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## Outline DOE

— The full $2^{k}$ experiment (L19, note pages 1-14)

- Coding, standard order.
- Simple formulas for parameter estimates and SSR (due to orthogonality).
- Main and interaction effects.
- Lenths method, and other strategies for estimating $\sigma^{2}$.
- External effect present when performing repetitions?
- Blocking in full $2^{k}$ experiments (L20, note pages 15-20)
- Fractions of $2^{k}$ experiments (L21, note pages 20-29)


## Lima beans example

Experiment from Box, Hunter, Hunter, Statistics for Experimenters, page 321.

- A: depth of planting ( 0.5 inch or 1.5 inch)
- B: watering daily (once or twice)
- C: type of lima bean (baby or large)
- Y: yield

| A | B | C | AB | AC | BC | ABC | Level code | Response |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | - | - | + | + | + | - | 1 | 6 |
| + | - | - | - | - | + | + | a | 4 |
| - | + | - | - | + | - | + | b | 10 |
| + | + | - | + | - | - | - | ab | 7 |
| - | - | + | + | - | - | + | c | 4 |
| + | - | + | - | + | - | - | ac | 3 |
| - | + | + | - | - | + | - | bc | 8 |
| + | + | + | + | + | + | + | abc | 5 |
| $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{12}$ | $x_{13}$ | $x_{23}$ | $x_{123}$ |  | $y$ |

DOE: $2^{k}$ full factorials (L19) [DOE note on wuw-page, nut book]
Ex: Lima beans, $k=3$ factors
Study three factors, each at two levels. Perform all possible $2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2=2^{3}=8$ experiments.

stendard order
multiply the alevont columns to get this

$$
Y=X_{\beta}+\varepsilon, \varepsilon \sim N_{n}\left(0, \sigma^{2} I\right) \text { as for rR, }
$$

but with the coding given on the previn page, with en intercept chin added:

DOE coding w/inlecupt an first column
NB: $\beta_{0}$ is here used to dendle the intercept

$$
Y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\frac{A}{\beta_{1} X_{i 1}}+\frac{\frac{B}{\hat{\beta}}}{\beta_{2} X_{i 2}}+\cdots \frac{A B C}{\frac{1}{\beta_{7} X_{i 7}}}+\varepsilon_{i}
$$

Work:

1) Show that any two columns in $X$ are orthogonal. "8 $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i j} x_{i k}=0$
2) Show that $\sum_{i=1}^{n^{\prime \prime}} x_{i j}=0 \quad \forall j$ except $j=0$ (intercept)
3) and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i j}^{2}=n$.

Check: a) $A$ and $B$ colum en: $+1-1-1+1+1-1-1+1=0$
b) $-1 \cdot 4+1 \cdot 4=0$
c) $(-1)^{2} \cdot 4+1^{2} \cdot 4=8$

How does this influence ow formulas from MLR:
i) $\hat{\beta}_{j}, \operatorname{Var}_{i j}\left(\hat{\beta}_{j}\right)$ and $\underset{i \omega j}{ } S R$

## Orthogonality (WMMY notation)

Consider the vector/matrix setup $y=X \beta+\epsilon$, or written out,

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
y_{1} \\
y_{2} \\
\vdots \\
y_{n}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & x_{11} & x_{21} & \cdots & x_{k 1} \\
1 & x_{12} & x_{22} & \cdots & x_{k 2} \\
\vdots & & & & \\
1 & x_{1 n} & x_{2 n} & \cdots & x_{k n}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\beta_{0} \\
\beta_{1} \\
\vdots \\
\beta_{k}
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{c}
\epsilon_{1} \\
\epsilon_{2} \\
\vdots \\
\epsilon_{n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

We say that $X$ has orthogonal columns if the product-sum of any two columns is 0 . This means here that:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{j i} x_{\ell i}=0 \text { when } j \neq \ell(j, \ell=1, \ldots, k) \\
\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{\ell i}=0 \text { for } \ell=1, \ldots, k
\end{gathered}
$$

The last equality, which says that each of the column sums for $\ell=1, \ldots, k$ are 0 , follows since the left column has only 1s).

## General normal equations (WMMY notation)

Normal equations for least squares estimation (without orthogonality)

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
n b_{0}+b_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{1 i} & +b_{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{2 i}+\cdots+b_{k} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{k i} & =\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i} \\
b_{0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{1 i}+b_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{1 i}^{2}+b_{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{1 i} x_{2 i}+\cdots+b_{k} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{1 i} x_{k i} & =\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{1 i} y_{i} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
b_{0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{k i}+b_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{k i} x_{1 i}+b_{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{k i} x_{2 i}+\cdots+b_{k} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{k i}^{2} & =\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{k i} y_{i}
\end{array}
$$

## Orthogonality: normal equations (WMMY notation)

Normal equations for least squares estimation with orthogonality:

$$
\begin{gathered}
n b_{0}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}, \\
b_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{1 i}^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{1 i} y_{i}, \\
\vdots \\
\vdots \\
b_{k} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{k i}^{2}= \\
\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{k i} y_{i} .
\end{gathered}
$$

## Orthogonality: SSR (WMMY notation)

$$
\begin{aligned}
S S R & =\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\hat{y}_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(b_{0}+b_{1} x_{1 i}+\cdots+b_{k} x_{k i}-b_{0}\right)^{2} \\
& =b_{1}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{1 i}^{2}+b_{2}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{2 i}^{2}+\cdots+b_{k}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{k i}^{2} \\
& =R\left(\beta_{1}\right)+R\left(\beta_{2}\right)+\cdots+R\left(\beta_{k}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

i)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{\beta}=\left(x^{\top} x\right)^{-1} x^{\top} y
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{\beta}_{j}=\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i j}{ }^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i j} \cdot y_{i}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i j} \cdot y_{i} \\
& \hat{\beta}_{0}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} 1 \cdot y_{i}=\bar{y}
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe: $\hat{\beta}_{j}$ is independent of choice of model.
ii)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\beta}_{j}\right)=\operatorname{Var}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \cdot y_{i}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{\sum_{i=j}^{n} x_{i j}^{2} \underbrace{\operatorname{Var}\left(y_{i}\right)}_{\sigma^{2}}=\frac{1}{n^{2}} \cdot n \cdot \sigma^{2}=\frac{1}{n} \sigma^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

iii)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Sse }=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\hat{y}_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2} \text { and } \hat{\beta}_{0}=\bar{y} \\
& \hat{y}_{1}=\sum_{j=0}^{r} \hat{\beta}_{j} \cdot x_{i j} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\left(\sum_{j=0}^{r} \hat{\beta}_{j} x_{i j}\right)-\hat{\beta}_{0}\right]^{2} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\hat{\beta}_{0}+\sum_{j=1}^{r} \hat{\beta}_{j} x_{i j}-\hat{\beta}_{0}\right)^{2} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{r} \hat{\beta}_{j} x_{i j}\right)^{2}=\sum_{j=1}^{r} \hat{\beta}_{j}^{2} \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i j}^{2}}_{n} \text { since } \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i j} x_{i n}=0 \\
& =n \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{r} \hat{\beta}_{j}^{2} \\
& \underbrace{n \hat{\beta}_{1}^{2}}_{\operatorname{SSR}\left(x_{1}\right)}+\underbrace{n \hat{\beta}_{2}}_{\operatorname{SSR}\left(x_{r}\right)}+\cdots+\underbrace{n \hat{\beta}_{r}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Main effects in DOE

Main effect of $A$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{A} & =2 b_{1} \\
& =\frac{y_{2}+y_{4}+y_{6}+y_{8}}{4}-\frac{y_{1}+y_{3}+y_{5}+y_{7}}{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Interpretation: mean response when $A$ is high MINUS mean response when $A$ is low.
Similarily, main effect of $B$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{B} & =2 b_{2} \\
& =\frac{y_{3}+y_{4}+y_{7}+y_{8}}{4}-\frac{y_{1}+y_{2}+y_{5}+y_{6}}{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Interpretation: mean response when $B$ is high MINUS mean response when $B$ is low.

## Main effects plot for $\mathbf{y}$



$$
\begin{array}{cccrrrr}
\text { A } & \text { B } & \text { C } & \text { A:B } & \text { A:C } & \text { B:C } & \text { A:B:C } \\
-2.25 & 3.25 & -1.75 & -0.75 & 0.25 & -0.25 & -0.25
\end{array}
$$

DOE Effects
For each $\beta_{j}$ in the mood equation (except $j=0$ ) we define an effect to be

$$
\text { Effect j }=2 \cdot \beta_{j}
$$

Why? $B_{j}$ gives the change when $x_{i j}$ goes from 0 to 1 , or -1 to 0 etc, while Effect gives the change when $x_{i j}$ goes from -1 to 1 (the renge of the experiment factors)

$$
\hat{E f f e c t j}=2 \cdot \hat{\beta}_{j}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(E \hat{E f f e c t f_{j}}\right)=4 \cdot \underbrace{\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\beta_{j}}\right)}_{\frac{\sigma^{2}}{h}}=4 \frac{\sigma^{2}}{=} \stackrel{\text { DEF }}{=} \sigma_{\text {effect }}^{2}
$$

Cube plot of deta [3factor]


Main effecto:


Inleraction effect

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\hat{A B}=2 \cdot \hat{\beta}_{12}=\frac{2}{8}\left(y_{1}-y_{2}-y_{3}+y_{4}+y_{5}-y_{6}-y_{7}+y_{8}\right) \\
& =\cdots=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{y_{4}+y_{3}}{2}-\frac{y_{3}+y_{7}}{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{y_{2}+y_{6}}{2}-\frac{y_{1}+y_{5}}{2}\right) \\
& =-0.75 \\
A+B+; & \\
\begin{array}{ll}
A+B \div & \\
\begin{array}{ll}
A+B+ &
\end{array} & =\frac{9.5}{9}
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$

$A \div B \div y_{1}, y_{5}: \frac{1}{2}\left(y_{1}+y_{5}\right)=5$


## Interaction effect in DOE

- What is the terpretation in DOE associated with $\beta_{12}$ ?
- In DOE $2 \hat{\beta}_{12}$ is denoted $\widehat{A B}$ and is called the estimated interaction effect between $A$ and $B$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{A B} & =2 \hat{\beta}_{12} \\
& =\frac{\text { estimated main effect of } A \text { when } B \text { is high }}{2} \\
& -\frac{\text { estimated main effect of } A \text { when } B \text { is low }}{2} \\
& =\frac{\text { estimated main effect of } B \text { when } A \text { is high }}{2} \\
& \text { estimated main effect of } B \text { when } A \text { is low }
\end{aligned}
$$

Interaction plot matrix for $y$


$$
\begin{array}{cccrrrr}
\text { A } & \text { B } & \text { C } & \text { A:B } & \text { A:C } & \text { B:C } & \text { A:B:C } \\
-2.25 & 3.25 & -1.75 & -0.75 & 0.25 & -0.25 & -0.25
\end{array}
$$

## Interpretation of $\widehat{A B C}$

- $\widehat{A B C}=\frac{1}{2} \widehat{A B}$ interaction when $C$ is at the high level $\frac{1}{2} \widehat{A B}$ interaction when $C$ is at the low level.
- Or, two other possible interpretation with swapped placed for $A, B$ and $C$.
- And remember that $\widehat{A B}=\frac{1}{2} \widehat{A}$ main effect when $B$ is at the high level $-\frac{1}{2} \widehat{A}$ main effect when $B$ is at the low level.


## Geometric interpretation of effects


(a) Main effects

(b) Two-factor interactions

(c) Three-factor interaction

## Important remark

- We will here denote the intercept by $\beta_{0}$.
- We will look at $k$ dichotomous covariates, so we estimate $p=k+1$ regression parameters.


## R: DOE set-up

> library (FrF2)
> plan <- FrF2(nruns=8, nfactors=3,randomize=FALSE)
creating full factorial with 8 runs ...
> plan

|  | A | B | C |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| 2 | 1 | -1 | -1 |
| 3 | -1 | 1 | -1 |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | -1 |
| 5 | -1 | -1 | 1 |
| 6 | 1 | -1 | 1 |
| 7 | -1 | 1 | 1 |
| 8 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

class=design, type= full factorial

## R: DOE add response

```
\(>y<-c(6,4,10,7,4,3,8,5)\)
\(>\mathrm{y}\)
[1] \(\quad 6 \quad 4 \quad 10\)
> plan <- add.response(plan,y)
> plan
```

|  | A | B | C | y |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 6 |
| 2 | 1 | -1 | -1 | 4 |
| 3 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 10 |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | -1 | 7 |
| 5 | -1 | -1 | 1 | 4 |
| 6 | 1 | -1 | 1 | 3 |
| 7 | -1 | 1 | 1 | 8 |
| 8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 |

class=design, type= full factorial

## R: DOE Im and effect

```
> lm3 <- lm(y~(.)^3,data=plan)
MEPlot(lm3)
> IAPlot(lm3)
> effects <- 2*lm3$coeff
> effects
(Intercept) A1 B1 C1 A1:B1 A1:C1 B1:C1 A1:B1:C1
11.75 -2.25 3.25 -1.75 -0.75 0.25 -0.25 -0.25
```


## Example compulsory project

"From a seed to a nice plant"



| Factor | - | + |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seeds (A) | Broccoli Decicco | Sunflowers |
| Watering fluid (B) | Coffee | Water |
| Growth medium (C) | Soil | Cotton |
| Additional nutrients (D) | Without | With |

## Response: length of plant after 8 days of growing.

## The experiments

| StdOrder RunOrder CenterPt | Blocks | Seeds | Watering <br> fluid | Growth <br> medium | Additional <br> nutrients | Length <br> (response <br> variable) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 0.1 |
| 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 20.3 |
| 16 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.9 |
| 9 | 4 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 1 | 0.2 |
| 15 | 5 | 1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.0 |
| 12 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | 6.9 |
| 6 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1.1 |
| 1 | 8 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 11.7 |
| 10 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | 1 | 5.9 |
| 13 | 10 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | 0.0 |
| 4 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | 23.3 |
| 8 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | 4.5 |
| 7 | 13 | 1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | 9.1 |
| 3 | 14 | 1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | 12.2 |
| 14 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | 1.5 |
| 11 | 16 | 1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | 2.9 |

## Full model

Estimated Effects and Coefficients for length (coded units)

| Term | Effect | Coef |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Constant |  | 6,287 |
| A | 3,525 | 1,763 |
| B | 2,375 | 1,187 |
| C | $-8,275$ | $-4,138$ |
| D | $-8,000$ | $-4,000$ |
| A*B | $-0,675$ | $-0,337$ |
| A*C | $-3,825$ | $-1,913$ |
| A*D | $-0,500$ | $-0,250$ |
| B*C | 0,575 | 0,287 |
| B*D | $-1,600$ | $-0,800$ |
| C*D | 4,900 | 2,450 |
| A*B*C | $-0,875$ | $-0,438$ |
| A*B*D | 0,100 | 0,050 |
| A*C*D | 2,000 | 1,000 |
| B*C*D | $-1,650$ | $-0,825$ |
| A*B*C*D | 1,150 | 0,575 |

## Full model



Figure 5.2 Pareto-chart of the effects with terms up to $4^{\text {th }}$ order.


Figure 5.3 Normal plot of the effects with terms up to $4^{\text {th }}$ order.

## Inference



Figure 5.6 Pareto-chart of the effects with terms up to $2^{\text {nd }}$ order.


Figure 5.7 Normal plot of the effects with terms up to $2^{\text {nd }}$ order.
$A, C$ and $D, A C$ and $C D$ found to be significant.

## Interpretation: Interaction plots



Figure 6.1 Interaction plot between growth medium and additional nutrients (CD).


Figure 6.2 Interaction plot between seeds and growth medium (AC).

## The practical issues (1)

- You may work alone, or in groups of two.
- You need to perform a multiple regression experiment consisting of 16 trials - that is, $\mathrm{n}=16$ observations.
- The response that is measure should be continuous, so that the response itself or a transformation of the response in a multippel regression model can be seen to be normally distributed. (It is also possible to assume that a response with at least 7 ordered categories can be seen as continuous.)
- You choose 3 or 4 factors with two levels each that might influence your response (it is possible to choose more factors, but then you need to do a socalled fractional factorial design to be lectured).


## The practical issues (2)

- If you choose 3 factors you need to perform all possible combinations of the 3 factors two times (2.2•2=8), if you choose 4 factors you need to perform all possible combinations only once $(2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2=16)$. If you choose more than 4 factors you need to study the "factional factorials" to find out which of the possible combinations you perform.
- A very important aspect of performing the 16 trials is that the trials should be independent and performed in a randomized order (why?). You use R to randomize the experiments for you.
- Each experment should be a complete new experiment - a genuine run replicate, unless you use blocking (not lectured yet). For example a block effect my be person or day.


## The practical issues (3)

- After you have performed all 16 experiments you need to recored the response and enter it into the experiment you have designed in R.
- Then you analyze the data, estimate effects, perform inference, check the model assumptions (RESIDUALS!), and explain your findings.


## The report (1)

1. Describe the problem you want to study. Why is this interesting? What prior knowledge do you have? What do you want to achieve?
2. Selection of factors and levels: Which factors do you think are relevant to the problem described above? Which of these factors do you think is active/inert? Do you expect an interaction between some of the factors? Which levels should be used, and why do you think these are reasonable? How can you control that the factors really are at the desired level?
3. Selection of response variable: Which response variable will provide information about the problem described above? Are there several response variables of interest? How should the response be measured? What can you say about the accuracy of these measurements?

## The report (2)

4. Choice of design: 2 k factorial, $2 \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{p}$ fractional factorial (resolution?)? Is it necessary or desirable to use a blocked design? Is it necessary or desirable with replicates?
5. Implementation of the experiment: Randomization. Describe any problems with the implementation.
6. Analysis of data Calculation of effects and assessment of statistical significance. Use Lenth (not only), replicates or "setting some interactions to zero" to perform inference? Check the assumptions. RESIDUAL PLOTS!
7. Conclusion and recommendations: Which conclusions can you draw from the experiment?
To get 20 points you need to have addressed all of these aspects in a correct manner! BUT - 10 pages is more than enough (included printout from R and plots)!

## I don't want to collect data!

- Well, it is possible to instead analyse a observational data set (but talk to the lecturer first),
- or to perform a simulation experiment to investigate properties of the MLR model.
- Example 1: Study how residuals look depending on the mismatch between the MLR model assumptions and the model you use?
- Example 2: Look into the original data of Galton on midparent and adult child height. Perfrom analyses separately for males and females. Several papers to read on this.


## Supervision?

- Meeting with reference group is scheduled for Friday March 14 at 11.15.
- How would you like supervision of the project to be set up?
- Ideally, all projects should be discussed with the lecturer or TA before the data are collected - to avoid "obvious" complications.
- Current time slots: Monday 12-13 (meeting time lecturer), Wednesday 10-11 (supervision in 734 by TA). Need more time slots for week 12-14?

