

# TMA4250 Spatial Statistics

## Assignment 2: Point Processes

IMF/NTNU

February 2017

### Introduction

This assignment contains problems related to spatial Point Processes. R can be used for solving the problems and relevant functions can be found in the R library `spatial`.

### Problem 1: Poisson Point Process (PPP)

Consider the point process  $\{\mathbf{s}_i \in \mathcal{D}_s \subset \mathcal{R}^2 ; i = 1, \dots, Z(\mathcal{D}_s)\}$  with  $\mathcal{D}_s = (0, 1) \times (0, 1)$ . Assume that the point process is an inhomogeneous Poisson point process (PPP) with intensity function

$$\lambda(s_v, s_h) = \mu \exp\{-(\alpha s_v + \beta s_h)\} ; \mathbf{s} = (s_v, s_h) \in \mathcal{D}_s$$

where  $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\mu, \alpha, \beta)$  are model parameters.

**a)** The number of points in  $\mathcal{D}_s$ ,  $Z(\mathcal{D}_s)$ , is a random variable. Write down the distribution  $[Z(\mathcal{D}_s)|\lambda(\cdot)]$ . Develop an expression for the expected number of points in  $\mathcal{D}_s$ , i.e find  $E\{Z(\mathcal{D}_s)|\lambda(\cdot)\}$ . What is the variance  $\text{Var}\{Z(\mathcal{D}_s)|\lambda(\cdot)\}$ ?

**b)** Set the model parameters to  $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (200, 2, 1)$  in the intensity function. Generate realisations of  $[\{\mathbf{s}_1, \dots, \mathbf{s}_{Z(\mathcal{D}_s)}\}|Z(\mathcal{D}_s), \lambda(\cdot)]$  for  $Z(\mathcal{D}_s) = 20, 50$  and 200 respectively by using a rejection sampling algorithm. Visualize the results and comment. Use the option `par(pty="s")` to plot the points on a square.

**c)** Generate 10 realisations of  $[Z(\mathcal{D}_s), \{\mathbf{s}_1, \dots, \mathbf{s}_{Z(\mathcal{D}_s)}\}|\lambda(\cdot)]$  for  $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (200, 2, 1)$ . Visualize the results and comment.

**d)** Assume that you have generated one realisation of the PPP. Specify the likelihood for the PPP. Use this to develop expressions for the maximum likelihood estimates for the parameters  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\mu$ .

Choose one of the realisations in **c**). Compute the numerical values of the maximum likelihood estimates for  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\mu$  given the points in the chosen realisation. The function `optim()` may be useful for maximizing the likelihood numerically.

Compare the estimates of  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\mu$  with the true values, and visualize the estimated and true intensity function by using the function `image.plot()`. Comment the results.

## Problem 2: Neymann-Scott Point Process (N-SPP)

In this exercise you are going to explore the properties of a Neymann-Scott Point Process. A Neymann-Scott PP can be used to model clustered point processes. It is defined by a mother-model and a child-model: The mother-model is a statistical distribution that specifies the number of clusters and their locations in our spatial domain. The child-model specifies the point pattern around each of the clusters.

Consider a Neymann-Scott PP (N-SPP)  $\{\mathbf{s}_i \in \mathcal{D}_s \subset \mathcal{R}^2 ; i = 1, \dots, Z(\mathcal{D}_s)\}$  with  $\mathcal{D}_s = (0, 1) \times (0, 1)$ . Let the mother-model be given by a homogeneous Poisson PP with parameter  $\lambda_m$ . Further, let the child-model be specified as follows: The number of points is given by a Poisson distribution with parameter  $\lambda_c$ , while the point locations are given by an uncorrelated bi-Gaussian distribution with covariance matrix  $\mathbf{I}\sigma^2$ . (These model assumptions defines the so called Thomas PP.)

**a)** Vary the set of model parameters and generate realisations of the N-SPP. Explain how you perform the simulations by writing a simple pseudocode. Pay particular attention to boundary-problems in your simulation: You need to find a way to deal with points falling outside the spatial domain  $\mathcal{D}_s$ . Include some of the realisations in your report. Describe the resulting point patterns, and explain the relation between the realisations and the parameter values of the mother- and child-model.

**b)** Use the function `Kfn()` in the R library `spatial` to compute the empirical  $L$ -function for five extreme realisations from **a**). For this purpose, you need the function `ppregion()` to specify the spatial domain.

The theoretical  $L$ -function for a Thomas PP is

$$L(h) = \sqrt{h^2 + (\pi\lambda_m)^{-1}(1 - \exp\{-h^2/4\sigma^2\})},$$

where  $\lambda_m$  is the intensity of the mother-model,  $\sigma^2$  is the variance of the bi-Gaussian distribution, and  $h$  is the distance from an arbitrary event. Display the estimated and the theoretical  $L$ -function for five extreme realisations.

Comment the results, i.e relate the shape of the L-functions to the point patterns.

### Problem 3: Strauss Point Process (SPP)

A Strauss point process can be used to model repulsion. Consider a Strauss point process (SPP)  $\{\mathbf{s}_i \in \mathcal{D}_s \subset \mathcal{R}^2 ; i = 1, \dots, Z(\mathcal{D}_s)\}$  with  $\mathcal{D}_s = (0, 1) \times (0, 1)$ . Assume that the pdf, given the number of points  $Z(\mathcal{D}_s)$ , related to this PP is:

$$[\{\mathbf{s}_1, \dots, \mathbf{s}_{Z(\mathcal{D}_s)}\} | Z(\mathcal{D}_s), \theta] = \text{const} \times \exp\left\{- \sum_{i=1}^{Z(\mathcal{D}_s)} \sum_{j=1}^{Z(\mathcal{D}_s)} \varphi(\tau_{ij}; \theta)\right\}$$

with  $\tau_{ij} = |\mathbf{s}_i - \mathbf{s}_j|$  being the euclidean distance between  $\mathbf{s}_i$  and  $\mathbf{s}_j$ , and

$$\varphi(\tau_{ij}; c, d) = \begin{cases} c & \text{if } \tau_{ij} < d \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

- a) How can you interpret the parameters  $c$  and  $d$ ?
- b) Set  $Z(\mathcal{D}) = 50$  and  $d = 0.1$  and generate realisations of the SPP with  $c = 0.01, 1$  and  $100$  respectively. You can for example use a one-point updating Metropolis-Hastings MCMC algorithm for making the simulations. Show that the algorithm has converged for each value of  $c$ . Visualize the results and comment.

### Problem 4: Analysis of Point Patterns

Consider three real data point patterns in the R library MASS:

- biological cell data, available at `cells.dat`
- redwood tree data, available at `redwood.dat`
- pine tree data, available at `pin.es.dat`

Use the command `data<-ppinit("file.dat")` to load the data files.

- a) Display the three point patterns and describe what you see. Try to relate the point patterns to real processes in nature. Use google to find information about the datasets.

**b)** Compute the empirical  $L$ -function for each of the point patterns by using the function `Kfn()`.

Visualize the results and comment.

Specify the theoretical  $L$ -function for a homogeneous Poisson PP. Compare the empirical  $L$ -function for each of the point patterns with the theoretical  $L$ -function for a homogeneous Poisson PP.

Visualize the results and comment.

**c)** Take the number of points in each point pattern into account. Generate 100 realisations of a corresponding homogeneous Poisson PP, and compute the empirical  $L$ -function for each realisation. Use the results to estimate the expected  $L$ -function with associated 0.95 confidence intervals. Use the results to test informally whether each of the point patterns in `cells.dat`, `redwood.dat` and `pin.es.dat` could come from an underlying Poisson PP.

Visualize the results and comment.

**d)** Consider a homogeneous Poisson PP in  $\mathcal{R}^2$  with intensity  $\lambda$ . Derive the distribution of the distance  $r$  from one arbitrary location in our spatial domain to the closest data point.

Hint: Consider a disc with radius  $r$  and with its origin at this arbitrary location.

For a homogeneous PPP the pdf of the distance  $r$  from an arbitrary location to the closest datapoint has the same distribution as the distance from a given datapoint to its closest neighbour. This pdf is called the  $r$ -function of the point process.

Use the information above to perform the same procedure as in **c)**, but now by using the  $r$ -function of the homogeneous PPP: The pdf of the distance from a given point to its closest neighbour. The functions `rdist()` and `density()` may be useful for computing an empirical  $r$ -function. As before, use the results to test informally whether each of the point patterns could come from an underlying homogeneous Poisson PP.