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## ANalysis Of VAriance (ANOVA)

Bingham and Fry (2010): Chapter 2

- The Chi-square distribution and the F-distribution [2.1, 2.3]
- Orthogonality and multivariate tranformation formula [2.2, 2.4]
- Normal sample mean and variance [2.5]
- One-way ANOVA [2.6]
— Two-way ANOVA [2.7-2.8]


## Concrete aggregates example



- Aggregates are inert granular materials such as sand, gravel, or crushed stone that, along with water and portland cement, are an essential ingredient in concrete.
- For a good concrete mix, aggregates need to be clean, hard, strong particles free of absorbed chemicals or coatings of clay and other fine materials that could cause the deterioration of concrete.
- We could like to examine 5 different aggregates, and measure the absorption of moisture after 48hrs exposure (to moisture).
- A total of 6 samples are tested for each aggregate.
- Research question: Is there a difference between the aggregates with respect to absorption of moisture?


## Concrete aggregates data

| Aggregate: | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
|  | 551 | 595 | 639 | 417 | 563 |  |
|  | 457 | 580 | 615 | 449 | 631 |  |
|  | 450 | 508 | 511 | 517 | 522 |  |
|  | 731 | 583 | 573 | 438 | 613 |  |
|  | 499 | 633 | 648 | 415 | 656 |  |
|  | 632 | 517 | 677 | 555 | 679 |  |
| Total | 3320 | 3416 | 3663 | 2791 | 3664 | 16,854 |
| Mean | 553.33 | 569.33 | 610.50 | 465.17 | 610.67 | 561.80 |

Table 13.1 of WMMY.

## Concrete aggregates example



## Comparing means by analysing variability

Fisher (1918), Rothamsted Experimental Station.
Fisher wanted to compare crop yields using different fertilzers. He realised that if there was more variability between groups from different fertilizers than within groups, then this would be evidence against believing that the fertilizers have the same effect. Fisher:
compare means by analysing variability!

## The Chi-square distribution [2.1]

pdf $\chi_{n}^{2}$ :

$$
f(y)=\frac{1}{2^{n / 2} \Gamma(n / 2)} y^{n / 2-1} e^{(-y / 2)} \text { for } y>0
$$

MGF $\chi_{n}^{2}$ :

$$
M_{Y}(t)=\frac{1}{(1-2 t)^{n / 2}}
$$

Addition property:
Let $X_{1} \sim \chi_{n}^{2}$ and $X_{2} \sim \chi_{m}^{2}$, and let $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ be independent. Then $X_{1}+X_{2} \sim \chi_{n+m}^{2}$.
Subtraction property:
Let $X=X_{1}+X_{2}$ with $X_{1} \sim \chi_{n}^{2}$ and $X \sim \chi_{n+m}^{2}$. Assume that $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are independent. Then $X_{2} \sim \chi_{m}^{2}$.

TMA 4267 - lecture 5
Chapter 2: ANalysis of VAriance (ANOVA)
The $X^{2}$-distribution $[2,1]$
Let $x_{i}$ be i.i.d $N(0,1)$. Then

$$
Y=X_{1}^{2}+X_{2}^{2}+\cdots+X_{n}^{2}
$$

is $X^{2}$-distributed $w$ th $n$ degrees of freedom.
From TMAt24s we remember finding the af of $X^{2}$, from $N(0,1)$ wing the transformation formula $[p 217$ wanly $]$

$$
f y(y)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{r(1 / 2)} y^{\frac{1}{2}-1} e^{-y / 2} f y>0
$$

We may also wee MGF: $X \sim N(0,1) \Rightarrow Y=x^{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{x^{2}}(t)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{t x^{2}} \cdot \phi(x) d x \quad \phi=p d f N(\sigma, 1) \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{t x^{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} x^{2}} d x=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(1-2 t) x^{2}} d x \\
& y=\sqrt{1-2 t} x \quad \begin{array}{c}
\text { only convergence } \\
t<\frac{1}{2}
\end{array} \\
& a_{y}=\sqrt{1-2 t} d x \quad
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 a r}} \int_{-a^{-\infty}}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{2} y^{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-2 t}} d y \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-2 t}} \cdot 1=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-2 t}} \text { for } t<\frac{1}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Whan $X_{1,}, X_{n}$ or identicd and indepondent

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y_{=} \sum x_{i}^{2}, M_{y}(t)\left[M_{x^{2}}(t)\right]^{n} \\
& M_{y}(t)=\left[\frac{1}{(1-2 t)^{1 / 2}}\right]^{n}=\frac{1}{(1-2 t)^{n / 2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

R: ${ }_{\mathrm{p}}$ Chisq

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
r \\
d
\end{array} \quad \text { What abant } E(y) \text { and vor }(y) \text { ? } \\
& p \\
& q \quad E(y)=E\left(X_{1}^{2}\right)+\cdots+E\left(X_{n}^{2}\right)=n \\
& E\left(x_{i}^{2}\right)=\underbrace{\operatorname{Var}\left(x_{i}\right)}_{1}+\underbrace{E\left(x_{i}\right)^{2}}_{0}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(x_{i}^{2}\right)=\underbrace{E\left(x_{i}^{4}\right)}_{\substack{9 \\ \text { is harem }}}-\underbrace{E\left(x_{i}^{2}\right)^{2}}_{1}=3-1=2
$$

that this is 3
in $N(0,1)$

$$
\operatorname{Var}(y)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Var}\left(x_{i}^{2}\right)=2 n
$$

but may also find this

$$
\left.\frac{d^{4} H_{x}(t)}{d t^{4}}\right|_{t=0}=\mu_{y}
$$

The pat of $x_{n}$ is $f(y)=\frac{1}{2^{\frac{n}{2}} r\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)} \cdot y^{\frac{n}{2}-1} e^{-\frac{1}{2} y}$
$x^{2}$ addition property
$X_{1} \sim X_{n_{1}}^{2}$ and $X_{2} \sim X_{n_{2}}^{2} . X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are independent.
This $x_{1}+x_{2} \sim x_{n_{1}+n_{2}}^{2}$.
Proof: follow directly by writing $X_{1}=b_{1}^{2}+\ldots+U_{n 1}^{2}$ and $X_{2}=U_{n+1}^{2}+\cdots+u_{n_{1}+n_{2}}^{2}$ and using def of $X^{2}$.
$x^{2}$ subtraction property
$X=X_{1}+X_{2}$ with $X_{1} \sim X_{n_{1}}^{2}$ and $X \sim X_{n_{1}+n_{2}}$. Assume that $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ is independent, then $x_{2} \sim x_{n_{2}}^{2}$.
Proof: use MGF.

## The Fisher distribution [2.3]

"Tabeller og formeler i statistikk":
If $Z_{1}$ and $Z_{2}$ are independent and $\chi^{2}$-distributed with $\nu_{1}$ and $\nu_{2}$ degrees of freedom, then

$$
F=\frac{Z_{1} / \nu_{1}}{Z_{2} / \nu_{2}}
$$

is $F$ (isher)-distributed with $\nu_{1}$ and $\nu_{2}$ degrees of freedom.

- The expected value of $F$ is $\mathrm{E}(F)=\frac{\nu_{2}}{\nu_{2}-2}$.
- The mode is at $\frac{\nu_{1}-2}{\nu_{1}} \frac{\nu_{2}}{\nu_{2}+2}$.
- Identity:

$$
f_{1-\alpha, \nu_{1}, \nu_{2}}=\frac{1}{f_{\alpha, \nu_{2}, \nu_{1}}}
$$

The F-distribution [2.3]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U \sim x_{m}^{2} \text { הindependent } \Rightarrow F=\frac{\frac{u}{m}}{\frac{v}{n}} \sim F_{m, n} \\
& V \sim x_{n}^{2} \in \text { in }
\end{aligned} \Rightarrow
$$

Fisher distributan with $m$ end $n$ degrees of fredom

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(x)= \frac{m^{m / 2} n^{n / 2}}{B\left(\frac{m}{2}, \frac{n}{2}\right)} \cdot \frac{x^{\frac{m-2}{2}}}{(m x+n)^{m+n} \frac{2}{2}} \\
& \text { Beta fuction } B(\alpha, \beta)=\frac{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}
\end{aligned}
$$



The Fisher distribution with different degrees of freedom $\nu_{1}$ and $\nu_{2}$ (given in the legend).
$[2.2+2.4]$ Tools ..
Transformation formula fra TMA4245

1) $Y=u(\mathbb{Z})$ one-to-one function $u$

$$
\begin{aligned}
X= & u^{-1}(y)=w(y) \\
& f_{y}(y)=f_{x}(w(y)) \cdot\left|w^{\prime}(y)\right|_{\frac{d x}{d y}}^{\alpha^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

2) Now, multivariate verst on

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\underset{n \times 1}{X} \rightarrow \sum_{n \times 1} & \text { Let } y=u(x) \text { as before } x=w(y) \\
\mathcal{L}_{x}(x) & f_{y(y)}^{J} \\
f_{y}(y)=f_{x}(w(y)) \cdot a b s(7)
\end{array}
$$ joint pass

whee $F=\operatorname{det}\left\{\frac{\partial x_{i}}{\frac{\partial}{\lambda}}\right\}$
$n \times n$ matrix

In particular :

$$
Y=A X+b
$$

$$
\exists=\operatorname{det}\left\{\frac{\partial x_{i}}{\partial y_{j}}\right\}=\operatorname{det}\left(A^{-1}\right)=\frac{1}{\operatorname{det}(A)}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
A \cdot A^{-1}=I \\
\operatorname{det}\left(A \cdot A^{-1}\right)=\operatorname{det}(I)=1 \\
\operatorname{det}(A) \cdot \operatorname{det}\left(A^{-1}\right)=1 \\
\operatorname{det}\left(A^{-1}\right)=\frac{1}{\operatorname{der}(A)}
\end{array}
$$

And, if $A$ is orthogonal: $\quad A^{\top}=A^{-1}$

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(A^{-1}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(A^{\top}\right)=\operatorname{det}(A)
$$

cofactar expansion
such that
$\operatorname{det}(A \cdot A-1)=1$ (prev. page)
$\operatorname{det}(A)^{2}=1$

$$
\operatorname{det}(A)= \pm 1
$$

In formula $a b s(\exists)=1$

O-thoginality theorem [Teo 2.2]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} \text { i.i.d } N\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right) . \\
& X=\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
\vdots \\
x_{n}
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $Y=A X$ wher $A$ is orthogonal. $n \times 1$ axn $n \times 1$
Then $Y_{i}=\{Y\}$, are i.i.d $N\left(\sigma, \sigma^{2}\right)$. $\hat{\eta}$ means: dereal nri of $Y$-vector

Proof via Jacobian
We know that $f_{y}(y)=f_{x}(w(y)) \cdot \operatorname{abs}(7)$ where $\quad x=w(y)=A^{-1} Y=A^{\top} Y$
and $J=\operatorname{det}\left(A^{-1}\right)= \pm 1$ and $\operatorname{abs}(7)=1$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{x}(x) & =\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \frac{1}{\sigma} \cdot \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}} x_{i}^{2}\right\} \\
& =(2 \pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sigma^{-n} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{\prime}} \sum x_{i}^{2}\right\} \\
\Sigma x_{i}^{2} & =x^{\top} x=\left(A^{\top} Y\right)^{\top}\left(A^{\top} y\right)=Y^{\top} \underbrace{\top} A^{\top} Y=Y^{\top} Y=\Sigma y_{i}^{2} \\
f_{y}(y) & =f_{x}(w(y)) \cdot 1 \\
& =(2 \pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sigma^{-n} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}} \sum y_{i}^{2}\right\} \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \frac{1}{\delta} \cdot \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}} \cdot y^{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

that is $Y_{1}, . ., Y_{n}$ are i.i.d $N\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right)$
$\bar{x}$ and $s^{2}$ in normal samples $[2,5]$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{n}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} \\
& \quad \text { i. i.d } N\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\bar{X} \sim N\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)
$$

Estimates:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{\mu}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}=\bar{x} \\
& \delta^{2}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Normal samples [2.5]

Let $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ be a random sample from $N\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$. Further, let $\bar{X}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}$ and $S^{2}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)^{2}$.
Then the following holds:
$-\bar{X}$ and $S^{2}$ are independent.
$-\bar{X} \sim N\left(\mu, \sigma^{2} / n\right)$.

- $n S^{2} / \sigma^{2} \sim \chi_{n-1}^{2}$.

