

TMA4285 Time series models

Solution to exercise 1, autumn 2018

August 28, 2018

Problem A.4

We can solve this problem using the moment generating function, $M_X(t) = E(e^{tX}), t \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{t}) &= M_{\Sigma^{1/2}\mathbf{Z}+\boldsymbol{\mu}}(\mathbf{t}) = E(e^{\mathbf{t}^T(\Sigma^{1/2}\mathbf{Z}+\boldsymbol{\mu})}) = e^{\mathbf{t}^T\boldsymbol{\mu}} E(e^{\mathbf{t}^T\Sigma^{1/2}\mathbf{Z}}) \\ &= e^{\mathbf{t}^T\boldsymbol{\mu}} M_{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{t}^T\Sigma^{1/2}) = e^{\mathbf{t}^T\boldsymbol{\mu}} e^{\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{t}^T(\Sigma^{1/2})^2\mathbf{t}} = e^{\mathbf{t}^T\boldsymbol{\mu} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{t}^T\Sigma\mathbf{t}}, \end{aligned}$$

which is the moment generating function for a multivariate normal distribution with mean $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ and covariance matrix Σ .

Note that the standard normal multivariate distribution has $M_{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{t}) = e^{\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{t}^T\mathbf{I}\mathbf{t}}$.

Problem A.5

Since \mathbf{Y} is a linear combination of a Gaussian vector \mathbf{X} (a special case of a Gaussian process), \mathbf{Y} is also Gaussian. We specify the mean and covariance matrix of $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{X}$.

$$\begin{aligned} E(\mathbf{Y}) &= E(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{X}) = E(\mathbf{a}) + \mathbf{B}E(\mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{B}\boldsymbol{\mu} \\ Cov(\mathbf{Y}) &= \mathbf{B}Cov(\mathbf{X})\mathbf{B}^T = \mathbf{B}\Sigma\mathbf{B}^T \end{aligned}$$

Problem A.6

Let $\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}_1 \\ \mathbf{X}_2 \end{bmatrix}$, $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\mu}_1 \\ \boldsymbol{\mu}_2 \end{bmatrix}$, and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} \\ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22} \end{bmatrix}$. Let $\mathbf{X} \sim MVN(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$.

Proof proposition A.3.1:

i: $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} = 0 \rightarrow$ independence: If $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} = 0$ then $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12}^T = 0$, and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22} \end{bmatrix}$. Then $M_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{t}) = e^{\mathbf{t}^T \boldsymbol{\mu} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{t}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{t}} = e^{\mathbf{t}_1^T \boldsymbol{\mu}_1 + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{t}_1^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11} \mathbf{t}_1} e^{\mathbf{t}_2^T \boldsymbol{\mu}_2 + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{t}_2^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22} \mathbf{t}_2} = M_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\mathbf{t}_1) M_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\mathbf{t}_2)$, which means that \mathbf{X}_1 and \mathbf{X}_2 are independent.

Independence $\rightarrow \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} = 0$: We can use the same argument going backwards. If we have independence, we must have $M_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{t}) = M_{\mathbf{X}_1}(\mathbf{t}_1) M_{\mathbf{X}_2}(\mathbf{t}_2)$, which is only achievable if $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22} \end{bmatrix}$.

ii: The conditional distribution of $\mathbf{X}_2 | \mathbf{X}_1 = \mathbf{x}_1$ is $\mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_2 + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_1 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_1), \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12})$.

Proof:

Let $\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}$ such that $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}_1$, and let $\mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} -\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11}^{-1} & \mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix}$ such that $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{X} = -\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11}^{-1} \mathbf{X}_1 + \mathbf{X}_2$. Then $\mathbf{X}_2 = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{X} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11}^{-1} \mathbf{X}_1$. $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{X}$ are independent since $\mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\mathbf{B}^T = \mathbf{0}$.

Next, find $E(\mathbf{B}\mathbf{X})$ and $Cov(\mathbf{B}\mathbf{X})$,

$$\begin{aligned} E(\mathbf{B}\mathbf{X}) &= \boldsymbol{\mu}_2 - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_1 \\ Cov(\mathbf{B}\mathbf{X}) &= \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{X}$ are independent,

$$\mathbf{B}\mathbf{X} | (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}_1) = \mathbf{x}_1 \sim \mathbf{B}\mathbf{X} \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_2 - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_1, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12}),$$

Therefore

$$\mathbf{X}_2 | \mathbf{X}_1 = \mathbf{x}_1 \sim \mathbf{B}\mathbf{X} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11}^{-1} \mathbf{X}_1 | \mathbf{X}_1 = \mathbf{x}_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_2 + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_1 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_1), \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12}).$$

Exercise 1.2

a)

Let $X = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} E[(X_{n+1} - f(X))^2|X] &= E[(X_{n+1} - E(X_{n+1}|X) + E(X_{n+1}|X) - f(X))^2|X] = \\ &E[(X_{n+1} - E(X_{n+1}|X))^2|X] + 2E[(X_{n+1} - E(X_{n+1}|X))(E(X_{n+1}|X) - f(X))|X] \\ &+ E[(E(X_{n+1}|X) - f(X))^2|X] = \\ &E[(X_{n+1} - E(X_{n+1}|X))^2|X] + 2(E(X_{n+1}|X) - f(X))E[(X_{n+1} - E(X_{n+1}|X))|X] \\ &+ E[(E(X_{n+1}|X) - f(X))^2|X] = \\ &E[(X_{n+1} - E(X_{n+1}|X))^2|X] + E[(E(X_{n+1}|X) - f(X))^2|X] \geq E[(X_{n+1} - E(X_{n+1}|X))^2|X] \end{aligned}$$

because $E(X_{n+1}|X)$ is a function of X and $E(g(X)X_{n+1}|X) = g(X)E(X_{n+1}|X)$ for any function g such that $E(g(X)X_{n+1})$ exists.

It follows that

$$E[(X_{n+1} - E(X_{n+1}|X))^2|X] \leq E[(X_{n+1} - f(X))^2|X]$$

for any function f . Hence $E[(X_{n+1} - E(X_{n+1}|X))^2|X]$ is minimized when $f(X) = E(X_{n+1}|X)$.

b)

Since

$$\begin{aligned} E[(X_{n+1} - E(X_{n+1}|X))^2] &= E(E[(X_{n+1} - E(X_{n+1}|X))^2|X]) \\ &\leq E(E[(X_{n+1} - f(X))^2|X]) = E[(X_{n+1} - f(X))^2] \end{aligned}$$

it follows immediately that the random variable $f(X)$ that minimizes $E[(X_{n+1} - f(X))^2]$ is again $f(X) = E(X_{n+1}|X)$.

c)

By b) the minimum mean-squared error predictor of X_{n+1} in terms of $X = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ when $X_t \sim IID(\mu, \sigma^2)$ is

$$E(X_{n+1}|X) = E(X_{n+1}) = \mu$$

d)

Suppose that $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i X_i$ is an unbiased estimator for μ , that is, $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 1$. Then

$$E[(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i X_i - \mu)^2] = E[(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i X_i - \bar{X})^2] + 2E[(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i X_i - \bar{X})(\bar{X} - \mu)] + E[(\bar{X} - \mu)^2] \geq E[(\bar{X} - \mu)^2]$$

since the second term is zero: $E[(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i X_i - \bar{X})(\bar{X} - \mu)] = Cov(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i X_i - \bar{X}, \bar{X}) = Cov(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i X_i, \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n} X_i) - Cov(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n} X_i, \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n} X_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha_i}{n} \sigma^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n^2} \sigma^2 = 0$.

e)

Again, suppose that $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i X_i$ is an unbiased estimator for μ , that is, $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} E[(X_{n+1} - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i X_i)^2] &= E[(X_{n+1} - \bar{X})^2] + 2E[(X_{n+1} - \bar{X})(\bar{X} - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i X_i)] + E[(\bar{X} - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i X_i)^2] \\ &\geq E[(X_{n+1} - \bar{X})^2] \end{aligned}$$

since the second term is zero: $Cov(X_{n+1} - \bar{X}, \bar{X} - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i X_i) = -Cov(\bar{X}, \bar{X}) + Cov(\bar{X}, \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i X_i) = 0$ as in d).

f)

$$E(S_{n+1}|S_1, \dots, S_n) = E(S_n + X_{n+1}|S_1, \dots, S_n) = S_n + E(X_{n+1}|S_1, \dots, S_n) = S_n + \mu$$

since X_{n+1} is independent of S_1, \dots, S_n .

Exercise 1.3

i)

$E(X_t)$ is independent of t since the distribution of X_t is independent of t and $E(X_t)$ exists.

ii)

Since $E[X_{t+h}X_t]^2 \leq E[X_{t+h}^2]E[X_t^2]$ for all integers t, h , and the joint distribution of X_{t+h} and X_t is independent of t , it follows that $E[X_{t+h}X_t]$ exists and is independent of t for every integer h .

Combining i) and ii) it follows that X_t is weakly stationary.

Exercise 1.4

a)

$E(X_t) = a$ is independent of t .

$$Cov(X_{t+h}, X_t) = \begin{cases} (b^2 + c^2)\sigma^2 & ; h = 0 \\ 0 & ; h = \pm 1 \\ bc\sigma^2 & ; h = \pm 2 \\ 0 & ; |h| > 2 \end{cases}$$

which is independent of t . That is, X_t is stationary.

b)

$E(X_t) = 0$ is independent of t .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(X_{t+h}, X_t) &= \text{Cov}(Z_1 \cos c(t+h) + Z_2 \sin c(t+h), Z_1 \cos ct + Z_2 \sin ct) \\ &= \sigma^2 (\cos c(t+h) \cos ct + \sin c(t+h) \sin ct) = \sigma^2 \cos ch \end{aligned}$$

which is independent of t . That is, X_t is stationary.

c)

$E(X_t) = 0$ is independent of t .

$$\text{Cov}(X_{t+1}, X_t) = \sigma^2 \cos c(t+1) \sin ct$$

which is not independent of t . That is, X_t is not stationary (except in the special case when c is an integer multiple of 2π).

d)

$E(X_t) = a$ is independent of t .

$$\text{Cov}(X_{t+h}, X_t) = b^2 \sigma^2$$

which is independent of t . That is, X_t is stationary.

e)

$E(X_t) = 0$ is independent of t .

$$\text{Cov}(X_{t+h}, X_t) = \sigma^2 \cos c(t+h) \cos ct$$

which is not independent of t . That is, X_t is not stationary (except in the special case when c is an integer multiple of 2π).

f)

$E(X_t) = 0$ is independent of t .

$$\text{Cov}(X_{t+h}, X_t) = E[X_{t+h}X_t] = E[Z_{t+h}Z_{t+h-1}Z_tZ_{t-1}] = \begin{cases} \sigma^4 & ; h = 0 \\ 0 & ; |h| > 0 \end{cases}$$

which is independent of t . That is, X_t is stationary, and it is seen that in fact $X_t \sim WN(0, \sigma^4)$.

Exercise 1.5

a)

The autocovariance function

$$\gamma_X(h) = \begin{cases} 1 + \theta^2 & ; h = 0 \\ \theta & ; h = \pm 2 \\ 0 & ; \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The autocorrelation function

$$\rho_X(h) = \begin{cases} 1 & ; h = 0 \\ \frac{\theta}{1+\theta^2} & ; h = \pm 2 \\ 0 & ; \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

For $\theta = 0.8$ it is obtained that

$$\gamma_X(h) = \begin{cases} 1.64 & ; h = 0 \\ 0.8 & ; h = \pm 2 \\ 0 & ; \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\rho_X(h) = \begin{cases} 1 & ; h = 0 \\ 0.488 & ; h = \pm 2 \\ 0 & ; \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

b)

Let $\bar{X}_4 = \frac{1}{4}(X_1 + \dots + X_4)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(\bar{X}_4) &= \text{Cov}(\bar{X}_4, \bar{X}_4) = \frac{1}{16} \sum_{i=1}^4 \sum_{j=1}^4 \text{Cov}(X_i, X_j) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}(\gamma_X(0) + \gamma_X(2)) = \frac{1}{4}(1.64 + 0.8) = 0.61 \end{aligned}$$

c)

$$\text{Var}(\bar{X}_4) = \text{Cov}(\bar{X}_4, \bar{X}_4) = \frac{1}{4}(\gamma_X(0) + \gamma_X(2)) = \frac{1}{4}(1.64 - 0.8) = 0.21$$

The negative lag 2 correlation in c) means that positive deviations of X_t from zero tend to be followed two time units later by a compensating negative deviation, resulting in smaller variability in the sample mean than in b) (and also smaller than if the time series X_t were IID(0, 1.64) in which case $\text{Var}(\bar{X}_4) = 0.41$).

Exercise 1.8

a. In order to show that X_t is WN(0,1) two **thing** needs to be verified:

$$b. Cov(X_t, X_{t+h}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } h = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } h \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

a. $E[X_t] = 0$

$$E[X_t] = \begin{cases} E[Z_t] & \text{if } t \text{ is even} \\ E\left[\frac{(Z_{t-1}^2 - 1)}{\sqrt{2}}\right] & \text{if } t \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

$$- E[Z_t] = 0$$

$$- E\left[\frac{(Z_{t-1}^2 - 1)}{\sqrt{2}}\right] = 0 \text{ since } E[Z_{t-1}^2] = 1$$

$$b. Cov(X_t, X_{t+h}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } h = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } h \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

If $h = 0$,

$$Cov(X_t, X_t) = Var(X_t) = \begin{cases} Var(Z_t) & \text{if } t \text{ is even} \\ \frac{1}{2}Var(Z_{t-1}^2 - 1) & \text{if } t \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

$$- Var(Z_t) = 1$$

$$- \frac{1}{2}Var(Z_{t-1}^2 - 1) = 1 \text{ since } Var(Z_{t-1}^2) = 2:$$

$$\begin{aligned} Var(Z_{t-1}^2) &= E(Z_{t-1}^4) - [E(Z_{t-1}^2)]^2 \\ &= 3 - 1 \quad (\text{Remind kurtosis of } N(0,1) \text{ r.v.'s}) \\ &= 2 \end{aligned}$$

If $h \neq 0$, $Cov(X_t, X_{t+h}) = E(X_t X_{t+h})$,

$$E(X_t X_{t+h}) = \begin{cases} E(Z_t Z_{t+h}) & \text{if } t \text{ is even and } t+h \text{ is even} \\ E\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} Z_t (Z_{t+h-1}^2 - 1)\right) & \text{if } t \text{ is even and } t+h \text{ is odd} \\ E\left(\frac{1}{2} (Z_t^2 - 1)(Z_{t+h-1}^2 - 1)\right) & \text{if } t \text{ is odd and } t+h \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

- $E(Z_t Z_{t+h}) = E(Z_t)E(Z_{t+h}) = 0$
- $E\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (Z_t (Z_{t+h-1}^2 - 1))\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} E(Z_t)E(Z_{t+h-1}^2 - 1) = 0$
- $E\left(\frac{1}{2} (Z_{t-1}^2 - 1)(Z_{t+h-1}^2 - 1)\right) = \frac{1}{2} E(Z_{t-1}^2 - 1)E(Z_{t+h-1}^2 - 1) = 0$

In order to determine if X_t is $IID(0, 1)$ we need to see if X_t and X_{t+h} are independent when $h \neq 0$.

Let's assume t is odd, then:

$$E(X_t) = E\left(\frac{Z_{t-1}^2 - 1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) = E\left(\frac{X_{t-1}^2 - 1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)$$

Which means X_t depends on X_{t-1} . Thus, X_t is not $IID(0, 1)$ noise.

b.

If n is odd:

$$E(X_{n+1} | X_1, \dots, X_n) = E(Z_{n+1} | Z_0, Z_2, Z_4, \dots, Z_{n+1}) = 0$$

If n is even:

$$E(X_{n+1} | X_1, \dots, X_n) = E\left(\frac{Z_n^2 - 1}{\sqrt{2}} | Z_0, Z_2, \dots, Z_n\right) = \frac{Z_n^2 - 1}{\sqrt{2}}$$

Chapter 2

Problem 2.1. We find the best linear predictor $\hat{X}_{n+h} = aX_n + b$ of X_{n+h} by finding a and b such that $\mathbb{E}[X_{n+h} - \hat{X}_{n+h}] = 0$ and $\mathbb{E}[(X_{n+h} - \hat{X}_{n+h})X_n] = 0$. We have

$$\mathbb{E}[X_{n+h} - \hat{X}_{n+h}] = \mathbb{E}[X_{n+h} - aX_n - b] = \mathbb{E}[X_{n+h}] - a\mathbb{E}[X_n] - b = \mu(1 - a) - b$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[(X_{n+h} - \hat{X}_{n+h})X_n] &= \mathbb{E}[(X_{n+h} - aX_n - b)X_n] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[X_{n+h}X_n] - a\mathbb{E}[X_n^2] - b\mathbb{E}[X_n] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[X_{n+h}X_n] - \mathbb{E}[X_{n+h}]\mathbb{E}[X_n] + \mathbb{E}[X_{n+h}]\mathbb{E}[X_n] \\ &\quad - a(\mathbb{E}[X_n^2] - \mathbb{E}[X_n]^2 + \mathbb{E}[X_n]^2) - b\mathbb{E}[X_n] \\ &= \text{Cov}(X_{n+h}, X_n) + \mu^2 - a(\text{Cov}(X_n, X_n) + \mu^2) - b\mu \\ &= \gamma(h) + \mu^2 - a(\gamma(0) + \mu^2) - b\mu, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$b = \mu(1 - a), \quad a = \frac{\gamma(h) + \mu^2 - b\mu}{\gamma(0) + \mu^2}.$$

Solving this system of equations we get $a = \gamma(h)/\gamma(0) = \rho(h)$ and $b = \mu(1 - \rho(h))$ i.e. $\hat{X}_{n+h} = \rho(h)X_n + \mu(1 - \rho(h))$.

Problem 2.4. a) Put $X_t = (-1)^t Z$ where Z is random variable with $\mathbb{E}[Z] = 0$ and $\text{Var}(Z) = 1$. Then

$$\gamma_X(t + h, t) = \text{Cov}((-1)^{t+h} Z, (-1)^t Z) = (-1)^{2t+h} \text{Cov}(Z, Z) = (-1)^h = \cos(\pi h).$$

b) Recall problem 1.4 b) where $X_t = Z_1 \cos(ct) + Z_2 \sin(ct)$ implies that $\gamma_X(h) = \cos(ch)$. If we let Z_1, Z_2, Z_3, Z_4, W be independent random variables with zero mean and unit variance and put

$$X_t = Z_1 \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}t\right) + Z_2 \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}t\right) + Z_3 \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{4}t\right) + Z_4 \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{4}t\right) + W.$$

Then we see that $\gamma_X(h) = \kappa(h)$.

c) Let $\{Z_t : t \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ be WN $(0, \sigma^2)$ and put $X_t = Z_t + \theta Z_{t-1}$. Then $\mathbb{E}[X_t] = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_X(t + h, t) &= \text{Cov}(Z_{t+h} + \theta Z_{t+h-1}, Z_t + \theta Z_{t-1}) \\ &= \text{Cov}(Z_{t+h}, Z_t) + \theta \text{Cov}(Z_{t+h}, Z_{t-1}) + \theta \text{Cov}(Z_{t+h-1}, Z_t) \\ &\quad + \theta^2 \text{Cov}(Z_{t+h-1}, Z_{t-1}) \\ &= \begin{cases} \sigma^2(1 + \theta^2) & \text{if } h = 0, \\ \sigma^2\theta & \text{if } |h| = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

If we let $\sigma^2 = 1/(1 + \theta^2)$ and choose θ such that $\sigma^2\theta = 0.4$, then we get $\gamma_X(h) = \kappa(h)$. Hence, we choose θ so that $\theta/(1 + \theta^2) = 0.4$, which implies that $\theta = 1/2$ or $\theta = 2$.

Problem 2.8. Assume that there exists a stationary solution $\{X_t : t \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ to

$$X_t = \phi X_{t-1} + Z_t, \quad t = 0, \pm 1, \dots$$

GT exercises

Problem 1

Part a

- The best linear approximation $\tilde{X} = \hat{E}(X|Y)$, which can be expressed as

$$\tilde{X} = \alpha_0 + \sum_{t \in T} \alpha_t Y_t$$

is characterized by the projection onto the space

$$\{X^* \in L^2 : X^* = \alpha_0 + \sum_{t \in T} \alpha_t Y_t\}$$

The existence of α_0 and α_t , $t \in T$ is guaranteed by the projection theorem. These values can be found making use of the orthogonality of the residuals as stated in the projection. That is,

$$E(Y_k(X - \alpha_0 - \sum_{t \in T} \alpha_t Y_t)) = 0 \quad \forall k \in T$$
$$E((X - \alpha_0 - \sum_{t \in T} \alpha_t Y_t)) = 0$$

- As stated in definition 2.7.3 (Time Series: Theory and Methods), $\hat{X} = E(X|Y)$ can be understood as the projection on the closed subspace of L^2 , $M(Y)$, of all the random variables in L^2 that can be written in the form $\phi(Y)$ with $\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, a Borel function. That is:

$$E(X|Y) = E_{M(Y)}X = P_{M(Y)}X$$

It can be proved that it accomplishes all the properties of a projection. Based on these properties we can say:

$$X = P_{M(Y)}X + (X - P_{M(Y)}X)$$

Which implies, $E(\hat{X}(X - \hat{X})) = 0$ according to the projection theorem.

Part b

Given that X and Y are simple random variables defined on the probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) , they can be expressed in the form:

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i 1_{A_i}$$

with 1 the indicator function and $A_i \in \mathcal{A}$ with $A_i = \{X = a_i\}$ (Similarly Y is defined). It can be proved that $P(X|Y = y) = Q_y(X)$ is a probability measure. Then,

$$E(X|Y = y) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i Q_y(X = a_i)$$

Now, let $b_i = \phi(a_i)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} E(\phi(X)|Y = y) &= \sum_{i=1}^n b_i Q_y(X = a_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n b_i P(X = a_i|Y = y) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \frac{P(X = a_i, Y = y)}{P(Y = y)} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \frac{f_{X,Y}(a_i, y)}{f_Y(y)} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n b_i f_{X|Y}(a_i|y) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \phi(a_i) f_{X|Y}(a_i|y) \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$E(\phi(X)|Y = y) = \sum_x \phi(x) f_{X|Y}(x|y)$$