

Diagnostic paradigm:

$P(Y = k | X = x)$ modeled directly

1) Use linear regression: $Y = X\beta + \varepsilon$ $\varepsilon \sim N_n(0, \sigma^2 I)$
 $\mu = E(Y | X)$
 $Y \sim N_n(\mu, \sigma^2 I)$ and $\mu = X\beta$

But, if $Y_i \sim \text{bin}(1, p_i)$ then $E(Y_i) = p_i$, so why not just do

$$\mu_i = p_i = x_i^T \beta$$

in an ordinary linear regression?

2) Logistic regression: ← a version of binary regression
 a special case of Generalized linear models

a) $Y_i \sim \text{bin}(1, p_i)$ random component
 $\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \dots + \beta_r x_{ir}$

b) $\eta_i = x_i^T \beta$ linear predictor (systematic component)

c) link: connecting p_i and $\eta_i = x_i^T \beta$
 $[0, 1]$ \mathbb{R}

Possible links: linear $p_i = x_i^T \beta$
 logistic $p_i = \frac{\exp(x_i^T \beta)}{1 + \exp(x_i^T \beta)} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-x_i^T \beta)}$
 probit $p_i = \Phi(x_i^T \beta)$

Soon: p_i 's estimated by maximum likelihood, but first interpret β .

↑
 with regression the goal is interpretation in addition to making a rule for classification

Q: Let $p_i = \frac{\exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i)}{1 + \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i)}$

How can we interpret what happens if x_i ^{increase} with one unit? → need odds.

$$\text{Odds} = \frac{p_i}{1 - p_i}$$

$$p_i = \frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{\frac{1}{2}} = 1$$

$$p_i = \frac{1}{10} \quad \frac{\frac{1}{10}}{\frac{9}{10}} = \frac{1}{9} = 0.11$$

Why is odds relevant?

$$\eta_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_2 x_{i2} + \beta_3 x_{i3}$$

$$p_i = \frac{\exp(\eta_i)}{1 + \exp(\eta_i)} \iff p_i + p_i \exp(\eta_i) = \exp(\eta_i)$$

$$\exp(\eta_i) = \frac{p_i}{1 - p_i}$$

$$\eta_i = \log\left(\frac{p_i}{1 - p_i}\right)$$

$$\eta_i = \text{logit}(p_i)$$

$$\log\left(\frac{p_i}{1 - p_i}\right) = \underbrace{\left(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_2 x_{i2} + \beta_3 x_{i3}\right)}_{\text{exp}}$$

$$\frac{p_i}{1 - p_i} = \exp(\beta_0) \cdot \exp(\beta_1)^{x_{i1}} \cdot \exp(\beta_2)^{x_{i2}} \cdot \exp(\beta_3)^{x_{i3}}$$

$$\frac{P(Y_i = 1 \mid x_i)}{P(Y_i = 0 \mid x_i)}$$

$$\uparrow x_{i1}, x_{i2}, x_{i3}$$

↑
→ multiplicative model
for the odds

So, now ready for increasing x_{i1} to $x_{i1} + 1$ - keeping all other - x_i 's fixed.

$$\frac{P(Y_i = 1 \mid x_{i1} + 1, x_{i2}, x_{i3})}{P(Y_i = 0 \mid x_{i1} + 1, x_{i2}, x_{i3})} = \frac{\exp(\beta_0) \cdot \exp(\beta_1)^{(x_{i1} + 1)}}{\exp(\beta_2)^{x_{i2}} \cdot \exp(\beta_3)^{x_{i3}}}$$

$$= \exp(\beta_0) \cdot \exp(\beta_1) \cdot \exp(\beta_1)^{x_{i1}} \cdot \exp(\beta_2)^{x_{i2}} \cdot \exp(\beta_3)^{x_{i3}}$$

$$= \frac{P(Y_i=1 | x_{i1}, x_{i2}, x_{i3})}{P(Y_i=0 | x_{i1}, x_{i2}, x_{i3})} \cdot \exp(\beta_1)$$

⇒ odds is multiplied by $\exp(\beta_1)$

You can not use logistic regression if you don't know this!

→ Ex: Default & student

Parameter estimation by maximum likelihood (ML)

scroll to a-b-c = model

$$l(\beta) = \prod_{i=1}^n l_i(\beta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(y_i | \beta) = \prod_{i=1}^n p_i^{y_i} (1-p_i)^{1-y_i}$$

↑
independent
or

$$p_i = \frac{\exp(x_i^T \beta)}{1 + \exp(x_i^T \beta)}$$

↓
ln

$$l(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i \ln p_i + (1-y_i) \ln (1-p_i))$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(y_i \ln \left(\frac{p_i}{1-p_i} \right) + \ln(1-p_i) \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(y_i x_i^T \beta - \ln(1 + \exp(x_i^T \beta)) \right)$$

$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial \beta} \quad [(r+1) \times 1 \text{ vector}] = 0$$

↑
vector

- solve r+1 nonlin eq's
- not closed form soln

use Newton-Raphson (Fisher Scoring)

$$\frac{\partial^2 l}{\partial \beta \partial \beta^T} \quad \text{Hessian}$$

→ summary printout

Inference: asymptotic theory on ML est

TM4295 stat inf

$N \uparrow$
 \rightarrow CT & hyp
 in N -dim.

Evaluation of classifiers

	Pred -	Pred +	total
True -	TN	FP	N negative
True +	FN	TP	P positive
	N^-	P^+	

Sensitivity: $\frac{TP}{P}$ prop. true disease found
 syh gitt syh

Specificity: $\frac{TN}{N}$

→ African Heart disease → confus: 0.5

ROC curve: for all possible cut-offs on classification rule

→ confusion → sens
 spes
 ↓

