

## Course Statistical Inference SIF5084

Faglig kontakt under eksamen:

Bo Lindqvist 735 93532

Hjelpemidler: (C):

K.Rottman: Mathematische Formelsammlung,

Statistiske tabeller og formler, Tapir,

Godkjent kalkulator med tomt minne,

Selvskrevet gult titteark p A4-ark utdelt av farlrer,

Engelsk-Norsk ordbok.

1. A sample  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  is taken from a gamma distribution with parameters  $\theta$  and  $1/\theta$ :

$$X_1, \dots, X_n \sim \text{gamma} \left( \theta, \frac{1}{\theta} \right)$$

i. e. pdf of  $X_i$  is

$$f(x; \theta) = \frac{\theta^\theta}{\Gamma(\theta)} x^{\theta-1} e^{-\theta x} I_{\{x>0\}}, \quad \theta > 0.$$

Find a one-dimensional sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .

**Solution.** The likelihood function is

$$\begin{aligned} L(\theta; X_1, \dots, X_n) &= \theta^{n\theta} [\Gamma(\theta)]^{-n} (X_1 \cdot \dots \cdot X_n)^{\theta-1} e^{-\theta \sum X_i} = \\ &= \theta^{n\theta} [\Gamma(\theta)]^{-n} (X_1 \cdot \dots \cdot X_n e^{-\sum X_i})^\theta (X_1 \cdot \dots \cdot X_n)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Put

$$\begin{aligned} T(X_1, \dots, X_n) &= \prod X_i \cdot e^{-\sum X_i}, \\ g(T, \theta) &= \theta^{n\theta} [\Gamma(\theta)]^{-n} [T(X_1, \dots, X_n)]^\theta, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$h(X_1, \dots, X_n) = (X_1 \cdot \dots \cdot X_n)^{-1}.$$

Then

$$L(\theta; X_1, \dots, X_n) = g(T(X_1, \dots, X_n), \theta)h(X_1, \dots, X_n)$$

and hence, due to the factorization theorem,  $T(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is a (univariate) sufficient statistic.

2. Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be a sample taken from a normal distribution with zero mean and unknown variance  $\theta^2$ :

$$X_1, \dots, X_n \sim N(0, \theta^2)$$

a) Find the (expected) Fisher information.

b) Consider the following estimator of  $\theta^2$ :

$$T_n = \frac{2}{n}X_1^2 + \frac{n-2}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i=2}^n X_i^2.$$

Is this estimator unbiased?

c) Is  $T_n$  consistent?

d) Is the estimator  $T_n$  efficient? (We call an unbiased estimator efficient if its variance coincides with the lower bound of the Cramer-Rao inequality).

e) Find MLE (maximum likelihood estimator) of  $\theta^2$ . Is it unbiased? Consistent? Efficient?

f) Give an example of a biased (but consistent!) estimator whose variance is less than  $\frac{2\theta^4}{n}$  for all  $n$ . Find its bias and MSE (mean squared error). Compare the latter with MSE of the MLE.

**Solution.**

a) Denote the Fisher information of the sample and that of one observation by  $I(\theta)$  and  $I_0(\theta)$  respectively. Then  $I(\theta) = nI_0(\theta)$  and

$$I_0(\theta) = E \left( \frac{\partial \ln f(X; \theta)}{\partial \theta} \right)^2 = E \left( \frac{X^2}{\theta^3} - \frac{1}{\theta} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{\theta^6} EX^4 - \frac{1}{\theta^2}$$

To find  $EX^4$  we can use mgf:  $EX^n = M_X^{(n)}(0)$ .

We have

$$M_X(t) = e^{\theta^2 t^2 / 2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
M_X''(t) &= e^{\theta^2 t^2/2}(\theta^4 t^2 + \theta^2) \\
M_X'''(t) &= e^{\theta^2 t^2/2}(\theta^6 t^3 + 3\theta^4 t) \\
M_X^{(4)}(t) &= e^{\theta^2 t^2/2}(\theta^2 t)(\theta^6 t^3 + 3\theta^4 t) + e^{\theta^2 t^2/2}(3\theta^6 t^2 + 3\theta^4)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $EX^4 = M^{(4)}(0) = 3\theta^4$

and

$$I_0(\theta) = \frac{2}{\theta^2}, \quad I(\theta) = \frac{2n}{\theta^2}$$

b)

$$ET_n = \frac{2}{n}EX_1^2 + \frac{(n-2)}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i=2}^n EX_i^2 = \theta^2 \left( \frac{2}{n} + \frac{(n-2)}{n(n-1)}(n-1) \right) = \theta^2$$

i.e.  $T_n$  is unbiased.

c) It is consistent:  $\frac{2}{n}X_1^2 \xrightarrow{P} 0$ ,

$$\frac{n-2}{n} \rightarrow 1, \quad \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=2}^n X_i^2 \xrightarrow{P} EX^2 = \theta^2$$

d)

$$\begin{aligned}
VarT_n &= \frac{4}{n^2}Var(X_1^2) + \frac{(n-2)^2}{n^2(n-1)^2} \sum_{i=2}^n Var(X_i^2) = \\
&= Var(X^2) \left[ \frac{4}{n^2} + \frac{(n-2)^2(n-1)}{n^2(n-1)^2} \right] = Var(X^2) \frac{1}{n-1} = \frac{2\theta^4}{n-1}
\end{aligned}$$

since

$$Var(X^2) = EX^4 - (EX^2)^2 = 3\theta^4 - \theta^4 = 2\theta^4$$

( $EX^4$  was obtained in part (a)).

The Cramer-Rao lower bound is (use part (a))

$$\frac{\left[ \frac{d}{d\theta}(\theta^2) \right]^2}{I(\theta)} = \frac{4\theta^2}{2n/\theta^2} = \frac{2\theta^4}{n} < \frac{2\theta^4}{n-1} = Var(T_n).$$

Hence  $T_n$  is not efficient

e)

$$\begin{aligned}
L(\theta; X_1, \dots, X_n) &= (2\pi)^{-n/2} \theta^{-n} e^{-\frac{1}{2\theta^2} \sum X_i^2} \\
\frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \theta} &= -\frac{n}{\theta} + \frac{1}{\theta^3} \sum X_i^2.
\end{aligned}$$

So, the MLE is  $T_{MLE} = \frac{1}{n} \sum X_i^2$ .

$$ET_{MLE} = \frac{1}{n} \sum EX_i^2 = \theta^2$$

(unbiased);

due to the Law of Large Numbers  $\frac{1}{n} \sum X_i^2 \xrightarrow{P} EX^2 = \theta^2$

(consistent).

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(T_{MLE}) &= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum \text{Var}(X_i^2) = \frac{1}{n} \text{Var}(X^2) = \\ &= \frac{1}{n} (EX^4 - (EX^2)^2) = \frac{1}{n} (3\theta^4 - \theta^4) = \frac{2\theta^4}{n} \end{aligned}$$

which coincides with the Cramer-Rao lower bound (see part (d)), therefore  $T_{MLE}$  is efficient.

f) Any estimator of the form

$$V_n = c_n T_{MLE} = \frac{c_n}{n} \sum X_i^2,$$

where  $0 < c_n < 1$  and  $c_n \rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , satisfies these conditions. It is biased:

$$EV_n = c_n ET_{MLE} = c_n \theta^2 < \theta^2;$$

consistent (evidently, since  $c_n \rightarrow 1$  and  $T_{MLE} \xrightarrow{P} \theta^2$ ); and

$$\text{Var}(V_n) = c_n^2 \text{Var}(T_{MLE}) = c_n^2 \frac{2\theta^4}{n} < \frac{2\theta^4}{n}.$$

Bias of  $V_n$  is

$$b(V_n) = c_n \theta^2 - \theta^2 = (c_n - 1)\theta^2;$$

MSE is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{MSE}(V_n) &= [b(V_n)]^2 + \text{Var}(V_n) = [(c_n - 1)\theta^2]^2 + c_n^2 \frac{2\theta^4}{n} = \\ &= (c_n - 1)^2 \theta^4 + c_n^2 \frac{2\theta^4}{n} = \left[ \frac{n(c_n - 1)^2}{2} + c_n^2 \right] \frac{2\theta^4}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

For example, if  $c_n = \frac{n-1}{n}$ , then

$$\frac{n(c_n - 1)^2}{2} + c_n^2 = \frac{2n^2 - 3n + 2}{2n^2} < \frac{2n^2}{2n^2} = 1$$

and  $\text{MSE}(V_n) < \text{MSE}(T_{MLE})$ .

3. Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be a sample taken from a  $(\theta, 1)$  normal distribution:

$$X_1, \dots, X_n \sim N(\theta, 1).$$

a) For testing  $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$  versus  $H_1 : \theta \neq \theta_0$  find the acceptance region of the significance level  $\alpha$  likelihood ratio test.

b) Find the  $(1 - \alpha)$  confidence interval that results from inverting the likelihood ratio test of part (a).

**Solution.**

a) The acceptance region:  $\lambda(X) \geq c$  where

$$\lambda(X) = \frac{L(\theta_0; X)}{\sup L(\theta; X)} = \frac{L(\theta_0; X)}{L(\hat{\theta}_{MLE}; X)},$$

and  $c$  is found from the condition

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\theta_0}(\lambda(X) \geq c) &= 1 - \alpha \\ \hat{\theta}_{MLE} &= \frac{1}{n} \sum X_i = \bar{X} \\ \lambda(X) &= e^{-\frac{n}{2}(\bar{X} - \theta_0)^2} \end{aligned}$$

So, the acceptance region

$$\theta_0 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} l_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \leq \bar{X} \leq \theta_0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} l_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}$$

where  $l_\delta$  -  $\delta$ -quantile of the standard normal distribution.

b) Inverting the test of part (a) we obtain the following  $(1 - \alpha)$  confidence interval:

$$\left[ \bar{X} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} l_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}, \bar{X} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} l_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \right].$$

4. Observations  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  are described by the relationship

$$Y_i = \theta \cdot e^{x_i^2} (1 + x_i^2) + \varepsilon_i$$

where  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  are fixed constants and  $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$  are iid  $N(0, \sigma^2)$ .

a) Find LSE (least squares estimator) of  $\theta$ .

b) Find MLE of  $\theta$ .

**Solution.**

a)  $\sum (Y_i - \theta \cdot e^{x_i^2}(1 + x_i^2))^2 \longrightarrow \min$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} = -2 \sum (Y_i - \theta \cdot e^{x_i^2}(1 + x_i^2)) e^{x_i^2}(1 + x_i^2) = 0$$

$$\sum Y_i e^{x_i^2}(1 + x_i^2) = \theta \sum [e^{x_i^2}(1 + x_i^2)]^2$$

$$\hat{\theta}_{LSE} = \frac{\sum Y_i e^{x_i^2}(1 + x_i^2)}{\sum [e^{x_i^2}(1 + x_i^2)]^2}$$

b) The likelihood function

$$L(\theta; Y) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sigma^{-n} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum (Y_i - \theta e^{x_i^2}(1 + x_i^2))^2\right\}$$

$$\ln L = -\frac{n}{2} \ln(2\pi) - n \ln \sigma - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum (Y_i - \theta e^{x_i^2}(1 + x_i^2))^2$$

we have the same minimization problem as in part (a) and hence the same result:

$$\hat{\theta}_{MLE} = \hat{\theta}_{LSE} = \frac{\sum Y_i e^{x_i^2}(1 + x_i^2)}{\sum [e^{x_i^2}(1 + x_i^2)]^2}.$$