

# SUGGESTED SOLUTION

Exam TMA4250 Spatial Statistics

Friday May 24. 2013

By: H. Omre 09.06.2013

Suggested solution:

Problem 1 Continuous RF

$$\{R(x); x \in D\} \quad E\{R(x)\} = \mu_R \quad \text{Var}\{R(x)\} = \sigma_R^2$$
$$\text{Corr}\{R(x'), R(x'')\} = \rho_R(z)$$

a)  $\rho_R(z)$  need to be a non-negative definite function,

hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_i \alpha_j \rho_R(z_{ij}) \geq 0 \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{all } \alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \\ \text{all } n > 1 \\ z_{ij} = |x_i - x_j| \end{array} \right.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(z) &= \frac{1}{2} \text{Var}\{R(x') - R(x'')\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [2\sigma_R^2 - 2\text{Cov}\{R(x'), R(x'')\}] \\ &= \sigma_R^2 [1 - \rho_R(z)] \end{aligned}$$

$$\{S(x) = a + R(x) + U(x); x \in D\}$$

indep  $R(x), U(x)$

$$E\{U(x)\} = 0$$

$$\text{Var}\{U(x)\} = \sigma_U^2$$

$$\text{Corr}\{U(x'), U(x'')\} = I(x' = x'')$$

b) *Correlation*

$$\mu_S = a + \mu_R$$

$$\sigma_S^2 = \sigma_R^2 + \sigma_U^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} C_S(\tau) &= \text{Cov}\{S(x'), S(x'')\} = \text{Cov}\{R(x'), R(x'')\} + \sigma_U^2 I(x''=x') \\ &= C_R(\tau) + \sigma_U^2 I(\tau=0) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$C_R(\tau) = \sigma_R^2 \rho_R(\tau)$$

hence

$$\rho_S(\tau) = [\sigma_R^2 + \sigma_U^2]^{-1} [\sigma_R^2 \rho_R(\tau) + \sigma_U^2 I(\tau=0)]$$

lastly

$$\sigma_{RS}^2 = \sigma_R^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} C_{RS}(\tau) &= \text{Cov}\{R(x'), S(x'')\} = \text{Cov}\{R(x'), R(x'')\} + \text{Cov}\{R(x'), U(x'')\} \\ &= C_R(\tau) \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{RS}(\tau) &= \left[ \sigma_R [\sigma_R^2 + \sigma_U^2]^{1/2} \right]^{-1} \sigma_R^2 \rho_R(\tau) \\ &= [\sigma_R^2 + \sigma_U^2]^{-1/2} \sigma_R \rho_R(\tau) \end{aligned}$$

$$c) \hat{R}_+ = \sum_{i=1}^{nr} \alpha_i R_i^0 + \sum_{j=1}^{ns} \beta_j S_j^0$$

Unbiasedness:

$$E\{\hat{R}_+ - R_+\} = 0 \Rightarrow E\{\hat{R}_+\} = E\{R_+\} = \mu_R$$

$$E\{\hat{R}_+\} = \sum_i \alpha_i \mu_R + \sum_j \beta_j \mu_S$$

$$= \left[ \sum_i \alpha_i + \sum_j \beta_j \right] \mu_R + \mu_S \sum_j \beta_j = \mu_R$$

$\Downarrow$

$$\sum_i \alpha_i = 1 \quad \sum_j \beta_j = 0$$

Prediction variance:

$$\text{Var}\{\hat{R}_+ - R_+\} = \text{Var}\{R_+\} - 2 \text{Cov}\{\hat{R}_+, R_+\} + \text{Var}\{\hat{R}_+\}$$

$$= \sigma_R^2 - 2 \left[ \sum_i \alpha_i C_R(\tau_{i+}) + \sum_j \beta_j C_{RS}(\tau_{j+}) \right]$$

$$+ \left[ \sum_i \sum_k \alpha_i \alpha_k C_R(\tau_{ik}) + \sum_j \sum_l \beta_j \beta_l C_S(\tau_{jl}) \right]$$

$$+ 2 \sum_i \sum_j \alpha_i \beta_j C_{RS}(\tau_{ij})$$

$$= \sigma_R^2 - 2 \sigma_R^2 \left[ \sum_i \alpha_i \rho_R(\tau_{i+}) + \sum_j \beta_j \rho_R(\tau_{j+}) \right]$$

$$+ \left[ \sigma_R^2 \sum_i \sum_k \alpha_i \alpha_k \rho_R(\tau_{ik}) + \sigma_R^2 \sum_j \sum_l \beta_j \beta_l \rho_R(\tau_{jl}) \right]$$

$$+ \sigma_S^2 \sum_j \beta_j^2 + 2 \sigma_R^2 \sum_i \sum_j \alpha_i \beta_j \rho_R(\tau_{ij})$$

The weights  $(\alpha, \beta)$  can be defined by:

$$(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}) = \underset{\alpha, \beta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \operatorname{Var} \{ \hat{R}_+ - R_+ \}$$

$$\sum_i \alpha = 1 \text{ and } \sum_j \beta = 0$$

If observation design is identical:

$$nr = ns \quad \tau_{i+} = \tau_{j+} \quad \tau_{ik} = \tau_{jl} = \tau_{ij}$$

and

$$S_i^0 = a + R_i^0 + U_i^0; \quad i = 1, \dots, ns$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{R}_+ &= \sum_i \alpha_i R_i^0 + \sum_i \beta_i (a + R_i^0 + U_i^0) \\ &= \sum_i (\alpha_i + \beta_i) R_i^0 + \sum_i \beta_i U_i^0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Var} \{ \hat{R}_+ - R_+ \} &= \operatorname{Var} \{ R_+ \} - 2 \operatorname{Cov} \{ \hat{R}_+, R_+ \} + \operatorname{Var} \{ \hat{R}_+ \} \\ &= \sigma_R^2 - 2 \sigma_R^2 \sum_i (\alpha_i + \beta_i) \rho_R(\tau_{i+}) \\ &\quad + \sigma_R^2 \sum_i \sum_k (\alpha_i + \beta_i)(\alpha_k + \beta_k) \rho_R(\tau_{ik}) + \sigma_U^2 \sum_i \beta_i^2 \end{aligned}$$

The solution is:

$$(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}) = \underset{\alpha, \beta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \operatorname{Var} \{ \hat{R}_+ - R_+ \}$$

$$\sum \alpha_i = 1 \quad \sum \beta_i = 0$$

Substitute

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \nu_i = \alpha_i + \beta_i \\ \beta_i = \nu_i - \alpha_i \end{array} \right\} \sum \nu_i = 1 \Rightarrow \sum \beta_i = 0$$

$$(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\nu}) = \underset{\alpha, \nu}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left\{ \sigma_R^2 - 2\sigma_R^2 \sum_i \nu_i \rho_R(\tau_{i+}) + \sigma_R^2 \sum_{i,k} \nu_i \nu_k \rho_R(\tau_{ik}) + \sigma_\nu^2 \sum_i (\nu_i - \alpha_i)^2 \right\}$$

$$\sum \alpha_i = 1 \quad \sum \nu_i = 1$$

$$= \underset{\nu}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left\{ \sigma_R^2 - 2\sigma_R^2 \sum_i \nu_i \rho_R(\tau_{i+}) + \sigma_R^2 \sum_{i,k} \nu_i \nu_k \rho_R(\tau_{ik}) \right\}$$

$$\sum \nu_i = 1 \quad \alpha = \nu$$

hence

$$\hat{\beta}_i = 0 \quad i=1, \dots, ns$$

$\hat{\alpha}$  - regular Ordinary Kriging weights

If the observation designs are identical the observations  $S^o$  have no influence on the predictor  $\hat{R}_+$ .

Suggested Solution:

Probleme 2 Event RF

Homog Poisson point RF  $\{X_i; i=1, \dots, N; D \in \mathbb{R}^2\}$

Intensity:  $\lambda [m^2]$

Area of  $D$ :  $5 \times 5 [m^2]$

a) Probability \* points:

$$\text{Prob}\{N=n\} = \frac{[25\lambda]^n}{n!} e^{-25\lambda} ; n=0, 1, 2, \dots$$

- Poisson distributed

Probability 5 points covered in  $1 m^2$ ;  $N_{\Delta}$ :

$$\text{Prob}\{N_{\Delta}=5\} = \frac{\lambda^5}{5!} e^{-\lambda}$$

Probability \* points in uncovered  $24 m^2$  area  $N_{-\Delta}$  given 5 points in covered area:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Prob}\{N_{-\Delta}=n \mid N_{\Delta}=5\} &= \text{Prob}\{N_{-\Delta}=n\} \\ &\quad \uparrow \text{indep. of non-overlap area} \\ &= \frac{[24\lambda]^n}{n!} e^{-24\lambda} ; n=0, 1, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Observation with  $(1-p)$ -censoring.

$$b) \text{Prob}\{N^0 = n^0 \mid N = n\} = \binom{n}{n^0} p^{n^0} (1-p)^{n-n^0}; \quad n^0 = 0, \dots, n$$

↑ binomial pdf

$$\text{Prob}\{N^0 = n^0\} = \sum_{n=n^0}^{\infty} \text{Prob}\{N^0 = n^0 \mid N = n\} \text{Prob}\{N = n\}$$

$$= \sum_{n=n^0}^{\infty} \frac{n!}{(n-n^0)! n^0!} \left[\frac{p}{1-p}\right]^{n^0} (1-p)^n \frac{(25\lambda)^n}{n!} e^{-25\lambda}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n^0!} \left[\frac{p}{1-p}\right]^{n^0} e^{-25\lambda} [25(1-p)\lambda]^{n^0} \underbrace{\sum_{n=n^0}^{\infty} \frac{[25(1-p)\lambda]^{n-n^0}}{(n-n^0)!}}_{= e^{-25(1-p)\lambda}}$$

$$= \frac{[25p\lambda]^{n^0}}{n^0!} e^{-25p\lambda}; \quad n^0 = 0, 1, \dots$$

↑ Pois( $25p\lambda$ )

c) Given  $N^{\circ} = n^{\circ}$

$$\text{Prob}\{N=n | N^{\circ}=n^{\circ}\} = \frac{\text{Prob}\{N=n, N^{\circ}=n^{\circ}\}}{\text{Prob}\{N^{\circ}=n^{\circ}\}}$$

$$= \frac{\text{Prob}\{N^{\circ}=n^{\circ} | N=n\} \text{Prob}\{N=n\}}{\text{Prob}\{N^{\circ}=n^{\circ}\}}$$

$$= \frac{n!}{(n-n^{\circ})! n^{\circ}!} \left[ \frac{p}{1-p} \right]^{n^{\circ}} (1-p)^n \frac{(25\lambda)^n}{n!} e^{-25\lambda} n^{\circ}! [25p\lambda]^{-n^{\circ}} e^{25p\lambda}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(n-n^{\circ})!} [25(1-p)\lambda]^{n-n^{\circ}} e^{-25(1-p)\lambda} ; n = n^{\circ}, n^{\circ}+1, \dots$$

Independence requires:  $N^{-\circ} = N - N^{\circ}$

$$\text{Prob}\{N^{-\circ} = n^{-\circ} | N^{\circ} = n^{\circ}\} = \text{Prob}\{N^{-\circ} = n^{-\circ}\}; n^{-\circ} = 0, 1, \dots$$

Note:

$$\text{Prob}\{N^{-\circ} = n^{-\circ}\} = \frac{[25(1-p)\lambda]^{n^{-\circ}}}{n^{-\circ}!} e^{-25(1-p)\lambda} ; n^{-\circ} = 0, 1, \dots$$

from symmetry in reg/non-reg and b)

Moreover:

$$\text{Prob}\{N^{-\circ} = n^{-\circ} | N^{\circ} = n^{\circ}\} = \text{Prob}\{N = n^{-\circ} + n^{\circ} | N^{\circ} = n^{\circ}\}$$

$$= \frac{[25(1-p)\lambda]^{n^{-\circ}}}{n^{-\circ}!} e^{-25(1-p)\lambda} \quad n^{-\circ} = 0, 1, \dots$$

↳ from above!

Hence -  $[N^{\circ}, N - N^{\circ}]$  independent RV!

The predictive power of  $N^{\circ}$  with regard to  $N = N^{\circ} + N^{-\circ}$ , is only in the first term  $N^{\circ}$ .



# Suggested Solution

## Problem 3 Mosaic RF

$$L: \{L_x; x \in \mathcal{L}_\Phi\} \quad L_x \in \Omega_{L_x}: \{W, G, B\} \quad x \in \mathcal{L}_\Phi$$

Gibbs form:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Prob} \{L=l; \beta=(\beta_W, \beta_G, \beta_B)\} \\ = \text{const}_\beta \times \exp \left\{ \sum_{\substack{u,v \in \mathcal{L}_\Phi \\ \langle u,v \rangle}} \sum_{l_u \in \Omega_{L_x}} \beta_{l_u} I(l_u=l_v) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

a) Markov form:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Prob} \{L_s=l_s \mid L_{-s}=l_{-s}\} \\ = \frac{\text{Prob} \{L_s=l_s, L_{-s}=l_{-s}\}}{\text{Prob} \{L_{-s}=l_{-s}\}} \\ = \frac{\text{Prob} \{L=l\}}{\sum_{l'_s \in \Omega_{L_x}} \text{Prob} \{L_s=l'_s, L_{-s}=l_{-s}\}} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{\text{const}_\beta \times \exp \left\{ \sum_{\substack{u,v \in \mathcal{L}_\Phi \\ \langle u,v \rangle \\ u,v \neq s}} \sum_{l_u \in \Omega_{L_x}} \beta_{l_u} I(l_u=l_v) \right\} \times \exp \left\{ \sum_{\substack{t \in \mathcal{L}_\Phi \\ \langle s,t \rangle}} \beta_{l_s} I(l_s=l_t) \right\}}{\text{const}_\beta \times \exp \left\{ \sum_{\substack{u,v \in \mathcal{L}_\Phi \\ \langle u,v \rangle \\ u,v \neq s}} \sum_{l_u \in \Omega_{L_x}} \beta_{l_u} I(l_u=l_v) \right\} \sum_{l'_s \in \Omega_{L_x}} \exp \left\{ \sum_{\substack{t \in \mathcal{L}_\Phi \\ \langle s,t \rangle}} \beta_{l'_s} I(l'_s=l_t) \right\}}$$

$$= \frac{\exp \left\{ \sum_{\substack{t \in \mathcal{L}_\Phi \\ \langle s,t \rangle}} \beta_{l_s} I(l_s=l_t) \right\}}{\sum_{l'_s \in \Omega_{L_x}} \exp \left\{ \sum_{\substack{t \in \mathcal{L}_\Phi \\ \langle s,t \rangle}} \beta_{l'_s} I(l'_s=l_t) \right\}}$$

$$= \frac{\exp\left\{\sum_{\substack{t \in \mathcal{L}_D \\ \langle s, t \rangle}} \beta_{l_s} I(l_s = l_t)\right\}}{\sum_{l'_s \in \mathcal{L}_X} \exp\left\{\sum_{\substack{t \in \mathcal{L}_D \\ \langle s, t \rangle}} \beta_{l'_s} I(l'_s = l_t)\right\}} \quad ; \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{L}_D$$

Gibbs formulation:

One joint probability model for  $l_s$ , with a normalizing constant which is very difficult to assess.

Markov formulation:

A set of univariate probability models for each  $l_s$ ;  $s \in \mathcal{L}_D$ , with easily assessable normalizing constants.

b) Observations  $l: \{l_x; x \in \mathcal{L}_D\} \rightarrow$  estimate  $\beta = (\beta_w, \beta_a, \beta_B)$   
Ideally we would use maximum likelihood est:

$$\hat{\beta} = \underset{\beta}{\operatorname{argmax}} \operatorname{Prob}\{L = l; \beta\}$$

but since the const $\beta$  is hard to assess, we normally use maximum pseudo-likelihood:

$$\hat{\beta} = \underset{\beta}{\operatorname{argmax}} \left\{ \prod_{s \in \mathcal{L}_D} \operatorname{Prob}\{l_s = l_s^\circ \mid l_{-s} = l_{-s}^\circ; \beta\} \right\}$$

This expression does not contain any cumbersome normalizing constants dependent on  $\beta$ .