

# Solutions, exam TMA 4180

June 06. 2014

---

## Problem 1

a) The function  $f$  is a sum of two non-negative functions. Iff  $x=y=0$  then  $f(x,y)=0$ . Therefore  $x=y=0$  is the point of global minimum.

b) The function  $f$  is twice continuously differentiable everywhere with the Hessian

$$\nabla^2 f = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -12 \\ -12 & 36 + 12y^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

The principal minors are  $4$  ~~and~~  $36 + 12y^2$  and  $4(36 + 12y^2) - 144 = 56y^2$

which are all non-negative  $\forall y \in \mathbb{R}$

Therefore  $\nabla^2 f$  is positive semi-definite

and  $f$  is convex.

$$c) \quad f(3,1) = 2(3-3)^2 + 1^4 = 1 =: f_0$$

$$\nabla f(3,1) = \begin{pmatrix} 4x - 12y \\ -12x + 36y + 4y^3 \end{pmatrix} \Bigg|_{\substack{x=3 \\ y=1}}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 12 - 12 \\ -36 + 36 + 4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

Steepest descent direction:  $p = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -4 \end{pmatrix}$ ;  $\nabla f^\top \cdot p = 16$

Armijo linesearch:

- $\alpha = 1$ ,  $f(3+1 \cdot 0, 1-1 \cdot 4) = 369 > f_0 - \alpha \cdot c \cdot \nabla f \cdot p$   
- rejected

- $\alpha = 0.1$ ,  $f(3, 1-0.1 \cdot 4) \approx 3.0096 > f_0 - \alpha \cdot c \cdot \nabla f \cdot p$   
- rejected

- $\alpha = 0.01$ ,  $f(3, 1-0.01 \cdot 4) \approx 0.87815$

$$f_0 - \alpha \cdot c \cdot \nabla f \cdot p = 1 - 0.01 \cdot 0.25 \cdot 16 = 0.96$$

- accepted!

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}^{\text{new}} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \alpha \cdot p = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 1 - 0.01 \cdot 4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 0.96 \end{pmatrix}$$

## Problem 2

a) Take any  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$

Then  $f(x_1) \leq \max \{f(x_1), f(x_2)\}$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda f(x_1) \leq \lambda \max \{f(x_1), f(x_2)\} \quad (\lambda \geq 0)$$

Similarly

$$(1-\lambda) f(x_2) \leq (1-\lambda) \max \{f(x_1), f(x_2)\} \quad (1-\lambda \geq 0)$$

$\Rightarrow$

$$f(\lambda x_1 + (1-\lambda)x_2) \leq \quad (\text{convexity of } f)$$

$$\lambda f(x_1) + (1-\lambda) f(x_2) \leq$$

$$\underbrace{[\lambda + (1-\lambda)]}_{=1} \max \{f(x_1), f(x_2)\}$$

$\Rightarrow f$  is quasi-convex

b) Suppose that  $f$  is quasi-convex,

$\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ -arbitrary,  $x_1, x_2 \in S_\alpha$ ,

$0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$  - arbitrary.

Need to show:  $\lambda x_1 + (1-\lambda)x_2 \in S_\alpha$ .

$$f(\lambda x_1 + (1-\lambda)x_2) \leq \max\{f(x_1), f(x_2)\} \leq \alpha$$

↑ quasi-convexity

because  $x_1 \in S_\alpha \Leftrightarrow f(x_1) \leq \alpha$

$x_2 \in S_\alpha \Leftrightarrow f(x_2) \leq \alpha$

$\Rightarrow \lambda x_1 + (1-\lambda)x_2 \in S_\alpha \Rightarrow S_\alpha$  - convex set

---

Suppose now that  $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $S_\alpha$  is a convex set.

Take any  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and put  $\alpha = \max\{f(x_1), f(x_2)\}$

Then  $\forall 0 < \lambda \leq 1$ :

$\lambda x_1 + (1-\lambda)x_2 \in S_\alpha$ , since

$x_1 \in S_\alpha, x_2 \in S_\alpha$ , and  $S_\alpha$  is a convex set.

But  $\lambda x_1 + (1-\lambda)x_2 \in S_\alpha \Leftrightarrow$

$$\lambda x_1 + (1-\lambda)x_2 \leq \alpha = \max\{f(x_1), f(x_2)\}$$

$\Rightarrow f$  - quasi-convex function.

c)  $f$  is quasi-convex, since its level-sets are convex (by b)

$$S_\alpha = \begin{cases} \emptyset, & \alpha < 0 \\ [0, 1], & 0 \leq \alpha < 1 \\ \mathbb{R}, & 1 \leq \alpha \end{cases}$$

$f$  is not convex, since e.g.

$$\begin{aligned} 1 = f(2) &\neq \frac{1}{2} f(0) + \frac{1}{2} f(4) \\ &= 0 + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Also, every point in  $\mathbb{R}$  ~~is a point of local minimum~~

is a point of local minimum, but

only points in  $[0, 1]$  are points of global minimum.

### Problem 3

We need to show that all search directions are steepest descent directions, ~~or~~ that is, that  $\beta_{k+1} = 0$ .

$$0 = p_{k+1}^T p_k = -\nabla \varphi(x_{k+1})^T p_k + \beta_{k+1} \underbrace{p_k^T p_k}_{> 0} \quad (*)$$

$x_{k+1}$  is calculated using the exact line search along  $p_k$ . That is

$$x_{k+1} = \underset{\alpha}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \varphi(x_k + \alpha p_k)$$

Since  $\varphi$  is continuously differentiable

$$\Rightarrow \left. \frac{d}{d\alpha} \varphi(x_k + \alpha p_k) \right|_{\alpha=\alpha_k} = 0$$

$$\nabla \varphi(\underbrace{x_k + \alpha_k p_k}_{x_{k+1}})^T p_k = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{substitute into } (*) \Rightarrow \beta_{k+1} \|p_k\|^2 = 0$$
$$\beta_{k+1} = 0.$$

□

## Problem 4

a) Both constraints are active at  $(3, 4)$ .

Their gradients are  $\begin{pmatrix} -6 \\ -8 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ -3 \end{pmatrix}$

- linearly independent (e.g.  $\begin{vmatrix} -6 & 4 \\ -8 & -3 \end{vmatrix} = 18 + 24 \neq 0$ )

Therefore LICQ is satisfied and both cones coincide.

The cone of linearized feasible directions is, per definition

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ d_1, d_2 : \begin{array}{l} -6d_1 - 8d_2 \geq 0 \\ 4d_1 - 3d_2 \geq 0 \end{array} \right\}$$

b) Both constraints are concave

(one is affine, the other one has

a ~~non~~ non-positively definite Hessian

$$\begin{pmatrix} -2 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The objective function is convex (affine).

LICQ hold  $\Rightarrow$  KKT - conditions are

necessary and sufficient for optimality.

$$\text{KKT: } \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \frac{1}{\pi} \end{pmatrix} - \lambda_1 \begin{pmatrix} -2x \\ -2y \end{pmatrix} - \lambda_2 \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ -3 \end{pmatrix} \Bigg|_{\substack{x=2 \\ y=4}} = 0$$

$$\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \geq 0$$

$$\begin{cases} 6\lambda_1 - 4\lambda_2 = -1 \\ 8\lambda_1 + 3\lambda_2 = -\pi \end{cases}$$

$$\lambda_2 = \frac{6\lambda_1 + 1}{4}$$

$$8\lambda_1 + \frac{18\lambda_1}{4} = -\pi - \frac{3}{4}$$

$$\lambda_1 = \left(-\pi - \frac{3}{4}\right) \cdot \frac{2}{25}$$

(Both constraints are active, so complementarity slackness is satisfied)





## Problem 5

a) From the constraint:  $x = y + 1$

$$\min_{(x,y) \in \Omega} f(x,y) \Leftrightarrow \min_y \frac{1}{2}(y^2 + (y+1)^2) =: g(y)$$

$$g(y) = y^2 + y + \frac{1}{2} \rightarrow \text{Convex (optimality conditions are necessary \& sufficient)}$$

$$g' = 2y + 1 = 0 \quad \underline{\underline{y^* = -\frac{1}{2} \quad x^* = \frac{1}{2}}}$$

$$\nabla f - \lambda^* \nabla c = 0$$

$(x,y) = (x^*, y^*)$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1/2 \\ -1/2 \end{pmatrix} - \lambda^* \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda^* = \frac{1}{2}$$

b) We combine the objective & the constraint:

$$\min_{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2} f + \frac{\mu}{2} C^2 = \frac{1}{2}(x^2 + y^2) + \frac{\mu}{2}(x - y - 1)^2$$

$$= \frac{\mu+1}{2}x^2 + \frac{\mu+1}{2}y^2 - \mu xy - \mu x + \mu y + \frac{\mu}{2}$$

This is a convex unconstrained minimization problem; minimum is attained when the gradient vanishes.

$$\begin{cases} (\mu+1)x - \mu y = \mu \\ -\mu x + (\mu+1)y = -\mu \end{cases}$$

$$y = \frac{\mu+1}{\mu}x - 1$$

$$-\mu x + \frac{(\mu+1)^2}{\mu}x = -\mu + \mu + 1$$

~~scribbled out text~~

$$\frac{2\mu+1}{\mu}x = 1 \Rightarrow x = \frac{\mu}{2\mu+1}$$
$$y = \frac{\mu+1}{2\mu+1} - 1 = -\frac{\mu}{2\mu+1}$$

$$\text{If } \mu = 2 \Rightarrow x = \frac{2}{5}, y = -\frac{2}{5}.$$

c) Augmented Lagrangian:

$$L_A = f + \lambda \cdot c + \frac{\mu}{2} c^2$$

$$= \frac{\mu+1}{2} x^2 + \frac{\mu+1}{2} y^2 - \mu xy - (\mu+1)x + (\mu+1)y + \frac{\mu}{2} + \lambda$$

- convex smooth function of  $x, y$ .

$$\nabla_{x,y} L_A = 0 \Leftrightarrow$$

$$\begin{cases} (\mu+1)x - \mu y = \mu+1 \\ -\mu x + (\mu+1)y = -(\mu+1) \end{cases}$$

$$y = \frac{\mu+1}{\mu} x - \frac{\mu+1}{\mu}$$

$$-\mu x + \frac{(\mu+1)^2}{\mu} x = -(\mu+1) + \frac{(\mu+1)(\mu+1)}{\mu}$$

$$\frac{2\mu+1}{\mu} x = \frac{(\mu+1)}{\mu} \left[ \frac{\mu+1}{\mu} - 1 \right] = \frac{\mu+1}{\mu}$$

$$x = \frac{\mu+1}{2\mu+1}$$

$$y = \frac{(\mu+1)(\mu+1)}{\mu(2\mu+1)} - \frac{\mu+1}{\mu} = -\frac{\mu+1}{2\mu+1}$$

If  $\mu = 2, \lambda = \frac{1}{2}$

$\Rightarrow x = \frac{5/2}{5} = \frac{1}{2}$

$y = -\frac{1}{2}$

} - exact solution  
to the original  
problem.