction by
Auslander, rigidity of Tor has been a central topic in the theory of modules over
commutative rings (see, for example, [PS], [Ho], and [He]).

Rigidity of Tor for finitely generated modules over unramified regular local rings
was resolved by Auslander himself, and the ramified case was settled by Lichten-
baum [Li]. The next natural class of rings over which to study rigidity is that of
complete intersections, and this was done initially in [Mu], [HW1], [HW2], [Jo1].
Subsequent to the notion of complete intersection dimension, defined in [AGP],
there has been a study of rigidity of Tor and Ext more generally for modules of
finite complete intersection dimension, for example [ArY], [Jo2], [AvB], and [Be2].

In this paper, we prove new rigidity results for Ext and Tor which general-
ize or improve upon many of the results in the above citations. For example, all
of our statements are in the context of stable (co)homology, rather than absolute
(co)homology, and we assume one fewer vanishings than in previous results. Specif-
ically, we show in Section 3 that the vanishing of $c$ equally spaced stable Ext or Tor
implies the vanishing of infinitely many of the remaining (co)homology modules.
Here $c$ is the complexity of the module assumed to have finite complete intersec-
tion dimension. Our results recover previous ones when $c+1$ vanishings is assumed. We
also illustrate by example that our statements are best possible.

Additionally, we show that if $\dim R + 2$ consecutive stable Ext or Tor vanish
infinitely often for negative or positive indices, respectively, then all the stable Ext
or Tor must vanish. This generalizes a result of [Jo1] where it is assumed that $M \otimes_A N$ has finite length.
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In Section 4 we introduce a notion we call pre-rigidity, and show that it generalizes the vanishing phenomena of modules of finite complete intersection dimension and complexity one. We also show that it gives a formula for length which recovers known results for Betti numbers of certain modules over rings having an embedded deformation.

In Section 2 we give preliminaries on complete intersection dimension, complexity, and stable (co)homology.

2. Finite complete intersection dimension

Throughout this section, we fix a local (meaning commutative Noetherian local) ring \((A, \mathfrak{m}, k)\), together with a finitely generated \(A\)-module \(M\). Given a minimal free resolution

\[
\cdots \to F_2 \to F_1 \to F_0 \to M \to 0
\]

of \(M\), we denote the rank of the free module \(F_n\) by \(\beta_n(M)\). This integer, the \(n\)th Betti number of \(M\), is well-defined for all \(n\), since minimal free resolutions over local rings are unique up to isomorphisms. We let \(\Omega_d^A(M)\) denote the cokernel of the map \(F_{d+1} \to F_d\); by uniqueness of minimal resolution, the \(\Omega_d^A(M)\) are well-defined up to isomorphism. The complexity of \(M\), denoted \(\text{cx} M\), is defined as

\[
\text{cx} M \defeq \inf \{ t \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \mid \exists a \in \mathbb{R} \text{ such that } \beta_n(M) \leq an^{t-1} \text{ for all } n \gg 0 \}
\]

(see, for example [Av, 4.2]). The complexity of a finitely generated module over a local ring is not always finite; by a theorem of Gulliksen (cf. [Gul]), the local rings over which all finitely generated modules have finite complexity are precisely the complete intersections.

In [AGP], Avramov, Gasharov and Peeva defined and studied a class of modules behaving homologically as modules over complete intersections. Recall that a quasi-deformation of \(A\) is a diagram \(A \to R \leftarrow Q\) of local homomorphisms, in which \(A \to R\) is faithfully flat, and \(R \leftarrow Q\) is surjective with kernel generated by a regular sequence. The module \(M\) has finite complete intersection dimension if there exists such a quasi-deformation for which \(\text{pd}_Q(R \otimes_A M)\) is finite. The complete intersection dimension of \(M\), denoted \(\text{CI-dim} M\), is the infimum of all \(\text{pd}_Q(R \otimes_A M)\), the infimum taken over all quasi-deformations \(A \to R \leftarrow Q\) of \(A\). In the rest of the paper, we write “CI-dimension” instead of “complete intersection dimension”.

By [AGP, Theorem 5.3], every module of finite CI-dimension has finite complexity. Moreover, as we shall see in the next section, such a module also has reducible complexity in the sense of [Bel]. This reflects the fact that modules of finite CI-dimension behave homologically as modules over complete intersections. Since complete intersection rings are Gorenstein, modules of finite CI-dimension also behave, in some sense, as modules over Gorenstein rings. In order to make this precise, we recall the following, denoting the \(A\)-module \(\text{Hom}_A(M, A)\) by \(M^*\). We say that \(M\) is of Gorenstein dimension zero, denoted \(G\)-dim \(M = 0\), if it is reflexive (i.e. the canonical homomorphism \(M \to M^{**}\) is bijective) and \(\text{Ext}_A^n(M, A) = \text{Ext}_A^n(M^*, A) = 0\) for \(n > 0\). The Gorenstein dimension of \(M\), denoted \(G\)-dim \(M\), is the infimum of the numbers \(n\), for which there exists an exact sequence

\[
0 \to G_n \to \cdots \to G_0 \to M \to 0
\]
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in which $G$-dim $G_i = 0$. By [AuB], a local ring is Gorenstein precisely when all its finitely generated modules have finite Gorenstein dimension.

If $M$ has finite Gorenstein dimension $d$, say, then by [AuB, Corollary 3.15], the module $\Omega^d_A(M)$ has Gorenstein dimension zero. Choose a minimal free resolution $F \to \Omega^d_A(M)^* \to 0$ of $\Omega^d_A(M)^*$, and consider the dualized complex $0 \to \Omega^d_A(M) \to F^*$. It follows directly from the defining properties of modules of Gorenstein dimension zero that this complex is exact. Splicing this complex with the minimal free resolution of $\Omega^d_A(M)$, we obtain a doubly infinite minimal exact sequence

$$C: \cdots \to C_2 \xrightarrow{\partial_2} C_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_1} C_0 \xrightarrow{\partial_0} C_{-1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{-1}} C_{-2} \to \cdots$$

of finitely generated free modules, in which $\text{Im} \partial_d = \Omega^d_A(M)$. Then $C$ is a minimal complete resolution of $M$, and it is unique up to homotopy equivalence (cf. [Buc], [CoK]). Consequently, for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and every $A$-module $N$, the stable homology and stable cohomology modules

$$\widetilde{\text{Tor}}_n^A(M, N) \overset{\text{def}}{=} H_n(C \otimes_A N)$$
$$\widetilde{\text{Ext}}_n^A(M, N) \overset{\text{def}}{=} H_{-n}(\text{Hom}_A(C, N))$$

are independent of the choice of complete resolution of $M$. By construction, there are isomorphisms $\widetilde{\text{Tor}}_n^A(M, N) \cong \text{Tor}_n^A(M, N)$ and $\widetilde{\text{Ext}}_n^A(M, N) \cong \text{Ext}_n^A(M, N)$ whenever $n > d$.

By [AGP, Theorem 1.4], if the CI-dimension of $M$ is finite, then

(1) $G$-dim $M = \text{CI-dim} M = \text{depth} A - \text{depth} M$.

Therefore $M$ admits a minimal complete resolution, and from the above we see that, for every $A$-module $N$, there are isomorphisms

(2) $\widetilde{\text{Tor}}_n^A(M, N) \cong \text{Tor}_n^A(M, N)$

(3) $\widetilde{\text{Ext}}_n^A(M, N) \cong \text{Ext}_n^A(M, N)$

for all $n > \text{depth} A - \text{depth} M$. Consequently, for a module of finite CI-dimension, vanishing patterns in stable (co)homology correspond to vanishing patterns in ordinary (co)homology beyond $\text{depth} A - \text{depth} M$. We shall therefore state the vanishing results in terms of stable (co)homology.

3. VANISHING OF (CO)HOMOLOGY

In this section, we establish our rigidity results for stable Ext and Tor for modules of finite CI-dimension. We start with the following lemma, which shows that a module of finite CI-dimension has reducible complexity.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let $A$ be a local ring, and $M$ a finitely generated $A$-module of finite CI-dimension and infinite projective dimension. Then, given any odd integer $q \geq 1$, there exists a flat local homomorphism $A \to R$ and an exact sequence

$$0 \to R \otimes_A M \to K \to \Omega^q_R(R \otimes_A M) \to 0$$

of $R$-modules, with $\text{cx}_R K = \text{cx}_A M - 1$. Moreover, the $R$-modules $R \otimes_A M$ and $K$ have finite CI-dimension, with $\text{CI-dim}_R(R \otimes_A M) = \text{CI-dim}_R K = \text{depth} A - \text{depth} M$. 
By [Be2, Lemma 2.1], for any odd integer $q \geq 1$, there exists a quasi-deformation $A \rightarrow R \leftarrow Q$ and an exact sequence
$$0 \rightarrow R \otimes_A M \rightarrow K \rightarrow \Omega_R^n(R \otimes_A M) \rightarrow 0$$
of $R$-modules, with $cx_K K = cx_A M - 1$. Moreover, in the proof of [Be2, Lemma 2.1] it is shown that the CI-dimensions of both the $R$-modules $K$ and $R \otimes_A M$ are finite. Since the CI-dimension of $R \otimes_A M$ is finite, so is the CI-dimension of $\Omega_R^n(R \otimes_A M)$, and by [AGP, Lemma 1.9] the inequality $\text{depth}_R(R \otimes_A M) \leq \text{depth}_R \Omega_R^n(R \otimes_A M)$ holds. But then $\text{depth}_R K = \text{depth}_R(R \otimes_A M)$, and so
$$\text{depth}_R R - \text{depth}_R K = \text{depth}_R R - \text{depth}_R(R \otimes_A M) = \text{depth}_A A - \text{depth}_A M,$$where the latter equality is due to faithful flatness. \hfill \square

We also need a version of [Jo1, Lemma 3.2] suited to our needs.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let $A$ be a local ring, and $M$ a finitely generated module of finite CI-dimension. Suppose that $N$ is a finitely generated $A$-module. Then there exists a positive integer $n_0$ such that exactly one of the following holds:

1. $\text{Ext}_A^i(M, N) \neq 0$ for all $i \geq n_0$
2. $\text{Ext}_A^i(M, N) \neq 0$ and $\text{Ext}_A^{2i+1}(M, N) = 0$ for all $i \geq n_0/2$
3. $\text{Ext}_A^i(M, N) = 0$ and $\text{Ext}_A^{2i+1}(M, N) \neq 0$ for all $i \geq n_0/2$
4. $\text{Ext}_A^i(M, N) = 0$ for all $i \geq n_0$.

**Proof.** There exists a quasi-deformation $A \rightarrow R \leftarrow Q$ such that $\text{pd}_Q R \otimes_A M < \infty$.

If $Q$ does not have an infinite residue field, then one can find flat extensions $Q \rightarrow \tilde{Q}$ and $R \rightarrow \tilde{R}$ such that $\tilde{Q} \rightarrow \tilde{R}$ is a surjective local homomorphism with kernel generated by the same regular sequence that generates the kernel of $Q \rightarrow R$, and such that $\tilde{Q}$ has an infinite residue field. Since a $Q$-module $X$ being finitely generated over $Q$ implies that the $\tilde{Q}$-module $\tilde{Q} \otimes_Q X$ is finitely generated over $\tilde{Q}$, and an $R$-module $X$ is zero if and only if the $\tilde{R}$-module $\tilde{R} \otimes_{\tilde{R}} X$ is zero, we can without loss of generality assume that $Q$ has an infinite residue field.

Since $\text{pd}_Q R \otimes_A M < \infty$, and both $R \otimes_A M$ and $R \otimes_A N$ are finitely generated $R$-modules, $\text{Ext}_Q^i(R \otimes_A M, R \otimes_A N)$ is finitely generated as a $Q$-module. Thus by [Jo1, 3.2], there exists an integer $n_0$ such that exactly one of the conditions (1)–(4) holds for $\text{Ext}_R^i(R \otimes_A M, R \otimes_A N)$. By faithful flatness, exactly one of the conditions (1)–(4) holds, for the same integer $n_0$, for $\text{Ext}_A^i(M, N)$. \hfill \square

We recall an important fact regarding vanishing of stable (co)homology for modules of finite CI-dimension (see [AvB, Theorems 4.7 and 4.9]).

**3.3.** If $A$ is a local ring and $M$ is a module of finite CI-dimension, then for each $A$-module $N$ the following two conditions are equivalent.

1. $\text{Ext}_A^i(M, N) = 0$ for all $i \gg 0$
2. $\text{Ext}_A^i(M, N) = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We also have the equivalence of the three conditions

1. $\text{Tor}_A^i(M, N) = 0$ for all $i \gg 0$
2. $\text{Tor}_A^i(M, N) = 0$ for all $i \ll 0$
3. $\text{Tor}_A^i(M, N) = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We also need the following relation between stable Ext and stable Tor.
3.4. For finitely generated $A$-modules $M$ and $N$ with $\text{G-dim } M = 0$, we have

$$\text{Tor}_i^R (M, N) \cong \text{Ext}_{R}^{-i-1}(M^*, N)$$

for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Indeed, let $C$ be a complete resolution of $M$. For any complex $X$, we let $\Sigma^{-1} X$ denote the shifted complex with $(\Sigma^{-1} X)_i = X_{i+1}$. Then $\Sigma^{-1} C^* = \Sigma^{-1} \text{Hom}_R(C, R)$ is a complete resolution of $M^*$, and we have

$$\text{Tor}_i^R (M, N) = \text{H}_i(\Sigma_R \otimes_R N) \cong \text{H}_i(\text{Hom}_R(C^*, N))$$

$$\cong \text{H}_{i+1}(\text{Hom}_R(\Sigma^{-1} C^*, N))$$

$$\cong \text{Ext}_{R}^{-i-1}(M^*, N)$$

where the third isomorphism comes from the fact that the complexes $C^* \otimes_R N$ and $\text{Hom}_R(C^*, N)$ are naturally isomorphic.

The following relies on [AvB, Theorem 3.3]

Lemma 3.5. Let $M$ be a finitely generated $A$-module. If $\text{CI-dim } M = 0$ then $\text{CI-dim } M^* = 0$.

Proof. By assumption there exists a quasi-deformation $A \rightarrow R \leftarrow Q$ such that $\text{pd}_Q(R \otimes_A M) < \infty$, and by (1), $\text{depth}_A M = \text{depth}_A A$. Set $c = \text{pd}_Q R$. We thus have $\text{depth}_R(R \otimes_A M) = \text{depth}_R R$, which gives $\text{depth}_Q(R \otimes_A M) = \text{depth}_Q R$. Therefore

$$\text{grade}_Q(R \otimes_A M) \geq \text{grade}_Q(R) = c = \text{depth}_Q Q - \text{depth}_Q R$$

$$= \text{depth}_Q Q - \text{depth}_Q (R \otimes_A M)$$

$$= \text{pd}_Q(R \otimes_A M)$$

It follows that $\text{grade}_Q(R \otimes_A M) = \text{pd}_Q(R \otimes_A M)$, that is, $R \otimes_A M$ is a perfect $Q$-module of grade $c$. By [AvB, Theorem 3.3] we have that $\text{Hom}_R(R \otimes_A M, R)$ is also a perfect $Q$-module of grade $c$. Since $\text{Hom}_R(R \otimes_A M, R) \cong R \otimes_A \text{Hom}_A(M, A)$ we see that $M^* = \text{Hom}_A(M, A)$ has finite CI-dimension, and the equalities $\text{depth}_A M^* = \text{depth}_Q \text{Hom}_R(R \otimes_A M, R) = \text{depth}_Q Q - \text{pd}_Q \text{Hom}_Q(R \otimes_A M, R) = \text{depth}_Q Q - c = \text{depth}_Q R = \text{depth}_A A$ show that $M^*$ has CI-dimension 0. \qed

Having established the necessary lemmas and facts, we now prove the first of the main results of this section.

Theorem 3.6. Let $A$ be a local ring, and $M$ a finitely generated $A$-module of finite CI-dimension and complexity $c$. Furthermore, let $N$ be a not necessarily finitely generated $A$-module. Suppose there exist an integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and an odd integer $q \geq 1$ such that

$$\text{Tor}_n^A (M, N) = \text{Tor}_{n+q}^A (M, N) = \cdots = \text{Tor}_{n+(c-1)q}^A (M, N) = 0.$$

Then $\text{Tor}_{n+(q+1)i}^A (M, N) = \text{Tor}_{n+(c-1)q+i(q+1)}^A (M, N) = 0$ for all integers $i \geq 1$. If $N$ is finitely generated, then $\text{Tor}_{n-2i}^A (M, N) = 0$ for all $i \gg 0$. 

Proof. Denote depth $A - \text{depth } M$ by $d$. If $c = 0$, then there is nothing to prove since by the Auslander-Buchsbaum formula, the module $\Omega^d_A(M)$ is free, and so $\widehat{\text{Tor}}_1^A(M, N) = 0$ for all $i$.

The proof proceeds by induction on the complexity $c$ of $M$. If $c = 1$, then by [AGP, Theorem 7.3] the minimal resolution of the module $\Omega^d_A(M)$ is periodic of period at most two, hence so is the minimal complete resolution of $M$. In particular, the modules $\widehat{\text{Tor}}_i^A(M, N)$ and $\text{Tor}_{i+2}^A(M, N)$ are isomorphic for all integers $i$. Since $q$ is an odd number, the case $c = 1$ follows.

Next, suppose that $c \geq 2$. Choose a flat homomorphism of local rings $A \rightarrow R$, together with an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow R \otimes_A M \rightarrow K \rightarrow \Omega^q_A(R \otimes_A M) \rightarrow 0$$

of $R$-modules, as in Lemma 3.1. Thus, the $R$-modules $R \otimes_A M$ and $K$ have finite CI-dimension, and the complexity of $K$ is $c-1$. For every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ there is an isomorphism $\text{Tor}_i^R(R \otimes_A M, R \otimes_A N) \cong R \otimes_A \text{Tor}_i^A(M, N)$, hence $\text{Tor}_i^A(M, N)$ vanishes if and only if $\text{Tor}_i^R(R \otimes_A M, R \otimes_A N)$ does. We may therefore, without loss of generality, assume that there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow K \rightarrow \Omega^q_A(M) \rightarrow 0$$

of $A$-modules, in which $K$ has finite CI-dimension and complexity $c-1$. By the homology version of [AvM, Proposition 5.6], this short exact sequence induces a doubly infinite long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \widehat{\text{Tor}}_{j+1}^A(\Omega^q_j(M), N) \rightarrow \widehat{\text{Tor}}_j^A(M, N) \rightarrow \widehat{\text{Tor}}_j^A(K, N) \rightarrow \widehat{\text{Tor}}_j^A(\Omega^q_j(M), N) \rightarrow \cdots$$

of stable homology modules. Using [AvM, Proposition 5.6] once more, together with the fact that $\widehat{\text{Tor}}_j^A(F, N) = 0$ for all $j$ whenever $F$ is free, we see that $\widehat{\text{Tor}}_j^A(\Omega^q_j(M), N)$ is isomorphic to $\widehat{\text{Tor}}_{j+q}^A(M, N)$ for all $j$. Consequently, we obtain the long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \widehat{\text{Tor}}_{j+q+1}^A(M, N) \rightarrow \widehat{\text{Tor}}_j^A(M, N) \rightarrow \widehat{\text{Tor}}_j^A(K, N) \rightarrow \widehat{\text{Tor}}_j^A(M, N) \rightarrow \cdots$$

of stable homology modules.

The vanishing assumption on $\widehat{\text{Tor}}_j^A(M, N)$ forces $\widehat{\text{Tor}}_j^A(K, N)$ to vanish for $j \in \{n, n + q, \ldots, n + (c-2)q\}$. By induction, the modules $\widehat{\text{Tor}}_{n-i(q+1)}(K, N)$ and $\widehat{\text{Tor}}_{n-(c-2)q+i(q+1)}(K, N)$ vanish for all integers $i \geq 1$. In order to show now that $\widehat{\text{Tor}}_{n-i(q+1)}(M, N) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$ we use induction on $i$. Letting $j = n - (q + 1)$ in the long exact sequence above we see that $\widehat{\text{Tor}}_{n-(q+1)}^A(M, N) = 0$, and this is the $i = 1$ case. Now assume that $\widehat{\text{Tor}}_{n-i(q+1)}^A(M, N) = 0$ for some $i \geq 1$. Letting $j = n - (i+1)(q + 1)$ in the long exact sequence above, we see that $\widehat{\text{Tor}}_{n-((i+1)(q+1))}^A(M, N) = 0$, and induction is thus complete.

To show that $\widehat{\text{Tor}}_{n+(c-1)q+(i+1)(q+1)}^A(M, N)$ vanishes for all $i \geq 1$ we similarly use induction on $i$. The $i = 1$ case is obtained by letting $j = n + (c-2)q + (q + 1)$ in another view of the long exact sequence above:

$$\cdots \rightarrow \widehat{\text{Tor}}_j^A(M, N) \rightarrow \widehat{\text{Tor}}_j^A(K, N) \rightarrow \widehat{\text{Tor}}_{j+q}^A(M, N) \rightarrow \widehat{\text{Tor}}_{j-1}^A(M, N) \rightarrow \cdots$$
Assuming that $\text{Tor}_n^A(c-1)q+i(q+1)(M,N) = 0$ now for some $i \geq 1$, the inductive step is achieved by letting $j = n + (c-2)q + (i+1)(q+1)$ in this long exact sequence.

To establish the second conclusion, the syzygy $\Omega^d_A(M)$ of $M$ has CI-dimension zero. Thus by Lemma 3.5 the module $\Omega^d_A(M)^*$ has CI-dimension zero. By 3.4, and the first part of the theorem we see that $\text{Ext}^ n_{j-1}^A(\Omega^d_A(M)^*, N) \cong \text{Tor}_n^A(c-1)q+i(q+1)(M,N)$ vanishes for every $i \geq 1$. Lemma 3.2 implies then that $\text{Ext}^ n_{j-1}^A(\Omega^d_A(M)^*, N)$ vanishes for all $i \gg 0$. Finally, Fact 3.4 again and dimension shifting show that $\text{Tor}_n^A(M,N)$ vanishes for all $i \ll 0$, and this is the claim. \hfill $\Box$

We have the cohomology version of Theorem 3.6.

**Theorem 3.7.** Let $A$ be a local ring, and $M$ a finitely generated $A$-module of finite CI-dimension and complexity $c$. Furthermore, let $N$ be a not necessarily finitely generated $A$-module. Suppose there exist an integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and an odd integer $q \geq 1$ such that

$$\text{Ext}^ n_{j}^A(M,N) = \text{Ext}^ {n+q}_A(M,N) = \cdots = \text{Ext}^ {n+(c-1)q+1}_A(M,N) = 0.$$ 

Then $\text{Ext}^ {n+(c-1)q+1}_A(M,N) = 0$ for all integers $i \geq 1$, and if $N$ is finitely generated, then $\text{Ext}^ {n+(c-1)q+2}_A(M,N) = 0$ for all $i \gg 0$.

**Proof.** The proof is similar to that of 3.6, so we omit some details. We proceed by induction on $c$, with the $c = 0$ case being trivial.

If $c = 1$, then the minimal resolution of $\Omega_{A}^{\text{depth}}(M)$ is periodic of period at most two, hence so is the minimal complete resolution of $M$. In particular, the modules $\text{Ext}^ i_A(M,N)$ and $\text{Ext}^ {i+2}_A(M,N)$ are isomorphic for all integers $i$. Since $q$ is an odd number, the case $c = 1$ follows.

Now suppose that $c \geq 2$. We may without loss of generality assume that there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \to M \to K \to \Omega^d_A(M) \to 0$$

of $A$-modules, in which $K$ has finite CI-dimension and complexity $c-1$. By [AvM, Proposition 5.6], this short exact sequence induces a doubly infinite long exact sequence

$$\cdots \to \text{Ext}^ i_A(\Omega^d_A(M), N) \to \text{Ext}^ i_A(K, N) \to \text{Ext}^ i_A(M, N) \to \text{Ext}^ {i+1}_A(\Omega^d_A(M), N) \to \cdots$$

of stable cohomology modules, which can be rewritten as

$$\cdots \to \text{Ext}^ {j+q}_A(M,N) \to \text{Ext}^ j_A(K,N) \to \text{Ext}^ j_A(M,N) \to \text{Ext}^ {j+q+1}_A(M,N) \to \cdots$$

The vanishing assumption on $\text{Ext}^ j_A(M,N)$ forces $\text{Ext}^ j_A(K,N)$ to vanish for $j \in \{n, n+q, \ldots, n+(c-2)q\}$. By induction, the modules $\text{Ext}^ j_A(K,N)$ and $\text{Ext}^ {n+(c-2)q+i(q+1)}_A(K,N)$ vanish for all integers $i \geq 1$. In order to show now that $\text{Ext}^ {n+i(q+1)}_A(M,N) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$ we use induction on $i$. Letting $j = n - (i+1)(q+1)$ in the long exact sequence above we see that if $\text{Ext}^ {n+i(q+1)}_A(M,N) = 0$ for some $i \geq 1$, then $\text{Ext}^ {n+(i+1)(q+1)}_A(M,N) = 0$. Thus induction holds.
To show that \( \widehat{\text{Ext}}_{n+(c-1)q+(q+1)}^n (M, N) \) vanishes for all \( i \geq 1 \) we similarly use induction on \( i \).

The second conclusion follows from Lemma 3.2.

In the following corollaries, we record the special case \( q = 1 \) from the previous theorems.

**Corollary 3.8.** Let \( A \) be a local ring, and \( M \) a finitely generated \( A \)-module of finite CI-dimension and complexity \( c \). Furthermore, let \( N \) be a not necessarily finitely generated \( A \)-module. Suppose there is an integer \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \) such that

\[
\text{Tor}_n^A(M, N) = \text{Tor}_{n+1}^A(M, N) = \cdots = \text{Tor}_{n+c-1}^A(M, N) = 0.
\]

Then \( \text{Tor}_{n-2i}^A(M, N) = \text{Tor}_{n+c-1+2i}^A(M, N) = 0 \) for all integers \( i \geq 1 \).

**Corollary 3.9.** Let \( A \) be a local ring, and \( M \) a finitely generated \( A \)-module of finite CI-dimension and complexity \( c \). Furthermore, let \( N \) be a not necessarily finitely generated \( A \)-module. Suppose there is an integer \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \) such that

\[
\text{Ext}_n^A(M, N) = \text{Ext}_{n+1}^A(M, N) = \cdots = \text{Ext}_{n+c-1}^A(M, N) = 0.
\]

Then \( \text{Ext}_{n-2i}^A(M, N) = \text{Ext}_{n+c-1+2i}^A(M, N) = 0 \) for all integers \( i \geq 1 \).

We note that Theorems 3.6 and 3.7 recover results of [Jo2] and [Be2] for the vanishing of \( c_\chi A M + 1 \) equally spaced Ext and Tor for modules of finite CI-dimension.

**Corollary 3.10.** Let \( A \) be a local ring, and \( M \) a finitely generated \( A \)-module of finite CI-dimension and complexity \( c \). Furthermore, let \( N \) be a finitely generated \( A \)-module. Suppose there is an integer \( n \geq \text{depth} A - \text{depth} M + 1 \) and an odd integer \( q \geq 1 \) such that

\[
\text{Tor}_n^A(M, N) = \text{Tor}_{n+q}^A(M, N) = \cdots = \text{Tor}_{n+q(q+1)}^A(M, N) = 0.
\]

Then \( \text{Tor}_{i}^A(M, N) = 0 \) for all integers \( i \geq \text{depth} A - \text{depth} M + 1 \).

**Proof.** The hypothesis

\[
\text{Tor}_n^A(M, N) = \text{Tor}_{n+q}^A(M, N) = \cdots = \text{Tor}_{n+(c-1)q}^A(M, N) = 0.
\]

implies by Theorem 3.6 and (2) that \( \text{Tor}_{n-2i}^A(M, N) = 0 \) for all \( i \gg 0 \), and the hypothesis

\[
\text{Tor}_{n+q}^A(M, N) = \text{Tor}_{n+q}^A(M, N) = \cdots = \text{Tor}_{n+q(q+1)}^A(M, N) = 0.
\]

implies by Theorem 3.6 that \( \text{Tor}_{n+q-2i}^A(M, N) = 0 \) for all \( i \gg 0 \). Since \( q \) is odd, we have \( \text{Tor}_{i}^A(M, N) = 0 \) for all \( i \ll 0 \), and 3.3 shows that \( \text{Tor}_{i}^A(M, N) = 0 \) for all \( i \in \mathbb{Z} \). Thus \( \text{Tor}_{i}^A(M, N) = 0 \) for all integers \( i \geq \text{depth} A - \text{depth} M + 1 \).□

**Corollary 3.11.** Let \( A \) be a local ring, and \( M \) a finitely generated \( A \)-module of finite CI-dimension and complexity \( c \). Furthermore, let \( N \) be a finitely generated \( A \)-module. Suppose there is an integer \( n \geq \text{depth} A - \text{depth} M + 1 \) and an odd integer \( q \geq 1 \) such that

\[
\text{Ext}_n^A(M, N) = \text{Ext}_{n+q}^A(M, N) = \cdots = \text{Ext}_{n+q(q+1)}^A(M, N) = 0.
\]

Then \( \text{Ext}_{i}^A(M, N) = 0 \) for all integers \( i \geq \text{depth} A - \text{depth} M + 1 \).
Proof. The hypotheses

$$\text{Ext}^n_A(M, N) = \text{Ext}^{n+q}_A(M, N) = \cdots = \text{Ext}^{n+(c-1)q}_A(M, N) = 0$$

and

$$\text{Ext}^{n+q}_A(M, N) = \text{Ext}^{n+q+(c-1)q+2i}_A(M, N) = \cdots = \text{Ext}^{n+q+2i}_A(M, N) = 0.$$ 

imply by Theorem 3.7 and (3) that $\text{Ext}^n_A(M, N)$ vanish for all $i \gg 0$, respectively. Since $q$ is odd, we have $\text{Ext}^i_A(M, N) = 0$ for all $i \gg 0$, and 3.3 shows that $\text{Ext}^i_A(M, N) = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus $\text{Ext}^i_A(M, N) = 0$ for all integers $i \geq \text{depth } A - \text{depth } M + 1$ by (3).

We also generalize a result of [Jo1] for vanishing of Tor for modules over complete intersections.

**Theorem 3.12.** Let $A$ be a local Cohen-Macaulay ring of dimension $d$, and $M$ and $N$ finitely generated $A$-modules with $M$ of finite CI-dimension. Then there exists a positive integer $n_0$ with the following property: if

$$\text{Tor}^i_A(M, N) = \text{Tor}^i_{i+1}(M, N) = \cdots = \text{Tor}^i_{i+d}(M, N) = 0$$

for one even $i \geq n_0$, and

$$\text{Tor}^j_A(M, N) = \text{Tor}^j_{j+1}(M, N) = \cdots = \text{Tor}^j_{j+d}(M, N) = 0$$

for one odd $j \geq n_0$, then $\text{Tor}^A_i(M, N) = 0$ for all $n > d - \text{depth } M$.

**Proof.** The proof is by induction on $d$, the case $d = 0$ being covered by [Jo1, Theorem 3.1] (strictly speaking, the result [Jo1, Theorem 3.1] is formulated for modules over complete intersections, but the proof carries over verbatim to modules of finite CI-dimension). Suppose therefore that $d$ is positive. We may assume that both $M$ and $N$ are of positive depth; if not, then we replace them by their first syzygies $\Omega_A^1(M)$ and $\Omega_A^1(N)$. By [AGP, Lemma 1.9], the module $\Omega_A^1(M)$ also has finite CI-dimension.

Choose an element $x \in A$ which is regular on $M, N$ and $A$, and consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{x} M \rightarrow M/xM \rightarrow 0.$$ 

This sequence induces a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \text{Tor}^i_A(M, N) \xrightarrow{x} \text{Tor}^i_A(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Tor}^i_A(M/xM, N) \rightarrow \text{Tor}^i_{i-1}(M, N) \rightarrow \cdots$$

in homology. Now denote the ring $A/(x)$ by $\hat{A}$, and the $\hat{A}$-modules $M/xM$ and $N/xN$ by $\hat{M}$ and $\hat{N}$, respectively. Note that, by [AGP, Proposition 1.12], the $\hat{A}$-module $\hat{M}$ has finite CI-dimension. Thus, since the dimension of $\hat{A}$ is $d - 1$, by induction there exists an integer $n_0$ with the following property: if

$$\text{Tor}^i_A(M, \hat{N}) = \text{Tor}^i_{i+1}(M, \hat{N}) = \cdots = \text{Tor}^i_{i+d-1}(M, \hat{N}) = 0$$

for one even $i \geq n_0$, and

$$\text{Tor}^j_A(M, \hat{N}) = \text{Tor}^j_{j+1}(M, \hat{N}) = \cdots = \text{Tor}^j_{j+d-1}(M, \hat{N}) = 0$$

for one odd $j \geq n_0$, then $\text{Tor}^A_i(M, \hat{N}) = 0$ for all $n > \dim \hat{A} - \text{depth } \hat{M}$. Note that $\dim \hat{A} - \text{depth } \hat{M} = d - \text{depth } M$.

Suppose

$$\text{Tor}^i_A(M, N) = \text{Tor}^i_{i+1}(M, N) = \cdots = \text{Tor}^i_{i+d}(M, N) = 0$$
for one even $i \geq n_0 - 1$, and
\begin{align*}
\text{Tor}_1^A(M, N) &= \text{Tor}_1^A(M, N) = \cdots = \text{Tor}_{i+d}^A(M, N) = 0 \\
\text{Tor}_2^A(M, N) &= \text{Tor}_2^A(M, N) = \cdots = \text{Tor}_{i+d}^A(M, N) = 0
\end{align*}
for one odd $j \geq n_0 - 1$. Then the above long exact homology sequence implies that
\[ \text{Tor}_n^A(M, N) = 0 \]
for $i + 1 \leq n \leq i + d$ and $j + 1 \leq n \leq j + d$. By [Mat, Lemma 18.2(iii)], there is an isomorphism $\text{Tor}_n^A(M, N) \cong \text{Tor}_n^A(M, N)$ for every $n > 0$, and so from above we see that $\text{Tor}_n^A(M, N)$ vanishes for all $n > d - \text{depth} M$. The long exact homology sequence then shows that $\text{Tor}_n^A(M, N) = x \text{Tor}_n^A(M, N)$ for all $n > d - \text{depth} M$, and by Nakayama’s Lemma we conclude that $\text{Tor}_n^A(M, N) = 0$ for all $n > d - \text{depth} M$. \hfill \Box

We have the following corollary, in terms of the Tate Tors.

**Corollary 3.13.** Let $A$ be a local Cohen-Macaulay ring of dimension $d$, and $M$ and $N$ finitely generated $A$-modules with $M$ of finite CI-dimension. Then there exists a positive integer $n_0$ with the following property: if
\[ \text{Tor}_i^A(M, N) = \text{Tor}_{i+1}^A(M, N) = \cdots = \text{Tor}_{i+d}^A(M, N) = 0 \]
for one even $i \geq n_0$, and
\[ \text{Tor}_j^A(M, N) = \text{Tor}_{j+1}^A(M, N) = \cdots = \text{Tor}_{j+d}^A(M, N) = 0 \]
for one odd $j \geq n_0$, then $\text{Tor}_n^A(M, N) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

**Proof.** We have by (2) and the previous theorem that $\text{Tor}_n^A(M, N)$ for $n > \text{depth} A - \text{depth} M$. But then (2) and 3.3 imply that $\text{Tor}_n^A(M, N) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. \hfill \Box

By Fact 3.4 and Lemma 3.5 we have a statement dual to that of 3.12.

**Theorem 3.14.** Let $A$ be a local Cohen-Macaulay ring of dimension $d$, and $M$ and $N$ finitely generated $A$-modules with $M$ of finite CI-dimension. Then there exists a negative integer $n_0$ with the following property: if
\[ \text{Ext}_i^A(M, N) = \text{Ext}_{i-1}^A(M, N) = \cdots = \text{Ext}_{i-d}^A(M, N) = 0 \]
for one even $i \leq n_0$, and
\[ \text{Ext}_j^A(M, N) = \text{Ext}_{j-1}^A(M, N) = \cdots = \text{Ext}_{j-d}^A(M, N) = 0 \]
for one odd $j \leq n_0$, then $\text{Ext}_n^A(M, N) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

**Corollary 3.15.** Let $A$ be a local Cohen-Macaulay ring of dimension $d$, and $M$ and $N$ finitely generated $A$-modules with $M$ of finite CI-dimension. If for all positive integers $n$ there exists an $i \geq n$ such that
\[ \text{Tor}_i^A(M, N) = \text{Tor}_{i+1}^A(M, N) = \cdots = \text{Tor}_{i+d}^A(M, N) = 0 \]
then $\text{Tor}_n^A(M, N) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

**Corollary 3.16.** Let $A$ be a local Cohen-Macaulay ring of dimension $d$, and $M$ and $N$ finitely generated $A$-modules with $M$ of finite CI-dimension. If for all negative integers $n$ there exists an $i \leq n$ such that
\[ \text{Ext}_i^A(M, N) = \text{Ext}_{i-1}^A(M, N) = \cdots = \text{Ext}_{i-d}^A(M, N) = 0 \]
then $\text{Ext}_n^A(M, N) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.
We remark that the examples of [Jo1, 4.1] involving ordinary Ext and Tor can be expanded to illustrate the sharpness of Theorems 3.6 and 3.7 in the $q = 1$ case, in the sense that more vanishing of stable Ext and stable Tor cannot in general be concluded from the hypothesis. That is, in the examples below, the (co)homology modules not specified as vanishing by Theorems 3.6 and 3.7 remain nonzero. A proof can also be gotten along the lines of [Av, 9.3.7], but our proof below is different, and of independent interest.

Example 3.17. Let $n$ be a positive integer and
\[ R = k[[X_1, \ldots, X_n, Y_1, \ldots, Y_n]]/(X_1Y_1, \ldots, X_nY_n), \]
where $k$ is a field and the $X_i$ and $Y_i$ are analytic indeterminates. Then $R$ is a complete intersection of dimension $n$ codimension $n$. Let $M = R/(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, and $N = R/(y_1, \ldots, y_n)$. Then [Jo1, 4.1] shows that $M$ and $N$ are maximal Cohen-Macaulay $R$-modules of complexity $n$ with $\text{Ext}^1_R(M, N) = 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq n - 1$, and $\text{Ext}^{-1}_R(M, N) = 0 \neq \text{Ext}_R^0(M, N)$. Theorem 3.7 shows that $\text{Ext}^{-1}_R(M, N) = 0$ and $\text{Ext}^{-n+2i}_R(M, N) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$. We moreover claim that $\text{Ext}^{n+2i}_R(M, N) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$.

Indeed, for $1 \leq i \leq n$ let $F^{(i)}$ denote the acyclic complex
\[ F^{(i)}: \quad \rightarrow R e^1_{1} \rightarrow R e^1_{2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow R e^1_{i-1} \rightarrow R e^1_{i} \rightarrow 0 \]
where the $Re^1_{j}$ are free modules of rank one the singleton basis $e^1_{j}$. Then $F^{(i)}$ is a minimal free resolution of $R/(x_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. One easily checks that $\text{Tor}_j^R(R/(x_{i+1}), R/(x_1, \ldots, x_i)) = 0$ for all $j > 0$ and $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$. Thus
\[ F = F^{(1)} \otimes_R \cdots \otimes_R F^{(n)} \]
is a minimal free resolution of $M$ over $R$.

Note that $M \cong M^*$. Therefore a complete resolution of both $M$ and $M^*$ is given by splicing $F$ with its dual $F^*$ along $\text{Coker}(F_i \overset{\partial_i}{\longrightarrow} F_0) \cong \text{Ker}(\delta_i^* \overset{\partial_{i-1}^*}{\longrightarrow} F_1^*) \cong (y_1 \cdots y_n)$.

\[ C: \quad \rightarrow F_2 \overset{\partial_2}{\longrightarrow} F_1 \overset{\partial_1}{\longrightarrow} F_0 \overset{[y_1 \cdots y_n]}{\longrightarrow} F_0^* \overset{\delta^*_1}{\longrightarrow} F_1^* \overset{\delta^*_2}{\longrightarrow} F_2^* \rightarrow \cdots \]
\[ M \cong M^* \quad \rightarrow 0 \]

By convention we take $C_i = F_i$ for $i \geq 0$, and $C_i = F_{-i-1}^*$ for $i < 0$. We compute $\text{Ext}^i_R(M, N)$ by $H_{-i-1}(C \otimes_R N)$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

For $i \geq 1$. For a basis element of $F_2i$ of the form $e^1_{2i} \otimes \cdots \otimes e^1_{2n}$, for such that $i_1 + \cdots + i_n = i$ we have
\[ \partial_2(e^1_{2i} \otimes \cdots \otimes e^1_{2n}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \pm y_i e^1_{2i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e^1_{2i+n} \]
Therefore $(\partial_2 \otimes N)((e^1_{2i} \otimes \cdots \otimes e^1_{2n}) \otimes_R \mathbb{1}) = 0$, where $\mathbb{1}$ is the image in $N$ of the unit element of $R$. Since $F$ is a minimal resolution, this minimal generator $(e^1_{2i} \otimes \cdots \otimes e^1_{2n}) \otimes_R \mathbb{1}$ of $F_2i \otimes_R N$ cannot be in the image of $\partial_2i+1 \otimes N$. Thus
\[ \text{Ext}^{-1-2i}_R(M, N) = \text{H}_{2i}(C \otimes_R N) \neq 0 \]
Since \( i \geq 1 \) was arbitrary, we have established the claim regarding the negative Exts non-vanishing.

Fix \( i \geq 0 \), and consider the map \( \partial C_{n-1-2i} = \partial_{n+1+2i} \). Let \( \xi^* \) denote the basis element of \( F_{n+2i}^* \), dual to a basis element \( \xi \in F_{n+2i} \). For the basis element \( (e_{2i+1}^1 \cdots e_{2n+1}^n) \in F_{n+2i}^* \) (so that \( i_1 + \cdots + i_n = i \)), and any basis element \( e_{j_1}^1 \cdots e_{j_n}^n \in F_{n+1+2i} \), we have

\[
\partial_{n+1+2i}^* ((e_{2i+1}^1 \cdots e_{2n+1}^n) \sigma_0) (e_{j_1}^1 \cdots e_{j_n}^n) = (e_{2i+1}^1 \cdots e_{2n+1}^n) \sigma_0 (e_{j_1}^1 \cdots e_{j_n}^n)
\]

This element is zero unless \( j_r = 2i_r + 2 \) for some \( 1 \leq r \leq n \), and \( j_s = 2i_s + 1 \) for \( s \neq r \), in which case

\[
(e_{2i+1}^1 \cdots e_{2n+1}^n)^* \sigma_0 = (\pm y_r e_{2i+1}^1 \cdots e_{2n+1}^n) = \pm y_r
\]

It follows that \( (e_{2i+1}^1 \cdots e_{2n+1}^n)^* \sigma_0 \) is a minimal generator of \( F_{n+2i}^* \) which lies in the kernel of \( \partial_{n+1+2i}^* \). Since \( F^* \) is a minimal complex we have

\[
\text{Ext}_{Q/\mathcal{R}}^{n+2i} (M, N) = H_{n-1-2i}(C \otimes_R N) \neq 0
\]

This establishes the remainder of the claim.

One may now use the self-duality of either \( M \) or \( N \) in the example to establish the analogous non-vanishing of \( \text{Tor}^r (M, N) \) illustrating the sharpness of Theorem 3.6.

4. Pre-rigidity of Modules

Throughout this section, unless otherwise specified we let \( (Q, n, k) \) be a local ring, \( x \) a non-zero divisor contained in the maximal ideal of \( Q \), and \( R = Q/(x) \). Let \( M \) be a finitely generated non-zero \( R \)-module, and \( F \) a \( Q \)-free resolution of \( M \). Assume that \( \{\sigma_i\}_{i \geq 0} \) is a system of higher homotopies on \( F \). That is, for all \( i \geq 0 \) each \( \sigma_i \) is a degree \( 2i - 1 \) endomorphism of \( F \) as a graded module with \( \sigma_0 = \partial F \), \( \sigma_0 \sigma_1 + \sigma_1 \sigma_0 = x \text{Id}_F \) and \( \sum_{i+j=n} \sigma_i \sigma_j = 0 \) for \( n > 1 \). Shamash shows in [Sha] that such a system always exists.

**Definition 4.1.** We say that an \( R \)-module \( N \) is **pre-rigid of degree \( r \)** with respect to \( M \) and \( Q \) if there exists a \( Q \)-free resolution \( F \) of \( M \) and a system of higher homotopies \( \{\sigma_i\}_{i \geq 0} \) on \( F \) such that the induced maps

\[
(\sigma_i)_j \otimes_Q N : F_j \otimes_Q N \to F_{j+2i-1} \otimes_Q N
\]

are zero for \( j > r - (2i - 1) \), and all \( i \geq 1 \).

**Example 4.2.** If \( \text{pd}_Q M = r < \infty \), then every \( R \)-module \( N \) is pre-rigid of degree \( r \) with respect to \( M \). Indeed, in this case there exists a minimal free resolution \( F \) of \( M \) with \( F_j = 0 \) for \( j > r \), and so the homotopies \( \sigma_i \) themselves map to zero for \( j > r - (2i - 1) \).

**Example 4.3.** Let \( I \) be an ideal of \( Q \), and suppose that \( (\sigma_i)_j (F_j) \subseteq IF_{j+2i-1} \) for all \( i \geq 1 \) and \( j \geq 0 \). Then \( Q/(I, x) \) is pre-rigid of degree \( 0 \) with respect to \( M \) and \( Q \). In particular, if \( (\sigma_i)_j (F_j) \subseteq n F_{j+2i-1} \) for all \( i \geq 1 \) and \( j \geq 0 \), then \( k \) is pre-rigid of degree \( 0 \) with respect to \( M \) and \( Q \).
The following is the main result of this section. It motivates the choice of terminology.

**Theorem 4.4.** Let $M$ be a finitely generated $R$-module, and assume that $N$ is an $R$-module which is pre-rigid of degree $r$ with respect to $M$ and $Q$. If $\text{Tor}_n^R(M,N) = 0$ for some $n > r$, then $\text{Tor}_{n-2i}^Q(M,N) = 0$ for $n \geq n - 2i > r$. If $r = 0$, then $\text{Tor}_{n-2i}^Q(M,N) = 0$ for all $i \geq 0$.

In preparation for the proof of Theorem 4.4 we want to describe a free resolution of $M$ over $R$ using one of $M$ over $Q$, following [Sha] (see also [Av, 3.1.3]).

Let $D$ be the complex of $R$-modules with trivial differential having $D_i = 0$ for $i < 0$, $D_{2i} = 0$ for $i \geq 1$, and $D_{2i}$ the free $R$-module $Re_i$ on the singleton basis $e_i$ for $i \geq 0$. Let $F$ be a free resolution of $M$ over $Q$, and $\{\sigma_i\}_{i \geq 0}$ a system of higher homotopies on $F$ (recall that $\sigma_0$ is the differential of $F$). We equip the complex $D \otimes Q F$ with the differential $\partial = \sum j t_j \otimes \sigma_j$ where $t_j : D_{2i} \to D_{2(i-1)}$ is defined by $t_j(e_i) = e_{i-j}$, so that $\partial(e_i \otimes f) = \sum_j e_{i-j} \otimes \sigma_j(f)$. Then $(D \otimes Q F, \partial)$ is a free resolution of $M$ over $R$ [Sha].

**Proof.** We may compute $\text{Tor}_r^R(M,N)$ from the complex

$$\mathcal{F} = (D \otimes_Q F) \otimes_R N \cong D \otimes_Q F \otimes_Q N.$$ 

with differential $\partial \otimes_Q N$, where $\partial$ is the differential of $D \otimes_Q F$ defined in the previous paragraph. Filtering this complex by $\mathcal{F}_p = \sum_{i \leq p} D_{2i} \otimes_Q F \otimes_Q N$ one gets a first-quadrant convergent spectral sequence whose $E^0$-page is

$$\begin{array}{c}
\vdots \\
D_0 \otimes F_3 \otimes N \\
D_0 \otimes F_2 \otimes N \\
D_0 \otimes F_1 \otimes N \\
D_0 \otimes F_0 \otimes N \\
\end{array}$$

with the convention that $E^0_{i,j} = D_{2i} \otimes_Q F_j$. Since $D_{2i} \cong R$ for all $i \geq 0$, the homology of the vertical maps in this $E^0$-page at the $E^0_{i,j}$ spot is just $\text{Tor}_{j-i}^Q(M,N)$,
Let all $n$ for one even value of $Q$ is an deformation with $A$ so the second statement of the theorem holds.

Corollary 4.5. one.

eralizes in a sense the behavior of modules of finite CI-dimension and complexity

The horizontal maps $d_{1,i}^j$ are induced by the maps
$t \otimes (\sigma_1)_{i-1} \otimes N : D_2 \otimes F_{i-1} \otimes N \to D_0 \otimes F_i \otimes N$
for $i \geq 1$. Note that the maps $d_{1,i}^j$ and $d_{i+1,j+1}^1$ are the same for all $i, j \geq 1$.

Now assume that $N$ is pre-rigid of degree $r$ with respect to $M$ and $Q$. Then it is clear that the maps $d_{1,j}^1 = 0$ for all $j \geq r$, and thus $d_{i,j}^1 = 0$ for all $j \geq i + r$. Since the $E^2$-terms of the spectral sequence are the homologies of these induced horizontal maps, it follows that $E_{i,j}^2 = E_{i,j}^1 = Tor^{Q}_{j+i}(M, N)$ for all $j \geq i + r + 1$. In general, the hypothesis that $N$ is pre-rigid implies that the maps $d_{s,j}^1$ on the $E^s$-page of the spectral sequence are zero for all $j \geq i + r - (2s - 1) + 1$, and all $s \geq 1$, and thus the limit terms of the spectral sequence are given by

$$E_{i,j}^\infty = E_{i,j}^1 = Tor^{Q}_{j+i}(M, N)$$

for all $j \geq i + r + 1$.

Now taking the associated filtration $\Phi$ of the total homology $H$ of $F$ (see, for example, [Ro, 11.13]), we have isomorphisms $Tor^{Q}_{j+i}(M, N) \cong \Phi^i H_{i+j}/\Phi^{i-1} H_{i+j}$ for $j \geq i + r + 1$. Since $H_n = Tor^{R}_n(M, N)$ for all $n$, the first statement of Theorem 4.4 follows easily.

When $r = 0$ we actually get that $E_{i,j}^\infty = E_{i,j}^1 = Tor^{Q}_{j+i}(M, N)$ for all $j \geq i$, and so the second statement of the theorem holds. \hfill $\square$

The following main corollary of 4.4 shows that the notion of pre-rigidity generalizes in a sense the behavior of modules of finite CI-dimension and complexity one.

Corollary 4.5. Let $A$ be a local ring, and assume that $M$ is a finitely generated $A$-module with finite CI-dimension. Let $A \to R \to Q$ be a codimension $c$ quasi-deformation with $R \cong Q/(x_1, \ldots, x_c)$ such that $pd_{Q} M \otimes_{A} R < \infty$. Assume that $N$ is an $A$-module such that $N \otimes_{A} R$ is pre-rigid of degree $r$ with respect to $M \otimes_{A} R$ and $Q/(x_2, \ldots, x_c)$. Set $b = \max\{r, \text{depth} A - \text{depth}_{A} M + 1\} + c$. If $Tor_{n}^{A}(M, N) = 0$ for one even value of $n \geq b$ and one odd value of $n \geq b$, then $Tor_{n}^{A}(M, N) = 0$ for all $n \geq \text{depth} A - \text{depth} M + 1$. 

\[ \text{Diagram}\]
Proof. Suppose that \( \text{Tor}^A_n(M, N) = 0 \) for an even \( n_c \geq b \) and \( \text{Tor}^A_n(M, N) = 0 \) for an odd \( n_o \geq b \). By flatness we have \( \text{Tor}_n^R(M', N') = \text{Tor}_n^R(M', N') = 0 \), where \( M' = R \otimes_A M \) and \( N' = R \otimes_A N \). Let \( Q' = Q/(x_2, \ldots, x_c) \). By assumption \( N' \) is pre-rigid of degree \( r \) with respect to \( M' \) and \( Q' \). Since \( b > r \), Theorem 4.4 applies to give \( \text{Tor}_{n-j}^{Q'}(M', N') = 0 \) for \( n \geq n - j > \), where \( n = \min\{n_o, n_c\} \). Since \( n - r \geq \ell - r \geq c \), and \( n - (\text{depth}_A - \text{depth}_A M + 1) \geq b - (\text{depth}_A - \text{depth}_A M + 1) \geq c \), we have at least \( c \) consecutive vanishing \( \text{Tor}_{n-j}^{Q'}(M', N') = 0 \) beyond \( \text{depth}_A - \text{depth}_A M + 1 = \text{depth}_{Q'} M' \). The complexity of \( M' \) as a \( Q' \)-module is at most \( c - 1 \). Thus by [Jo2, 2.2] we have \( \text{Tor}_{n-j}^{Q'}(M', N') = 0 \) for all \( j \geq \text{depth}_{Q'} M' + 1 \). A standard argument (see, for example, [Jo1, 0.1]) now shows that \( \text{Tor}_n^R(M', N') \cong \text{Tor}_{n+2}^R(M', N') \) for all \( j \geq \text{depth}_R - \text{depth}_R M' + 1 \). Finally, since \( \text{Tor}_n^R(M', N') = \text{Tor}_n^R(M', N') = 0 \) it follows that \( \text{Tor}_n^R(M', N') = 0 \) for all \( j \geq \text{depth}_R - \text{depth}_R M' + 1 \). Thus \( \text{Tor}^A_n(M, N) = 0 \) for all \( j \geq \text{depth}_A - \text{depth}_A M + 1 \), which was the claim. \( \square \)

The next corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.4.

Corollary 4.6. Let \( M \) be a finitely generated non-zero \( R \)-module. Suppose that \( N \) is an \( R \)-module which is pre-rigid of degree 0 with respect to \( M \) and \( Q \). Then \( \text{Tor}_n^R(M, N) = 0 \) for some even \( n \geq 0 \) if and only if \( N = 0 \).

The next theorem shows that the pre-rigidity condition gives a formula for relative lengths of \( \text{Tor} \).

Theorem 4.7. Let \( M \) be a finitely generated \( R \)-module. Suppose that \( N \) is an \( R \)-module which is pre-rigid of degree 0 with respect to \( M \) and \( Q \). If \( \text{Tor}_n^R(M, N) \) has finite length for some \( n \geq 0 \), then \( \text{Tor}_{n-2i}^Q(M, N) \) has finite length for all \( i \geq 0 \), and

\[
\text{length} \text{Tor}_n^R(M, N) = \sum_{i \geq 0} \text{length} \text{Tor}_{n-2i}^Q(M, N)
\]

Proof. Consider the spectral sequence in the proof of Theorem 4.4. The associated filtration \( \Phi \) of the total homology \( H \) of \( \mathcal{F} \) is

\[
0 = \Phi^{-1}H_n \subseteq \Phi^0H_n \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \Phi^{n-1}H_n \subseteq \Phi^nH_n = H_n
\]

for all \( n \), and we have \( E_{i,j}^\infty \cong \Phi^iH_n/\Phi^{i+1}H_n \) for \( i + j = n \), and all \( n \). If \( N \) is pre-rigid of degree 0 with respect to \( M \) and \( Q \), then as we saw in the proof of Theorem 4.4, \( E_{i,j}^\infty \cong \text{Tor}_{n-j}^Q(M, N) \) for all \( i, j \). Since \( H_n \cong \text{Tor}_R^R(M, N) \), the claim is now clear. \( \square \)

We single out a particular case of interest, which follows directly from Theorem 4.7.

Corollary 4.8. Let \( M \) be a finitely generated \( R \)-module. Suppose that \( N \) is an \( R \)-module which is pre-rigid of degree 0 with respect to \( M \) and \( Q \). Then \( \text{Tor}_n^R(M, N) \) has finite length for some even \( i \geq 0 \) if and only if \( M \otimes_R N \) has finite length.

Remark 4.9. Theorem 4.7 can be viewed as a generalization of one of the main results of Shamash [Sha], which states that if \( x \in \mathfrak{n} \text{Ann}_Q M \), then there is an equality of Poincaré series \( P_M^R(t) = P_M^Q(t)/(1 - t^2) \).

Indeed, if \( x \in \mathfrak{n} \text{Ann}_Q M \), then Shamash shows that a free resolution \( F \) of \( M \) over \( Q \) admits a system of higher homotopies \( \{\sigma_i\}_{i \geq 0} \) such that \( \sigma_i(F) \subseteq \mathfrak{n}F \) for
all \( i \geq 0 \). Then the \( R \)-free resolution \( D \otimes F \) of \( M \) in the proof of Theorem 4.4 will be minimal, and, as in Example 4.3, the \( R \)-module \( k \) is pre-rigid of degree 0 with respect to \( M \) and \( F \). Theorem 4.7 then gives a statement about Betti numbers: 

\[
\beta_R^n(M) = \sum_{i \geq 0} \beta_R^{n-2i}(M),
\]

which in terms of Poincaré series translates to 

\[
P_R^M(t) = P_Q^M(t)/(1-t^2).
\]
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