

1 The Butcher tableau for the Bogacki–Shampine pair is

0				
1/2	1/2			
3/4	0	3/4		
1	2/9	1/3	4/9	
	2/9	1/3	4/9	0
	7/24	1/4	1/3	1/8

Find the stability functions of the two methods, and plot the stability regions with MATLAB, writing something similar as:

```
1
   % Plot the stabilty domain for a given stability function.
 2
   % Domain: [—a, a, —b, b]
   a = 4; b = 4;
 3
   [x, y] = meshgrid(linspace(-a, a), linspace(-b, b));
 4
 5
   z = x + i*y;
 6
 7
   % Stability function.
 8
   R = abs(1 + z + z.^{2}/2);
 9
10
   % Make the plot.
11
   contourf(x, y, R, [1 1], 'k')
   axis equal, axis([—a a —b b]), grid on
12
13
   hold on
   plot([-a, a], [0, 0], 'k', 'LineWidth', 1);
14
   plot([0, 0], [-a, a], 'k', 'LineWidth', 1);
15
```

*Solution.* The stability function R(z) of a method is defined from  $y_{n+1} = R(z) y_n$ , where  $z = h\lambda$ , when we apply the method to the linear test equation  $y' = \lambda y$ , with  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . For the first method in the pair we have

$$k_1 = \lambda y_n$$
,  $k_2 = \lambda \left( y_n + \frac{1}{2}hk_1 \right)$ ,  $k_3 = \lambda \left( y_n + \frac{3}{4}hk_2 \right)$  and  $y_{n+1} = y_n + h \left( \frac{2}{9}k_1 + \frac{1}{3}k_2 + \frac{4}{9}k_3 \right)$ ,

which gives the stability function

$$R_1(z) = 1 + z + \frac{1}{2}z^2 + \frac{1}{6}z^3.$$

We could have deduced this immediately since the 3-stage explicit RK-method is of 3rd order. Similarly, the second method yields

$$R_2(z) = 1 + z + \frac{1}{2}z^2 + \frac{3}{16}z^3 + \frac{1}{48}z^4,$$

and in Figure 1 we have plotted their absolute stability regions, that is, all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  for which their modulus is less than or equal to 1.



Figure 1: Absolute stability regions (colored).

2 a) Find the eigenvalues of the matrix

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} -10 & -10 \\ 40 & -10 \end{bmatrix}.$$

*Solution*. The eigenvalues are  $\lambda_{1,2} = -10 \pm 20i$ .

b) Assume that you are to solve the differential equation

$$y' = My, \qquad y(0) = y_0$$

using the improved Euler method. What is the largest stepsize  $h_{max}$  you can use? *Solution.* The stability function is given by

$$R(z) = 1 + z + \frac{1}{2}z^2.$$

 $|R(h\lambda)| \le 1$ 

We must have

for the numerical solution to be stable for all eigenvalues of 
$$M$$
. In our case, this means that

$$\left| R\left( (-10 \pm 20i)h \right) \right|^2 = R\left( (-10 \pm 20i)h \right) \cdot R\left( (-10 \pm 20i)h \right)$$
$$= 1 - 20h + 200h^2 - 5000h^3 + 62500h^4 \le 1,$$

which is satisfied when  $0 \le h \le h_{\text{max}} \lessapprox 0.086036$ .

Problem Set 4

c) Solve the equation

$$y' = My + g, \qquad 0 \le t \le 10$$

with

$$g(t) = [\sin t, \cos t]^{\top}$$
 and  $y(0) = [\frac{5210}{249401}, \frac{20259}{249401}]^{\top}$ 

with help of the erk.m-function from Problem Set 2. Choose stepsizes a little smaller than and a little larger than  $h_{\text{max}}$ . What do you observe?

*Solution.* In Figure 2 we have plotted the exact solution and three attempts using the improved Euler method with stepsizes close to  $h_{\text{max}}$ . All cases show instability, and Figure 2d is a complete breakdown.



**Figure 2:** Exact solution, and disastrous numerical solutions using the improved Euler method with various stepsizes a little below and above the critical stepsize  $h_{\text{max}}$ .

d) Solve the equation in c) by the backward Euler method. Use different stepsizes, *e.g.* 0.1 and 0.5. Do you observe any stepsize restrictions due to stability in this case?

*Solution.* A simple implementation of the backward Euler method can be found on the webpage. We do not observe any stepsize restrictions, which is in agreement with the backward Euler method being *A*-stable.

3 A method is *A*-stable if  $|R(z)| \le 1$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}^-$ . The stability function *R* is always a rational function, that is, R(z) = P(z)/Q(z) where *P* and *Q* are polynomials in *z*. By using the maximum modulus principle it is possible to show that a method is *A*-stable if and only if

- ★ R(z) does not have poles in  $\mathbb{C}^-$  (poles are zeros of Q(z)),
- ★  $|R(yi)|^2 \le 1$  for all  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- a) Use this to show that the 3rd-order implicit Runge–Kutta method

is A-stable. Plot the stability region.

*Solution.* The RK-scheme applied to  $y' = \lambda y$  is

$$k_1 = \lambda \left( y_n + \frac{1}{12}h(5k_1 - k_2) \right), \quad k_2 = \lambda \left( y_n + \frac{1}{4}h(3k_1 + k_2) \right) \quad \text{and} \quad y_{n+1} = y_n + \frac{1}{4}h(3k_1 + k_2).$$
  
This gives  $y_n = k_1/\lambda$  and

This gives  $y_{n+1} = k_2/\lambda$  and

$$3k_1 + k_2 = 4\lambda y_n + 2zk_1,$$

where  $z = \lambda h$ , so that

$$k_1 = \frac{\lambda y_n \left(1 - \frac{1}{3}z\right)}{1 - \frac{2}{3}z + \frac{1}{6}z^2}.$$

Putting things together we find that the stability function is

$$R(z) = \frac{k_2}{\lambda y_n} = \frac{1 + \frac{1}{3}z}{1 - \frac{2}{3}z + \frac{1}{6}z^2},$$



Figure 3: Stability region (colored).

and the absolute stability region is shown in Figure 3.

A routine calculation yields that *R* has poles at  $2\pm\sqrt{2}i$ . Hence, condition 1 is satisfied. Additionally,

$$|R(yi)|^2 = R(yi)R(-yi) = \frac{4y^2 + 36}{y^4 + 4y^2 + 36} \le 1$$

for all  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ , so yes, the method is *A*-stable (this we also see from Figure 3).

$$y'' - \mu(1 - y^2)y' + y = 0,$$

where  $\mu > 0$  is a known constant.

Help: Write the equation as a first-order system.

(Later, we will discuss how to solve such systems of nonlinear equations.)

Solution. We write van der Pol's equation as

$$\begin{cases} y' = v, \\ v' = \mu(1 - y^2)v - y, \end{cases} \quad \text{or, in vector notation,} \quad \begin{bmatrix} y \\ v \end{bmatrix}' = f\left(t, \begin{bmatrix} y \\ v \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} v \\ \mu(1 - y^2)v - y \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let  $k_{y,1}$  and  $k_{v,1}$  denote the components of the  $k_1$  vector, and similarly for  $k_2$ . Then for each step the following system of equations must be solved:

$$k_{y,1} = V_1, \qquad k_{v,1} = \mu \left( 1 - Y_1^2 \right) V_1 - Y_1,$$
  
$$k_{y,2} = V_2, \qquad k_{v,2} = \mu \left( 1 - Y_2^2 \right) V_2 - Y_2,$$

with

$$Y_{1} = y_{n} + \frac{1}{12}h(5k_{y,1} - k_{y,2}), \qquad Y_{2} = y_{n} + \frac{1}{4}h(3k_{y,1} + k_{y,2}),$$
$$V_{1} = v_{n} + \frac{1}{12}h(5k_{v,1} - k_{v,2}), \qquad V_{2} = v_{n} + \frac{1}{4}h(3k_{v,1} + k_{v,2}).$$

The solution is updated by

$$y_{n+1} = Y_2, \qquad v_{n+1} = V_2.$$

4 Which of the following linear multistep methods is/are convergent? State the order p and the error constant  $C_{p+1}$  for each method.

Solution. By Dahlquist's equivalence theorem, an LMM

$$\sum_{l=0}^{k} \alpha_{l} y_{m+l} = \sum_{l=0}^{k} \beta_{l} f(x_{m+l}, y_{m+l})$$

is convergent if and only if it is consistent and zero-stable. Let

$$C_0 = \sum_{l=0}^k \alpha_l$$
 and  $C_q = \frac{1}{q!} \sum_{l=0}^k (l^q \alpha_l - q l^{q-1} \beta_l)$  for  $q = 1, 2, ...$ 

Then the method is consistent if  $C_0 = C_1 = 0$  and of order *p* if

$$C_0 = C_1 = \dots = C_p = 0$$
 but  $C_{p+1} \neq 0$ .

In addition, an LMM is zero-stable if and only if all the roots of the characteristic polynomial  $\rho(r) = \sum_{l=0}^{k} \alpha_l r^l$  lie in the closed unit disk  $\mathbb{D} = \{r \in \mathbb{C} : |r| \le 1\}$  and only simple roots are on the boundary  $\partial \mathbb{D} = \{r \in \mathbb{C} : |r| = 1\}$ .

a)  $y_{m+2} + y_{m+1} - 2y_m = \frac{h}{4} \left[ f(x_{m+2}, y_{m+2}) + 8f(x_{m+1}, y_{m+1}) + 3f(x_m, y_m) \right].$ 

*Solution.* Routine calculations yield that  $C_0 = C_1 = C_2 = C_3 = 0$  and  $C_4 = 1/24$ . Hence, the method is consistent and of order 3. The roots of  $\rho(r) = r^2 + r - 2$  are  $r_1 = 1$  and  $r_2 = -2$ , so the LMM is not zero-stable, and therefore not convergent.

b)  $y_{m+3} + \frac{1}{4}y_{m+2} - \frac{1}{2}y_{m+1} - \frac{3}{4}y_m = \frac{h}{8} \left[ 19f(x_{m+2}, y_{m+2}) + 5f(x_m, y_m) \right].$ 

*Solution.* The method is of order 3, with  $C_4 = 17/48$ . Moreover,  $\rho(r) = r^3 + \frac{1}{4}r^2 - \frac{1}{2}r - \frac{3}{4}$  has roots  $r_1 = 1$  and  $r_{2,3} = (-5 \pm i\sqrt{23})/8$ . Hence, it is zero-stable and thus also convergent.

c)  $y_{m+2} - y_{m+1} = \frac{h}{3} [3f(x_{m+1}, y_{m+1}) - 2f(x_m, y_m)].$ 

*Solution.* Since  $C_1 = 2/3$ , the LMM is of order 0. Hence, consistency fails and it cannot be convergent. It is, however, zero-stable.