

SOME EXTREMAL FUNCTIONS IN FOURIER ANALYSIS. II

EMANUEL CARNEIRO AND JEFFREY D. VAALER

ABSTRACT. We obtain extremal majorants and minorants of exponential type for a class of even functions on \mathbb{R} which includes $\log|x|$ and $|x|^\alpha$, where $-1 < \alpha < 1$. We also give periodic versions of these results in which the majorants and minorants are trigonometric polynomials of bounded degree. As applications we obtain optimal estimates for certain Hermitian forms, which include discrete analogues of the one dimensional Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequalities. A further application provides an Erdős-Turán-type inequality that estimates the sup norm of algebraic polynomials on the unit disc in terms of power sums in the roots of the polynomials.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we consider the following extremal problem. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a given function. Determine real entire functions $G : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $H : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that G and H have exponential type at most 2π , and satisfy the inequality

$$(1.1) \quad G(x) \leq f(x) \leq H(x)$$

for all real x . Also, among such functions G and H , determine those for which the integrals

$$(1.2) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \{f(x) - G(x)\} dx \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \{H(x) - f(x)\} dx$$

are minimized. By a real entire function we understand an entire function that takes real values at points of \mathbb{R} .

In the special case $f(x) = \operatorname{sgn}(x)$, an explicit solution to this problem was found in the 1930s by A. Beurling, but his results were not published at the time of their discovery. Later, Beurling's solution was rediscovered by A. Selberg, who recognized its importance in connection with the large sieve inequality of analytic number theory. In particular, Selberg observed that Beurling's function could be used to majorize and minorize the function

$$(1.3) \quad \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sgn}(x - a) + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sgn}(b - x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a < x < b, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } x = a \text{ or } x = b, \\ 0 & \text{if } x < a \text{ or } b < x, \end{cases}$$

Received by the editors November 14, 2007 and, in revised form, June 23, 2008.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 41A30, 41A52, 42A05; Secondary 41A05, 41A44, 42A10.

Key words and phrases. Exponential type, extremal functions, majorization.

The first author's research was supported by CAPES/FULBRIGHT grant BEX 1710-04-4.

The second author's research was supported by the National Science Foundation, DMS-06-03282.

©2010 American Mathematical Society
Reverts to public domain 28 years from publication

where $a < b$. Of course, this function is essentially the characteristic function of the interval with endpoints a and b . The functions that majorize and minorize (1.3) are real entire functions of exponential type at most 2π , but in applications it is often useful to exploit the fact that their Fourier transforms are continuous functions supported on the interval $[-1, 1]$. An account of these functions, the history of their discovery, and many applications can be found in [5], [6], [11], [16], [17], and [18]. Further examples have been given by F. Littmann [9], [10], and extensions of the problem to several variables are considered in [1], [4], and [8].

Let λ be a positive real parameter. Define entire functions $z \mapsto L(\lambda, z)$ and $z \mapsto M(\lambda, z)$ by

$$(1.4) \quad L(\lambda, z) = \left(\frac{\cos \pi z}{\pi}\right)^2 \left\{ \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{e^{-\lambda|k+\frac{1}{2}|}}{(z-k-\frac{1}{2})^2} - \lambda \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(l+\frac{1}{2})e^{-\lambda|l+\frac{1}{2}|}}{(z-l-\frac{1}{2})} \right\}$$

and

$$(1.5) \quad M(\lambda, z) = \left(\frac{\sin \pi z}{\pi}\right)^2 \left\{ \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{e^{-\lambda|k|}}{(z-k)^2} - \lambda \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(l)e^{-\lambda|l|}}{(z-l)} \right\}.$$

In [5] it was shown that both $z \mapsto L(\lambda, z)$ and $z \mapsto M(\lambda, z)$ are real entire functions of exponential type 2π , they are bounded and integrable on \mathbb{R} , and they satisfy the inequality

$$(1.6) \quad L(\lambda, x) \leq e^{-\lambda|x|} \leq M(\lambda, x)$$

for all real x . Moreover, for each positive value of λ the functions $z \mapsto L(\lambda, z)$ and $z \mapsto M(\lambda, z)$ are the unique extremal functions for the problem of minimizing the integrals (1.2). That is, the values of the two integrals

$$(1.7) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ e^{-\lambda|x|} - L(\lambda, x) \right\} dx = \frac{2}{\lambda} - \operatorname{csch}\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)$$

and

$$(1.8) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ M(\lambda, x) - e^{-\lambda|x|} \right\} dx = \operatorname{coth}\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right) - \frac{2}{\lambda}$$

are both minimal. It was also shown in [5] that the Fourier transforms

$$(1.9) \quad \widehat{L}(\lambda, t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} L(\lambda, x)e(-tx) dx \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{M}(\lambda, t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} M(\lambda, x)e(-tx) dx$$

are continuous functions of the real variable t supported on the interval $[-1, 1]$. Here we write $e(z) = e^{2\pi iz}$. Both Fourier transforms in (1.9) are nonnegative functions of t and are given explicitly here in Lemma 3.2.

If μ is a suitable measure defined on the Borel subsets of $(0, \infty)$, then one might hope to show that

$$(1.10) \quad z \mapsto \int_0^{\infty} L(\lambda, z) d\mu(\lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad z \mapsto \int_0^{\infty} M(\lambda, z) d\mu(\lambda)$$

both define real entire functions of z with exponential type at most 2π . If this is so, then they clearly satisfy the inequality

$$(1.11) \quad \int_0^{\infty} L(\lambda, x) d\mu(\lambda) \leq \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda|x|} d\mu(\lambda) \leq \int_0^{\infty} M(\lambda, x) d\mu(\lambda)$$

for all real x . In this case one may also hope to show that these real entire functions are extremal with respect to the problem of majorizing and minorizing the function

$$x \mapsto \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda|x|} \, d\mu(\lambda).$$

In fact, such a result was obtained in [5, Theorem 9], but only under the restrictive hypothesis that

$$(1.12) \quad \int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda + 1}{\lambda} \, d\mu(\lambda) < \infty.$$

In the present paper we solve the extremal problem for a wider class of measures. By making special choices for μ , we are able to give explicit solutions to the extremal problem for such examples as $x \mapsto \log|x|$ and $x \mapsto |x|^\alpha$, where $-1 < \alpha < 1$. We now describe these results.

Let μ be a measure defined on the Borel subsets of $(0, \infty)$ such that

$$(1.13) \quad 0 < \int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda}{\lambda^2 + 1} \, d\mu(\lambda) < \infty.$$

It follows from (1.13) that for $x \neq 0$ the function

$$\lambda \mapsto e^{-\lambda|x|} - e^{-\lambda}$$

is integrable on $(0, \infty)$ with respect to μ . We define $f_\mu : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ by

$$(1.14) \quad f_\mu(x) = \int_0^\infty \{e^{-\lambda|x|} - e^{-\lambda}\} \, d\mu(\lambda),$$

where

$$f_\mu(0) = \int_0^\infty \{1 - e^{-\lambda}\} \, d\mu(\lambda)$$

may take the value ∞ . Clearly $f_\mu(x)$ is infinitely differentiable at each real number $x \neq 0$. In particular, we find that

$$f'_\mu(x) = -\operatorname{sgn}(x) \int_0^\infty \lambda e^{-\lambda|x|} \, d\mu(\lambda)$$

for all $x \neq 0$. Using f_μ and f'_μ , we define $G_\mu : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$(1.15) \quad G_\mu(z) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\cos \pi z}{\pi} \right)^2 \left\{ \sum_{n=-N}^{N+1} \frac{f_\mu(n - \frac{1}{2})}{(z - n + \frac{1}{2})^2} + \sum_{n=-N}^{N+1} \frac{f'_\mu(n - \frac{1}{2})}{(z - n + \frac{1}{2})} \right\}.$$

We will show that the limit on the right of (1.15) converges uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{C} and therefore defines $G_\mu(z)$ as a real entire function. Then it is easy to check that G_μ interpolates the values of f_μ and f'_μ at real numbers x such that $x + \frac{1}{2}$ is an integer. That is, the system of identities

$$(1.16) \quad G_\mu(n - \frac{1}{2}) = f_\mu(n - \frac{1}{2}) \quad \text{and} \quad G'_\mu(n - \frac{1}{2}) = f'_\mu(n - \frac{1}{2})$$

holds for each integer n .

Because $f_\mu(0)$ may take the value ∞ , there can be no question of majorizing $f_\mu(x)$ by a real entire function. However, we will prove that the real entire function $G_\mu(z)$ minorizes $f_\mu(x)$ on \mathbb{R} and satisfies the following extremal property.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that the measure μ satisfies (1.13).*

- (i) *The real entire function $G_\mu(z)$ defined by (1.15) has exponential type at most 2π .*

(ii) For real $x \neq 0$ the function

$$\lambda \mapsto e^{-\lambda|x|} - L(\lambda, x)$$

is nonnegative and integrable on $(0, \infty)$ with respect to μ .

(iii) For all real x we have

$$(1.17) \quad 0 \leq f_\mu(x) - G_\mu(x) = \int_0^\infty \{e^{-\lambda|x|} - L(\lambda, x)\} \, d\mu(\lambda).$$

(iv) The nonnegative function $x \mapsto f_\mu(x) - G_\mu(x)$ is integrable on \mathbb{R} , and

$$(1.18) \quad \int_{-\infty}^\infty \{f_\mu(x) - G_\mu(x)\} \, dx = \int_0^\infty \left\{ \frac{2}{\lambda} - \operatorname{csch}\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right) \right\} \, d\mu(\lambda).$$

(v) If $t \neq 0$, then

$$(1.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \{f_\mu(x) - G_\mu(x)\} e(-tx) \, dx \\ = \int_0^\infty \frac{2\lambda}{\lambda^2 + 4\pi^2 t^2} \, d\mu(\lambda) - \int_0^\infty \widehat{L}(\lambda, t) \, d\mu(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

(vi) If $\widetilde{G}(z)$ is a real entire function of exponential type at most 2π such that

$$\widetilde{G}(x) \leq f_\mu(x)$$

for all real x , then

$$(1.20) \quad \int_{-\infty}^\infty \{f_\mu(x) - G_\mu(x)\} \, dx \leq \int_{-\infty}^\infty \{f_\mu(x) - \widetilde{G}(x)\} \, dx.$$

(vii) There is equality in the inequality (1.20) if and only if $\widetilde{G}(z) = G_\mu(z)$.

Now assume that the measure μ satisfies the condition

$$(1.21) \quad 0 < \int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + 1} \, d\mu(\lambda) < \infty,$$

which is obviously more restrictive than (1.13). From (1.21) we have

$$f_\mu(x) \leq f_\mu(0) = \int_0^\infty \{1 - e^{-\lambda}\} \, d\mu(\lambda) < \infty,$$

for all real x . Thus we may try to determine a real entire function that majorizes $f_\mu(x)$ on \mathbb{R} . Toward this end we define $H_\mu : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$(1.22) \quad H_\mu(z) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\sin \pi z}{\pi} \right)^2 \left\{ \sum_{|n| \leq N} \frac{f_\mu(n)}{(z - n)^2} + \sum_{1 \leq |n| \leq N} \frac{f'_\mu(n)}{(z - n)} \right\}.$$

Again we will show that the limit on the right of (1.22) converges uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{C} and therefore defines $H_\mu(z)$ as a real entire function. In this case the function H_μ interpolates the values of f_μ and f'_μ at the nonzero integers. That is, the identities

$$H_\mu(n) = f_\mu(n) \quad \text{and} \quad H'_\mu(n) = f'_\mu(n)$$

hold at each integer $n \neq 0$, and at zero we find that

$$H_\mu(0) = f_\mu(0) \quad \text{and} \quad H'_\mu(0) = 0.$$

As (1.21) is more restrictive than (1.13), the function $G_\mu(z)$ continues to minorize $f_\mu(x)$ on \mathbb{R} as described in Theorem 1.1. We will prove that the real entire function $H_\mu(z)$ majorizes $f_\mu(x)$ on \mathbb{R} and satisfies an analogous extremal property.

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that the measure μ satisfies (1.21).*

- (i) *The real entire function $H_\mu(z)$ defined by (1.22) has exponential type at most 2π .*
- (ii) *For all real x the function*

$$\lambda \mapsto M(\lambda, x) - e^{-\lambda|x|}$$

is nonnegative and integrable on $(0, \infty)$ with respect to μ .

- (iii) *For all real x we have*

$$(1.23) \quad 0 \leq H_\mu(x) - f_\mu(x) = \int_0^\infty \{M(\lambda, x) - e^{-\lambda|x|}\} d\mu(\lambda).$$

- (iv) *The nonnegative function $x \mapsto H_\mu(x) - f_\mu(x)$ is integrable on \mathbb{R} , and*

$$(1.24) \quad \int_{-\infty}^\infty \{H_\mu(x) - f_\mu(x)\} dx = \int_0^\infty \left\{ \coth\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right) - \frac{2}{\lambda} \right\} d\mu(\lambda).$$

- (v) *If $t \neq 0$, then*

$$(1.25) \quad \int_{-\infty}^\infty \{H_\mu(x) - f_\mu(x)\} e^{-tx} dx = \int_0^\infty \widehat{M}(\lambda, t) d\mu(\lambda) - \int_0^\infty \frac{2\lambda}{\lambda^2 + 4\pi^2 t^2} d\mu(\lambda).$$

- (vi) *If $\widetilde{H}(z)$ is a real entire function of exponential type at most 2π such that*

$$f_\mu(x) \leq \widetilde{H}(x)$$

for all real x , then

$$(1.26) \quad \int_0^\infty \{H_\mu(x) - f_\mu(x)\} dx \leq \int_0^\infty \{\widetilde{H}(x) - f_\mu(x)\} dx.$$

- (vii) *There is equality in the inequality (1.26) if and only if $\widetilde{H}(z) = H_\mu(z)$.*

The real entire functions $G_\mu(z)$ and $H_\mu(z)$, which occur in Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2, have exponential type at most 2π . It is often useful to have results of the same sort in which the majorizing and minorizing functions have exponential type at most $2\pi\delta$, where δ is a positive parameter. To accomplish this we introduce a second measure ν defined on Borel subsets $E \subseteq (0, \infty)$ by

$$(1.27) \quad \nu(E) = \mu(\delta E),$$

where

$$\delta E = \{\delta x : x \in E\}$$

is the dilation of E by δ . If μ satisfies (1.13), then ν also satisfies (1.13), and the two functions $f_\mu(x)$ and $f_\nu(x)$ are related by the identity

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_\nu(x) &= \int_0^\infty \{e^{-\lambda|x|} - e^{-\lambda}\} d\nu(\lambda) \\
 &= \int_0^\infty \{e^{-\lambda\delta^{-1}|x|} - e^{-\lambda\delta^{-1}}\} d\mu(\lambda) \\
 (1.28) \quad &= \int_0^\infty \{e^{-\lambda|\delta^{-1}x|} - e^{-\lambda}\} d\mu(\lambda) - \int_0^\infty \{e^{-\lambda\delta^{-1}} - e^{-\lambda}\} d\mu(\lambda) \\
 &= f_\mu(\delta^{-1}x) - f_\mu(\delta^{-1}).
 \end{aligned}$$

We apply Theorem 1.1 to the functions $f_\nu(x)$ and $G_\nu(z)$. Then using (1.28) we obtain corresponding results for the functions

$$f_\mu(x) - f_\mu(\delta^{-1}) = f_\nu(\delta x) \quad \text{and} \quad G_\nu(\delta z),$$

where the entire function $z \mapsto G_\nu(\delta z)$ has exponential type at most $2\pi\delta$. This easily leads to the following more general form of Theorem 1.1. We have only stated those parts which we will use in later applications.

Theorem 1.3. *Assume that the measure μ satisfies (1.13), and let ν be the measure defined by (1.27), where δ is a positive parameter.*

- (i) *The real entire function $z \mapsto G_\nu(\delta z)$ has exponential type at most $2\pi\delta$.*
- (ii) *For real $x \neq 0$ the function*

$$(1.29) \quad \lambda \mapsto e^{-\lambda|x|} - L(\delta^{-1}\lambda, \delta x)$$

is nonnegative and integrable on $(0, \infty)$ with respect to μ .

- (iii) *For all real x we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.30) \quad 0 &\leq f_\mu(x) - f_\mu(\delta^{-1}) - G_\nu(\delta x) \\
 &= \int_0^\infty \{e^{-\lambda|x|} - L(\delta^{-1}\lambda, \delta x)\} d\mu(\lambda).
 \end{aligned}$$

- (iv) *The nonnegative function $x \mapsto f_\mu(x) - f_\mu(\delta^{-1}) - G_\nu(\delta x)$ is integrable on \mathbb{R} , and*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.31) \quad &\int_{-\infty}^\infty \{f_\mu(x) - f_\mu(\delta^{-1}) - G_\nu(\delta x)\} dx \\
 &= \int_0^\infty \left\{ \frac{2}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{\delta} \operatorname{csch}\left(\frac{\lambda}{2\delta}\right) \right\} d\mu(\lambda).
 \end{aligned}$$

- (v) *If $t \neq 0$, then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.32) \quad &\int_{-\infty}^\infty \{f_\mu(x) - f_\mu(\delta^{-1}) - G_\nu(\delta x)\} e(-tx) dx \\
 &= \int_0^\infty \frac{2\lambda}{\lambda^2 + 4\pi^2 t^2} d\mu(\lambda) - \delta^{-1} \int_0^\infty \widehat{L}(\delta^{-1}\lambda, \delta^{-1}t) d\mu(\lambda).
 \end{aligned}$$

Here is the analogous result for the problem of majorizing $f_\mu(x)$. This is proved by applying Theorem 1.2 to the functions $f_\nu(x)$ and $H_\nu(x)$, and then making the same change of variables that occurs in the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Theorem 1.4. *Assume that the measure μ satisfies (1.21), and let ν be the measure defined by (1.27), where δ is a positive parameter.*

- (i) The real entire function $z \mapsto H_\nu(\delta z)$ defined by (1.22) has exponential type at most $2\pi\delta$.
- (ii) For all real x the function

$$(1.33) \quad \lambda \mapsto M(\delta^{-1}\lambda, \delta x) - e^{-\lambda|x|}$$

is nonnegative and integrable on $(0, \infty)$ with respect to μ .

- (iii) For all real x we have

$$(1.34) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq H_\nu(\delta x) + f_\mu(\delta^{-1}) - f_\mu(x) \\ &= \int_0^\infty \{M(\delta^{-1}\lambda, \delta x) - e^{-\lambda|x|}\} d\mu(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

- (iv) The nonnegative function $x \mapsto H_\nu(\delta x) + f(\delta^{-1}) - f_\mu(x)$ is integrable on \mathbb{R} , and

$$(1.35) \quad \begin{aligned} &\int_{-\infty}^\infty \{H_\nu(\delta x) + f(\delta^{-1}) - f_\mu(x)\} dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty \left\{ \frac{1}{\delta} \coth\left(\frac{\lambda}{2\delta}\right) - \frac{2}{\lambda} \right\} d\mu(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

- (v) If $t \neq 0$, then

$$(1.36) \quad \begin{aligned} &\int_{-\infty}^\infty \{H_\nu(\delta x) + f(\delta^{-1}) - f_\mu(x)\} e(-tx) dx \\ &= \delta^{-1} \int_0^\infty \widehat{M}(\delta^{-1}\lambda, \delta^{-1}t) d\mu(\lambda) - \int_0^\infty \frac{2\lambda}{\lambda^2 + 4\pi^2 t^2} d\mu(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

We note that each of the functions

$$t \mapsto \delta^{-1} \int_0^\infty \widehat{L}(\delta^{-1}\lambda, \delta^{-1}t) d\mu(\lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad t \mapsto \delta^{-1} \int_0^\infty \widehat{M}(\delta^{-1}\lambda, \delta^{-1}t) d\mu(\lambda),$$

which occur in the statement of Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4, respectively, are continuous on \mathbb{R} and supported on $[-\delta, \delta]$.

As an example to illustrate how these results can be applied, we consider the problem of majorizing the function $x \mapsto \log|x|$ by a real entire function $z \mapsto U(z)$ of exponential type at most 2π . This special case was first obtained by M. Lerma [7]. We select μ to be a Haar measure on the multiplicative group $(0, \infty)$, so that

$$(1.37) \quad \mu(E) = \int_E \lambda^{-1} d\lambda$$

for all Borel subsets E . For this measure μ we find that

$$f_\mu(x) = -\log|x|.$$

We apply Theorem 1.1 with $U(z) = -G_\mu(z)$. Thus the function $U(z)$ is given by

$$(1.38) \quad \begin{aligned} U(z) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\cos \pi z}{\pi} \right)^2 &\left\{ \sum_{n=-N}^{N+1} \frac{\log|n - \frac{1}{2}|}{(z - n + \frac{1}{2})^2} \right. \\ &\left. + \sum_{n=-N}^{N+1} \frac{1}{(n - \frac{1}{2})(z - n + \frac{1}{2})} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where the limit converges uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{C} . From Theorem 1.1 we conclude that $U(z)$ is a real entire function of exponential type at most 2π and the inequality

$$(1.39) \quad \log|x| \leq U(x)$$

holds for all real x . From (1.18) we get

$$(1.40) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \{U(x) - \log|x|\} dx = \log 2.$$

Using (1.19), for $t \neq 0$ the Fourier transform is

$$(1.41) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \{U(x) - \log|x|\} e(-tx) dx = (2|t|)^{-1} - \int_0^{\infty} \widehat{L}(\lambda, t) \lambda^{-1} d\lambda,$$

where $\widehat{L}(\lambda, t)$ is given explicitly in Lemma 3.2. Then Corollary 3.3 implies that

$$(1.42) \quad 0 \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \{U(x) - \log|x|\} e(-tx) dx \leq (2|t|)^{-1}$$

for all real $t \neq 0$, and there is equality in the inequality on the right of (1.42) for $1 \leq |t|$. Further results and numerical approximations for the function $U(z)$ are given in [7].

In a similar manner Theorem 1.3 can be applied to determine an entire function of exponential type at most $2\pi\delta$ that majorizes $x \mapsto \log|x|$. Alternatively, the functional equation for the logarithm allows us to accomplish this directly. Clearly the real entire function

$$z \mapsto -\log \delta + U(\delta z)$$

has exponential type at most $2\pi\delta$, majorizes $x \mapsto \log|x|$ on \mathbb{R} and satisfies

$$(1.43) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \{-\log \delta + U(\delta x) - \log|x|\} dx = \frac{\log 2}{\delta}.$$

Another interesting application arises when we choose measures μ_σ such that

$$(1.44) \quad \mu_\sigma(E) = \int_E \lambda^{-\sigma} d\lambda,$$

for all Borel subsets $E \subseteq (0, \infty)$. For $0 < \sigma < 2$ the measure μ_σ satisfies the condition (1.13), and it satisfies (1.21) if and only if $1 < \sigma < 2$. Observing that

$$(1.45) \quad \begin{aligned} f_{\mu_\sigma}(x) &= \int_0^{\infty} \{e^{-\lambda|x|} - e^{-\lambda}\} \lambda^{-\sigma} d\lambda \\ &= \Gamma(1 - \sigma) \{|x|^{\sigma-1} - 1\}, \quad \text{if } \sigma \neq 1, \end{aligned}$$

one can apply Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4 (in the case $1 < \sigma < 2$) to find the extremals of exponential type for the even function $x \mapsto |x|^{\sigma-1}$, where $0 < \sigma < 2$ and $\sigma \neq 1$. We will return to these examples in section 7.

Our results can also be used to majorize and minorize certain real valued periodic functions by trigonometric polynomials. This is accomplished by applying the Poisson summation formula to the functions that occur in the inequality (1.6) and then integrating the parameter λ with respect to a measure μ . We give a general account of this method in section 6. For example, if μ is the Haar measure defined

by (1.37), we obtain extremal trigonometric polynomials that majorize the periodic function $x \mapsto \log|1 - e(x)|$. Here is the precise result.

Theorem 1.5. *Let N be a nonnegative integer. Then there exists a real valued trigonometric polynomial*

$$(1.46) \quad u_N(x) = \sum_{n=-N}^N \widehat{u}_N(n)e(nx),$$

such that

$$(1.47) \quad \log|1 - e(x)| \leq u_N(x)$$

at each point x in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} ,

$$(1.48) \quad \frac{\log 2}{N + 1} = \int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} u_N(x) \, dx,$$

and

$$(1.49) \quad -\frac{1}{2|n|} \leq \widehat{u}_N(n) \leq 0$$

for each integer n with $1 \leq |n| \leq N$. If $\tilde{u}(x)$ is a real trigonometric polynomial of degree at most N such that

$$\log|1 - e(x)| \leq \tilde{u}(x)$$

at each point x in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} , then

$$(1.50) \quad \frac{\log 2}{N + 1} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} \tilde{u}(x) \, dx.$$

Moreover, there is equality in the inequality (1.50) if and only if $\tilde{u}(x) = u_N(x)$.

In section 8 we use (1.47) to prove an analogue of the Erdős-Turán inequality for the supremum norm of an algebraic polynomial on the closed unit disk.

2. GROWTH ESTIMATES IN THE COMPLEX PLANE

Let $\mathcal{R} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < \Re(z)\}$ denote the open right half plane. Throughout this section we work with a function $\Phi(z)$ that is analytic on \mathcal{R} and satisfies the following conditions: If $0 < a < b < \infty$, then

$$(2.1) \quad \lim_{y \rightarrow \pm\infty} e^{-2\pi|y|} \int_a^b \left| \frac{\Phi(x + iy)}{x + iy} \right| \, dx = 0,$$

and if $0 < \eta < \infty$, then

$$(2.2) \quad \sup_{\eta \leq x} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\Phi(x + iy)}{x + iy} \right| e^{-2\pi|y|} \, dy < \infty$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\Phi(x + iy)}{x + iy} \right| e^{-2\pi|y|} \, dy = 0.$$

Lemma 2.1. *Assume that the analytic function $\Phi : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies the conditions (2.1), (2.2), and (2.3), and let $0 < \delta$. Then there exists a positive number $c(\delta, \Phi)$, depending only on δ and Φ , such that the inequality*

$$(2.4) \quad |\Phi(z)| \leq c(\delta, \Phi)|z|e^{2\pi|y|}$$

holds for all $z = x + iy$ in the closed half plane $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \delta \leq \Re(z)\}$.

Proof. Write $\eta = \min\{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}\delta\}$, and set

$$c_1(\eta, \Phi) = \sup \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\Phi(u + iv)}{u + iv} \right| e^{-2\pi|v|} dv : \eta \leq u \right\}.$$

Then $c_1(\eta, \Phi)$ is finite by (2.2). Let $z = x + iy$ satisfy $\delta \leq \Re(z)$ and let T be a positive real parameter such that $|y| + \eta < T$. Then write $\Gamma(z, \eta, T)$ for the simply connected, positively oriented, rectangular path connecting the points $x - \eta - iT$, $x + \eta - iT$, $x + \eta + iT$, $x - \eta + iT$, and $x - \eta - iT$. From Cauchy's integral formula we have

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{\Phi(z)}{z} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma(z, \eta, T)} \frac{\Phi(w)}{w(w-z)(\cos \pi(w-z))^2} dw.$$

At each point $w = u + iv$ on the path $\Gamma(z, \eta, T)$ we find that

$$(2.6) \quad \eta \leq |w - z|$$

and

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|\cos \pi(w-z)|^2} &= \frac{2}{(\cos 2\pi(u-x) + \cosh 2\pi(v-y))} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{(\cosh 2\pi(v-y))} \\ &\leq 4e^{-2\pi|v-y|} \leq 4e^{2\pi(|y|-|v|)}. \end{aligned}$$

Using these estimates and (2.1) we get

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{x-\eta \pm iT}^{x+\eta \pm iT} \frac{\Phi(w)}{w(w-z)(\cos \pi(w-z))^2} dw \right| \\ \leq \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} 4\eta^{-1} e^{2\pi(|y|-T)} \int_{x-\eta}^{x+\eta} \left| \frac{\Phi(u \pm iT)}{u \pm iT} \right| du \\ = 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (2.5) and (2.8) that

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\Phi(z)}{z} &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{x+\eta-i\infty}^{x+\eta+i\infty} \frac{\Phi(w)}{w(w-z)(\cos \pi(w-z))^2} dw \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{x-\eta-i\infty}^{x-\eta+i\infty} \frac{\Phi(w)}{w(w-z)(\cos \pi(w-z))^2} dw. \end{aligned}$$

By appealing to (2.6) and (2.7) again we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.10) \quad & \left| \int_{x \pm \eta - i\infty}^{x \pm \eta + i\infty} \frac{\Phi(w)}{w(w-z)(\cos \pi(w-z))^2} dw \right| \\
 & \leq 4\eta^{-1} e^{2\pi|y|} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\Phi(x \pm \eta + iv)}{x \pm \eta + iv} \right| e^{-2\pi|v|} dv \\
 & \leq 4c_1(\eta, \Phi) \eta^{-1} e^{2\pi|y|}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining (2.9) and (2.10) leads to the estimate

$$\left| \frac{\Phi(z)}{z} \right| \leq 4(\pi\eta)^{-1} c_1(\eta, \Phi) e^{2\pi|y|},$$

and this plainly verifies (2.4) with $c(\delta, \Phi) = 4(\pi\eta)^{-1} c_1(\eta, \Phi)$. □

Let $w = u + iv$ be a complex variable. From (2.2) we find that for each positive real number β such that $\beta - \frac{1}{2}$ is not an integer, and each complex number z with $|\Re(z)| \neq \beta$, the function

$$w \mapsto \left(\frac{\cos \pi z}{\cos \pi w} \right)^2 \left(\frac{2w}{z^2 - w^2} \right) \Phi(w)$$

is integrable along the vertical line $\Re(w) = \beta$. We define a complex valued function $z \mapsto I(\beta, \Phi; z)$ on each component of the open set

$$(2.11) \quad \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\Re(z)| \neq \beta\}$$

by

$$(2.12) \quad I(\beta, \Phi; z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\beta - i\infty}^{\beta + i\infty} \left(\frac{\cos \pi z}{\cos \pi w} \right)^2 \left(\frac{2w}{z^2 - w^2} \right) \Phi(w) dw.$$

It follows using Morera's theorem that $z \mapsto I(\beta, \Phi; z)$ is analytic in each of the three components.

In a similar manner we find that for each positive real number β such that β is not an integer, and each complex number z with $|\Re(z)| \neq \beta$, the function

$$w \mapsto \left(\frac{\sin \pi z}{\sin \pi w} \right)^2 \left(\frac{2w}{z^2 - w^2} \right) \Phi(w)$$

is integrable along the vertical line $\Re(w) = \beta$. We define a complex valued function $z \mapsto J(\beta, \Phi; z)$ on each component of the open set (2.11) by

$$(2.13) \quad J(\beta, \Phi; z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\beta - i\infty}^{\beta + i\infty} \left(\frac{\sin \pi z}{\sin \pi w} \right)^2 \left(\frac{2w}{z^2 - w^2} \right) \Phi(w) dw.$$

Again Morera's theorem can be used to show that $J(\beta, \Phi; z)$ is analytic in each of the three components.

Next we prove a simple estimate for $I(\beta, \Phi; z)$ and $J(\beta, \Phi; z)$.

Lemma 2.2. *Assume that the analytic function $\Phi : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies the conditions (2.1), (2.2), and (2.3). Let β be a positive real number, $z = x + iy$ a complex number such that $|\Re(z)| \neq \beta$, and write*

$$(2.14) \quad B(\beta, \Phi) = \frac{4}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| \frac{\Phi(\beta + iv)}{\beta + iv} \right| e^{-2\pi|v|} dv.$$

If $\beta - \frac{1}{2}$ is not an integer, then

$$(2.15) \quad |I(\beta, \Phi; z)| \leq B(\beta, \Phi) \sec^2 \pi \beta \left(1 + \frac{|z|}{||x| - \beta|} \right) e^{2\pi|y|}.$$

If β is not an integer, then

$$(2.16) \quad |J(\beta, \Phi; z)| \leq B(\beta, \Phi) \csc^2 \pi \beta \left(1 + \frac{|z|}{||x| - \beta|} \right) e^{2\pi|y|}.$$

Proof. On the vertical line $\Re(w) = \beta$ we have

$$||x| - \beta| \leq \min\{|z - w|, |z + w|\}$$

and

$$|z| \leq \frac{1}{2}|z - w| + \frac{1}{2}|z + w| \leq \max\{|z - w|, |z + w|\},$$

and therefore

$$(2.17) \quad \begin{aligned} \left| \frac{w^2}{z^2 - w^2} \right| &\leq 1 + \left| \frac{z^2}{z^2 - w^2} \right| \\ &= 1 + |z|^2 \left(\min\{|z - w|, |z + w|\} \max\{|z - w|, |z + w|\} \right)^{-1} \\ &\leq 1 + \frac{|z|}{||x| - \beta|. \end{aligned}$$

On the line $\Re(w) = \beta$ we also use the elementary inequality

$$(2.18) \quad |\cos \pi(\beta + iv)|^{-2} \leq 4e^{-2\pi|v|} \sec^2 \pi \beta.$$

Then we use (2.17) and (2.18) to estimate the integral on the right of (2.12). The bound (2.15) follows easily.

The proof of (2.16) is very similar. \square

For each positive number ξ we define an even rational function $z \mapsto \mathcal{A}(\xi, \Phi; z)$ on \mathbb{C} by

$$(2.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(\xi, \Phi; z) &= \Phi(\xi)(z - \xi)^{-2} + \Phi'(\xi)(z - \xi)^{-1} \\ &\quad + \Phi(\xi)(z + \xi)^{-2} - \Phi'(\xi)(z + \xi)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.3. *Assume that the analytic function $\Phi : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies the conditions (2.1), (2.2), and (2.3). Then the sequence of entire functions*

$$(2.20) \quad \left(\frac{\cos \pi z}{\pi} \right)^2 \sum_{n=1}^N \mathcal{A}(n - \frac{1}{2}, \Phi; z), \text{ where } N = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

converges uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{C} as $N \rightarrow \infty$, and therefore

$$(2.21) \quad \mathcal{G}(\Phi, z) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\cos \pi z}{\pi} \right)^2 \sum_{n=1}^N \mathcal{A}(n - \frac{1}{2}, \Phi; z)$$

defines an entire function. Also, the sequence of entire functions

$$(2.22) \quad \left(\frac{\sin \pi z}{\pi} \right)^2 \sum_{n=1}^N \mathcal{A}(n, \Phi; z), \text{ where } N = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

converges uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{C} as $N \rightarrow \infty$, and therefore

$$(2.23) \quad \mathcal{H}(\Phi, z) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\sin \pi z}{\pi} \right)^2 \sum_{n=1}^N \mathcal{A}(n, \Phi; z)$$

defines an entire function.

Proof. We assume that z is a complex number in \mathcal{R} such that $z - \frac{1}{2}$ is not an integer. Then

$$(2.24) \quad w \mapsto \left(\frac{\cos \pi z}{\cos \pi w} \right)^2 \left(\frac{2w}{z^2 - w^2} \right) \Phi(w)$$

defines a meromorphic function of w on the right half plane \mathcal{R} . We find that (2.24) has a simple pole at $w = z$ with residue $-\Phi(z)$. Also, for each positive integer n , (2.24) has a pole of order at most two at $w = n - \frac{1}{2}$ with residue

$$\left(\frac{\cos \pi z}{\pi} \right)^2 \mathcal{A}(n - \frac{1}{2}, \Phi; z).$$

Plainly (2.24) has no other poles in \mathcal{R} . Let $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}$, let N be a positive integer, and let T be a positive real parameter. Write $\Gamma(\beta, N, T)$ for the simply connected, positively oriented rectangular path connecting the points $\beta - iT$, $N - iT$, $N + iT$, $\beta + iT$ and $\beta - iT$. If z satisfies $\beta < \Re(z) < N$ and $|\Im(z)| < T$, and $z - \frac{1}{2}$ is not an integer, then from the residue theorem we obtain the identity

$$(2.25) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{\cos \pi z}{\pi} \right)^2 \sum_{n=1}^N \mathcal{A}(n - \frac{1}{2}, \Phi; z) - \Phi(z) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma(\beta, N, T)} \left(\frac{\cos \pi z}{\cos \pi w} \right)^2 \left(\frac{2w}{z^2 - w^2} \right) \Phi(w) \, dw. \end{aligned}$$

We let $T \rightarrow \infty$ on the right hand side of (2.25), and we use the hypotheses (2.1) and (2.2). In this way we conclude that

$$(2.26) \quad \left(\frac{\cos \pi z}{\pi} \right)^2 \sum_{n=1}^N \mathcal{A}(n - \frac{1}{2}, \Phi; z) - \Phi(z) = I(N, \Phi; z) - I(\beta, \Phi; z).$$

Initially (2.26) holds for $\beta < \Re(z) < N$ and $z - \frac{1}{2}$ not an integer. However, we have already observed that both sides of (2.26) are analytic in the strip $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \beta < \Re(z) < N\}$. Therefore the condition that $z - \frac{1}{2}$ is not an integer can be dropped.

Now let $M < N$ be positive integers. From (2.26) we find that

$$(2.27) \quad \left(\frac{\cos \pi z}{\pi} \right)^2 \sum_{n=M+1}^N \mathcal{A}(n - \frac{1}{2}, \Phi; z) = I(N, \Phi; z) - I(M, \Phi; z)$$

in the infinite strip $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \beta < \Re(z) < M\}$. In fact we have seen that both sides of (2.27) are analytic in the infinite strip $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\Re(z)| < M\}$. Therefore the identity (2.27) must hold in this larger domain by analytic continuation. Let $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be a compact set and assume that L is an integer so large that $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 2|z| < L\}$. From (2.3), Lemma 2.2, and (2.27), it is obvious that the sequence of entire functions (2.20), where $L \leq N$, is uniformly Cauchy on \mathcal{K} . This verifies the first assertion of the lemma and shows that (2.21) defines an entire function. The second assertion of the lemma can be established in essentially the same manner. \square

Lemma 2.4. *Assume that the analytic function $\Phi : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies the conditions (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3). Let the entire functions $\mathcal{G}(\Phi, z)$ and $\mathcal{H}(\Phi, z)$ be defined by (2.21) and (2.23), respectively. If $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}$, then the identity*

$$(2.28) \quad \Phi(z) - \mathcal{G}(\Phi, z) = I(\beta, \Phi; z)$$

holds for all z in the half plane $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \beta < \Re(z)\}$, and the identity

$$(2.29) \quad -\mathcal{G}(\Phi, z) = I(\beta, \Phi; z)$$

holds for all z in the infinite strip $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\Re(z)| < \beta\}$. If $0 < \beta < 1$, then the identity

$$(2.30) \quad \Phi(z) - \mathcal{H}(\Phi, z) = J(\beta, \Phi; z)$$

holds for all z in the half plane $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \beta < \Re(z)\}$, and the identity

$$(2.31) \quad -\mathcal{H}(\Phi, z) = J(\beta, \Phi; z)$$

holds for all z in the infinite strip $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\Re(z)| < \beta\}$.

Proof. We argue as in the proof of Lemma 2.3, letting $N \rightarrow \infty$ on both sides of (2.26). Then we use (2.3) and Lemma 2.2, and obtain the identity

$$\Phi(z) - \mathcal{G}(\Phi, z) = I(\beta, \Phi; z)$$

at each point of the half plane $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \beta < \Re(z)\}$. This proves (2.28).

Next, we assume that $|\Re(z)| < \beta$. In this case the residue theorem provides the identity

$$(2.32) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{\cos \pi z}{\pi}\right)^2 \sum_{n=1}^N \mathcal{A}(n - \tfrac{1}{2}, \Phi; z) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma(\beta, N, T)} \left(\frac{\cos \pi z}{\cos \pi w}\right)^2 \left(\frac{2w}{z^2 - w^2}\right) \Phi(w) \, dw. \end{aligned}$$

We let $T \rightarrow \infty$ and argue as before. In this way (2.32) leads to

$$(2.33) \quad \left(\frac{\cos \pi z}{\pi}\right)^2 \sum_{n=1}^N \mathcal{A}(n - \tfrac{1}{2}, \Phi; z) = I(N, \Phi; z) - I(\beta, \Phi; z).$$

Then we let $N \rightarrow \infty$ on both sides of (2.33), and we use (2.3) and Lemma 2.2 again. We find that

$$-\mathcal{G}(\Phi, z) = I(\beta, \Phi; z),$$

and this verifies (2.29).

The identities (2.30) and (2.31) are obtained in the same way. \square

Corollary 2.5. *Suppose that $\Phi(z) = 1$ is constant on \mathcal{R} . If $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}$, then*

$$(2.34) \quad I(\beta, 1; z) = 0$$

in the open half plane $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \beta < \Re(z)\}$. If $0 < \beta < 1$, then

$$(2.35) \quad J(\beta, 1; z) = \left(\frac{\sin \pi z}{\pi z}\right)^2$$

in the open half plane $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \beta < \Re(z)\}$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}(1, z) &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\cos \pi z}{\pi} \right)^2 \sum_{n=1}^N \mathcal{A}(n - \frac{1}{2}, 1; z) \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\cos \pi z}{\pi} \right)^2 \sum_{n=-N}^{N-1} (z - n - \frac{1}{2})^{-2} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Now the identity (2.34) follows from (2.28). In a similar manner,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(1, z) &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\sin \pi z}{\pi} \right)^2 \sum_{n=1}^N \mathcal{A}(n, 1; z) \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\sin \pi z}{\pi} \right)^2 \sum_{n=-N}^N (z - n)^{-2} - \left(\frac{\sin \pi z}{\pi z} \right)^2 \\ &= 1 - \left(\frac{\sin \pi z}{\pi z} \right)^2, \end{aligned}$$

and (2.35) follows from (2.30). □

Lemma 2.6. *Assume that the analytic function $\Phi : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies the conditions (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3). Let the entire functions $\mathcal{G}(\Phi, z)$ and $\mathcal{H}(\Phi, z)$ be defined by (2.21) and (2.23), respectively. Then there exists a positive number $c(\Phi)$, depending only on Φ , such that the inequalities*

$$(2.36) \quad |\mathcal{G}(\Phi, z)| \leq c(\Phi)(1 + |z|)e^{2\pi|y|}$$

and

$$(2.37) \quad |\mathcal{H}(\Phi, z)| \leq c(\Phi)(1 + |z|)e^{2\pi|y|}$$

hold for all complex numbers $z = x + iy$. In particular, both $\mathcal{G}(\Phi, z)$ and $\mathcal{H}(\Phi, z)$ are entire functions of exponential type at most 2π .

Proof. In the closed half plane $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \frac{1}{4} \leq \Re(z)\}$ the identity (2.28) implies that

$$|\mathcal{G}(\Phi, z)| \leq |\Phi(z)| + |I(\frac{1}{8}, \Phi; z)|.$$

Then an estimate of the form (2.36) in this half plane follows from Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2. In the closed infinite strip $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\Re(z)| \leq \frac{1}{4}\}$ we have

$$|\mathcal{G}(\Phi, z)| = |I(\frac{3}{8}, \Phi; z)|$$

from the identity (2.29). Plainly, an estimate of the form (2.36) in this closed infinite strip follows from Lemma 2.2. This proves the inequality (2.36) for all complex z because $\mathcal{G}(\Phi, z)$ is an even function of z . The inequality (2.37) is established in the same manner using $J(\beta, \Phi; z)$ in place of $I(\beta, \Phi; z)$. □

3. FOURIER EXPANSIONS

It follows directly from the definition (1.4) that $z \mapsto L(\lambda, z)$ interpolates the values of the function $x \mapsto e^{-\lambda|x|}$ and its derivative at points of the coset $\mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$. That is, the identities

$$(3.1) \quad L(\lambda, k + \frac{1}{2}) = e^{-\lambda|k+\frac{1}{2}|} \quad \text{and} \quad L'(\lambda, k + \frac{1}{2}) = -\operatorname{sgn}(k + \frac{1}{2})\lambda e^{-\lambda|k+\frac{1}{2}|}$$

hold for each integer k . Similarly, it follows from (1.5) that $z \mapsto M(\lambda, z)$ interpolates the values of the function $x \mapsto e^{-\lambda|x|}$ at points of \mathbb{Z} and interpolates its derivative at points of $\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. Thus we get

$$(3.2) \quad M(\lambda, l) = e^{-\lambda|l|} \quad \text{and} \quad M'(\lambda, l) = -\operatorname{sgn}(l)e^{-\lambda|l|}$$

for each integer l .

Lemma 3.1. *If $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}$, then at each point z in the half plane $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \beta < \Re(z)\}$ we have*

$$(3.3) \quad e^{-\lambda z} - L(\lambda, z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\beta-i\infty}^{\beta+i\infty} \left(\frac{\cos \pi z}{\cos \pi w}\right)^2 \left(\frac{2w}{z^2 - w^2}\right) e^{-w\lambda} dw.$$

If $0 < \beta < 1$, then at each point z in the half plane $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \beta < \Re(z)\}$ we have

$$(3.4) \quad M(\lambda, z) - e^{-\lambda z} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\beta-i\infty}^{\beta+i\infty} \left(\frac{\sin \pi z}{\sin \pi w}\right)^2 \left(\frac{2w}{z^2 - w^2}\right) (1 - e^{-w\lambda}) dw.$$

Proof. We apply Lemma 2.3 with $\Phi(z) = e^{-z\lambda}$. It follows that

$$\mathcal{G}(\Phi, z) = L(\lambda, z) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}(\Phi, z) = M(\lambda, z) - \left(\frac{\sin \pi z}{\pi z}\right)^2.$$

The identities (3.3) and (3.4) now follow from Lemma 2.4 and Corollary 2.5. \square

As $x \mapsto L(\lambda, x)$ and $x \mapsto M(\lambda, x)$ are both bounded and integrable on \mathbb{R} , their Fourier transforms

$$(3.5) \quad \widehat{L}(\lambda, t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} L(\lambda, x)e(-tx) dx \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{M}(\lambda, t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} M(\lambda, x)e(-tx) dx$$

are continuous functions of the real variable t supported on the interval $[-1, 1]$. Then by Fourier inversion we have the representations

$$(3.6) \quad L(\lambda, z) = \int_{-1}^1 \widehat{L}(\lambda, t)e(tz) dt \quad \text{and} \quad M(\lambda, z) = \int_{-1}^1 \widehat{M}(\lambda, t)e(tz) dt$$

for all complex z . It will be useful to have more explicit information about the Fourier transforms of these functions.

Lemma 3.2. *For $|t| \leq 1$ the Fourier transforms (3.5) are given by*

$$(3.7) \quad \widehat{L}(\lambda, t) = \frac{(1 - |t|) \sinh\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right) \cos \pi t + \frac{\lambda}{2\pi} |\sin \pi t| \cosh\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)}{\sinh^2\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right) + \sin^2 \pi t}$$

and

$$(3.8) \quad \widehat{M}(\lambda, t) = \frac{(1 - |t|) \sinh\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right) \cosh\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right) + \frac{\lambda}{2\pi} |\sin \pi t| \cos \pi t}{\sinh^2\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right) + \sin^2 \pi t}.$$

Moreover, we have

$$(3.9) \quad 0 \leq \widehat{L}(\lambda, t) \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq \widehat{M}(\lambda, t)$$

for all real t .

Proof. The Fourier transform $\widehat{L}(\lambda, t)$ can be explicitly determined as follows. For $\lambda > 0$ we define, as in [5, equation (3.1)], the entire function

$$A(\lambda, z) = \left(\frac{\sin \pi z}{\pi}\right)^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda n} \{(z - n)^{-2} - \lambda(z - n)^{-1}\}.$$

Then $z \mapsto A(\lambda, z)$ has exponential type 2π , and its restriction to \mathbb{R} is in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Using [17, Theorem 9] we find that

$$A(\lambda, z) = \int_{-1}^1 \widehat{A}(\lambda, t)e(tz) dt$$

for all complex z , where

$$(3.10) \quad \widehat{A}(\lambda, t) = (1 - |t|)u_\lambda(t) + (2\pi i)^{-1} \operatorname{sgn}(t)v_\lambda(t)$$

with

$$u_\lambda(t) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda m - 2\pi i m t} = (1 - e^{-\lambda - 2\pi i t})^{-1},$$

and

$$v_\lambda(t) = -\lambda \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda m - 2\pi i m t} = -\lambda (1 - e^{-\lambda - 2\pi i t})^{-1}.$$

Therefore (3.10) can be written as

$$\widehat{A}(\lambda, t) = \left\{ (1 - |t|) - \frac{\lambda}{2\pi i} \operatorname{sgn}(t) \right\} (1 - e^{-\lambda - 2\pi i t})^{-1}$$

for $|t| \leq 1$. Next we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} L(\lambda, z) &= e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}} \left\{ A\left(\lambda, z - \frac{1}{2}\right) + A\left(\lambda, -z - \frac{1}{2}\right) \right\} \\ &= e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}} \left\{ \int_{-1}^1 \widehat{A}(\lambda, t)e\left(t\left(z - \frac{1}{2}\right)\right) dt + \int_{-1}^1 \widehat{A}(\lambda, -t)e\left(t\left(z + \frac{1}{2}\right)\right) dt \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \widehat{L}(\lambda, t) &= e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}} \left\{ \widehat{A}(\lambda, t)e\left(-\frac{1}{2}t\right) + \widehat{A}(\lambda, -t)e\left(\frac{1}{2}t\right) \right\} \\ &= \frac{(1 - |t|) \sinh\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right) \cos \pi t + \frac{\lambda}{2\pi} |\sin \pi t| \cosh\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)}{\sinh^2\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right) + \sin^2 \pi t} \end{aligned}$$

for $|t| \leq 1$. In a similar manner we use

$$M(\lambda, z) = A(\lambda, z) + A(\lambda, -z) - \left(\frac{\sin \pi z}{\pi z}\right)^2$$

and the identity

$$\left(\frac{\sin \pi z}{\pi z}\right)^2 = \int_{-1}^1 (1 - |t|)e(tz) dt.$$

We find that

$$(3.12) \quad \widehat{M}(\lambda, t) = \frac{(1 - |t|) \sinh\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right) \cosh\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right) + \frac{\lambda}{2\pi} |\sin \pi t| \cos \pi t}{\sinh^2\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right) + \sin^2 \pi t}.$$

It now follows from (3.11) and (3.12) that both $\widehat{L}(\lambda, t)$ and $\widehat{M}(\lambda, t)$ are nonnegative for all real t . □

For later applications it will be useful to have the following inequality.

Corollary 3.3. *If $0 < |t| \leq 1$, then we have*

$$(3.13) \quad \int_0^\infty \widehat{L}(\lambda, t) \lambda^{-1} d\lambda \leq \frac{1}{2|t|}.$$

Proof. For $0 < |t| \leq 1$ we use the elementary inequalities

$$\cos \pi t \leq \frac{\sin \pi t}{\pi t} \quad \text{and} \quad \sinh\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right) \leq \frac{\lambda}{2} \cosh\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right).$$

Then it follows from (3.7) that

$$\widehat{L}(\lambda, t) \leq \left(\frac{\sin \pi t}{\pi t}\right) \frac{\frac{\lambda}{2} \cosh\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)}{\sinh^2\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right) + \sin^2 \pi t}$$

and

$$\int_0^\infty \widehat{L}(\lambda, t) \lambda^{-1} d\lambda \leq \left(\frac{\sin \pi t}{\pi t}\right) \int_0^\infty \frac{\frac{1}{2} \cosh\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)}{\sinh^2\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right) + \sin^2 \pi t} d\lambda = \frac{1}{2|t|}.$$

□

Remark 3.4. In fact, Corollary 3.3 is a particular application of the following more general upper bound:

$$(3.14) \quad \widehat{L}(\lambda, t) \leq \frac{2\lambda}{\lambda^2 + 4\pi^2 t^2}$$

for all $\lambda > 0$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. This bound may be useful in other applications. One can prove (3.14) by clearing denominators, expanding in Taylor series with respect to λ and observing that all coefficients (which are now functions of t only) are nonnegative.

Lemma 3.5. *Let ν be a finite measure on the Borel subsets of $(0, \infty)$. For each complex number z the functions $\lambda \mapsto L(\lambda, z)$ and $\lambda \mapsto M(\lambda, z)$ are ν -integrable on $(0, \infty)$. The complex valued functions*

$$(3.15) \quad L_\nu(z) = \int_0^\infty L(\lambda, z) d\nu(\lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad M_\nu(z) = \int_0^\infty M(\lambda, z) d\nu(\lambda)$$

are entire functions which satisfy the inequalities

$$(3.16) \quad |L_\nu(z)| \leq \nu\{(0, \infty)\} e^{2\pi|y|} \quad \text{and} \quad |M_\nu(z)| \leq \nu\{(0, \infty)\} e^{2\pi|y|}$$

for all $z = x + iy$. In particular, both $L_\nu(z)$ and $M_\nu(z)$ are entire functions of exponential type at most 2π .

Proof. We apply (3.6) and the fact that $0 \leq \widehat{L}(\lambda, t)$. We find that

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty |L(\lambda, z)| d\nu(\lambda) &= \int_0^\infty \left| \int_{-1}^1 \widehat{L}(\lambda, t) e(tz) dt \right| d\nu(\lambda) \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty \int_{-1}^1 \widehat{L}(\lambda, t) e^{-2\pi ty} dt d\nu(\lambda) \\ &\leq e^{2\pi|y|} \int_0^\infty \int_{-1}^1 \widehat{L}(\lambda, t) dt d\nu(\lambda) \\ &= e^{2\pi|y|} \int_0^\infty L(\lambda, 0) d\nu(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

As $L(\lambda, 0) \leq 1$ by (1.6), it follows from (3.17) that

$$\int_0^\infty |L(\lambda, z)| \, d\nu(\lambda) \leq \nu\{(0, \infty)\}e^{2\pi|y|}.$$

This shows that $\lambda \mapsto L(\lambda, z)$ is ν -integrable on $(0, \infty)$ and verifies the bound on the left of (3.16).

In a similar manner we get

$$(3.18) \quad \int_0^\infty |M(\lambda, z)| \, d\nu(\lambda) \leq e^{2\pi|y|} \int_0^\infty M(\lambda, 0) \, d\nu(\lambda).$$

It is clear from (3.2) that $z \mapsto M(\lambda, z)$ interpolates the values of the function $x \mapsto e^{-\lambda|x|}$ at the integers. In particular, $M(\lambda, 0) = 1$, and therefore (3.18) implies that

$$\int_0^\infty |M(\lambda, z)| \, d\nu(\lambda) \leq \nu\{(0, \infty)\}e^{2\pi|y|}.$$

Again this shows that $\lambda \mapsto M(\lambda, z)$ is ν -integrable and verifies the bound on the right of (3.16).

It follows easily using Morera's theorem that both $z \mapsto L_\nu(z)$ and $z \mapsto M_\nu(z)$ are entire functions. Then (3.16) implies that both of these entire functions have exponential type at most 2π . \square

Let ν be a finite measure on the Borel subsets of $(0, \infty)$. It follows that

$$(3.19) \quad \Psi_\nu(z) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda z} \, d\nu(\lambda)$$

defines a function that is bounded and continuous in the closed half plane $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 \leq \Re(z)\}$ and is analytic in the interior of this half plane.

Lemma 3.6. *If $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}$, then at each point z in the half plane $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \beta < \Re(z)\}$ we have*

$$(3.20) \quad \Psi_\nu(z) - L_\nu(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\beta-i\infty}^{\beta+i\infty} \left(\frac{\cos \pi z}{\cos \pi w}\right)^2 \left(\frac{2w}{z^2 - w^2}\right) \Psi_\nu(w) \, dw.$$

If $0 < \beta < 1$ and $a_\nu = \nu\{(0, \infty)\}$, then at each point z in the half plane $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \beta < \Re(z)\}$ we have

$$(3.21) \quad M_\nu(z) - \Psi_\nu(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\beta-i\infty}^{\beta+i\infty} \left(\frac{\sin \pi z}{\sin \pi w}\right)^2 \left(\frac{2w}{z^2 - w^2}\right) (a_\nu - \Psi_\nu(w)) \, dw.$$

Proof. We apply (3.3) and get

$$\begin{aligned} & \Psi_\nu(z) - L_\nu(z) \\ &= \int_0^\infty \{e^{-\lambda z} - L(\lambda, z)\} \, d\nu(\lambda) \\ &= \int_0^\infty \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\beta-i\infty}^{\beta+i\infty} \left(\frac{\cos \pi z}{\cos \pi w}\right)^2 \left(\frac{2w}{z^2 - w^2}\right) e^{-w\lambda} \, dw \right\} \, d\nu(\lambda) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\beta-i\infty}^{\beta+i\infty} \left(\frac{\cos \pi z}{\cos \pi w}\right)^2 \left(\frac{2w}{z^2 - w^2}\right) \Psi_\nu(w) \, dw. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (3.20). Then (3.4) leads to (3.21) in the same manner. \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Let μ be a measure defined on the Borel subsets of $(0, \infty)$ that satisfies (1.13). Let $z = x + iy$ be a point in the open right half plane $\mathcal{R} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < \Re(z)\}$. Using (1.13) we find that

$$\lambda \mapsto e^{-\lambda z} - e^{-\lambda}$$

is integrable on $(0, \infty)$ with respect to μ . We define $F_\mu : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$(4.1) \quad F_\mu(z) = \int_0^\infty \{e^{-\lambda z} - e^{-\lambda}\} d\mu(\lambda).$$

It follows by applying Morera's theorem that $F_\mu(z)$ is analytic on \mathcal{R} . Also, at each point z in \mathcal{R} the derivative of F_μ is given by

$$(4.2) \quad F'_\mu(z) = - \int_0^\infty \lambda e^{-\lambda z} d\mu(\lambda).$$

Then (4.2) leads to the bound

$$(4.3) \quad |F'_\mu(x + iy)| \leq \int_0^\infty \lambda e^{-\lambda x} d\mu(\lambda) = |F'_\mu(x)|.$$

Using (4.3) and the dominated convergence theorem, we conclude that

$$(4.4) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} |F'_\mu(x + iy)| = 0$$

uniformly in y . Clearly the functions $f_\mu(x)$, defined by (1.14), and $F_\mu(z)$, defined by (4.1), satisfy the identities

$$(4.5) \quad f_\mu(x) = F_\mu(|x|) \quad \text{and} \quad f'_\mu(x) = \operatorname{sgn}(x)F'_\mu(|x|)$$

for all real $x \neq 0$.

Lemma 4.1. *The analytic function $F_\mu(z)$, defined by (4.1), satisfies each of the three conditions (2.1), (2.2), and (2.3).*

Proof. Let $0 < \xi \leq 1$. If $\xi \leq \Re(z)$, then from (4.3) we obtain the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} |F_\mu(z)| &= \left| \int_1^z F'_\mu(w) dw \right| \\ &\leq |z - 1| \max \{ |F'_\mu(\theta z + 1 - \theta)| : 0 \leq \theta \leq 1 \} \\ &\leq (|z| + 1) |F'_\mu(\xi)|, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$(4.6) \quad \left| \frac{F_\mu(z)}{z} \right| \leq (1 + \xi^{-1}) |F'_\mu(\xi)|.$$

The conditions (2.1) and (2.2) follow from the bound (4.6).

Now assume that $1 \leq x = \Re(z)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} |F_\mu(x + iy)| &= \left| \int_1^x F'_\mu(u) du + i \int_0^y F'_\mu(x + iv) dv \right| \\ &\leq \int_1^x |F'_\mu(u)| du + |y| |F'_\mu(x)|, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$(4.7) \quad \left| \frac{F_\mu(x + iy)}{x + iy} \right| \leq \frac{1}{x} \int_1^x |F'_\mu(u)| du + |F'_\mu(x)|.$$

Then (4.4) and (4.7) imply that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{F_\mu(x + iy)}{x + iy} \right| = 0$$

uniformly in y . The remaining condition (2.3) follows from this. □

We are now in a position to apply the results of section 2 and section 3 to the function $F_\mu(z)$. In view of the identities (4.5), the entire function $G_\mu(z)$, defined by (1.15), and the entire function $\mathcal{G}(F_\mu, z)$, defined by (2.21), are equal. If $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}$ and $\beta < \Re(z)$, then from (2.28) of Lemma 2.4 we have

$$(4.8) \quad F_\mu(z) - G_\mu(z) = I(\beta, F_\mu; z).$$

Applying Lemma 2.6 we conclude that $G_\mu(z)$ is an entire function of exponential type at most 2π . This verifies (i) in the statement of Theorem 1.1.

Next we define a sequence of measures $\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \dots$ on Borel subsets $E \subseteq (0, \infty)$ by

$$(4.9) \quad \nu_n(E) = \int_E (e^{-\lambda/n} - e^{-\lambda n}) \, d\mu(\lambda), \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_n\{(0, \infty)\} &= \int_0^\infty \int_{1/n}^n \lambda e^{-\lambda u} \, du \, d\mu(\lambda) \\ &= - \int_{1/n}^n F'_\mu(u) \, du \\ &= F_\mu(1/n) - F_\mu(n) < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore ν_n is a finite measure for each n . It will be convenient to simplify (3.15) and (3.19). For z in \mathbb{C} and n a positive integer, we write

$$(4.10) \quad L_n(z) = \int_0^\infty L(\lambda, z) \, d\nu_n(\lambda),$$

and for z in \mathcal{R} we write

$$(4.11) \quad \Psi_n(z) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda z} \, d\nu_n(\lambda).$$

It follows from Lemma 3.5 that $L_n(z)$ is an entire function of exponential type at most 2π . If $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}$, then (2.34) and (3.20) imply that

$$(4.12) \quad \Psi_n(z) - L_n(z) = I(\beta, \Psi_n; z) = I(\beta, \Psi_n - \Psi_n(1); z)$$

for all complex z such that $\beta < \Re(z)$. From the definitions (4.9), (4.10), and (4.11), we find that

$$(4.13) \quad \Psi_n(x) - L_n(x) = \int_0^\infty (e^{-\lambda x} - L(\lambda, x))(e^{-\lambda/n} - e^{-\lambda n}) \, d\mu(\lambda)$$

for all positive real x .

Let $w = u + iv$ be a point in \mathcal{R} . Then

$$(4.14) \quad \Psi_n(w) - \Psi_n(1) = \int_0^\infty (e^{-\lambda w} - e^{-\lambda})(e^{-\lambda/n} - e^{-\lambda n}) \, d\mu(\lambda)$$

and

$$|e^{-\lambda/n} - e^{-\lambda n}| \leq 1$$

for all positive real λ and positive integers n . We let $n \rightarrow \infty$ on both sides of (4.14) and apply the dominated convergence theorem. In this way we conclude that

$$(4.15) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Psi_n(w) - \Psi_n(1) = F_\mu(w)$$

at each point w in \mathcal{R} . If $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}$, then, as in the proof of Lemma 4.1, on the line $\beta = \Re(w)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\Psi_n(w) - \Psi_n(1)| &\leq \int_0^\infty \left| \int_1^w \lambda e^{-\lambda t} dt \right| d\mu(\lambda) \\ &\leq (|w| + 1) |F'_\mu(\beta)|. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\left| \frac{\Psi_n(w) - \Psi_n(1)}{w} \right|$$

is bounded on the line $\beta = \Re(w)$. From this observation, together with (4.12) and (4.15), we conclude that

$$(4.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Psi_n(z) - L_n(z) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I(\beta, \Psi_n - \Psi_n(1); z) \\ &= I(\beta, F_\mu; z) \\ &= F_\mu(z) - G_\mu(z) \end{aligned}$$

at each complex number z with $\beta < \Re(z)$. In particular, we have

$$(4.17) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Psi_n(x) - L_n(x) = F_\mu(x) - G_\mu(x)$$

for all positive x . We combine (4.13) and (4.17), and use the monotone convergence theorem. This leads to the identity

$$(4.18) \quad F_\mu(x) - G_\mu(x) = \int_0^\infty (e^{-\lambda x} - L(\lambda, x)) d\mu(\lambda)$$

for all positive x . Then we use the identity on the left of (4.5) and the fact that $x \mapsto G_\mu(x)$ is an even function to write (4.18) as

$$(4.19) \quad f_\mu(x) - G_\mu(x) = \int_0^\infty (e^{-\lambda|x|} - L(\lambda, x)) d\mu(\lambda)$$

for all $x \neq 0$. If $f_\mu(0)$ is finite, then (4.19) holds at $x = 0$ by continuity. If $f_\mu(0) = \infty$, then both sides of (4.19) are ∞ . Also, (1.6) implies that (4.19) is nonnegative for all real x . This establishes both (ii) and (iii) in the statement of Theorem 1.1.

Because the integrand on the right of (4.19) is nonnegative, we get

$$(4.20) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \{f_\mu(x) - G_\mu(x)\} dx &= \int_{-\infty}^\infty \int_0^\infty (e^{-\lambda|x|} - L(\lambda, x)) d\mu(\lambda) dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty (e^{-\lambda|x|} - L(\lambda, x)) dx d\mu(\lambda) \\ &= \int_0^\infty \left\{ \frac{2}{\lambda} - \operatorname{csch}\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right) \right\} d\mu(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

by Fubini's theorem. This proves (iv) in the statement of Theorem 1.1. Similarly, if $t \neq 0$ we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \{f_{\mu}(x) - G_{\mu}(x)\}e(-tx) \, dx \\
 &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \int_0^{\infty} (e^{-\lambda|x|} - L(\lambda, x)) \, d\mu(\lambda) \right\} e(-tx) \, dx \\
 (4.21) \quad &= \int_0^{\infty} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (e^{-\lambda|x|} - L(\lambda, x))e(-tx) \, dx \right\} d\mu(\lambda) \\
 &= \int_0^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{2\lambda}{\lambda^2 + 4\pi^2 t^2} \right\} d\mu(\lambda) - \int_0^{\infty} \widehat{L}(\lambda, t) \, d\mu(\lambda).
 \end{aligned}$$

This proves (v) in Theorem 1.1.

Finally, we assume that $\widetilde{G}(z)$ is a real entire function of exponential type at most 2π such that

$$(4.22) \quad \widetilde{G}(x) \leq f_{\mu}(x)$$

for all real x . Obviously (1.20) is trivial if the integral on the right of (1.20) is infinite. Hence we may assume that

$$(4.23) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \{f_{\mu}(x) - \widetilde{G}(x)\} \, dx < \infty.$$

Then (1.20) is equivalent to

$$(4.24) \quad 0 \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \{G_{\mu}(x) - \widetilde{G}(x)\} \, dx.$$

As $G_{\mu}(z) - \widetilde{G}(z)$ is a real entire function of exponential type at most 2π and is integrable on \mathbb{R} , we can apply [5, Lemma 4]. By that result we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.25) \quad & \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=-N}^N \left(1 - \frac{|n|}{N}\right) \{G_{\mu}(n - \frac{1}{2}) - \widetilde{G}(n - \frac{1}{2})\} \\
 &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \{G_{\mu}(x) - \widetilde{G}(x)\} \, dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (1.16) and (4.22) that

$$(4.26) \quad 0 \leq G_{\mu}(n - \frac{1}{2}) - \widetilde{G}(n - \frac{1}{2})$$

for each integer n . Therefore (4.25) and (4.26) imply that the integral (4.24) is nonnegative. This proves (vi) in the statement of Theorem 1.1. If the value of the integral (4.24) is zero, then we have

$$0 = G_{\mu}(n - \frac{1}{2}) - \widetilde{G}(n - \frac{1}{2})$$

for each integer n . It follows that

$$G_{\mu}(n - \frac{1}{2}) = \widetilde{G}(n - \frac{1}{2}) = f_{\mu}(n - \frac{1}{2})$$

at each integer n . As both $G_{\mu}(x) \leq f_{\mu}(x)$ and $\widetilde{G}(x) \leq f_{\mu}(x)$ for all real x , we find that

$$(4.27) \quad G'_{\mu}(n - \frac{1}{2}) = \widetilde{G}'(n - \frac{1}{2}) = f'_{\mu}(n - \frac{1}{2})$$

for each integer n . A second application of [5, Lemma 4] shows that $G_\mu(z) = \tilde{G}(z)$ for all complex z . This completes the proof of (vii) in Theorem 1.1.

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Let μ be a measure defined on the Borel subsets of $(0, \infty)$ that satisfies (1.21). Here we keep the same notation used in the proof of Theorem 1.1. Observe that the entire function $\mathcal{H}(F_\mu, z)$ defined in (2.23) and the function $H_\mu(z)$ defined by (1.22) satisfy

$$(5.1) \quad H_\mu(z) = \mathcal{H}(F_\mu, z) + \left(\frac{\sin \pi z}{\pi z}\right)^2 f_\mu(0).$$

It follows from (5.1) and Lemma 2.6 that $H_\mu(z)$ is an entire function of exponential type at most 2π . This verifies (i) in the statement of Theorem 1.2. If $0 < \beta < 1$ and $\beta < \Re(z)$, then from (5.1), (2.30) of Lemma 2.4 and (2.35) we have

$$(5.2) \quad H_\mu(z) - F_\mu(z) = J(\beta, f_\mu(0) - F_\mu; z).$$

For the measures ν_n defined in (4.9) we write

$$a_n = \nu_n\{(0, \infty)\}.$$

For $z \in \mathbb{C}$ we also define

$$(5.3) \quad M_n(z) = \int_0^\infty M(\lambda, z) \, d\nu_n(\lambda),$$

which is an entire function of exponential type at most 2π by Lemma 3.5. If $0 < \beta < 1$ and $\beta < \Re(z)$, from (4.11) and (3.21) we have

$$(5.4) \quad M_n(z) - \Psi_n(z) = J(\beta, a_n - \Psi_n; z).$$

Let $w = u + iv$ be a point in \mathcal{R} . Then

$$(5.5) \quad a_n - \Psi_n(w) = \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-\lambda w})(e^{-\lambda/n} - e^{-\lambda n}) \, d\mu(\lambda).$$

Since $|e^{-\lambda/n} - e^{-\lambda n}| \leq 1$, by dominated convergence we have

$$(5.6) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n - \Psi_n(w) = f_\mu(0) - F_\mu(w).$$

If $0 < \beta < 1$, then on the line $\beta = \Re(w)$ we have from (5.5)

$$\begin{aligned} |a_n - \Psi_n(w)| &\leq \int_0^\infty \left| \int_0^w \lambda e^{-\lambda s} \, ds \right| \, d\mu(\lambda) \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty \left\{ \left| \int_0^\beta \lambda e^{-\lambda s} \, ds \right| + \left| \int_\beta^{\beta+iv} \lambda e^{-\lambda s} \, ds \right| \right\} \, d\mu(\lambda) \\ &\leq \int_0^\beta \int_0^\infty \lambda e^{-\lambda s} \, d\mu(\lambda) \, ds + |v| \int_0^\infty \lambda e^{-\lambda \beta} \, d\mu(\lambda) \\ &= - \int_0^\beta F'_\mu(s) \, ds + |v| |F'_\mu(\beta)| \\ &= f_\mu(0) - F_\mu(\beta) + |v| |F'_\mu(\beta)|. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\left| \frac{a_n - \Psi_n(w)}{w} \right|$$

is bounded on the line $\beta = \Re(w)$. From this observation, (5.4), and (5.6), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M_n(z) - \Psi_n(z) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J(\beta, a_n - \Psi_n; z) \\ (5.7) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= J(\beta, f_\mu(0) - F_\mu; z) \\ &= H_\mu(z) - F_\mu(z) \end{aligned}$$

for each complex number $\beta < \Re(z)$. As

$$(5.8) \qquad M_n(x) - \Psi_n(x) = \int_0^\infty (M(\lambda, x) - e^{-\lambda x})(e^{-\lambda/n} - e^{-\lambda n}) \, d\mu(\lambda)$$

for all positive real x , the monotone convergence theorem, together with (5.7), leads to the identity

$$(5.9) \qquad H_\mu(x) - F_\mu(x) = \int_0^\infty (M(\lambda, x) - e^{-\lambda x}) \, d\mu(\lambda)$$

for all positive x . Then we use the identity on the left of (4.5) and the fact that $x \mapsto H_\mu(x)$ is an even function to write (5.9) as

$$(5.10) \qquad H_\mu(x) - f_\mu(x) = \int_0^\infty (M(\lambda, x) - e^{-\lambda|x|}) \, d\mu(\lambda)$$

for all $x \neq 0$. At $x = 0$ both sides of (5.10) are zero. From (1.6) we conclude that (5.10) is nonnegative for all real x . This establishes both (ii) and (iii) in the statement of Theorem 1.2.

The proofs of parts (iv)-(vii) of Theorem 1.2 are similar to the corresponding versions for Theorem 1.1. There is just one detail in the proof of part (vii) that we should point out. When considering the case of equality in (1.26) one shows that

$$H_\mu(n) = \tilde{H}(n) = f_\mu(n)$$

at each integer n . The fact that both $H_\mu(x) \geq f_\mu(x)$ and $\tilde{H}_\mu(x) \geq f_\mu(x)$ for all real x is sufficient to conclude that

$$H'_\mu(n) = \tilde{H}'(n) = f'_\mu(n)$$

at each nonzero integer n , since f_μ is not necessarily differentiable at $x = 0$. However, an application of [5, Lemma 4, equation 2.3] allows us to conclude that

$$H'_\mu(0) = \tilde{H}'(0).$$

A further application of [5, Lemma 4] proves that $H_\mu(z) = \tilde{H}(z)$ for all complex z .

6. EXTREMAL TRIGONOMETRIC POLYNOMIALS

We consider the problem of majorizing and minorizing certain real valued periodic functions by real trigonometric polynomials of bounded degree. We identify functions defined on \mathbb{R} and having period 1 with functions defined on the compact quotient group \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} . For real numbers x we write

$$\|x\| = \min\{|x - m| : m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

for the distance from x to the nearest integer. Then $\|\cdot\| : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ is well defined and $(x, y) \rightarrow \|x - y\|$ defines a metric on \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} which induces its quotient topology. Integrals over \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} are with respect to Haar measure normalized so that \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} has measure 1.

Let $F : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an entire function of exponential type at most $2\pi\delta$, where δ is a positive parameter, and assume that $x \mapsto F(x)$ is integrable on \mathbb{R} . Then the Fourier transform

$$(6.1) \quad \widehat{F}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(x)e(-tx) \, dx$$

is a continuous function on \mathbb{R} . By classical results of Plancherel and Polya [14] (see also [19, Chapter 2, Part 2, section 3]) we have

$$(6.2) \quad \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} |F(\alpha_m)| \leq C_1(\epsilon, \delta) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |F(x)| \, dx,$$

where $m \mapsto \alpha_m$ is a sequence of real numbers such that $\alpha_{m+1} - \alpha_m \geq \epsilon > 0$, and

$$(6.3) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |F'(x)| \, dx \leq C_2(\delta) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |F(x)| \, dx.$$

Plainly (6.2) implies that F is uniformly bounded on \mathbb{R} , and therefore $x \mapsto |F(x)|^2$ is integrable. Then it follows from the Paley-Wiener theorem (see [15, Theorem 19.3]) that $\widehat{F}(t)$ is supported on the interval $[-\delta, \delta]$.

The bound (6.3) implies that $x \mapsto F(x)$ has bounded variation on \mathbb{R} . Therefore the Poisson summation formula (see [20, Volume I, Chapter 2, section 13]) holds as a pointwise identity

$$(6.4) \quad \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} F(x+m) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{F}(n)e(nx),$$

for all real x . It follows from (6.2) that the sum on the left of (6.4) is absolutely convergent. As the continuous function $\widehat{F}(t)$ is supported on $[-\delta, \delta]$, the sum on the right of (6.4) has only finitely many nonzero terms, and so defines a trigonometric polynomial in x .

Next we consider the entire functions $z \mapsto L(\delta^{-1}\lambda, \delta z)$ and $z \mapsto M(\delta^{-1}\lambda, \delta z)$. These functions have exponential type at most $2\pi\delta$. Therefore we apply (6.4) and obtain the identities

$$(6.5) \quad \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} L(\delta^{-1}\lambda, \delta(x+m)) = \delta^{-1} \sum_{|n| \leq \delta} \widehat{L}(\delta^{-1}\lambda, \delta^{-1}n)e(nx)$$

and

$$(6.6) \quad \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} M(\delta^{-1}\lambda, \delta(x+m)) = \delta^{-1} \sum_{|n| \leq \delta} \widehat{M}(\delta^{-1}\lambda, \delta^{-1}n)e(nx)$$

for all real x and for all positive values of the parameters δ and λ . For our purposes it will be convenient to use (6.5) and (6.6) with $\delta = N+1$, where N is a nonnegative integer, and to modify the constant term. Therefore, we define trigonometric polynomials of degree N by

$$(6.7) \quad \begin{aligned} l(\lambda, N; x) &= -\frac{2}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{n=-N}^N \widehat{L}\left(\frac{\lambda}{N+1}, \frac{n}{N+1}\right)e(nx) \\ &= -\left\{\frac{2}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{N+1} \operatorname{csch}\left(\frac{\lambda}{2N+2}\right)\right\} + \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{1 \leq |n| \leq N} \widehat{L}\left(\frac{\lambda}{N+1}, \frac{n}{N+1}\right)e(nx) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (6.8) \quad m(\lambda, N; x) &= -\frac{2}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{n=-N}^N \widehat{M}\left(\frac{\lambda}{N+1}, \frac{n}{N+1}\right) e(nx) \\
 &= \left\{ \frac{1}{N+1} \coth\left(\frac{\lambda}{2N+2}\right) - \frac{2}{\lambda} \right\} + \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{1 \leq |n| \leq N} \widehat{M}\left(\frac{\lambda}{N+1}, \frac{n}{N+1}\right) e(nx).
 \end{aligned}$$

We note that

$$(6.9) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} l(\lambda, N; x) \, dx = -\left\{ \frac{2}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{N+1} \operatorname{csch}\left(\frac{\lambda}{2N+2}\right) \right\} < 0$$

and

$$(6.10) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} m(\lambda, N; x) \, dx = \left\{ \frac{1}{N+1} \coth\left(\frac{\lambda}{2N+2}\right) - \frac{2}{\lambda} \right\} > 0.$$

For $0 < \lambda$ the function $x \mapsto e^{-\lambda|x|}$ is continuous, integrable on \mathbb{R} , and has bounded variation. Therefore, the Poisson summation formula also provides the pointwise identity

$$(6.11) \quad \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda|x+m|} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{2\lambda}{\lambda^2 + 4\pi^2 n^2} e(nx),$$

and we find that

$$(6.12) \quad \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda|x+m|} = \frac{\cosh\left(\lambda\left(x - [x] - \frac{1}{2}\right)\right)}{\sinh\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)},$$

where $[x]$ is the integer part of the real number x . For our purposes it will be convenient to define

$$p : (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

by

$$(6.13) \quad p(\lambda, x) = -\frac{2}{\lambda} + \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda|x+m|}.$$

Then $p(\lambda, x)$ is continuous on $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ and is differentiable with respect to x at each noninteger point x . It follows from (6.11) that the Fourier coefficients of $x \mapsto p(\lambda, x)$ are given by

$$(6.14) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} p(\lambda, x) \, dx = 0$$

and

$$(6.15) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} p(\lambda, x) e(-nx) \, dx = \frac{2\lambda}{\lambda^2 + 4\pi^2 n^2}$$

for integers $n \neq 0$.

Theorem 6.1. *Let λ be a positive real number and let N be a nonnegative integer.*

(i) *The inequality*

$$(6.16) \quad l(\lambda, N; x) \leq p(\lambda, x) \leq m(\lambda, N; x)$$

holds at each point x in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} .

(ii) *There is equality in the inequality on the left of (6.16) for*

$$(6.17) \quad x = \frac{n - \frac{1}{2}}{N + 1} \quad \text{and} \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, N + 1,$$

and there is equality in the inequality on the right of (6.16) for

$$(6.18) \quad x = \frac{n}{N + 1} \quad \text{and} \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, N + 1.$$

(iii) *If $\tilde{l}(x)$ is a real trigonometric polynomial of degree at most N such that*

$$\tilde{l}(x) \leq p(\lambda, x)$$

at each point x in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} , then

$$(6.19) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} \tilde{l}(x) \, dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} l(\lambda, N; x) \, dx.$$

(iv) *If $\tilde{m}(x)$ is a real trigonometric polynomial of degree at most N such that*

$$p(\lambda, x) \leq \tilde{m}(x)$$

at each point x in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} , then

$$(6.20) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} m(\lambda, N; x) \, dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} \tilde{m}(x) \, dx.$$

(v) *There is equality in the inequality (6.19) if and only if $\tilde{l}(x) = l(\lambda, N; x)$, and there is equality in the inequality (6.20) if and only if $\tilde{m}(x) = m(\lambda, N; x)$.*

Proof. From the inequality (1.6) we have

$$(6.21) \quad L\left(\frac{\lambda}{N+1}, (N+1)|x+m|\right) \leq e^{-\lambda|x+m|} \leq M\left(\frac{\lambda}{N+1}, (N+1)|x+m|\right)$$

for all real x and integers m . We sum (6.21) over integers m in \mathbb{Z} , and use (6.5) and (6.6) with $\delta = N + 1$. Then (6.16) follows from the definitions (6.7), (6.8), and (6.13).

It follows from (3.1) that the entire function $z \mapsto L(\lambda, z)$ interpolates the values of $x \mapsto e^{-\lambda|x|}$ at real numbers x such that $x + \frac{1}{2}$ is an integer. That is, there is equality in the inequality

$$L(\lambda, x) \leq e^{-\lambda|x|}$$

whenever $x = n - \frac{1}{2}$ with n in \mathbb{Z} . Hence there is equality in the inequality

$$L\left(\frac{\lambda}{N+1}, (N+1)|x+m|\right) \leq e^{-\lambda|x+m|}$$

whenever x has the form indicated in (6.17) and m is an integer. This implies that there is equality in the inequality on the left of (6.16) when x has the form (6.17).

In a similar manner, it follows from (3.2) that there is equality in the inequality

$$e^{-\lambda|x|} \leq M(\lambda, x)$$

whenever $x = n$ with n in \mathbb{Z} . Hence there is equality in the inequality

$$e^{-\lambda|x+m|} \leq M\left(\frac{\lambda}{N+1}, (N+1)|x+m|\right)$$

whenever x has the form indicated in (6.18) and m is an integer. This leads to the conclusion that there is equality in the inequality on the right of (6.16) when x has the form (6.18).

Now suppose that $\tilde{l}(x)$ is a real trigonometric polynomial of degree at most N such that

$$\tilde{l}(x) \leq p(\lambda, x)$$

at each point x in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} . Using the case of equality in the inequality on the left of (6.16), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} \tilde{l}(x) \, dx &= \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{n=1}^{N+1} \tilde{l}\left(\frac{n-\frac{1}{2}}{N+1}\right) \leq \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{n=1}^{N+1} p\left(\lambda, \frac{n-\frac{1}{2}}{N+1}\right) \\
 (6.22) \qquad &= \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{n=1}^{N+1} l\left(\lambda, N; \frac{n-\frac{1}{2}}{N+1}\right) = \int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} l(\lambda, N; x) \, dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

This proves the inequality (6.19), and the same sort of argument can be used to prove (6.20).

If there is equality in (6.19), then it is clear that there is equality in (6.22). This implies that

$$\tilde{l}\left(\frac{n-\frac{1}{2}}{N+1}\right) = l\left(\lambda, N; \frac{n-\frac{1}{2}}{N+1}\right)$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots, N + 1$. As both $\tilde{l}(x)$ and $l(\lambda, N; x)$ are less than or equal to $p(\lambda, x)$ at each point x of \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} , we also conclude that

$$\tilde{l}'\left(\frac{n-\frac{1}{2}}{N+1}\right) = l'\left(\lambda, N; \frac{n-\frac{1}{2}}{N+1}\right)$$

for each $n = 1, 2, \dots, N + 1$. This shows that the real trigonometric polynomial

$$(6.23) \qquad l(\lambda, N; x) - \tilde{l}(x)$$

has degree at most N , and at each point $x = \frac{n-\frac{1}{2}}{N+1}$, where $n = 1, 2, \dots, N + 1$, the polynomial and its derivative both vanish. It is well known (see [20, Vol. II, page 23]) that such a trigonometric polynomial must be identically zero. In a similar manner, if equality occurs in the inequality (6.20), then we find that

$$\tilde{m}(x) - m(\lambda, N; x)$$

is identically zero. This completes the proof of assertion (v) in the statement of the theorem. \square

It follows from (6.12) and (6.13) that

$$(6.24) \qquad -\left\{\frac{2}{\lambda} - \operatorname{csch}\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)\right\} = p\left(\lambda, \frac{1}{2}\right) \leq p(\lambda, x) \leq p(\lambda, 0) = \operatorname{coth}\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right) - \frac{2}{\lambda}.$$

Then (6.24) provides the useful inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
 |p(\lambda, x)| &\leq |p(\lambda, x) - p(\lambda, \frac{1}{2})| + |p(\lambda, \frac{1}{2})| \\
 (6.25) \qquad &= p(\lambda, x) - p(\lambda, \frac{1}{2}) - p(\lambda, \frac{1}{2}) \\
 &= p(\lambda, x) + 2\left\{\frac{2}{\lambda} - \operatorname{csch}\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)\right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

at each point (λ, x) in $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. From (6.14) and (6.25) we conclude that

$$(6.26) \qquad \int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} |p(\lambda, x)| \, dx \leq 2\left\{\frac{2}{\lambda} - \operatorname{csch}\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)\right\}.$$

Let μ be a measure on the Borel subsets of $(0, \infty)$ that satisfies (1.13). For $0 < x < 1$ it follows from (6.12) and (6.13) that $\lambda \mapsto p(\lambda, x)$ is integrable on $(0, \infty)$ with respect to μ . We define $q_\mu : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ by

$$(6.27) \qquad q_\mu(x) = \int_0^\infty p(\lambda, x) \, d\mu(\lambda),$$

where

$$(6.28) \quad q_\mu(0) = \int_0^\infty \left\{ \coth\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right) - \frac{2}{\lambda} \right\} d\mu(\lambda)$$

may take the value ∞ . Using (6.26) and Fubini's theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} |q_\mu(x)| dx &\leq \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} |p(\lambda, x)| dx d\mu(\lambda) \\ &\leq 2 \int_0^\infty \left\{ \frac{2}{\lambda} - \operatorname{csch}\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right) \right\} d\mu(\lambda) < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

so that q_μ is integrable on \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} . Using (6.14) and (6.15), we find that the Fourier coefficients of q_μ are given by

$$(6.29) \quad \widehat{q}_\mu(0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} q_\mu(x) dx = \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} p(\lambda, x) dx d\mu(\lambda) = 0$$

and

$$(6.30) \quad \begin{aligned} \widehat{q}_\mu(n) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} q_\mu(x) e(-nx) dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} p(\lambda, x) e(-nx) dx d\mu(\lambda) \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{2\lambda}{\lambda^2 + 4\pi^2 n^2} d\mu(\lambda), \end{aligned}$$

for integers $n \neq 0$. As $n \mapsto \widehat{q}_\mu(n)$ is an even function of n , and $\widehat{q}_\mu(n) \geq \widehat{q}_\mu(n + 1)$ for $1 \leq n$, the partial sums

$$(6.31) \quad q_\mu(x) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{n=-N \\ n \neq 0}}^N \widehat{q}_\mu(n) e(nx)$$

converge uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ (see [20, Chapter I, Theorem 2.6]). In particular, $q_\mu(x)$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$.

Next we define the function

$$j : (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

by $j(\lambda, x) = 0$ if x is in \mathbb{Z} , and

$$(6.32) \quad j(\lambda, x) = \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}(\lambda, x) = \frac{\lambda \sinh(\lambda(x - [x] - \frac{1}{2}))}{\sinh(\frac{\lambda}{2})}$$

if x is not in \mathbb{Z} . We note that $j(\lambda, x)$ satisfies the elementary inequality

$$(6.33) \quad |j(\lambda, x)| \leq \lambda e^{-\lambda \|x\|}.$$

Lemma 6.2. *If μ satisfies (1.13), then $q_\mu(x)$ has a continuous derivative at each point of $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ given by*

$$(6.34) \quad q'_\mu(x) = \int_0^\infty j(\lambda, x) d\mu(\lambda).$$

Proof. It follows from (1.13) and (6.33) that $\lambda \mapsto j(\lambda, x)$ is integrable with respect to μ at each noninteger point x . Assume that $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{2}$. Then we have

$$(6.35) \quad \int_0^\infty \int_\epsilon^{1-\epsilon} |j(\lambda, x)| \, dy \, d\mu(\lambda) \leq \int_0^\infty \int_\epsilon^{1-\epsilon} \lambda e^{-\lambda \|y\|} \, dy \, d\mu(\lambda) \\ = 2 \int_0^\infty \{e^{-\lambda\epsilon} - e^{\lambda/2}\} \, d\mu(\lambda) < \infty.$$

Assume that $\epsilon \leq \|x\|$. Using (6.32), (6.35) and Fubini's theorem, we obtain the identity

$$(6.36) \quad q_\mu(x) - q_\mu(\tfrac{1}{2}) = \int_0^\infty \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^x j(\lambda, x) \, dy \, d\mu(\lambda) \\ = \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^x \int_0^\infty j(\lambda, x) \, d\mu(\lambda) \, dy.$$

Clearly (6.36) implies that $q_\mu(x)$ is differentiable on $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ and its derivative is given by (6.34). Then it follows from (6.33) and the dominated convergence theorem that $q'_\mu(x)$ is continuous at each point of $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. \square

Now assume that μ satisfies the more restrictive condition (1.21). From (6.24) we obtain the alternative bound

$$(6.37) \quad |p(\lambda, x)| \leq \max \left\{ \frac{2}{\lambda} - \operatorname{csch}\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right), \operatorname{coth}\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right) - \frac{2}{\lambda} \right\} = \operatorname{coth}\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right) - \frac{2}{\lambda}$$

at all points (λ, x) in $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. As the function on the right of (6.37) is integrable with respect to μ , it follows from the dominated convergence theorem that

$$q_\mu(x) = \int_0^\infty p(\lambda, x) \, d\mu(\lambda)$$

is continuous on \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} . Also, the Fourier coefficients $\widehat{q}_\mu(n)$ are nonnegative and satisfy

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^\infty \widehat{q}_\mu(n) = \sum_{\substack{n=-\infty \\ n \neq 0}}^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{2\lambda}{\lambda^2 + 4\pi^2 n^2} \, d\mu(\lambda) \\ = \int_0^\infty \left\{ \operatorname{coth}\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right) - \frac{2}{\lambda} \right\} \, d\mu(\lambda) < \infty.$$

Therefore the partial sums

$$(6.38) \quad q_\mu(x) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{n=-N \\ n \neq 0}}^N \widehat{q}_\mu(n) e(nx)$$

converge absolutely and uniformly on \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} .

For each nonnegative integer N , we define a trigonometric polynomial $g_\mu(N; x)$, of degree at most N , by

$$(6.39) \quad g_\mu(N; x) = \sum_{n=-N}^N \widehat{g}_\mu(N; n) e(nx),$$

where the Fourier coefficients are given by

$$(6.40) \quad \widehat{g}_\mu(N; 0) = - \int_0^\infty \left\{ \frac{2}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{N+1} \operatorname{csch}\left(\frac{\lambda}{2N+2}\right) \right\} d\mu(\lambda)$$

and

$$(6.41) \quad \widehat{g}_\mu(N; n) = \frac{1}{N+1} \int_0^\infty \widehat{L}\left(\frac{\lambda}{N+1}, \frac{n}{N+1}\right) d\mu(\lambda),$$

for $n \neq 0$.

Theorem 6.3. *Assume that μ satisfies (1.13). Then the inequality*

$$(6.42) \quad g_\mu(N; x) \leq q_\mu(x)$$

holds for all x in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} . If $\widetilde{g}(x)$ is a real trigonometric polynomial of degree at most N that satisfies the inequality

$$(6.43) \quad \widetilde{g}(x) \leq q_\mu(x)$$

for all x in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} , then

$$(6.44) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} \widetilde{g}(x) dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} g_\mu(N; x) dx.$$

Moreover, there is equality in the inequality (6.44) if and only if $\widetilde{g}(x) = g_\mu(N; x)$.

Proof. We will use the elementary identity

$$(6.45) \quad g_\mu(N; x) = \int_0^\infty l(\lambda, N; x) d\mu(\lambda).$$

The inequality on the left hand side of (6.16), together with (6.27) and (6.45), imply (6.42). Moreover, from (6.17) we have

$$g_\mu(N; x) = q_\mu(x)$$

for

$$x = \frac{n-\frac{1}{2}}{N+1} \quad \text{and} \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, N + 1.$$

The final part of the proof of Theorem 6.3 follows as in Theorem 6.1, using the differentiability of $q_\mu(x)$ on $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ proved in Lemma 6.2. □

If the measure μ satisfies the more restrictive condition (1.21), then we have shown that $x \mapsto q_\mu(x)$ is continuous on \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} and, in particular, $q_\mu(0)$ is finite. In this case we can exploit Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 6.1 to obtain an extremal trigonometric polynomial of degree at most N that majorizes $q_\mu(x)$.

For each nonnegative integer N , we define a trigonometric polynomial $h_\mu(N; x)$, of degree at most N , by

$$(6.46) \quad h_\mu(N; x) = \sum_{n=-N}^N \widehat{h}_\mu(N; n) e(nx),$$

where the Fourier coefficients are given by

$$(6.47) \quad \widehat{h}_\mu(N; 0) = \int_0^\infty \left\{ \frac{1}{N+1} \coth\left(\frac{\lambda}{2N+2}\right) - \frac{2}{\lambda} \right\} d\mu(\lambda)$$

and

$$(6.48) \quad \widehat{h}_\mu(N; n) = \frac{1}{N+1} \int_0^\infty \widehat{M}\left(\frac{\lambda}{N+1}, \frac{n}{N+1}\right) d\mu(\lambda),$$

for $n \neq 0$. The proof of the following result is similar to the proof of Theorem 6.3

Theorem 6.4. *Assume that μ satisfies (1.21). Then the inequality*

$$(6.49) \quad q_\mu(x) \leq h_\mu(N; x)$$

holds for all x in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} . If $\widetilde{h}(x)$ is a real trigonometric polynomial of degree at most N that satisfies the inequality

$$(6.50) \quad q_\mu(x) \leq \widetilde{h}(x)$$

for all x in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} , then

$$(6.51) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} h_\mu(N; x) dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} \widetilde{h}(x) dx.$$

Moreover, there is equality in the inequality (6.51) if and only if $\widetilde{h}(x) = h_\mu(N; x)$.

We note that Theorem 1.5, described in the Introduction of this paper, is a special case of Theorem 6.3 when applied to the Haar measure μ defined in (1.37). For this it is sufficient to compare the Fourier coefficients

$$(6.52) \quad \widehat{q}_\mu(n) = \int_0^\infty \frac{2\lambda}{\lambda^2 + 4\pi^2 n^2} \lambda^{-1} d\lambda = \frac{1}{2|n|}, \quad n \neq 0,$$

given by (6.30), with the well known Fourier expansion

$$(6.53) \quad -\log|1 - e(x)| = -\log|2 \sin \pi x| = \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{1}{2|n|} e(nx).$$

We therefore define $u_N(x) = -g_\mu(N; x)$. Equality (1.48) follows from (6.40) and

$$(6.54) \quad \widehat{u}_N(0) = \int_0^\infty \left\{ \frac{2}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{N+1} \operatorname{csch}\left(\frac{\lambda}{2N+2}\right) \right\} \lambda^{-1} d\lambda = \frac{\log 2}{N+1}.$$

Finally, the bound (1.49) follows from (6.41) and Corollary 3.3.

7. BOUNDS FOR HERMITIAN FORMS

Let μ be a measure on the Borel subsets of $(0, \infty)$ that satisfies (1.13). Define the function $r_\mu : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ by

$$(7.1) \quad r_\mu(t) = \int_0^\infty \frac{2\lambda}{\lambda^2 + 4\pi^2 t^2} d\mu(\lambda).$$

It follows using (1.13) that $r_\mu(t)$ is even, continuous, finite for all $t \neq 0$, and nonincreasing for $0 < t$.

Let $\xi_0, \xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_N$ be distinct real numbers such that $0 < \delta \leq |\xi_m - \xi_n|$ whenever $m \neq n$. We consider the Hermitian form defined for vectors \mathbf{a} in \mathbb{C}^{N+1} by

$$(7.2) \quad \mathbf{a} \mapsto \sum_{m=0}^N \sum_{\substack{n=0 \\ n \neq m}}^N a_m \bar{a}_n r_\mu(\xi_m - \xi_n),$$

where \bar{a}_n is the complex conjugate of a_n .

Theorem 7.1. *If μ satisfies (1.13), then*

$$(7.3) \quad -A(\delta, \mu) \sum_{n=0}^N |a_n|^2 \leq \sum_{m=0}^N \sum_{\substack{n=0 \\ n \neq m}}^N a_m \bar{a}_n r_\mu(\xi_m - \xi_n),$$

for all complex numbers a_n , where

$$(7.4) \quad A(\delta, \mu) = \int_0^\infty \left\{ \frac{2}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{\delta} \operatorname{csch} \left(\frac{\lambda}{2\delta} \right) \right\} d\mu(\lambda).$$

The inequality (7.3) is sharp in the sense that the positive constant $A(\delta, \mu)$ defined by (7.4) cannot be replaced by a smaller number.

If μ satisfies (1.21), then

$$(7.5) \quad \sum_{m=0}^N \sum_{\substack{n=0 \\ n \neq m}}^N a_m \bar{a}_n r_\mu(\xi_m - \xi_n) \leq B(\delta, \mu) \sum_{n=0}^N |a_n|^2$$

for all complex numbers a_n , where

$$(7.6) \quad B(\delta, \mu) = \int_0^\infty \left\{ \frac{1}{\delta} \coth \left(\frac{\lambda}{2\delta} \right) - \frac{2}{\lambda} \right\} d\mu(\lambda).$$

The inequality (7.5) is sharp in the sense that the positive constant $B(\delta, \mu)$ defined by (7.6) cannot be replaced by a smaller number.

Proof. Write

$$u(x) = f_\mu(x) - f_\mu(\delta^{-1}) - G_\nu(\delta x)$$

for the nonnegative, integrable function that occurs in the statement of Theorem 1.3. Then we have

$$(7.7) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int_{-\infty}^\infty u(x) \left| \sum_{m=0}^N a_m e(-\xi_m x) \right|^2 dx \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^N \sum_{n=0}^N a_m \bar{a}_n \int_{-\infty}^\infty u(x) e((\xi_n - \xi_m)x) dx \\ &= \hat{u}(0) \sum_{n=0}^N |a_n|^2 + \sum_{m=0}^N \sum_{\substack{n=0 \\ n \neq m}}^N a_m \bar{a}_n \hat{u}(\xi_m - \xi_n). \end{aligned}$$

As $\delta \leq |\xi_m - \xi_n|$ whenever $m \neq n$, we get

$$\hat{u}(\xi_m - \xi_n) = r_\mu(\xi_m - \xi_n)$$

by (1.32) and (7.1). Thus (1.31) and (7.7) lead to the lower bound

$$(7.8) \quad -A(\delta, \mu) \sum_{n=0}^N |a_n|^2 \leq \sum_{m=0}^N \sum_{\substack{n=0 \\ n \neq m}}^N a_m \bar{a}_n r_\mu(\xi_m - \xi_n),$$

where we have written

$$(7.9) \quad A(\delta, \mu) = \hat{u}(0) = \int_0^\infty \left\{ \frac{2}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{\delta} \operatorname{csch} \left(\frac{\lambda}{2\delta} \right) \right\} d\mu(\lambda).$$

Let ν be the measure defined on Borel subsets $E \subseteq (0, \infty)$ by (1.27). It follows from (7.1) that

$$r_\mu(\delta t) = \delta^{-1}r_\nu(t)$$

for all real $t \neq 0$. For $0 < x < 1$ we use (6.31) and obtain the identity

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{n=-N \\ n \neq 0}}^N r_\mu(\delta n)e(nx) &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \delta^{-1} \sum_{\substack{n=-N \\ n \neq 0}}^N r_\nu(n)e(nx) \\ (7.10) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \delta^{-1} \sum_{\substack{n=-N \\ n \neq 0}}^N \widehat{q}_\nu(n)e(nx) \\ &= \delta^{-1} \int_0^\infty p(\lambda, x) \, d\nu(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, at $x = \frac{1}{2}$ we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{n=-N \\ n \neq 0}}^N (-1)^n r_\mu(\delta n) &= \delta^{-1} \int_0^\infty p(\lambda, \tfrac{1}{2}) \, d\nu(\lambda) \\ (7.11) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= - \int_0^\infty \left\{ \frac{2}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{\delta} \operatorname{csch} \left(\frac{\lambda}{2\delta} \right) \right\} \, d\mu(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

To see that the constant $A(\delta, \mu)$ is sharp, we apply (7.8) with

$$a_n = (N + 1)^{-1/2}(-1)^n \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_n = \delta n.$$

We find that

$$\begin{aligned} -A(\delta, \mu) &\leq (N + 1)^{-1} \sum_{m=0}^N \sum_{\substack{n=0 \\ n \neq m}}^N (-1)^{m-n} r_\mu(\delta(m - n)) \\ (7.12) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= (N + 1)^{-1} \sum_{\substack{n=-N \\ n \neq 0}}^N (N + 1 - |n|)(-1)^n r_\mu(\delta n). \end{aligned}$$

We let $N \rightarrow \infty$ on the right hand side of (7.12) and use (7.11). In this way we conclude that

$$\int_0^\infty \left\{ \frac{2}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{\delta} \operatorname{csch} \left(\frac{\lambda}{2\delta} \right) \right\} \, d\mu(\lambda) \leq A(\delta, \mu).$$

Now suppose that μ satisfies the more restrictive condition (1.21). Write

$$v(x) = H_\nu(\delta x) + f_\mu(\delta^{-1}) - f_\mu(x)$$

for the nonnegative, integrable function that occurs in the statement of Theorem 1.4. We proceed as in (7.7) to derive the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int_{-\infty}^\infty v(x) \left| \sum_{m=0}^N a_m e(-\xi_m x) \right|^2 \, dx \\ (7.13) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= \widehat{v}(0) \sum_{n=0}^N |a_n|^2 + \sum_{m=0}^N \sum_{\substack{n=0 \\ n \neq m}}^N a_m \bar{a}_n \widehat{v}(\xi_m - \xi_n). \end{aligned}$$

In this case (1.36) and (7.1) imply that

$$\widehat{v}(\xi_m - \xi_n) = -r_\mu(\xi_m - \xi_n)$$

whenever $m \neq n$. Therefore, (1.35) and (7.13) lead to the upper bound

$$(7.14) \quad \sum_{m=0}^N \sum_{\substack{n=0 \\ n \neq m}}^N a_m \bar{a}_n r_\mu(\xi_m - \xi_n) \leq B(\delta, \mu) \sum_{n=0}^N |a_n|^2,$$

where

$$(7.15) \quad B(\delta, \mu) = \widehat{v}(0) = \int_0^\infty \left\{ \frac{1}{\delta} \coth\left(\frac{\lambda}{2\delta}\right) - \frac{2}{\lambda} \right\} d\mu(\lambda).$$

If μ satisfies (1.21), then (6.38) holds for all x in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} . Thus the identity (7.10) continues to hold. In particular, at $x = 0$ we find that

$$(7.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{n=-N \\ n \neq 0}}^N r_\mu(\delta n) &= \delta^{-1} \int_0^\infty p(\lambda, 0) d\nu(\lambda) \\ &= \int_0^\infty \left\{ \frac{1}{\delta} \coth\left(\frac{\lambda}{2\delta}\right) - \frac{2}{\lambda} \right\} d\mu(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

To show that the constant $B(\delta, \mu)$ is sharp, we apply (7.14) with

$$a_n = (N + 1)^{-1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_n = \delta n.$$

In this case we find that

$$(7.17) \quad (N + 1)^{-1} \sum_{\substack{n=-N \\ n \neq 0}}^N (N + 1 - |n|) r_\mu(\delta n) \leq B(\delta, \mu).$$

We let $N \rightarrow \infty$ on the left of (7.17) and use (7.16). We conclude that

$$\int_0^\infty \left\{ \frac{1}{\delta} \coth\left(\frac{\lambda}{2\delta}\right) - \frac{2}{\lambda} \right\} d\mu(\lambda) \leq B(\delta, \mu).$$

This proves the theorem. □

An interesting special case of the Hermitian forms considered here occurs by selecting the measure μ_σ defined in (1.44). We recall that for $0 < \sigma < 2$ the measure μ_σ satisfies the condition (1.13), and it satisfies (1.21) only for $1 < \sigma < 2$. For this special case we obtain the following inequalities, which are related to the discrete one dimensional Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequalities (see [3, page 288]).

Corollary 7.2. *Let $\xi_0, \xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_N$ be real numbers such that $0 < \delta \leq |\xi_m - \xi_n|$ whenever $m \neq n$. Let $a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N$ be complex numbers. If $0 < \sigma < 1$, then*

$$(7.18) \quad -\frac{(2 - 2^{2-\sigma})\zeta(\sigma)}{\delta^\sigma} \sum_{n=0}^N |a_n|^2 \leq \sum_{m=0}^N \sum_{\substack{n=0 \\ n \neq m}}^N \frac{a_m \bar{a}_n}{|\xi_m - \xi_n|^\sigma},$$

if $\sigma = 1$, then

$$(7.19) \quad -\frac{\log 4}{\delta} \sum_{n=0}^N |a_n|^2 \leq \sum_{m=0}^N \sum_{\substack{n=0 \\ n \neq m}}^N \frac{a_m \bar{a}_n}{|\xi_m - \xi_n|},$$

and if $1 < \sigma < 2$, then

$$(7.20) \quad -\frac{(2 - 2^{2-\sigma})\zeta(\sigma)}{\delta^\sigma} \sum_{n=0}^N |a_n|^2 \leq \sum_{m=0}^N \sum_{\substack{n=0 \\ n \neq m}}^N \frac{a_m \bar{a}_n}{|\xi_m - \xi_n|^\sigma} \leq \frac{2\zeta(\sigma)}{\delta^\sigma} \sum_{n=0}^N |a_n|^2,$$

where ζ denotes the Riemann zeta-function. The constants occurring in these inequalities are sharp.

Proof. For $\sigma \neq 1$ the integral on the right of (7.9) is given by

$$\int_0^\infty \left\{ \frac{2}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{\delta} \operatorname{csch}\left(\frac{\lambda}{2\delta}\right) \right\} \lambda^{-\sigma} d\lambda = \frac{(2 - 2^{2-\sigma})\Gamma(1 - \sigma)\zeta(1 - \sigma)}{\delta^\sigma},$$

where ζ is the Riemann zeta-function. Also, for $0 < \sigma < 2$ we find that

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{2\lambda}{\lambda^2 + 4\pi^2 t^2} \lambda^{-\sigma} d\lambda = \frac{\pi}{(2\pi|t|)^\sigma \sin \frac{\pi\sigma}{2}}.$$

When these identities are used in (7.8), we obtain the inequality

$$(7.21) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{(2 - 2^{2-\sigma})\Gamma(1 - \sigma)\zeta(1 - \sigma)}{\delta^\sigma} \sum_{n=0}^N |a_n|^2 \\ & \leq \frac{\pi}{(2\pi)^\sigma \sin \frac{\pi\sigma}{2}} \sum_{m=0}^N \sum_{\substack{n=0 \\ n \neq m}}^N \frac{a_m \bar{a}_n}{|\xi_m - \xi_n|^\sigma}. \end{aligned}$$

Then (7.21) leads to the lower bounds in (7.18) and (7.20) by using the functional equation for the Riemann zeta function.

If $\sigma = 1$ we have

$$(7.22) \quad \int_0^\infty \left\{ \frac{2}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{\delta} \operatorname{csch}\left(\frac{\lambda}{2\delta}\right) \right\} \lambda^{-1} d\lambda = \frac{\log 2}{\delta}$$

and

$$(7.23) \quad \int_0^\infty \frac{2\lambda}{\lambda^2 + 4\pi^2 t^2} \lambda^{-1} d\lambda = \frac{1}{2|t|}.$$

We use (7.22) and (7.23) in (7.8) and obtain the remaining lower bound (7.19).

For $1 < \sigma < 2$ the integral on the right of (7.15) is

$$\int_0^\infty \left\{ \frac{1}{\delta} \coth\left(\frac{\lambda}{2\delta}\right) - \frac{2}{\lambda} \right\} \lambda^{-\sigma} d\lambda = \frac{2\Gamma(1 - \sigma)\zeta(1 - \sigma)}{\delta^\sigma}.$$

□

We can extend the inequality (7.20) to the case $\sigma = 2$ by continuity. A natural question is whether the inequality (7.20) remains valid for $\sigma > 2$. F. Littmann showed in [9] that this true when σ is an even integer, which suggests an affirmative answer. We expect to return to this subject in a future paper.

8. ERDÖS-TURÁN INEQUALITIES

Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_M be a finite set of points in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} . A basic problem in the theory of equidistribution is to estimate the discrepancy of the points x_1, x_2, \dots, x_M by an expression that depends on the Weyl sums

$$(8.1) \quad \sum_{m=1}^M e(nx_m), \quad \text{where } n = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

This is most easily accomplished by introducing the sawtooth function $\psi : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined by

$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} x - [x] - \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } x \text{ is not in } \mathbb{Z}, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \text{ is in } \mathbb{Z}, \end{cases}$$

where $[x]$ is the integer part of x . Then a simple definition for the discrepancy of the finite set is

$$D_M(\mathbf{x}) = \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{m=1}^M \psi(x_m - y) \right|.$$

In this setting the Erdős-Turán inequality is an upper bound for D_M of the form

$$(8.2) \quad D_M(\mathbf{x}) \leq c_1 MN^{-1} + c_2 \sum_{n=1}^N n^{-1} \left| \sum_{m=1}^M e(nx_m) \right|,$$

where c_1 and c_2 are positive constants. In applications to specific sets the parameter N can be selected so as to minimize the right hand side of (8.2). Bounds of this kind follow easily from knowledge of the extremal trigonometric polynomials that majorize and minorize the function $\psi(x)$. This is discussed in [2], [12], [17], and [18]. An extension to the spherical cap discrepancy is derived in [8], and a related inequality in several variables is obtained in [1].

Let $F_M(z)$ be the monic polynomial in $\mathbb{C}[z]$ having roots on the unit circle at the points $e(x_1), e(x_2), \dots, e(x_M)$, so that

$$F_M(z) = \prod_{m=1}^M (z - e(x_m)).$$

Then an alternative expression, which also measures the relative uniform distribution of the points x_1, x_2, \dots, x_M in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} , is given by

$$\sup_{|z| \leq 1} \log |F_M(z)| = \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m=1}^M \log |1 - e(x_m - y)|.$$

Using Theorem 1.5 we obtain the bound

$$(8.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^M \log |1 - e(x_m - y)| &\leq \sum_{m=1}^M u_N(x_m - y) \\ &= M(N+1)^{-1} \log 2 \\ &\quad + \sum_{1 \leq |n| \leq N} \hat{u}_N(n) \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^M e(nx_m) \right\} e(-ny) \\ &\leq M(N+1)^{-1} \log 2 + \sum_{n=1}^N n^{-1} \left| \sum_{m=1}^M e(nx_m) \right|, \end{aligned}$$

which is analogous to (8.2). We establish a generalization of this bound to polynomials with zeros not necessarily on the unit circle.

Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_M$ be complex numbers, and define

$$(8.4) \quad F_M(z) = \prod_{m=1}^M (z - \alpha_m).$$

We wish to estimate $\sup\{|F_M(z)| : |z| \leq 1\}$ by an expression that depends on the power sums

$$(8.5) \quad \sum_{m=1}^M (\alpha_m)^n, \quad \text{where } 1 \leq n \leq N.$$

Theorem 8.1. *Let $F_M(z)$ be the monic polynomial defined by (8.4) and assume that $|\alpha_m| \leq 1$ for each $m = 1, 2, \dots, M$. Then for each nonnegative integer N we have*

$$(8.6) \quad \sup_{|z| \leq 1} \log |F_M(z)| \leq M(N + 1)^{-1} \log 2 + \sum_{n=1}^N n^{-1} \left| \sum_{m=1}^M (\alpha_m)^n \right|.$$

Proof. Let $u_N(x)$ be the trigonometric polynomial that occurs in Theorem 1.5, and let $v_N(z)$ denote the algebraic polynomial

$$(8.7) \quad v_N(z) = \hat{u}_N(0) + 2 \sum_{n=1}^N \hat{u}_N(n) z^n.$$

Then (1.47) can be extended to the inequality

$$(8.8) \quad \log |1 - z| \leq \Re\{v_N(z)\}$$

for all complex numbers z with $|z| \leq 1$. This follows from the observation that both sides of (8.8) are harmonic functions on the open unit disk $\Delta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$, and (1.47) asserts that (8.8) holds at each point $z = e(x)$ on the boundary of Δ .

As $z \mapsto \log |F_M(z)|$ is subharmonic on Δ , there exists a point $e(y)$ on the boundary of Δ such that

$$(8.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \sup_{|z| \leq 1} \log |F_M(z)| &= \log |F_M(e(y))| \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^M \log |1 - e(-y)\alpha_m| \\ &\leq \sum_{m=1}^M \Re\{v_N(e(-y)\alpha_m)\} \\ &= M\hat{u}_N(0) + 2 \sum_{n=1}^N \hat{u}_N(n) \Re\left\{e(-ny) \sum_{m=1}^M (\alpha_m)^n\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The inequality (8.6) follows from (8.9) by applying (1.48) and (1.49). □

If $F_M(z)$ is defined by (8.4), but we do not assume that the roots are in the closed unit disk, we can still obtain a bound for $\sup\{|F_M(z)| : |z| \leq 1\}$. In this more general case, however, we must modify the power sums (8.5). Suppose that the roots of F_M are arranged so that

$$0 \leq |\alpha_1| \leq |\alpha_2| \leq \dots \leq |\alpha_L| \leq 1 < |\alpha_{L+1}| \leq \dots \leq |\alpha_M|.$$

Then define

$$(8.10) \quad \beta_m = \begin{cases} \alpha_m & \text{if } 1 \leq m \leq L, \\ (\bar{\alpha}_m)^{-1} & \text{if } L+1 \leq m \leq M. \end{cases}$$

Corollary 8.2. *Let $F_M(z)$ be the monic polynomial defined by (8.4) and let*

$$\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_M$$

be complex numbers defined by (8.10). Then for each nonnegative integer N we have

$$(8.11) \quad \sup_{|z| \leq 1} \log |F_M(z)| \leq \sum_{m=1}^M \log^+ |\alpha_m| + M(N+1)^{-1} \log 2 + \sum_{n=1}^N n^{-1} \left| \sum_{m=1}^M (\beta_m)^n \right|.$$

Proof. Define the finite Blaschke product

$$B(z) = \prod_{l=L+1}^M \frac{1 - \bar{\alpha}_l z}{z - \alpha_l},$$

so that if $|z| = 1$, then $|B(z)| = 1$. We find that

$$G_M(z) = B(z)F_M(z) = \prod_{l=L+1}^M (-\bar{\alpha}_l) \prod_{m=1}^M (z - \beta_m)$$

is a polynomial with roots $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_M$. As $|\beta_m| \leq 1$ for each $m = 1, 2, \dots, M$, we apply Theorem 8.1 to $G_M(z)$, and (8.11) follows immediately. \square

We note that by Jensen's formula the first sum on the right of (8.11) is

$$\sum_{m=1}^M \log^+ |\alpha_m| = \int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} \log |F_M(e(x))| dx.$$

Therefore, this sum by itself could not be an upper bound for the left hand side of (8.11), except in the trivial case where $\alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_m = 0$.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. T. Barton, H. L. Montgomery, and J. D. Vaaler, Note on a Diophantine inequality in several variables, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 129 (2000), 337–345. MR1800228 (2002j:11090)
- [2] P. Erdős and P. Turán, On a problem in the theory of uniform distribution, *Indag. Math.* 10 (1948), 370–378.
- [3] G. Hardy, G. Polya and J. Littlewood, *Inequalities*, Cambridge University Press, 1967.
- [4] J. Holt and J. D. Vaaler, The Beurling-Selberg extremal functions for a ball in the Euclidean space, *Duke Mathematical Journal* 83 (1996), 203–247. MR1388849 (97f:30038)
- [5] S. W. Graham and J. D. Vaaler, A class of extremal functions for the Fourier transform, *Tran. Amer. Math. Soc.* 265 (1981), 283–302. MR607121 (82i:42008)
- [6] S. W. Graham and J. D. Vaaler, Extremal functions for the Fourier transform and the large sieve, *Topics in Classical Number Theory*, Vol. I, II (Budapest, 1981), *Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai*, 34, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 599–615. MR781154 (87a:11087)
- [7] M. Lerma, *An extremal majorant for the logarithm and its applications*, Ph.D. Dissertation, Univ. of Texas at Austin, 1998.
- [8] X. J. Li and J. D. Vaaler, Some trigonometric extremal functions and the Erdős-Turán type inequalities, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 48 (1999), no. 1, 183–236. MR1722198 (2001a:11136)

- [9] F. Littmann, Entire majorants via Euler-Maclaurin summation, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 358 (2006), no. 7, 2821–2836. MR2216247 (2007f:42002)
- [10] F. Littmann, Entire approximations to the truncated powers, *Constr. Approx.* 22 (2005), no. 2, 273–295. MR2148534 (2006e:41009)
- [11] H. L. Montgomery, The analytic principle of the large sieve, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 84 (1978), no. 4, 547–567. MR0466048 (57:5931)
- [12] H. L. Montgomery, *Ten Lectures on the Interface Between Analytic Number Theory and Harmonic Analysis*, CBMS, no. 84, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1994. MR1297543 (96i:11002)
- [13] H. L. Montgomery and R. C. Vaughan, Hilbert’s Inequality, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2), 8 (1974), 73–81. MR0337775 (49:2544)
- [14] M. Plancherel and G. Polya, Fonctions entières et intégrales de Fourier multiples (Seconde partie), *Comment. Math. Helv.* 10, (1938), 110–163. MR1509570
- [15] W. Rudin, *Real and Complex Analysis*, 3rd edition, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1987 MR924157 (88k:00002)
- [16] A. Selberg, Lectures on Sieves, *Atle Selberg: Collected Papers*, Vol. II, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991, pp. 65–247. MR1295844 (95g:01032)
- [17] J. D. Vaaler, Some extremal functions in Fourier analysis, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 12 (1985), 183–215. MR776471 (86g:42005)
- [18] J. D. Vaaler, Refinements of the Erdős-Turán inequality, *Number theory with an emphasis on the Markoff spectrum* (Provo, UT, 1991), *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, no. 147, Dekker, 1993, 263–269. MR1219340 (94c:11069)
- [19] R. M. Young, *An Introduction to Nonharmonic Fourier Series*, Academic Press, New York, 1980. MR591684 (81m:42027)
- [20] A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric Series*, Cambridge University Press, 1959. MR0107776 (21:6498)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN, AUSTIN, TEXAS 78712-1082

E-mail address: `ecarneiro@math.utexas.edu`

Current address: School of Mathematics, Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, New Jersey 08540

E-mail address: `ecarneiro@math.ias.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN, AUSTIN, TEXAS 78712-1082

E-mail address: `vaaler@math.utexas.edu`