

# Euler Incognito

Thomas L Curtright<sup>§,#</sup> and David B Fairlie<sup>†,#</sup>

<sup>§</sup>Department of Physics, University of Miami, Coral Gables, Florida 33124, USA

<sup>†</sup>Department of Mathematical Sciences, Durham University, Durham, DH1 3LE, UK

## Abstract

The nonlinear flow equations discussed recently by Bender and Feinberg are all reduced to the well-known Euler equation after change of variables.

Consider for  $u(x, t)$  the class of nonlinear PDEs introduced and discussed by Bender and Feinberg [1].

$$u_t = (u_x)^k u \quad (1)$$

where  $k$  is a parameter. (Including phases for the variables requires only minor changes in the discussion to follow.) We are actually interested only in  $0 \neq k \neq 1$ , since  $k = 0$  and  $k = 1$  are easily understood and well-known. So, upon changing dependent variable to

$$v = \frac{k-1}{k} u^{k/(k-1)} \quad (2)$$

equation (1) becomes

$$v_t = (v_x)^k . \quad (3)$$

Differentiating this with respect to  $x$  and making a further change of variable to

$$w = k (v_x)^{k-1} = k (u_x)^{k-1} u \quad (4)$$

we find the familiar Euler-Monge equation in canonical form

$$w_t = w w_x . \quad (5)$$

Thus for any  $k \neq 0$  and  $k \neq 1$  the original equation (1) is reduced to Euler's through a change of dependent variable, although for technical reasons that are more or less obvious from the explicit constructions, it is often useful to assume  $k > 1$ . (For  $k = 1$  of course, (1) is already the Euler-Monge equation *without* any change of variable.)

As is well-known (cf. [1] or [2] for references) the general solution for  $w$  is given implicitly by

$$w = F(x + wt) \quad (6)$$

where  $F$  is an arbitrary differentiable function. By using the previous changes of variables and integrating once with respect to  $x$ , solutions for  $v$ , and hence  $u$ , follow from those for  $w$ . For example, if  $F$  is linear,  $w = \frac{x-x_0}{t_0-t}$ ,  $v = \frac{k-1}{k} \frac{(x-x_0)^{k/(k-1)}}{[k(t_0-t)]^{1/(k-1)}}$ , and  $u = \frac{x-x_0}{[k(t_0-t)]^{1/k}}$ .

---

# curtright@physics.miami.edu & david.fairlie@durham.ac.uk

Moreover, (1) leads to two infinite families of local conserved currents whose time and space components are powers of  $u$  and  $u_x$ , but not higher derivatives. The first family is quickly seen to be

$$\left( J_0^{(n)}, J_1^{(n)} \right) = \left( u^n u_x, u^{n+1} (u_x)^k \right) \quad (7)$$

for any  $n$ , not necessarily integer. Obviously, all these currents have simple topological charges. On the solution set of (1),  $(n+1) J_\mu^{(n)} = \varepsilon_{\mu\nu} \partial^\nu u^{n+1}$ , and  $\partial^\mu J_\mu^{(n)} = 0$  immediately follows. The second family of currents may be obtained from the known (non-topological) conserved currents for  $w$ , namely  $((n+1) w^n, n w^{n+1})$ , just by changing variables. Thus<sup>1</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} \left( K_0^{(n)}, K_1^{(n)} \right) &= \left( (n+1) (v_x)^{n(k-1)}, nk (v_x)^{(n+1)(k-1)} \right) \\ &= \left( (n+1) (u_x)^{n(k-1)} u^n, nk (u_x)^{(n+1)(k-1)} u^{n+1} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

On the solution set of (1), or equivalently (3), it is straightforward to show  $\partial^\mu K_\mu^{(n)} = 0$ .

Finally, the linearization of (5) as given in [2] can be used to relate the spatial derivative of (3), or equivalently of (1), to a linear equation. Define

$$\psi(a, x, t) \equiv \frac{1}{a} \left( \exp \left( ak (v_x)^{k-1} \right) - 1 \right). \quad (9)$$

Technically, it is useful to assume  $k > 1$  here, especially for slowly varying  $v$ . It follows that

$$\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial a \partial x} \right) \psi = \left( v_t - (v_x)^k \right)_x \times k(k-1) (v_x)^{k-2} \exp \left( ak (v_x)^{k-1} \right). \quad (10)$$

Thus  $\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial a \partial x} \right) \psi = 0$  iff  $\left( v_t - (v_x)^k \right)_x = 0$ . (If the second factor on the RHS of (10) were to vanish, for both positive and negative  $a$ , this would require  $k > 2$ ,  $v_x = 0$ , and hence also  $\left( v_t - (v_x)^k \right)_x = 0$ .) Encoding initial data for the nonlinear system in the form (9) therefore allows the data to be evolved linearly. Given a well-behaved solution to the linear equation for  $\psi$ , we may then extract the nonlinear data at other times just by taking the limit  $v_x(x, t) = \left( \frac{1}{k} \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \psi(a, x, t) \right)^{1/(k-1)}$ . Integrating with respect to  $x$  modulo a function of only the time variable yields  $v$ , hence  $u$ .

**Acknowledgment** This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. 0555603.

## References

- [1] C Bender and J Feinberg, "Does the complex deformation of the Riemann equation exhibit shocks?" arXiv:0709.2727v1 [hep-th].
- [2] T Curtright and D Fairlie, "Extra Dimensions and Nonlinear Equations" J.Math.Phys. 44 (2003) 2692-2703, arXiv:math-ph/0207008v1.

---

<sup>1</sup>In terms of  $u$ , as  $k \rightarrow 1$  we note that  $(n+1)(n+2) J_\mu^{(n)} \rightarrow \partial_x K_\mu^{(n+1)} \rightarrow ((n+2)(u^{n+1})_x, (n+1)(u^{n+2})_x)$ .