

and $\underline{x}^T \underline{A} \underline{x} = \lambda_1 \underbrace{\underline{x}^T \underline{e}_1 \underline{e}_1^T \underline{x}}_{y_1} + \lambda_2 \underline{x}^T \underline{e}_2 \underline{e}_2^T \underline{x} = \lambda_1 y_1^2 + \lambda_2 y_2^2$

and $\underline{x}^T \underline{A} \underline{x} = c^2$ is the equation for an ellipse in y_1 and y_2

$$\underline{x} = c \lambda_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} \underline{e}_1 \Rightarrow \begin{cases} y_1 = c \lambda_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ y_2 = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\underline{x} = c \lambda_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} \underline{e}_2 \Rightarrow \begin{cases} y_1 = 0 \\ y_2 = c \lambda_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{cases}$$

are points on the ellipse $\lambda_1 y_1^2 + \lambda_2 y_2^2 = c^2$

such that the length of the half axes are

$c \lambda_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and $c \lambda_2^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. In general $(\underline{x} - \underline{\mu})^T \underline{B} (\underline{x} - \underline{\mu})$ gives the same

distance, c^2 , for all points that lie on an ellipsoid with half axes given by $c \lambda_i^{-\frac{1}{2}} \underline{e}_i$.

The multivariate normal density is constant on surfaces

where $(\underline{x} - \underline{\mu})^T \underline{\Sigma}^{-1} (\underline{x} - \underline{\mu})$ is constant i.e. for all

$\underline{x}$ such that $(\underline{x} - \underline{\mu})^T \underline{\Sigma}^{-1} (\underline{x} - \underline{\mu}) = c^2$.

Theorem (corollary 5.2 in HS). Assume $\underline{x} \sim N(\underline{\mu}, \underline{\Sigma})$.

Then $\underline{A}\underline{x}$ and $\underline{B}\underline{x}$ are independent if and only if $\underline{A}\underline{\Sigma}\underline{B}^T = \underline{0}$

Note. $\underline{A}\underline{\Sigma}\underline{B}^T = \text{cov}(\underline{A}\underline{x}, \underline{B}\underline{x})$

$\Rightarrow \underline{A}\underline{x}$ and $\underline{B}\underline{x}$ independent $\Rightarrow \text{cov}(\underline{A}\underline{x}, \underline{B}\underline{x}) = \underline{A}\underline{\Sigma}\underline{B}^T = \underline{0}$

$$\Leftarrow \begin{bmatrix} \underline{A} \\ \underline{B} \end{bmatrix} \underline{x} \sim N\left(\begin{bmatrix} \underline{A} \\ \underline{B} \end{bmatrix} \underline{\mu}, \begin{bmatrix} \underline{A} \\ \underline{B} \end{bmatrix} \underline{\Sigma} \begin{bmatrix} \underline{A}^T & \underline{B}^T \end{bmatrix}\right), \quad \begin{bmatrix} \underline{A} \\ \underline{B} \end{bmatrix} \underline{\Sigma} \begin{bmatrix} \underline{A}^T & \underline{B}^T \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{A}\underline{\Sigma}\underline{A}^T & \underline{A}\underline{\Sigma}\underline{B}^T \\ \underline{B}\underline{\Sigma}\underline{A}^T & \underline{B}\underline{\Sigma}\underline{B}^T \end{bmatrix}$$

with $\underline{A} \underline{\Sigma} \underline{B}^T = \underline{0}$ we get $\text{cov} \left[\begin{bmatrix} \underline{A} \\ \underline{B} \end{bmatrix} \underline{X} \right] = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{A} \underline{\Sigma} \underline{A}^T & \underline{0} \\ \underline{0} & \underline{B} \underline{\Sigma} \underline{B}^T \end{bmatrix}$

$$\underline{\Sigma}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} (\underline{A} \underline{\Sigma} \underline{A}^T)^{-1} & \underline{0} \\ \underline{0} & (\underline{B} \underline{\Sigma} \underline{B}^T)^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\left[(\underline{A}(\underline{x} - \underline{\mu}))^T (\underline{B}(\underline{x} - \underline{\mu}))^T \right] \underline{\Sigma}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \underline{A}(\underline{x} - \underline{\mu}) \\ \underline{B}(\underline{x} - \underline{\mu}) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \left[\underline{A}(\underline{x} - \underline{\mu}) \right]^T \left[\underline{A} \underline{\Sigma} \underline{A}^T \right]^{-1} \left[\underline{A}(\underline{x} - \underline{\mu}) \right] + \left[\underline{B}(\underline{x} - \underline{\mu}) \right]^T \left[\underline{B} \underline{\Sigma} \underline{B}^T \right]^{-1} \left[\underline{B}(\underline{x} - \underline{\mu}) \right]$$

$$|\underline{\Sigma}| = |\underline{A} \underline{\Sigma} \underline{A}^T| |\underline{B} \underline{\Sigma} \underline{B}^T|$$

and the densities for $\underline{A}\underline{x}$ and $\underline{B}\underline{x}$ factorize which shows independence.

Corollary

Let $\underline{X} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{X}_1 \text{ } q \times 1 \\ \underline{X}_2 \text{ } (p-q) \times 1 \end{bmatrix}$ Then $\underline{X}_1$ and $\underline{X}_2$ are independent $\Leftrightarrow \text{cov}(\underline{X}_1, \underline{X}_2) = \underline{0}$

Proof. Let $\underline{A}_{q \times p} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{I}_{q \times q} & \underline{0}_{q \times (p-q)} \end{bmatrix}$ and

$$\underline{B}_{(p-q) \times p} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{0}_{(p-q) \times q} & \underline{I}_{(p-q) \times (p-q)} \end{bmatrix}$$

Then $\underline{X}_1 = \underline{A}\underline{X}$ and $\underline{X}_2 = \underline{B}\underline{X}$ and $\underline{X}_1$ and $\underline{X}_2$ are independent $\Leftrightarrow \underline{A} \underline{\Sigma} \underline{B}^T = \underline{0} \Leftrightarrow \text{cov}(\underline{X}_1, \underline{X}_2) = \underline{0}$

Theorem 5.1 and 5.3 (HS)

Let $\underline{X} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{X}_1 \text{ } q \times 1 \\ \underline{X}_2 \text{ } (p-q) \times 1 \end{bmatrix} \sim N_p(\underline{\mu}, \underline{\Sigma})$ where $\underline{\mu} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{\mu}_1 \\ \underline{\mu}_2 \end{bmatrix}$

and $\underline{\Sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{\Sigma}_{11} & \underline{\Sigma}_{12} \\ \underline{\Sigma}_{21} & \underline{\Sigma}_{22} \end{bmatrix}$ with $|\underline{\Sigma}_{22}| > 0$

then

a) $\underline{X}_1 - \underline{\mu}_1 - \underline{\Sigma}_{12} \underline{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1} (\underline{X}_2 - \underline{\mu}_2)$ and $(\underline{X}_2 - \underline{\mu}_2)$ are independent.

b) $\underline{X}_1 | \underline{X}_2 = \underline{x}_2 \sim N_q \left(\underline{\mu}_1 + \underline{\Sigma}_{12} \underline{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1} (\underline{x}_2 - \underline{\mu}_2), \underline{\Sigma}_{11} - \underline{\Sigma}_{12} \underline{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1} \underline{\Sigma}_{21} \right)$

Proof Define $\underline{A}_{p \times p} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{I}_{q \times q} & -\underline{\Sigma}_{12} \underline{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1} (q \times (p-q)) \\ \underline{0} & \underline{I}_{(p-q) \times (p-q)} \end{bmatrix}$

Then $\underline{A}(\underline{X} - \underline{\mu}) = \underline{A} \begin{bmatrix} \underline{X}_1 - \underline{\mu}_1 \\ \underline{X}_2 - \underline{\mu}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{X}_1 - \underline{\mu}_1 - \underline{\Sigma}_{12} \underline{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1} (\underline{X}_2 - \underline{\mu}_2) \\ \underline{X}_2 - \underline{\mu}_2 \end{bmatrix} \sim N \left(\begin{bmatrix} \underline{0} \\ \underline{0} \end{bmatrix}, \underline{\Sigma}^* \right)$

where $\underline{\Sigma}^* = \underline{A} \underline{\Sigma} \underline{A}^T = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{I} & -\underline{\Sigma}_{12} \underline{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1} \\ \underline{0} & \underline{I} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \underline{\Sigma}_{11} & \underline{\Sigma}_{12} \\ \underline{\Sigma}_{21} & \underline{\Sigma}_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \underline{I} & \underline{0} \\ (-\underline{\Sigma}_{12} \underline{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1})^T & \underline{I} \end{bmatrix}$

$= \begin{bmatrix} \underline{\Sigma}_{11} - \underline{\Sigma}_{12} \underline{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1} \underline{\Sigma}_{21} & \underline{0} \\ \underline{0} & \underline{\Sigma}_{22} \end{bmatrix}$

This shows that $\underline{Y} = (\underline{X}_1 - \underline{\mu}_1) - \underline{\Sigma}_{12} \underline{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1} (\underline{X}_2 - \underline{\mu}_2)$ and $\underline{X}_2 - \underline{\mu}_2$ are independent and that $\underline{Y} \sim N_q(\underline{0}, \underline{\Sigma}_{11} - \underline{\Sigma}_{12} \underline{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1} \underline{\Sigma}_{21})$

In particular for a given value of $\underline{x}_2, \underline{x}_2$

$\underline{Y} | \underline{X}_2 = \underline{x}_2$ is also $N_q(\underline{0}, \underline{\Sigma}_{11} - \underline{\Sigma}_{12} \underline{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1} \underline{\Sigma}_{21})$

and $\underline{X}_1 | \underline{X}_2 = \underline{x}_2 = \underline{Y} | \underline{X}_2 = \underline{x}_2 + \underline{\mu}_1 + \underline{\Sigma}_{12} \underline{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1} (\underline{x}_2 - \underline{\mu}_2)$

is $N_q \left(\underline{\mu}_1 + \underline{\Sigma}_{12} \underline{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1} (\underline{x}_2 - \underline{\mu}_2), \underline{\Sigma}_{11} - \underline{\Sigma}_{12} \underline{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1} \underline{\Sigma}_{21} \right)$

Theorem 4.8. Let $\underline{X}$ be a p -variate normal distributed random vector with $E[\underline{X}] = \underline{\mu}$ and $\text{Cov}(\underline{X}) = \underline{\Sigma}$. The moment generating function is given by $M_{\underline{X}}(\underline{t}) = e^{\underline{t}^T \underline{\mu} + \frac{1}{2} \underline{t}^T \underline{\Sigma} \underline{t}}$

Proof. From Cramer-Wold $M_{\underline{X}}(\underline{t}) = M_{\underline{t}^T \underline{X}}(1)$

$$M_{\underline{t}^T \underline{X}}(s) = e^{s\mu + \frac{1}{2} s^2 \sigma^2} \quad \text{where } \mu = E[\underline{t}^T \underline{X}] = \underline{t}^T \underline{\mu} \text{ and } \sigma^2 = \text{Var}[\underline{t}^T \underline{X}] = \underline{t}^T \underline{\Sigma} \underline{t}. \quad \text{We get } M_{\underline{X}}(\underline{t}) = e^{\underline{t}^T \underline{\mu} + \frac{1}{2} \underline{t}^T \underline{\Sigma} \underline{t}}$$

let $\underline{A}\underline{X}$ and $\underline{B}\underline{X}$ be independent, $\underline{X}$ multivariate ~~norm~~

normal distributed and let $\underline{Y} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{A} \\ \underline{B} \end{bmatrix} \underline{X}$

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\underline{Y}}(\underline{t}) &= e^{\underline{t}^T \begin{bmatrix} \underline{A} \\ \underline{B} \end{bmatrix} \underline{\mu} + \frac{1}{2} \underline{t}^T \begin{bmatrix} \underline{A} \underline{\Sigma} \underline{A}^T & \underline{0} \\ \underline{0} & \underline{B} \underline{\Sigma} \underline{B}^T \end{bmatrix} \underline{t}} \\ &= e^{\begin{bmatrix} \underline{t}_1^T & \underline{t}_2^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \underline{A} \\ \underline{B} \end{bmatrix} \underline{\mu} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \underline{t}_1 & \underline{t}_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \underline{A} \underline{\Sigma} \underline{A}^T & \underline{0} \\ \underline{0} & \underline{B} \underline{\Sigma} \underline{B}^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \underline{t}_1 \\ \underline{t}_2 \end{bmatrix}} \\ &= e^{\underline{t}_1^T \underline{A} \underline{\mu} + \frac{1}{2} \underline{t}_1^T \underline{A} \underline{\Sigma} \underline{A}^T \underline{t}_1} \cdot e^{\underline{t}_2^T \underline{B} \underline{\mu} + \frac{1}{2} \underline{t}_2^T \underline{B} \underline{\Sigma} \underline{B}^T \underline{t}_2} \end{aligned}$$

as the moment generating function of independent $\underline{A}\underline{X}$ and $\underline{B}\underline{X}$

Estimation of $\underline{\mu}$ and $\underline{\Sigma}$ in a multivariate normal distribution (HS 3.3, 4, 5)

Univariate $X_1, \dots, X_n$ random sample

Estimators $\bar{X} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{n}$, $S^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2$

Multivariate

Let $\underline{X} = [\underline{X}_1, \dots, \underline{X}_n]^T$ be a random sample from a p -variate $N(\underline{\mu}, \underline{\Sigma})$

~~and let~~ $\underline{X} = \begin{bmatrix} X_{11} & X_{12} & \dots & X_{1p} \\ X_{21} & X_{22} & \dots & X_{2p} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ X_{n1} & X_{n2} & \dots & X_{np} \end{bmatrix}_{n \times p}$

How shall we estimate $\underline{\mu}$ and $\underline{\Sigma}$

$\hat{\underline{\mu}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \underline{X}_i = \bar{\underline{X}}_{p \times 1}$, $\hat{\underline{\Sigma}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\underline{X}_i - \bar{\underline{X}})(\underline{X}_i - \bar{\underline{X}})^T$
 $\uparrow$ or $(n-1)$

We have $\bar{\underline{X}} = \frac{1}{n} \underline{X}^T \underline{1}$ where $\underline{1}^T = [1, 1, \dots, 1]_{1 \times n}$

and $\frac{1}{n} \underline{1} \underline{1}^T \underline{X} = \frac{1}{n} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \underline{X} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{X}_1 & \bar{X}_2 & \dots & \bar{X}_p \\ \bar{X}_1 & \bar{X}_2 & \dots & \bar{X}_p \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \bar{X}_1 & \bar{X}_2 & \dots & \bar{X}_p \end{bmatrix}_{n \times p}$

such that $\underline{X} - \frac{1}{n} \underline{1} \underline{1}^T \underline{X} = \begin{bmatrix} X_{11} - \bar{X}_1 & \dots & X_{1p} - \bar{X}_p \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ X_{n1} - \bar{X}_1 & \dots & X_{np} - \bar{X}_p \end{bmatrix}_{n \times p}$

$\underline{I} - \frac{1}{n} \underline{1} \underline{1}^T$
 and we can write

~~$\hat{\underline{\Sigma}} = \frac{1}{n} \underline{X}^T \underline{X}$~~ $\hat{\underline{\Sigma}} = \frac{\underline{X}^T (\underline{I} - \frac{1}{n} \underline{1} \underline{1}^T) (\underline{I} - \frac{1}{n} \underline{1} \underline{1}^T) \underline{X}}{n}$