



Norges teknisk-naturvitenskapelige universitet

Institutt for matematiske fag

Løsningsforslag - Eksamens desember 2008

Oppgave 1

a)

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i) \prod_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{1}{2\theta} e^{-\frac{|x_i|}{\theta}} \right]$$

$$l(\theta) = \ln L(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left[-\ln 2 - \ln \theta - \frac{|x_i|}{\theta} \right] = -n \ln 2 - n \ln \theta - \frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|$$

$$l'(\theta) = \frac{n}{\theta} + \frac{1}{\theta^2} \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|$$

$$l'(\theta) = 0 \Rightarrow \theta = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|$$

SME blir dermed

$$\widehat{\theta} = \underline{\underline{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |X_i|}}$$

Vi har at

$$\begin{aligned} E(|X_i|) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x| f(x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|x|}{2\theta} e^{-\frac{|x|}{\theta}} dx = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{x}{\theta} e^{-\frac{x}{\theta}} dx = \left[-xe^{-\frac{x}{\theta}} \right]_0^{\infty} + \int_0^{\infty} 1 \cdot e^{-\frac{x}{\theta}} dx \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\frac{x}{\theta}} dx = \left[-\theta e^{-\frac{x}{\theta}} \right]_0^{\infty} = 0 + \theta e^0 = \theta. \end{aligned}$$

slik at

$$E(\widehat{\theta}) = \frac{1}{n} E\left(\sum_{i=1}^n |X_i|\right) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E(|X_i|) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \theta = \theta$$

Dvs. $\widehat{\theta}$ er forventningsrett

Oppgave 2

a)

$\hat{\mu}_x - \hat{\mu}_y$ er en lineærkombinasjon av X_i 'ene og Y_i 'ene som er uavhengige og normalfordelt. Dermed blir også $\hat{\mu}_x - \hat{\mu}_y$ normalfordelt.

$$\begin{aligned} E(\hat{\mu}_x - \hat{\mu}_y) &= E(\hat{\mu}_x) - E(\hat{\mu}_y) = \frac{1}{n}E\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right) - \frac{1}{m}E\left(\sum_{i=1}^m Y_i\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n E(X_i) - \frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^m E(Y_i) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_x - \frac{1}{m}\sum_{i=1}^m \mu_y = \underline{\underline{\mu_x - \mu_y}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(\hat{\mu}_x - \hat{\mu}_y) &= \text{Var}(\hat{\mu}_x) + (-1)^2\text{Var}(\hat{\mu}_y) = \frac{1}{n^2}\text{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right) + \frac{1}{m^2}\text{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^m Y_i\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2}\sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}(X_i) + \frac{1}{m^2}\sum_{i=1}^m \text{Var}(Y_i) = \frac{1}{n^2}\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma^2 + \frac{1}{m^2}\sum_{i=1}^m k\sigma^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} + \frac{k\sigma^2}{m} = \underline{\underline{\sigma^2\left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{k}{m}\right)}} \end{aligned}$$

b)

Fra a) vet vi at

$$\hat{\mu}_x - \hat{\mu}_y \sim N\left(\mu_x - \mu_y, \sigma^2\left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{k}{m}\right)\right).$$

Dermed får vi at

$$\frac{(\hat{\mu}_x - \hat{\mu}_y) - (\mu_x - \mu_y)}{\sqrt{\sigma^2\left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{k}{m}\right)}} \sim N(0, 1).$$

Dermed

$$P\left(-z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \leq \frac{(\hat{\mu}_x - \hat{\mu}_y) - (\mu_x - \mu_y)}{\sqrt{\sigma^2\left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{k}{m}\right)}} \leq z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right) = 1 - \alpha.$$

Løser hver av de to ulikhettene med hensyn på $\mu_x - \mu_y$:

$$-z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \leq \frac{(\hat{\mu}_x - \hat{\mu}_y) - (\mu_x - \mu_y)}{\sqrt{\sigma^2\left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{k}{m}\right)}} \Rightarrow \mu_x - \mu_y \leq \hat{\mu}_x - \hat{\mu}_y + z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\sqrt{\sigma^2\left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{k}{m}\right)}$$

$$\frac{(\hat{\mu}_x - \hat{\mu}_y) - (\mu_x - \mu_y)}{\sqrt{\sigma^2\left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{k}{m}\right)}} \leq z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \Rightarrow \mu_x - \mu_y \geq \hat{\mu}_x - \hat{\mu}_y - z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\sqrt{\sigma^2\left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{k}{m}\right)}$$

Dermed får man at

$$P\left(\widehat{\mu}_x - \widehat{\mu}_y - z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{\sigma^2 \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{k}{m}\right)} \leq \mu_x - \mu_y \leq \widehat{\mu}_x - \widehat{\mu}_y + z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{\sigma^2 \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{k}{m}\right)}\right) = 1 - \alpha.$$

Et $(1 - \alpha) \cdot 100\%$ konfidensintervall for $\mu_x - \mu_y$ er dermed

$$\boxed{\boxed{\widehat{\mu}_x - \widehat{\mu}_y - z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{\sigma^2 \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{k}{m}\right)}, \widehat{\mu}_x - \widehat{\mu}_y + z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{\sigma^2 \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{k}{m}\right)}}}$$

c)

$$\begin{aligned} E(S_p^2) &= E\left(\frac{n-1}{n+m-2}S_x^2 + \frac{m-1}{k(n+m-2)}S_y^2\right) \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{n+m-2}E\left(\frac{(n-1)S_x^2}{\sigma^2}\right) + \frac{\sigma^2}{n+m-2}E\left(\frac{(m-1)S_y^2}{k\sigma^2}\right) \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{n+m-2} \cdot (n-1) + \frac{\sigma^2}{n+m-2} \cdot (m-1) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n+m-2} (n-1+m-1) = \underline{\underline{\sigma^2}} \end{aligned}$$

Siden X_i 'ene er uavhengig av Y_i 'ene blir S_x^2 uavhengig av S_y^2 . Dessuten har vi at

$$\frac{(n+m-2)S_p^2}{\sigma^2} = \frac{(n-1)S_x^2}{\sigma^2} + \frac{(m-1)S_y^2}{k\sigma^2}.$$

Siden $\frac{(n+m-2)S_p^2}{\sigma^2}$ er en sum av to uavhengige χ^2 -fordelte variable blir også $\frac{(n+m-2)S_p^2}{\sigma^2}$ kjekvadrat fordelt. Antall frihetsgrader blir summen av antall frihetsgrader for $\frac{(n-1)S_x^2}{\sigma^2}$ og for $\frac{(m-1)S_y^2}{k\sigma^2}$, dvs. $(n-1) + (m-1) = n+m-2$. Vi har dermed at

$$\frac{(n+m-2)S_p^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{n+m-2}^2.$$

Vi har at T kan skrives på formen

$$T = \frac{\frac{(\widehat{\mu}_x - \widehat{\mu}_y) - (\mu_x - \mu_y)}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{k}{m}\right)}}}{\sqrt{\frac{(n+m-2)S_p^2}{\sigma^2}}} = \frac{Z}{\sqrt{\frac{V}{n+m-2}}}$$

der

$$Z = \frac{(\widehat{\mu}_x - \widehat{\mu}_y) - (\mu_x - \mu_y)}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{k}{m}\right)}} \sim N(0, 1) \quad \text{og} \quad V = \frac{(n+m-2)S_p^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{n+m-2}^2.$$

Siden Z er kun en funksjon av \bar{X} og \bar{Y} , mens S_p^2 kun er en funksjon av S_x^2 og S_y^2 og (\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) er uavhengig av (S_x^2, S_y^2) blir Z og V uavhengige. Per definisjon av t -fordeling blir dermed T Student t -fordelt og antall frihetsgrader blir lik antall frihetsgrader for V , dvs $n + m - 2$.

d)

Siden T er Student t -fordelt med $n + m - 2$ frihetsgrader har vi at

$$P\left(-t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, n+m-2} \leq \frac{(\hat{\mu}_x - \hat{\mu}_y) - (\mu_x - \mu_y)}{\sqrt{S_p^2 \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{k}{m}\right)}} \leq t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, n+m-2}\right) = 1 - \alpha.$$

Løser hver av de to ulikhettene med hensyn på $\mu_x - \mu_y$:

$$\begin{aligned} -t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, n+m-2} &\leq \frac{(\hat{\mu}_x - \hat{\mu}_y) - (\mu_x - \mu_y)}{\sqrt{S_p^2 \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{k}{m}\right)}} \Rightarrow \mu_x - \mu_y \leq \hat{\mu}_x - \hat{\mu}_y + t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, n+m-2} \sqrt{S_p^2 \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{k}{m}\right)} \\ \frac{(\hat{\mu}_x - \hat{\mu}_y) - (\mu_x - \mu_y)}{\sqrt{S_p^2 \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{k}{m}\right)}} &\leq t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, n+m-2} \Rightarrow \mu_x - \mu_y \geq \hat{\mu}_x - \hat{\mu}_y - t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, n+m-2} \sqrt{S_p^2 \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{k}{m}\right)} \end{aligned}$$

Dermed får man at

$$P\left(\hat{\mu}_x - \hat{\mu}_y - t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, n+m-2} \sqrt{S_p^2 \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{k}{m}\right)} \leq \mu_x - \mu_y \leq \hat{\mu}_x - \hat{\mu}_y + t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, n+m-2} \sqrt{S_p^2 \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{k}{m}\right)}\right) = 1 - \alpha.$$

Et $(1 - \alpha) \cdot 100\%$ konfidensintervall for $\mu_x - \mu_y$ er dermed

$$\boxed{\left[\hat{\mu}_x - \hat{\mu}_y - t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, n+m-2} \sqrt{S_p^2 \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{k}{m}\right)}, \hat{\mu}_x - \hat{\mu}_y + t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, n+m-2} \sqrt{S_p^2 \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{k}{m}\right)} \right]}.$$

Lengden av konfidensintervaller blir

$$L = 2t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, n+m-2} \sqrt{S_p^2 \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{k}{m}\right)} = 2t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, n+m-2} \sqrt{\frac{(n+m-2)S_p^2}{\sigma^2}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{n+m-2} \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{k}{m}\right)}$$

Vi får dermed at

$$E(L) = 2t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, n+m-2} E\left(\sqrt{\frac{(n+m-2)S_p^2}{\sigma^2}} \sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{n+m-2} \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{k}{m}\right)}\right).$$

Siden $(n + m - 2)S_p^2/\sigma^2 \sim \chi_{n+m-2}^2$ må $E((n + m - 2)S_p^2/\sigma^2)$ kun være en funksjon av summen $n + m$ og ikke n og m hver for seg. For å minimere lengden av konfidensintervallet gitt at $n + m = N$ er fiksert må vi dermed minimere

$$g(n) = \frac{1}{n} + \frac{k}{N-n}$$

med hensyn på n . Deriverer og setter lik null:

$$g'(n) = -\frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{k}{(N-n)^2} = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{k}{(N-n)^2} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{n} = \frac{\sqrt{k}}{N-n} \Rightarrow n = \frac{N}{1+\sqrt{k}}$$

Konfidensintervallet blir dermed kortest ved å velge

$$\underline{n} = \frac{N}{1+\sqrt{k}} \quad \text{og} \quad m = N-n = \frac{N\sqrt{k}}{1+\sqrt{k}}.$$

Hvis N og k er slik at disse svarene ikke blir heltall må man selvfølgelig forsøke seg frem med avrunding nedover og oppover for å bestemme hvilke av disse to som gir det korteste intervallet.

Oppgave 3

a)

Sannsynlighetstettheten for en χ_2^2 -fordeling er

$$f(v) = \frac{1}{2^{\frac{2}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{2}{2})} v^{\frac{2}{2}-1} e^{-\frac{v}{2}} = \frac{1}{2} e^{-\frac{v}{2}}.$$

For Y i oppgaveteksten får vi at kumulativ fordeling blir

$$\begin{aligned} F_Y(y) &= P(Y \leq y) = P(2\theta(\ln X - \ln c) \leq y) = P\left(\ln X \leq \frac{y}{2\theta} + \ln c\right) \\ &= P\left(X \leq e^{\frac{y}{2\theta} + \ln c}\right) = F_X\left(e^{\frac{y}{2\theta} + \ln c}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Finner sannsynlighetsfordelingen ved å derivere

$$f_Y(y) = F'_Y(y) = f_X\left(e^{\frac{y}{2\theta} + \ln c}\right) \cdot e^{\frac{y}{2\theta} + \ln c} \cdot \frac{1}{2\theta} = \frac{\theta c^\theta}{\left(e^{\frac{y}{2\theta} + \ln c}\right)^{\theta+1}} e^{\frac{y}{2\theta} + \ln c} \frac{1}{2\theta} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{e^{\frac{y}{2}}} = \frac{1}{2} e^{-\frac{y}{2}}.$$

Ser dermed at $\underline{Y} \sim \underline{\chi_2^2}$.

b)

Ved å sette inn uttrykket for $\hat{\theta}$ får vi at

$$\frac{2n\theta}{\hat{\theta}} = \frac{2n\theta}{n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \ln X_i - n \ln c \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n (2\theta \ln X_i - \ln c) = \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i,$$

der $Y_i = 2\theta(\ln X_i - \ln c)$. Fra **a)** vet vi at $Y_i \sim \chi^2_2$. Siden X_i 'ene er uavhengige blir også Y_i 'ene uavhengige. Dermed er $2n\theta/\widehat{\theta}$ en sum av uavhengige χ^2 -fordelte variable og er derfor selv χ^2 -fordelt. Antall frihetsgrader blir $\sum_{i=1}^n 2 = 2n$. Har dermed vist at

$$\frac{2n\theta}{\widehat{\theta}} \sim \underline{\underline{\chi^2_{2n}}}.$$

Skal bestemme k fra kravet

$$\begin{aligned} P(\widehat{\theta} \geq k \mid H_0) &= \alpha \\ P\left(\frac{2n\theta}{\widehat{\theta}} \leq \frac{2n\theta}{k} \mid \theta = \theta_0\right) &= \alpha \\ P\left(\frac{2n\theta}{\widehat{\theta}} \leq \frac{2n\theta_0}{k} \mid \theta = \theta_0\right) &= \alpha \end{aligned}$$

Får dermed at man må ha

$$\frac{2n\theta_0}{k} = \underline{\underline{\chi^2_{1-\alpha, 2n}}} \Rightarrow k = \frac{2n\theta_0}{\underline{\underline{\chi^2_{1-\alpha, 2n}}}}$$

Innsatt $n = 20$, $\theta_0 = 2$ og $\chi^2_{0.99, 40} = 22.164$ får vi at

$$k = \frac{2 \cdot 20 \cdot 2}{22.164} = \underline{\underline{3.61}}.$$

c)

Skal bestemme θ slik at

$$P(\text{Ikke forkast } H_0 \mid \theta) = 0.05.$$

Kravet blir

$$\begin{aligned} P(\widehat{\theta} < k \mid \theta) &= 0.05 \\ P\left(\frac{2n\theta}{\widehat{\theta}} > \frac{2n\theta}{k} \mid \theta\right) &= 0.05 \end{aligned}$$

Dette gir at vi må ha

$$\frac{2n\theta}{k} = \underline{\underline{\chi^2_{0.05, 2n}}} \Rightarrow \theta = \frac{k}{2n} \chi^2_{0.05, 2n} = \frac{\theta_0}{\underline{\underline{\chi^2_{1-\alpha, 2n}}}} \cdot \chi^2_{0.05, 2n}$$

Insatt $n = 20$, $\theta_0 = 2$, $\chi^2_{0.99, 40} = 22.164$ og $\chi^2_{0.05, 40} = 55.758$ får vi

$$\theta = \frac{2}{22.164} \cdot 55.758 = \underline{\underline{5.03}}.$$