

1

a) $\underline{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \underline{A} \underline{x}$ and therefore binormal-distributed since $\underline{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$ is binormal distributed.

$$\underline{\mu}_y = \begin{bmatrix} \mu(1+k) \\ \mu \end{bmatrix}, \quad \frac{\text{Cov}(x_1, x_2)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(x_1)} \sqrt{\text{Var}(x_2)}} = \frac{\text{Cov}(x_1, x_2)}{\sigma k \sigma} = \rho \Rightarrow \text{Cov}(x_1, x_2) = \rho k \sigma^2$$

$$\underline{\Sigma}_x = \sigma^2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \rho k \\ \rho k & k^2 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \underline{\Sigma}_y = \sigma^2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \rho k \\ \rho k & k^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \sigma^2 \begin{bmatrix} 1+2\rho k+k^2 & 1+\rho k \\ 1+\rho k & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$b) E[X_1 + X_2 | X_1 = x_1] = \mu(1+k) + \frac{\sigma^2(\rho k + 1)(x_1 - \mu)}{\sigma^2} \\ = \mu(1+k) + (\rho k + 1)(x_1 - \mu)$$

$$k=4, \rho = \frac{1}{2}, x_1 - \mu = 1 \Rightarrow E[X_1 + X_2 | X_1 = \mu + 1] = 5\mu + 3$$

$$k=1 \text{ og } \rho = \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow \underline{\Sigma}_x = \sigma^2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e_1 \\ e_2 \end{bmatrix} = \lambda' \begin{bmatrix} e_1 \\ e_2 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{aligned} e_1 + \frac{1}{2}e_2 &= \lambda' e_1 & (1) \\ \frac{1}{2}e_1 + e_2 &= \lambda' e_2 & (2) \end{aligned}, \quad \lambda' = \frac{\lambda}{\sigma^2}$$

(1) $\Rightarrow e_1 = \frac{e_2}{2(\lambda' - 1)}$ which inserted in (2) gives

$$\frac{e_2}{4(\lambda' - 1)} + e_2 = \lambda' e_2 \Rightarrow \lambda'^2 - 2\lambda' + \frac{3}{4} = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda' = \frac{3}{2} \text{ or } \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow \lambda = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{3}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{array} \right\} \quad \frac{3}{2} \text{ or } \frac{1}{2}$$

$\lambda' = \frac{3}{2} \Rightarrow e_2 = e_1$ and the 1. principal component is $\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix}$

which explain $\frac{3}{2} = 75\%$ of $\text{Var}(X_1 + X_2)$

(2)

$$2a). \quad F_{obs} = \frac{\frac{SSR}{3}}{\frac{SSE}{14}} = 69.12.$$

$P(F_{3,14} \geq 69.12) = 1.236 \cdot 10^{-8}$ shows that the regression is significant.

Multiple R-squared = $\frac{SSR}{SSY}$ and tells how much of the variation in the response that is explained by the model.

$$S = \sqrt{\frac{SSE}{14}} = 19.63 \Rightarrow SSE = (19.63)^2 \cdot 14 = 5394.72.$$

$$\text{From } F_{obs} \text{ we have } SSR = \frac{SSE \cdot 69.12 \cdot 3}{14} = (19.63)^2 \cdot 69.12 \cdot 3 = 79903.46$$

$$b) \quad SSE^2 = (14.59)^2 \cdot 12 = 2554.42.$$

$$E(Y) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 p_1 + \beta_2 z_1 + \beta_3 z_3 + \beta_4 p_1 z_1 + \beta_5 p_1 z_2$$

$$H_0: \beta_3 = \beta_5 = 0$$

$$H_1: \text{minst } \beta_i \neq 0,$$

$$F_{2,12} = \frac{\frac{SSR(FM) - SSR(RM)}{2}}{\frac{SSE(FM)}{12}} = \frac{\frac{SSE(RM) - SSE(FM)}{2}}{\frac{SSE(FM)}{12}}$$

$$= \frac{5394.72 - 2554.42}{2} \cdot \frac{12}{2554.42} = 6.67 > 3.89 = F_{0.05}(2,12) \text{ i.e.}$$

at least one of the terms with z_2 should be in the model

(3)

$$c) \quad E(Y) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 p_1 + \beta_2 z_1 + \beta_3 p_1 z_1 + \beta_4 p_1 z_2$$

$$H_0: \beta_4 = 0$$

$$H_1: \beta_4 \neq 0,$$

$$t_{obs} = 3.16, \quad P(|T_{1,3}| \geq 3.16) = 0.00755 \text{ which implies}$$

that $p_1 z_2$ is significant ~~and~~ at a 5% level given the other variables.

Polymer 3 $z_1 = z_2 = 0$

$$\hat{y} = -208.98 + 60.31 p_1$$

Polymer 2 $z_1 = 0, \quad z_2 = 1$

$$\hat{y} = -208.98 + 60.31 p_1 + 3.58 p_1$$

$$= -208.98 + 63.89 p_1.$$

Polymer 1

$$\hat{y} = -208.98 + 60.31 p_1 + 248.395 - 20.05 p_1$$

$$= 39.415 + 40.16 p_1.$$

3a) $\hat{\beta} = (X^t X)^{-1} X^t (X\beta + \underline{\varepsilon}) = \underline{\beta} + (X^t X)^{-1} X^t \underline{\varepsilon}$

such that $\hat{\beta} - \underline{\beta} = (X^t X)^{-1} X^t \underline{\varepsilon}$

$Cov(\hat{\beta}) = E[(\hat{\beta} - \underline{\beta})(\hat{\beta} - \underline{\beta})^t] = E[(X^t X)^{-1} X^t \underline{\varepsilon} \underline{\varepsilon}^t X (X^t X)^{-1}] = \sigma^2 (X^t X)^{-1}$

$\underline{e} = \underline{y} - X\hat{\beta} = \underline{y} - X(X^t X)^{-1} X^t \underline{y} = (\underline{I} - H)\underline{y}$

$= (\underline{I} - H)(\underline{y} - X\underline{\beta}) = (\underline{I} - H)\underline{\varepsilon}$ since $(\underline{I} - H)(\underline{y} - X\underline{\beta}) = (\underline{I} - H)\underline{y} - X\underline{\beta} + X\underline{\beta}$

$= (\underline{I} - H)\underline{y}$

b) $SS_E = \underline{e}^t \underline{e} = \underline{\varepsilon}^t (\underline{I} - H)^t (\underline{I} - H) \underline{\varepsilon} = \underline{\varepsilon}^t (\underline{I} - H) \underline{\varepsilon}$ since $(\underline{I} - H)$ is symmetric and idempotent.

$E[SS_E] = tr[(\underline{I} - H) \cdot \sigma^2 \underline{I}] + \underline{0}^t (\underline{I} - H) \underline{0} = \sigma^2 tr(\underline{I} - H)$

$= \sigma^2 (tr(\underline{I}) - tr(H)) = \sigma^2 (m - rank(H)) = \sigma^2 (m - (k+1))$

$\hat{\sigma}^2 = SSE / (m - k - 1)$

c) $\frac{SSE}{\sigma^2} = \frac{\underline{\varepsilon}^t (\underline{I} - H) \underline{\varepsilon}}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2(rank(\underline{I} - H))$ since $\underline{\varepsilon} \sim N(\underline{0}, \sigma^2 \underline{I})$

$rank(\underline{I} - H) = tr(\underline{I} - H)$ since $\underline{I} - H$ is idempotent.

$tr(\underline{I} - H) = m - k - 1.$

$Cov(\hat{\beta}, \underline{e}) = E[(X^t X)^{-1} X^t \underline{\varepsilon} \underline{\varepsilon}^t (\underline{I} - H)] = (X^t X)^{-1} X^t \sigma^2 \underline{I} (\underline{I} - H)$

$= \sigma^2 (X^t X)^{-1} X^t (\underline{I} - H) = \sigma^2 [(X^t X)^{-1} X^t - (X^t X)^{-1} X^t X (X^t X)^{-1} X^t] = \sigma^2 \underline{0}$

Since $\hat{\beta}$ and \underline{e} are independent it follows that $\hat{\beta}$ and $\underline{e}^t \underline{e}$ also are independent.