

## SOLUTION TO Ex. 5.13.

We know that  $\hat{f}_\lambda$  minimizes

$$\sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - \hat{f}(x_i))^2 + \lambda \int (\hat{f}''(t))^2 dt$$

If we add the point  $(x_0, \hat{f}_\lambda(x_0))$ , then we are to minimize

$$\textcircled{*} \underbrace{(\hat{f}_\lambda(x_0) - \hat{f}(x_0))^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - \hat{f}(x_i))^2 + \lambda \int (\hat{f}''(t))^2 dt}_{N+1 \text{ terms now!}}$$

Now the old  $\hat{f}_\lambda(x_0)$  minimizes both the first term,  $(\hat{f}_\lambda(x_0) - \hat{f}(x_0))^2$ , and the second term  $\sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - \hat{f}(x_i))^2 + \lambda \int (\hat{f}''(t))^2 dt$ . But then it of course minimizes the sum in  $\textcircled{*}$ .

The goal is now to show that (see (5.26), (5.27))

$$y_i - \hat{f}_\lambda^{(-i)}(x_i) = \frac{y_i - \hat{f}_\lambda(x_i)}{1 - S_\lambda(i, i)} \quad \text{for a dataset } (y_i, x_i); i=1, \dots, N$$

To prove this we will instead assume that the data including  $x_0$  is the full data set, while the data without the  $x_0$  corresponds to the " $(-i)$ " case (so we can write " $(-0)$ ").

Let now  $S(\lambda)$  be the  $(N+1) \times (N+1)$  smoothing matrix which includes the data point with  $x_0$

Let also  $\hat{f}_\lambda(x_0)$  have the meaning of the first part of the exercise. Then

$$(**) \hat{f}_\lambda(x_0) = \sum_{j=1}^N S_{0j}(\lambda) y_j + S_{00}(\lambda) \hat{f}_\lambda(x_0)$$

Since this is the "y" for the observation at  $x_0$  that was assumed in the beginning of the exercise.

(\*\*) is simply the first element of (5.14),  $\hat{f} = \underline{S}_\lambda \underline{y}$ .

Suppose now instead that the observed value of  $y$  at  $x_0$  is  $y_0$  (any number), instead of  $\hat{f}_\lambda(x_0)$  as in the beginning of the exercise. This would not have changed the matrix  $S(\lambda)$  [(N+1) x (N+1) matrix] since this depends only on the  $x$ -values and not  $y$ .  $\mathbb{E}$

But then the  $\hat{f}_\lambda(x_0)$  in (\*) can be interpreted as  $\hat{f}_\lambda^{(-0)}(x_0)$  in the full (N+1) x (N+1) case, and hence (\*) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{f}_\lambda^{(-0)}(x_0) &= \sum_{j=1}^N S_{0j}(\lambda) y_j + S_{00}(\lambda) \hat{f}_\lambda^{(-0)}(x_0) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^N S_{0j}(\lambda) y_j - S_{00}(\lambda) y_0 + S_{00}(\lambda) \hat{f}_\lambda^{(-0)}(x_0) \\ &= \underbrace{\hat{f}_\lambda(x_0)}_{\text{in the (N+1) point model}} - S_{00}(\lambda) y_0 + S_{00}(\lambda) \hat{f}_\lambda^{(-0)}(x_0) \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $y_0 - \hat{f}_\lambda^{(-0)}(x_0) = y_0 - \hat{f}_\lambda(x_0) + S_{00}(\lambda)(y_0 - \hat{f}_\lambda^{(-0)}(x_0))$

So

$$y_0 - \hat{f}_\lambda^{(-0)}(x_0) = \frac{y_0 - \hat{f}_\lambda(x_0)}{1 - S_{00}(\lambda)}$$

which is what is needed for (5.27).