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## Oppgave 1 Distribution of chocolate pieces in a Christmas bag

A chocolate factory offers various favorite packages where customers find familiar and cherished pieces of chocolate in the same bag. According to the factory is the combination in the Christmas bags $26 \%$ Christmas balls, $14 \%$ chocolate mice, $24 \%$ Christmas figures, $20 \%$ party candies and $16 \%$ marzipan pigs. Five students have bought several Christmas bags and found the following distribution for 300 chocolate pieces:

| Sjokoladebit | Antall |
| :--- | :---: |
| Christmas balls | 100 |
| Chocolate mice | 47 |
| Christmas figures | 62 |
| Partry candies | 53 |
| marzipan pigs | 38 |

a) Can the students come to the conclusion that the distribution of chocolate pieces is different than the chocolate factory says? Use $\alpha=0.05$ level of significance

## Oppgave 2 Icecream profit over summer

The owners of a icecream shop in Germany investigated their profit (in Euro) over a warm period of 11 days in July 2020. They considered the corresponding days maximum temperature (in ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) as an explanatory variable and modelled the relationship using a linear regression model:

$$
Y_{i}=\mu+\beta x_{i}+\epsilon_{i} ; \quad i=1, \ldots, 11 .
$$

Unfortunately, the profit was only recorded for 10 of the 11 days. The observed profit ( Y ) and maximum temperatures ( X ) are shown in the following graph, while the exact values can be found in the table:


| $i$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $Y_{i}$ | 4.27 | 3.44 | 5.19 |  | 2.75 | 3.61 | 5.02 | 4.64 | 3.97 | 4.11 | 4.78 |
| $x_{i}$ | 22.3 | 20.9 | 25.6 | 15.6 | 19.7 | 23.1 | 24.3 | 24.2 | 23.9 | 25.5 | 26.3 |

a) Compute the least squares estimator $\hat{\mu}$ and $\hat{\beta}$ by only considering the complete data. You can use that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i \neq 4} x_{i} & =235.8, \quad \sum_{i \neq 4} x_{i}^{2}=5600.44, \quad \sum_{i \neq 4} y_{i}=41.78, \quad \sum_{i \neq 4} y_{i}^{2}=179.8286, \\
\sum_{i \neq 4} x_{i} y_{i} & =997.223, \quad \sum_{i \neq 4}\left(x_{i}-\sum_{j \neq 4} x_{j} / 10\right)^{2}=40.276 .
\end{aligned}
$$

b) Provide an estimate $\hat{Y}_{4}$ for $E\left(Y_{4} \mid x_{4}=15.6\right)$ and construct the corresponding $95 \%$-confidence intervall for for $E\left(Y_{4} \mid x_{4}=15.6\right)$.
c) Assume that the observed value for $Y_{4}$ would be equal to the estimated value, that is $Y_{4}=\hat{Y}_{4}$. Do the estimates for the parameters $\mu$ and $\beta$ change, if the regression was now performed with all 11 observations?
(Do not do the computation! An answer which is only a computation will not be considered.)

## Oppgave 3 Development times of a queen bee

It is assumed that the developent time of a queen bee depends on the temperature time in the bee hive. Three experiments have been performed where queens are reared at different temperatures in a hive: 1) low temperature of 31 degrees Celsius; 2) medium temperature of 32.5 degrees Celsius; 3 ) high temperature of 34 degrees Celsius. The development times are summarised in the following table, where $n_{j}$ denotes the number of bees reared under temperature $j=1,2,3, \bar{Y}_{. j}$ the average development time in days and $S_{j}$ the sample standard deviation for temperature group $j$.

| $j$ | $n_{j}$ | $\bar{Y}_{. j}$ | $S_{j}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| low temperature hive | 14 | 14.5 | 0.45 |
| medium temperature hive | 14 | 15.1 | 0.48 |
| high temperature hive | 16 | 15.5 | 0.42 |

a) Construct the complete ANOVA table and test the null hypothesis that the expected queen development time in days for queen eggs reared in a low, a medium, and a high temperature hive is the same. Let 0.05 be the level of significance.
b) Construct a constrast to test the null hypothesis that the average expected development time under low and high temperature is the same as the expected development time under the medium temperature. Let 0.05 be the level of significance.

## Oppgave 4 Physical or digital teaching?

A course in economics was taught to two groups of students. One group was given normal classroom teaching, while the other group was given some form of digital teaching. There were 16 students in each group. In order to divide the students into groups, the students were first arranged in 16 subgroups of size 2 so that the two students within each subgroup were as equal as possible in terms of abilities and prior knowledge. Then a coin was tossed to decide which type of education they should receive. At the end of the course, all students received the same exam and each candidate received a score from 0 to 100 . The aim was to find out if there was a basis for saying that these two teaching methods gave different results.

Let $X_{i}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, 16$ be the score in subgroup number $i$ for those who had classroom teaching and let $Y_{i}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, 16$ be the score in subgroup $i$ for those who had digital teaching. Let $D_{i}$ be $X_{i}-Y_{i}$. The observed values $d_{i}$ for $D_{i}$ were:

$$
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
12 & 8 & -3 & 13 & 7 & 2 & 6 & 21 \\
7 & 2 & 11 & -3 & -14 & -2 & 17 & -4
\end{array}
$$

a) Use a paired t-test to examine whether there is a basis for concluding that the two teaching methods give different results.
Which assumptions do you need to make in order to use this test?
Formulate the null hypothesis $H_{0}$ and the alternative hypothesis $H_{1}$.
Set up the test statistic and perform the test when the significance level is set to $5 \%$. What is the conclusion of the test?
You can use that $\sum_{i=1}^{16} d_{i}=80, \sum_{i=1}^{16} d_{i}^{2}=1604$.
b) Questions were asked regarding the assumptions for the paired t-test, and therefore a request was made to use the sign test for paired data.

This test is based on the test statistic $V$ which is the number of the $n=16$ pairs of $\left(X_{i}, Y_{i}\right)$ where $X_{i}>Y_{i}$.

Let $p=P\left(X_{i}>Y_{i}\right)$ and specify the assumptions that lead to $V$ being binomially distributed with $n=16$ and probability $p$.
Explain why the null hypothesis for our test of the difference between the two teaching methods can now be written $H_{0}: p=1 / 2$. What is the alternative hypothesis?

Then derive $V$ from the data and use the tables to find the p-value for the test you want to use based on $V$.

What is the conclusion of using this test when you select a significance level of $5 \%$ ?
c) An alternative non-parametric test is the Wilcoxon's signed rank test, also called Wilcoxon's one-sample test.
Explain what this test is about and which assumptions it is based on. Compare with the assumptions made for the sign test in the previous point.
Formulate a null hypothesis and an alternative hypothesis.
Which test statistic is used in this test? Explain how to calculate it and perform the calculation with the given data.
Calculate the p-value for the test using the approximation to the normal distribution.

What is the conclusion of using this test with a significance level of $5 \%$ ? Compare with the conclusions above.
d) It is well known that if the conditions for using the $t$-test are met, this will give the strongest test of the three tests considered in this problem.
Here, we are interested in comparing the probabilities for making a Type II error for the sign test and the test based on that the $D_{i}$ s are normally distributed.

First briefly explain what is meant by Type I error and Type II error in hypothesis testing.
First consider the sign test in point b). Let $n=16$ and show that a two-sided test of $H_{0}: p=1 / 2$ with significance level $5 \%$ will reject $H_{0}$ if

$$
V \leq 3 \text { or } V \geq 13
$$

where $V$ is defined in point (b).
Show that the probability of a Type I error is 0.022 .
The test in point a) is based on that $D_{1}, \ldots, D_{16}$ are independent and normally distributed, $N\left(\mu_{D}, \sigma_{D}^{2}\right)$. At this point we assume that $\sigma_{D}^{2}=10^{2}$ is known.

Show that a two-sided test for $H_{0}: \mu_{D}=0$ with a probability of a Type I error equal to 0.022 rejects $H_{0}$ if

$$
\bar{D} \leq-5.275 \text { or } \bar{D} \geq 5.275
$$

Assume that in reality $\mu_{D}=4$. Find the probabilities of making a Type II error for both tests that are considered in this point. Leave a comment at the end.

