

Department of Mathematical Sciences

## Examination paper for TMA4285 Time Series Models

## Academic contact during examination: Professor Gunnar Taraldsen

Phone: 46432506

**Examination date:** November 27, 2019

Examination time (from-to): 15:00 - 19:00

## Permitted examination support material: C

- Tabeller og formler i statistikk, Akademika
- K.Rottman. Matematisk formelsamling
- Stamped yellow A5 sheet with your own handwritten notes
- Determined, single calculator

## Other information:

You may write in English or Norwegian.

All answers have to be justified. They should include enough details in order to see how they have been obtained.

All 20 sub-problems carry the same weight for grading.

Language: English Number of pages: 3

Number of pages enclosed: 0

| Informasjon om trykking av eksamensoppgave |           |
|--|-----------|
| Originalen er:                             |           |
| 1-sidig 🛛                                  | 2-sidig ⊠ |
| sort/hvit 🗆                                | farger 🛛  |
| skal ha flervalgskjema 🛛                   |           |

Checked by:

Date

Page 1 of 3



Figure 1: A time series.

Problem 1 Let

$$X_t - 1.318X_{t-1} + 0.634X_{t-2} = Z_t, \ t \in \mathbb{Z},$$
(1)

with  $Z \sim WN(0, 289.2)$ .

- **a)** State the needed additional requirements ensuring that  $X \sim \text{ARMA}(p,q)$ .
- **b**) Determine p and q.
- c) Prove that X is causal.
- **d)** Calculate  $\psi_j$  for  $j \leq 2$  where  $X_t = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_j Z_{t-j}$ .
- e) Determine a finite difference equation for the covariance function  $\gamma$  of X.
- f) Calculate and graph the partial correlation function of X given that  $\gamma(0) = 1384.1$ ,  $\gamma(1) = 1116.4$ ,  $\gamma(2) = 593.9$ .
- g) Derive equations that determines the best linear predictor  $F(h) = \hat{X}_{n+h}$  of  $X_{n+h}$  based on  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$ .
- **h**) Calculate the prediction uncertainty u of  $\hat{X}_{n+1}$ .

**Problem 2** Sunspots are regions of reduced surface temperature that appear as dark spots on the Sun. Figure 1 shows the Wolfer's sunspot numbers analyzed by George Udny Yule in 1927. Let  $s = (s_1, \ldots, s_{100})$  be the sunspot numbers for the years 1770–1869. It will be assumed that s is a sample from a weakly stationary causal stochastic process S. The data s gives the sample statistics  $\hat{\mu} = 46.93$ ,  $\hat{\gamma}(0) = 1382.2, \ \hat{\gamma}(1) = 1114.4, \ \hat{\gamma}(2) = 591.73$ , and the sample partial correlation function  $\hat{\alpha}$  in Figure 2.

a) How is the sample mean  $\hat{\mu}$  calculated?



Figure 2: A sample partial correlation function.

- **b)** How is the sample covariance function  $\hat{\gamma}$  calculated?
- c) Justify that  $\hat{\mu}$  is an unbiased estimator for the mean  $\mu$ .
- d) Derive a formula for estimating the uncertainty of  $\hat{\mu}$ .
- e) Figure 2 gives an argument for assuming  $X = S \mu \sim AR(2)$ . Explain this.
- **f)** Estimate the parameters of X using  $\hat{\gamma}(0)$ ,  $\hat{\gamma}(1)$ , and  $\hat{\gamma}(2)$ .
- **g)** Calculate  $\hat{\alpha}(0)$ ,  $\hat{\alpha}(1)$ , and  $\hat{\alpha}(2)$ . Compare with Figure 2.
- h) Derive explicit formulas for obtaining the maximum likelihood estimates of the parameters of S.

**Problem 3** Let Z be a causal stationary GARCH(p,q) process with  $Z = \sqrt{he}$ ,  $e \sim \text{IID}(0,1)$ , and

$$h = \alpha_0 + \alpha(B)Z^2 + \beta(B)h \tag{2}$$

Assume furthermore  $\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_p + \beta_1 + \cdots + \beta_q < 1$  and  $\operatorname{Var} Z^2 < \infty$ . It can then be shown that  $[Z^2 - \operatorname{E}(Z^2)] \sim \operatorname{ARMA}(m,q)$  with  $m = \max(p,q)$  and

$$Z^{2} = \alpha_{0} + (\alpha + \beta)(B)Z^{2} + U - \beta(B)U$$
(3)

with  $U = Z^2 - h \sim WN$ .

Let  $Z \sim WN(0, \sigma^2)$  be the estimated noise process obtained for the sunspot numbers with corresponding observed values  $z_1, \ldots, z_{100}$ . The sample correlation function of  $z_1^2, \ldots, z_{100}^2$  indicates that  $Z^2$  is not white noise. Further analysis and estimation indicate that  $Z^2 \sim ARMA(1, 1)$ . This motivates to consider the GARCH(1, 1) model  $Z = \sqrt{he}$  with  $e \sim IID(0, 1)$ , and

$$h_t = 31.152 + 0.223Z_{t-1}^2 + 0.596h_{t-1} \tag{4}$$

- a) Is it reasonable to assume that Z is Gaussian?
- b) Can Figure 1 be used to motivate modeling with GARCH noise?
- c) Explain how the AICC generalizes the maximum likelihood, and can be used for obtaining possibly improved models using equation (3).
- d) Discuss briefly possible alternative models for the sunspot numbers.