Page 1 of 5

NTNU Noregs teknisk-naturvitskaplege universitet Fakultet for informasjonsteknologi, matematikk og elektroteknikk Institutt for matematiske fag



English Contact person: John Tyssedal 73593534/41645376

Exam in TMA4267 Linear Statistical Models Saturday June 5. 2010 Time 09.00-13.00

Permitted aids: A yellow stamped A-5 sheet with your own handwritten notes. Tabeller og formler i statistikk (Tapir forlag). K. Rottman: Matematisk formelsamling. Calculator HP30S or Citizen SR-270X.

Problem 1

Let
$$\boldsymbol{X} = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \\ X_3 \end{bmatrix} \sim N_3(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$$
 where $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ -3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -3/2 \\ 0 & -3/2 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$

a) Find the distribution of $X_1 + X_2 + X_3$ and of X_2 given $X_1 = x_1$ and $X_3 = x_3$

Help (For
$$\boldsymbol{X} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{X}_1 \\ \boldsymbol{X}_2 \end{bmatrix} \sim N \begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\mu}_1 \\ \boldsymbol{\mu}_2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} \\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$
), we have
 $\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{X}_1 | \boldsymbol{X}_2 = \boldsymbol{x}_2 \end{pmatrix} \sim N \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\mu}_1 + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{x}_2 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2), \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} \end{pmatrix}$

b) Find the eigenvalues and the eigenvectors of Σ .

Determine a 3×3 matrix \boldsymbol{A} such that for $\boldsymbol{Y} = \begin{bmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ Y_3 \end{bmatrix} = \boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{X}$, Y_1, Y_2 and Y_3 are independent.

Problem 2

A study was conducted to determine whether lifestyle change could replace medication in reducing blood pressure among hypertensives (people with very high blood pressure). The factors considered were

- H = Healthy diet with an exercise program
- M = Medication
- N = No intervention.

12 people with very high blood pressure participated in the experiment. Four of these (randomly selected) were put on a healthy diet and followed an exercise program, four were given medication and the rest got no treatment. The response, Y, is the change in blood pressure after some period. The data is given in the table below

Н	М	Ν
-32	-11	-6
-21	-19	5
-26	-23	-11
-16	-5	14

Assume that a model for the response is given by:

$$Y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \varepsilon_{ij}, i = 1,2,3, j = 1,2,3,4$$
 where $\varepsilon_{ij} \sim N(0,\sigma^2), i = 1,2,3, j = 1,2,3,4$ and

independent.

Below is given some commands and output from the statistical computer software R.

```
> summary(aov(y~treat))
            Df Sum Sq Mean Sq F value Pr(>F)
             2 1198.17 599.08 7.5647 0.01182 *
treat
Residuals
             9 712.75
                        79.19
-> treatdat=data.frame(y,treat)
> TukeyHSD(aov(y~treat, treatdat))
  Tukey multiple comparisons of means
    95% family-wise confidence level
Fit: aov(formula = y ~ treat, data = treatdat)
$treat
     diff
                lwr
                         upr
                                 p adj
М-Н 9.25 -8.319065 26.81906 0.3489386
N-H 24.25 6.680935 41.81906 0.0097708
N-M 15.00 -2.569065 32.56906 0.0941763
___
     a) Use this output to do the following:
```

```
Test H_0: \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = 0 against H_1: at least one \alpha_i, i = 1, 2, 3 is different from 0.
```

Use a 5% level of significance.

Calculate estimates for α_1, α_2 and α_3 .

Is there any form of treatment (diet and exercise and/or medication) that significantly differs from no intervention? Explain your answer.

The pretreatment body mass index $(BMI = \frac{weight}{(height)^2})$ was also calculated. In order to find out if BMI had any influence it was decided to perform a regression analysis. Therefore three regression variables x1, x2 and x3, were constructed, and the response values are now labeled as y_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, 12$. The response values and regression variables are given below:

<i>i</i> :	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
y_i :	-32	-21	-26	-16	-11	-19	-23	-5	-6	5	-11	14
$x1_i$:	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
$x 2_i$:	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1
<i>x</i> 3 _{<i>i</i>} (BMI):	27.3	22.1	26.1	27.8	19.2	26.1	28.6	23	28.1	25.3	26.7	22.3

Some output from a regression analysis performed with R is given below:

```
> lm2=lm(y ~x1+x2+x3)
> summary(lm2)
Call:
lm(formula = y ~ x1 + x2 + x3)
```

```
Coefficients:

Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)

(Intercept) 22.4999 20.5491 1.095 0.30541

x1 6.3846 5.3386 1.196 0.26597

x2 23.8470 5.1926 4.593 0.00177 **

x3 -1.7909 0.7829 -2.287 0.05147.
```

Residual standard error: 7.339 on 8 degrees of freedom Multiple R-squared: 0.7745, Adjusted R-squared: 0.6899 F-statistic: 9.159 on 3 and 8 DF, p-value: 0.005759

b)

What null-hypothesis is tested with the F-statistics given above? What will be the conclusion using a 5% level of significance?

Use the output to calculate
$$\sum_{i=1}^{12} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$$
, $\sum_{i=1}^{12} (\hat{y}_i - \overline{y}_i)^2$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{12} (y_i - \overline{y})^2$.

An estimated model without the variable x1, gave the following output from R.

```
> lm2=lm(y~x2+x3)
> summary(lm2)
Coefficients:
           Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept)
             31.190 19.675 1.585 0.14738
             20.781
                       4.622 4.496 0.00150 **
x2
             -2.011
                        0.779 -2.581 0.02965 *
xЗ
___
Signif. codes: 0 `***' 0.001 `**' 0.01 `*' 0.05 `.' 0.1 ` ' 1
Residual standard error: 7.513 on 9 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.7342,
                              Adjusted R-squared: 0.6751
F-statistic: 12.43 on 2 and 9 DF, p-value: 0.002574
```

c) Perform both a t-test and a F-test to test whether x1 can explain a significant portion of the variation in the response given that x2 and x3 are in the model. Use a 5% level of significance.
Does this model provide any additional insight into the treatment of high blood pressure compared to the analysis performed in 2a)? Explain your answer.

Problem 3

Let Y be a response variable, x a regression variable and ε a random variable of errors. α and β are coefficients.

 a) Decide for each of the models i), ii) and iii) if they are linear regression models or not and eventually what transformations is needed in order to have a linear regression model. Explain your answer.

Page 5 of 5

i)
$$Y = x^{\beta} \cdot \varepsilon$$

ii)
$$Y = \alpha + \beta \sqrt{x + \varepsilon}$$

iii)
$$Y = \frac{x}{\alpha + (\beta + \varepsilon)x}$$

Now consider the linear regression model written in matrix form $Y = X\beta + \varepsilon$ where Y is a $n \times 1$ vector of random variables, X a $n \times (k+1)$ matrix and β a $(k+1) \times 1$ vector of parameters. Also

assume
$$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \sim N(0, \sigma^2 \boldsymbol{I})$$
. Further let $\boldsymbol{J} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\boldsymbol{H} = \boldsymbol{X} (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^T$.

b) Show that H and I - H are idempotent matrices. Let $\hat{Y} = X\hat{\beta}$ where $\hat{\beta}$ is the least square estimator of β . Show that \hat{Y} and $Y - \hat{Y}$ are independent.

c) The sum of squares for residuals $SS_E = \mathbf{Y}^t (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H}) \mathbf{Y}$. Explain why $\frac{SS_E}{\sigma^2}$ is $\chi^2 (n - k - 1)$. Under the assumption $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = \cdots \beta_k = 0$ it can be shown that $\frac{SS_R}{\sigma^2} = \frac{\mathbf{Y}^t (\mathbf{H} - \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{J}) \mathbf{Y}}{\sigma^2}$ also is χ^2 -fordelt. Argue why $\frac{SS_R/k}{SS_E/(n - k - 1)}$ than has a F-distribution with k and

n-k-1 degrees of freedom. (Hint. You can use that H1 = 1 if 1 is a vector of 1's).