## Problem 1

All answers must be justified: if "no" why, if "yes" give an example.
a) Does there exist a random vector having the following matrix as its covariance matrix?

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Sigma_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right], \Sigma_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right], \Sigma_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right], \\
\Sigma_{4}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right], \Sigma_{5}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right], \Sigma_{6}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 / 3 & 1 / 2 \\
1 / 2 & 1 & 1 / 3 \\
1 / 3 & 1 / 2 & 1
\end{array}\right], \\
\Sigma_{7}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right], \Sigma_{8}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 2 & 1 \\
2 & 2 & 2 \\
1 & 2 & 1
\end{array}\right], \Sigma_{9}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
2 & -1 & 0 \\
-1 & 2 & -1 \\
0 & -1 & 2
\end{array}\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

b) Do there exist two random vectors having the following matrix as their covariance matrix?

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Sigma_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right], \Sigma_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right], \Sigma_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right], \\
\Sigma_{4}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right], \Sigma_{5}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right], \Sigma_{6}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

## Problem 2

a) Give an example of a bivariate random vector $X=\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)^{T}$ and a bivariate vector $a$ such that both $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are normally distributed but $a^{T} X$ is not normal. Do there exist such vectors $X$ and $a$ under additional condition that $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are independent?
b) Does the answer to the last question change if "independent" is replaced by "uncorrelated"? Consider the following example and make analysis. Let $X_{1} \sim N(0,1)$, a random variable $U$ does not depend on $X_{1}$, and $P(U=1)=P(U=-1)=1 / 2$. Finally $X_{2}=U X_{1}$.
c) Thus. Are the following two conditions equivalent? Condition 1: $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are normal. Condition 2: $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ is normal.

## Problem 3

The following data are given:

| $i$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x_{i}$ | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 4 |
| $y_{i}$ | 14 | 23 | 13 | 5 | 24 | 13 | 39 | 39 | 59 | 58 | 23 | 12 | 23 | 57 | 39 |

A multiple linear regression model is fitted, where the expected value of the response $y$ is a second order polynomial in $x$. More precisely, the assumed model is:

$$
y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{i}+\beta_{2} x_{i}^{2}+\epsilon_{i}
$$

for $i=1, \ldots, 15$, where $\epsilon_{1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{15}$ are independent and $N\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right)$. R output is given below.

Call:
$\operatorname{lm}(f o r m u l a=y \sim x 1+x 2)$

Residuals:

| Min | 1Q | Median | 3Q | Max |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| -0.7786 | -0.4026 | -0.1349 | 0.4655 | 1.2074 |

## Coefficients:

Estimate Std. Error t value $\operatorname{Pr}(>|t|)$

| (Intercept) | 3.16495 | 0.95841 | 3.302 | $0.00631 * *$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| x1 | 0.72854 | 0.58319 | 1.249 | 0.23540 |
| x2 | 2.04910 | 0.08128 | 25.210 | $9.21 \mathrm{e}-12$ *** |

---
Signif. codes: $0{ }^{\prime} * * * ' 0.001$ '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
Residual standard error: 0.6168 on 12 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.9993, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9992
F-statistic: 8664 on 2 and $12 \mathrm{DF}, \mathrm{p}$-value: < $2.2 \mathrm{e}-16$
a) Comment briefly on the model fit. Calculate SSE, SSR and SST.
b) How can man find diagonal elements of the matrix $\left(X^{T} X\right)^{-1}$, using the R output, where $X$ is the design matrix? Calculate these diagonal elements (using only the R output!).

## Problem 4

A multiple linear regression model is considered. It is assumed that

$$
Y_{i}=\beta_{1} x_{i 1}+\beta_{2} x_{i 2}+\beta_{3} x_{i 3}+\epsilon_{i},
$$

where $\epsilon$-s are independent and have the same normal distribution with zero expectation and unknown variance $\sigma^{2} .100$ measurements are made, i.e. $i=1,2, \ldots, 100$. The explanatory variables take the following values: $x_{i 1}=2$ for $1 \leq i \leq 25$ and 0 otherwise, $x_{i 2}=\sqrt{2}$ for $26 \leq i \leq 75$ and 0 otherwise, $x_{i 3}=2$ for $76 \leq i \leq 100$ and 0 otherwise.
a) Let $\hat{\beta}_{1}, \hat{\beta}_{2}, \hat{\beta}_{3}$ be least-square estimators of $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{3}$. Prove that in the considered case $\hat{\beta}_{1}, \hat{\beta}_{2}, \hat{\beta}_{3}$ are independent, and

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\beta}_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\beta}_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Var}\left(\hat{\beta}_{3}\right) .
$$

Do these properties hold in the general case? If not, give counterexamples.
b) Perform a test for

$$
H_{0}: \beta_{1}+\beta_{3}=2 \beta_{2}
$$

vs.

$$
H_{1}: \beta_{1}+\beta_{3} \neq 2 \beta_{2} .
$$

The significance level is 0.05 . The least-squares estimates of $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}$ and $\beta_{3}$ are 0.9812 1.8851 and 3.4406 , respectively. The unbiased estimate of the variance $\sigma^{2}$ is 3.27 .
c) Three hypotheses

$$
\begin{gathered}
H_{0}: \beta_{1}=1 \text { vs. } H_{1}: \beta_{1} \neq 1 \\
H_{0}: \beta_{1}+\beta_{2}=3 \text { vs. } H_{1}: \beta_{1}+\beta_{2} \neq 3
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
H_{0}: \beta_{1}+\beta_{2}+\beta_{3}=5 \text { vs. } H_{1}: \beta_{1}+\beta_{2}+\beta_{3} \neq 5
$$

are tested simulteneously. Probability of at least one Type I error must not be greater than 0.05 . One of the following two methods can be used: the Bonferrony method and the S̆idák method. Which one do you choose? Why? Which null hypotheses are rejected if the $P$-values are given in the table below? Why?

| $H_{0}$ | $\beta_{1}=1$ | $\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}=3$ | $\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}+\beta_{3}=5$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $P$-value | 0.2317 | 0.5134 | 0.0012 |

## Problem 5

The yield of a chemical process was studied in a pilot experiment. The following factors were considered:

| Factor | Factor level |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | -1 | 1 |
| A Amount of active compound | 4 mol | 5 mol |
| B Acidity, pH | 6 | 7 |
| C Reaction time | 2 hours | 4 hours |
| D Filtering (first pass) | none | after $1 / 2$ hour |
| E Filtering (second pass) | none | after 1 hour |

A fractional $2^{5-2}$ experiment was performed, based on a full $2^{3}$ experiment with factors $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}$ and generators $D=A B$ and $E=A C$.

The response $Y$ was defined as yield measured relative to a theoretical maximum. The responses $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{8}$ of the 8 experiments are assumed to be independent and normally distributed with the same variance $\sigma^{2}$.

The design and the 8 responses are presented in the table below

| Experiment | A | B | C | D | E | $Y$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | - | - | - | + | + | 69.3 |
| 2 | + | - | - | - | - | 70.8 |
| 3 | - | + | - | - | + | 71.3 |
| 4 | + | + | - | + | - | 73.2 |
| 5 | - | - | + | + | - | 77.5 |
| 6 | + | - | + | - | + | 79.3 |
| 7 | - | + | + | - | - | 88.9 |
| 8 | + | + | + | + | + | 91.2 |

a) What are the defining relations and what is the resolution of the design in this Problem? Estimate the effect of the main factor A and effects of interactions BD, CE and ABCDE. Compare these estimated effects. Comment the results.

