

Løsningskisse for eksamen i 75563 Rømlig statistikk,
Lørdag 29. mai 1999.

Oppg. 1 a)

Krav: $\rho(x, x) = 1$ fordi $\rho(x, x) = \text{Corr}[Z(x), Z(x)] = 1$

$\rho(x, x')$ må være positivt definit, dvs.

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n c_i c_j \rho(x_i, x_j) \quad \forall n \text{ og alle } c_i; i=1, \dots, n$$

Dette kravet følger av at $\text{Var}\left[\sum_{i=1}^n c_i Z(x_i)\right] \geq 0$,

Her er:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}\left[\sum_{i=1}^n c_i Z(x_i)\right] &= \text{Cov}\left[\sum_{i=1}^n c_i Z(x_i), \sum_{j=1}^n c_j Z(x_j)\right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n c_i c_j \text{Cov}[Z(x_i), Z(x_j)] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n c_i c_j \frac{\text{Corr}[Z(x_i), Z(x_j)]}{\sqrt{\text{Var}[Z(x_i)] \cdot \text{Var}[Z(x_j)]}} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n c_i c_j \rho(x_i, x_j) \quad \text{der } c_i = \frac{c_i}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(Z(x_i))}} \end{aligned}$$

$$b) \quad \hat{Z}(x_0) = \alpha_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i Z(x_i)$$

Forventningsrett het:

$$E[\hat{Z}(x_0) - Z(x_0)] = \mu(x_0) - \alpha_0 - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \mu(x_i) \\ = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_0 = \mu(x_0) - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \mu(x_i)$$

$$\text{dvs.} \quad \hat{Z}(x_0) = \mu(x_0) + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i (Z(x_i) - \mu(x_i))$$

$$= \mu(x_0) + \alpha^T (\underline{Z} - \underline{\mu})$$

$$\text{der } \underline{Z} = (Z(x_1), \dots, Z(x_n))^T$$

$$\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)^T$$

$$\underline{\mu} = (\mu(x_1), \dots, \mu(x_n))^T$$

$$\text{Var}[\hat{Z}(x_0) - Z(x_0)] = \text{Var}[\mu(x_0) + \alpha^T (\underline{Z} - \underline{\mu}) - Z(x_0)]$$

$$= \text{Var}[\alpha^T \underline{Z} - Z(x_0)] = \text{Var}[[\alpha^T - 1] \begin{bmatrix} \underline{Z} \\ Z(x_0) \end{bmatrix}]$$

$$= [\alpha^T - 1] \begin{bmatrix} Z & b \\ b^T & \sigma^2(x_0) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

der $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ med $\Sigma_{ij} = \sigma(x_i)\sigma(x_j)g(x_i, x_j)$
 $\tilde{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ med $b_i = \sigma(x_i)\sigma(x_0)g(x_i, x_0)$

dvs.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var}[\hat{z}(x_0) - z(x_0)] &= \tilde{\alpha}^T \Sigma \tilde{\alpha} - \tilde{\alpha}^T \tilde{b} - \tilde{b}^T \tilde{\alpha} + \sigma^2(x_0) \\ &= \tilde{\alpha}^T \Sigma \tilde{\alpha} - 2\tilde{\alpha}^T \tilde{b} + \sigma^2(x_0)\end{aligned}$$

Gradient m. h. p. $\tilde{\alpha}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{\tilde{\alpha}} (\tilde{\alpha}^T \Sigma \tilde{\alpha} - 2\tilde{\alpha}^T \tilde{b} + \sigma^2(x_0)) \\ = 2\Sigma \tilde{\alpha} - 2\tilde{b} = 0 \\ \Rightarrow \tilde{\alpha} = \Sigma^{-1} \tilde{b}\end{aligned}$$

dvs.

$$\tilde{\alpha} = \Sigma^{-1} \tilde{b}$$

$$\underline{\underline{\alpha_0 = \mu(x_0) - \tilde{\alpha}^T \mu}}$$

Oppgave 2

$$a) \{x \notin B\} = \{\text{ingen punkter i området } b(x;r)\}$$

Benytter at antall punkter i et område A er Poisson fordelt med parameter $\lambda \cdot |A|$.

Spesielt:

$$P_r\{N(b(x;r)) = k\} = \frac{(\lambda \pi r^2)^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda \pi r^2}; \quad k=0,1,\dots$$

$$P_r\{x \notin B\} = P_r\{N(b(x;r)) = 0\} = \underline{\underline{e^{-\lambda \pi r^2}}}$$

$$b) |B| = \int_{\mathcal{W}} \mathbb{I}(x \in B) dx$$

$$E[|B|] = \int_{\mathcal{W}} E[\mathbb{I}(x \in B)] dx = \int_{\mathcal{W}} P_r\{x \in B\} dx$$

$$= \int_{\mathcal{W}} (1 - e^{-\lambda \pi r^2}) dx = \underline{\underline{(1 - e^{-\lambda \pi r^2}) \cdot |\mathcal{W}|}}$$

Definerer estimator, $\hat{\lambda}$, ved å kreve:

$$|B| = (1 - e^{-\hat{\lambda} \pi r^2}) \cdot |\mathcal{W}|$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{\underline{\hat{\lambda} = -\frac{1}{\pi r^2} \cdot \ln\left(1 - \frac{|B|}{|\mathcal{W}|}\right)}}$$

Z_{ij} : posisjon til sentre av piksel (i, j) .

$$c) \Pr\{Y_{ij} = 1\} \stackrel{\text{TS}}{=} \Pr\{Y_{ij} = 1 \mid Z_{ij} \in B\} \cdot \Pr\{Z_{ij} \in B\} \\ + \Pr\{Y_{ij} = 1 \mid Z_{ij} \notin B\} \cdot \Pr\{Z_{ij} \notin B\}$$

$$= p \cdot (1 - e^{-\lambda \pi r^2}) + (1 - p) \cdot e^{-\lambda \pi r^2}$$

$$= p + (1 - 2p) e^{-\lambda \pi r^2} = E[Y_{ij}]$$

$$E\left[\hat{\sum}_{i=1}^{n_1} \hat{\sum}_{j=1}^{n_2} Y_{ij}\right] = n_1 \cdot n_2 \cdot (p + (1 - 2p) e^{-\lambda \pi r^2})$$

Definerer estimatoren, $\tilde{\lambda}$, ved å kreve:

$$\hat{\sum}_{i=1}^{n_1} \hat{\sum}_{j=1}^{n_2} Y_{ij} = n_1 \cdot n_2 \cdot (p + (1 - 2p) e^{-\tilde{\lambda} \pi r^2})$$

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{\lambda} = \frac{-\frac{1}{\pi r^2} \left[\ln\left(\frac{1}{n_1 n_2} \hat{\sum}_{i=1}^{n_1} \hat{\sum}_{j=1}^{n_2} Y_{ij} - p\right) - \ln(1 - 2p) \right]}{1 - 2p}$$

$$p = \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow \tilde{\lambda} \text{ blir udefinert fordi } 1 - 2p = 0$$

Dette er rimelig fordi med $p = \frac{1}{2}$ vil fordelingen til Y_{ij} ikke være avhengig av λ .

$$\Pr\{Y_{ij} = 1\} = \frac{1}{2} \text{ uansett hva } \lambda \text{ er.}$$

Oppg. 3

a) Nummerer mulige tilstander for X fra 1 til 2^n . La p_i være sanns. til tilstand nr. i . La videre

$$q_i = \sum_{j=1}^i p_j \quad (\text{kumulativ fordeling})$$

Simuleringsalgoritme:

Trekk $u \sim \text{Unif}[0,1]$

Velg tilstand nr. i slik at

$$q_{i-1} \leq u < q_i$$

Det er i praksis ikke gjennomførbart fordi man må regne ut 2^n p_i -er og q_i -er. For $n=100^2$ får en $2^n \approx 10^{6.931}$, dvs. ikke gjennomførbart på dagens datamaskiner.

Gibbs-sampler (spesialtilfelle av Metropolis-Hastings) for Ising-modell benytter

$$q(x \rightarrow x') = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{hvis } x \text{ og } x' \text{ avviker i mer enn en piksel} \\ \Pr\{X_i = x'_i \mid X_{-i} = x_{-i}\} & \text{dersom } x \text{ og } x' \text{ avviker i piksel } i. \end{cases}$$

$\alpha(x \rightarrow x') = 1$ alltid for Gibbs-sampler.

$$b) \quad l(\beta) = Pr\{X=x\} = c(\beta) \cdot e^{\beta \sum_{i,j} I(x_i=x_j)}$$

$$\text{der } c(\beta) = \frac{1}{\sum_{x'} e^{\beta \sum_{i,j} I(x_i=x_j)'}}$$

↑ antall ledd i summen er

2^n . Konstanten $c(\beta)$ bør seg derfor (i praksis) ikke beregne og dermed kan en heller ikke maksimere $l(\beta)$

Betinget fordeling i Ising-modell:

$$\Pr\{X_i = x_i \mid X_{-i} = x_{-i}\} = c(x_{-i}) \cdot e^{+\beta \sum_{j \in \partial i} I(x_i = x_j)}$$

$$\text{der } c(x_{-i}) = \frac{1}{e^{+\beta \sum_{j \in \partial i} I(0 = x_j)} + e^{+\beta \sum_{j \in \partial i} I(1 = x_j)}}$$

$$p_l(\beta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left[\Pr_{\beta} \{X_i = x_i \mid X_{-i} = x_{-i}\} \right]$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{e^{+\beta \sum_{j \in \partial i} I(x_i = x_j)}}{e^{+\beta \sum_{j \in \partial i} I(x_j = 0)} + e^{+\beta \sum_{j \in \partial i} I(x_j = 1)}} \right]$$

$$\ln[p_l(\beta)] = \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\beta \sum_{j \in \partial i} I(x_i = x_j) \right]$$

$$- \ln \left[e^{\beta \sum_{j \in \partial i} I(x_j = 0)} + e^{\beta \sum_{j \in \partial i} I(x_j = 1)} \right]$$

