

SUGGESTED Solution

Exam TMA4295 Statistical Inference • H2013

Sat 14.12.2013 0900-1300

Ref: Henning Omre / 03.01.2014

Problem 1

$$X \rightsquigarrow f(x|p) = (1-p)^{x-1} p \quad ; \quad x=1, 2, \dots \\ p \in [0, 1]$$

$\bar{X}_n : X_1, \dots, X_n \text{ iid } f(x|p)$

a)

$$f(x|p) = \left[\frac{p}{1-p} \right] (1-p)^x = \underbrace{\frac{p}{1-p}}_{c(p)} \cdot \exp \left\{ \underbrace{\ln(1-p)}_{\omega_1(p)} \cdot \underbrace{x}_{t_1(x)} \right\}$$

Hence in the exponential family of pmfs

For an exponential family the sufficient statistic for p is:

$$T_1(\bar{X}_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_1(X_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$

The statistic is also complete since $\{\ln(1-p) ; p \in [0, 1]\}$ contain an open subset of \mathbb{R}^1 .

The likelihood function is:

$$L(p|\bar{X}_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n (1-p)^{x_i-1} p = (1-p)^{\sum x_i - n} p^n = \frac{p^n}{(1-p)^{\sum x_i - n}}$$

$$\frac{d}{dp} \log L(p|\bar{X}_n) = (\sum x_i - n) \frac{-1}{1-p} + n \frac{1}{p} = 0 \Rightarrow$$

$$\text{hence } (\sum x_i - n) = n \frac{1-p}{p} \Rightarrow p = \frac{n}{\sum x_i} = \bar{x}^{-1} \\ \hat{p} = [\bar{X}]^{-1}$$

b) Due to the invariance property of MLE one has

$$\hat{\mu}(\rho) = \mu(\hat{p}) = \frac{1}{\hat{p}} = \bar{X}$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^2(\rho) = \sigma^2(\hat{p}) = \frac{(1-\hat{p})}{\hat{p}^2} = \bar{X}^2 - \bar{X}$$

Expectations of MLE:

$$E_p(\hat{p}) = E\left[\frac{1}{\bar{X}}\right] \geq \frac{1}{E[\bar{X}]} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{p}} = p \quad - \text{Not unbiased!}$$

Jensen's inequality for $g(x) = \frac{1}{x}$

$$E_p(\hat{\mu}) = E[\bar{X}] = \frac{1}{p} = \mu \quad - \text{Unbiased!}$$

$$E_p(\hat{\sigma}^2) = E[\bar{X}^2] - E[\bar{X}] = \text{Var}[\bar{X}] + [E[\bar{X}]]^2 - E[\bar{X}] \\ = \frac{1-p}{n p^2} + \frac{1}{p^2} - \frac{1}{p} = \frac{n-1}{n} \frac{1-p}{p^2}$$

- Not unbiased.

Define

$$\tilde{p} = I[\bar{X}=1] = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \bar{X}=1 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

$$E_p[\tilde{p}] = E[I[\bar{X}=1]] = 1 \cdot \text{Prob}_p[\bar{X}=1] + 0 \cdot [1 - \text{Prob}_p[\bar{X}=1]] \\ = \text{Prob}_p[\bar{X}=1] = (1-p)^{1-1} p = p \quad \text{Unbiased!}$$

c) Unbiased

$$\tilde{p} = I(\bar{X}_1 = 1) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \bar{X}_1 = 1 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

First $(\zeta_{n-1})_{\text{th}}$

$\text{Bin}(n-1, p)$

$\zeta_n^{\text{th}} = 1$

Sufficient statistic:

$$T(\bar{X}_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{X}_i \rightarrow f(\zeta_n | p) = \binom{\zeta_n - 1}{n-1} p^{n-1} (1-p)^{(\zeta_n - 1) - (n-1)} = \binom{\zeta_n - 1}{n-1} p^n (1-p)^{\zeta_n - n}$$

↑ Neg-Bin dist!

$\zeta_n = n, n+1, \dots$

From Rao-Blackwell:

$$E_p[\tilde{p} | \zeta_n] = 1 \cdot \text{Prob}_p \left\{ \bar{X}_1 = 1 \mid T(\bar{X}_n) = \zeta_n \right\} + 0 \cdot \left[1 - \text{Prob}_p \left\{ \bar{X}_1 = 1 \mid T(\bar{X}_n) = \zeta_n \right\} \right]$$

$$\text{We have: } = \text{Prob} \left\{ \bar{X}_1 = 1 \mid \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{X}_i = \zeta \right\}$$

$$\text{Prob}_p \left\{ \bar{X}_1 = 1 \mid \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{X}_i = \zeta \right\} = \frac{\text{Prob} \left\{ \bar{X}_1 = 1 \cap \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{X}_i = \zeta \right\}}{\text{Prob} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{X}_i = \zeta \right\}}$$

$$= \frac{\text{Prob} \left\{ \bar{X}_1 = 1 \right\} \text{Prob} \left\{ \sum_{i=2}^n \bar{X}_i = \zeta - 1 \right\}}{\text{Prob} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{X}_i = \zeta \right\}}$$

$$= \frac{P \cdot \binom{\zeta-2}{n-2} p^{n-1} (1-p)^{\zeta-1-(n-1)}}{\binom{\zeta-2}{n-1} p^n (1-p)^{\zeta-n}} = \frac{\binom{\zeta-2}{n-2}}{\binom{\zeta-1}{n-1}}$$

$$= \frac{\frac{(\zeta-2)!}{(n-2)! (\zeta-2-(n-2))!}}{\frac{(\zeta-1)!}{(n-1)! (\zeta-1-(n-1))!}} = \frac{n-1}{\zeta-1}$$

hence

$$P_{RB}^* = E_p[\tilde{p} | T(\bar{X}_n)] = (n-1) \cdot \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \bar{X}_i - 1 \right]^{-1}$$

Since $T(\bar{X}_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{X}_i$ is a complete, sufficient statistic for p and P_{RB}^* is unbiased for p and function of $T(\bar{X}_n)$ only $\Rightarrow P_{RB}^*$ is UMVUE!

d) Recall

$$E_p[\hat{\mu}] = E_p[\bar{X}] = \frac{1}{p}$$

$$\text{Var}_p[\hat{\mu}] = E_p[\bar{X}] = \frac{1}{n} \text{Var}_p[\bar{X}] = \frac{1-p}{n p^2}$$

From Cramér-Rao Theorem, all estimators μ^* with

$$E_p[\mu^*] = \mu = \frac{1}{p} \text{ have:}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}_p[\mu^*] &\geq \frac{\left[\frac{d}{dp} E_p[\mu^*] \right]^2}{-n E_p \left[\frac{d^2}{dp^2} \log f(\bar{X}|p) \right]} \\ &= \frac{\left[\frac{d}{dp} \frac{1}{p} \right]^2}{-n E_p \left[-(\bar{X}-1) \frac{1}{(1-p)^2} - \frac{1}{p^2} \right]} \\ &= \frac{\frac{1}{p^4}}{\frac{n}{(1-p)p^2}} \\ &= \frac{(1-p)}{n p^2} = \text{Var}_p[\hat{\mu}] \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{X}_i = \bar{X}$ is UMVUE for μ .

[Alternative:

$$\text{Reparametrize: } f(x|p) \rightarrow f(x|\mu) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mu}\right)^{x-1} \cdot \frac{1}{\mu}$$

C&R:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}_{\mu}[\mu^*] &= \frac{\left[\frac{d}{d\mu} E_{\mu}[\mu^*] \right]^2}{-n E_{\mu} \left[\frac{d^2}{d\mu^2} \log f(\bar{X}|\mu) \right]} \\ &= \frac{1}{\frac{n}{\mu^2(1-\frac{1}{\mu})}} = \frac{\mu^3(1-\frac{1}{\mu})}{n} \rightarrow \frac{(1-p)}{n p^2} \end{aligned}$$

c) The MLE's \hat{p} , $\hat{\mu}$ and $\hat{\sigma}^2$ are asymptotic efficient.

Note that for $f(x|p)$ the Fisher information is:

$$I(p) = -E_p \left[\frac{d^2}{dp^2} \log f(\bar{x}|p) \right] = [p^2(1-p)]^{-1}$$

The Delta method provides:

For $\hat{\beta}$ MLE for β with $\beta = \bar{z}(p)$

$$\sqrt{n} [\hat{\beta} - \beta] \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\text{dist}} \text{Norm}[0, \left[\frac{d}{dp} \bar{z}(p) \right] [I(p)]^{-1}]$$

From this:

$$\hat{p} = [\bar{x}]^{-1} \text{ MLE for } p \text{ with } p = \bar{z}(p) = \bar{x}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sqrt{n} [\hat{p} - p] \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\text{dist}} \text{Norm}[0, p^2(1-p)]$$

$$\hat{\mu} = \bar{x} \text{ MLE for } \mu \text{ with } \mu = \bar{z}(p) = \frac{1}{p}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sqrt{n} [\hat{\mu} - \mu] \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\text{dist}} \text{Norm}[0, \underbrace{\frac{1}{p^4} p^3(1-p)}_{\frac{1-p}{p^2}}]$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \bar{x}^2 - \bar{x} \text{ MLE for } \sigma^2 \text{ with } \sigma^2 = \bar{z}(p) = \frac{1-p}{p^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sqrt{n} [\hat{\sigma}^2 - \sigma^2] \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\text{dist}} \text{Norm}[0, \underbrace{\frac{(2-p)^2}{p^6} p^2(1-p)}_{\frac{(2-p)^2(1-p)}{p^4}}]$$

Since p is unknown, in practice we use:

- $I(\hat{p})$ and $\left[\frac{d}{dp} \bar{z}(p) \right]^2 \Big|_{p=\hat{p}}$

or sometimes even

- $I'(\hat{p}) = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_i \left[\frac{d^2}{dp^2} \log f(x_i|p) \right] \Big|_{p=\hat{p}}$

F) Hypothesis

$$H_0: p = p_0 \quad \text{vs} \quad H_1: p \neq p_0$$

we have LRT statistics

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(\mathbf{x}_n) &= \frac{\ln(p_0 | \mathbf{x}_n)}{\ln(\hat{p} | \mathbf{x}_n)} = \frac{(1-p_0)^{\sum x_i - n}}{(1-\hat{p})^{\sum x_i - n}} \frac{n^{p_0}}{\hat{p}^n} \\ &= \left[\frac{1-p_0}{1-\hat{p}} \right]^{\sum x_i - n} \left[\frac{p_0}{\hat{p}} \right]^n = \left[\frac{1-p_0}{1-\hat{p}} \right]^{n[\frac{1-\hat{p}}{\hat{p}}]} \left[\frac{p_0}{\hat{p}} \right]^n \end{aligned}$$

For the finite sample case we have rejection region:

$$R_{c_n} : \{ \mathbf{x}_n \mid \left[\frac{1-p_0}{1-\hat{p}} \right]^{n[\frac{1-\hat{p}}{\hat{p}}]} \left[\frac{p_0}{\hat{p}} \right]^n \leq c_n \}$$

difficult to find
for finite n !!

In asymptotic LRT we use:

$$-2 \log \lambda(\mathbf{x}_n) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\text{dist}} \text{Chi}(1)$$

The level α asymptotic LRT has rejection region:

$$\begin{aligned} R &: \{ \mathbf{x}_n \mid -2 \log \lambda(\mathbf{x}_n) \geq \chi^2_{1,\alpha} \} \\ &= \{ \mathbf{x}_n \mid -2n \left[\left[\frac{1-\hat{p}}{\hat{p}} \right] \log \frac{1-p_0}{1-\hat{p}} + \log \frac{p_0}{\hat{p}} \right] \geq \chi^2_{1,\alpha} \} \end{aligned}$$

The corresponding asymptotic $(1-\alpha)$ confidence region for p is:

$$C_{1-\alpha}(\mathbf{x}_n) : \{ p \mid -2n \left[\left[\frac{1-\hat{p}}{\hat{p}} \right] \log \frac{1-p}{1-\hat{p}} + \log \frac{p}{\hat{p}} \right] \leq \chi^2_{1,\alpha} \}$$

hence the set of p such that H_0 is NOT rejected - i.e. accepted.

Problem 2

$$\mathbb{X} \Rightarrow f(x|\lambda) = \text{Pois}(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda^x}{x!} e^{-\lambda} ; \quad x=0,1,\dots \quad \lambda > 0$$

$$\mathbb{X} = (\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2, \mathbb{X}_3) = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$$

$$f(\mathbf{x}|\lambda) = \frac{\lambda^{x_1}}{x_1!} e^{-\lambda} \cdot \frac{(2\lambda)^{x_2}}{x_2!} e^{-2\lambda} \cdot \frac{(3\lambda)^{x_3}}{x_3!} e^{-3\lambda}$$

a) Factorization theorem:

$$f(\mathbf{x}|\lambda) = \underbrace{\frac{2^{x_2} 3^{x_3}}{x_1! x_2! x_3!}}_{h(\mathbf{x})} \cdot \underbrace{e^{-6\lambda} \cdot \lambda^{\sum x_i}}_{g(\sum x_i | \lambda)}$$

The sufficient statistic for λ is $T(\mathbb{X}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{X}_i$.

The MLE for λ is:

$$\hat{\lambda} = \underset{\lambda}{\operatorname{argmax}} \{ \log f(\mathbf{x}|\lambda) \}$$

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda} [\log h(\mathbf{x}) + 6\lambda + \sum x_i \log \lambda] = 0$$

$$\Downarrow -6 + \sum x_i \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda} = 0$$

$$\underline{\hat{\lambda} = \frac{1}{6} \sum_i \mathbb{X}_i}$$

The characteristics of $\hat{\lambda}$ is:

$$E(\hat{\lambda}) = \frac{1}{6} [E(\mathbb{X}_1) + E(\mathbb{X}_2) + E(\mathbb{X}_3)] =$$

$$= \frac{1}{6} [\lambda + 2\lambda + 3\lambda] = \lambda$$

hence $\hat{\lambda}$ is unbiased.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var}(\lambda) &= \frac{1}{6^2} [\text{Var}(\bar{X}_1) + \text{Var}(\bar{X}_2) + \text{Var}(\bar{X}_3)] \\ &= \frac{1}{6^2} [\lambda + 2\lambda + 3\lambda] = \frac{\lambda}{6}\end{aligned}$$

From the Cramér-Rao Theorem, a lower bound for unbiased estimators λ^* for λ is:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var}(\lambda^*) &\geq \frac{1}{-E_{\lambda} \left[\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \log f(\bar{x}/\lambda) \right]} \\ &= \left[-E_{\lambda} \left(\frac{d^2}{d\lambda^2} [\log h(x) - 6\lambda + \sum \bar{X}_i \log \lambda] \right) \right]^{-1} \\ &= \left[-E_{\lambda} \left(\sum_i \bar{X}_i \left(-\frac{1}{\lambda^2} \right) \right) \right]^{-1} \\ &= \left[\frac{6\lambda}{\lambda^2} \right]^{-1} = \frac{\lambda}{6} = \text{Var}(\hat{\lambda})\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\hat{\lambda}$ is UMVUE for λ .

b) Recall

$$f(\mathbf{x}|\lambda) = \frac{1^{x_1} 2^{x_2} 3^{x_3}}{x_1! x_2! x_3!} e^{-6\lambda} \lambda^{\sum x_i}$$

Define prior model:

$$\pi(\lambda; \alpha, \beta) = [\Gamma(\alpha)]^{-1} \beta^{-\alpha} \lambda^{\alpha-1} e^{-\frac{1}{\beta}\lambda} ; \lambda \geq 0$$

$\alpha, \beta > 0$

The posterior model for λ is:

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(\lambda | \mathbf{x}; \alpha, \beta) &= \text{const}_{\mathbf{x}}^1 f(\mathbf{x}|\lambda) \pi(\lambda) \\ &= \text{const}_{\mathbf{x}}^2 \cdot e^{-6\lambda} \lambda^{\sum x_i} \lambda^{\alpha-1} e^{-\frac{1}{\beta}\lambda} \\ &= \text{const}_{\mathbf{x}}^2 \cdot \lambda^{\alpha + \sum x_i - 1} e^{-(\frac{1}{\beta} + 6)\lambda}\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$[\lambda | \mathbf{x}] \rightsquigarrow \pi(\lambda | \mathbf{x}; \alpha, \beta) = \text{Gam}(\alpha + \sum x_i, [\frac{1}{\beta} + 6]^{-1})$$

and from 'T & F in Stat':

$$E[\lambda | \mathbf{x}] = \alpha' \beta' = (\alpha + \sum x_i) [\frac{1}{\beta} + 6]^{-1}$$

$$\text{Var}[\lambda | \mathbf{x}] = \alpha' \cdot \beta'^2 = (\alpha + \sum x_i) [\frac{1}{\beta} + 6]^{-2}$$

Various asymptotic cases:

i) $\alpha \rightarrow 0, \beta \rightarrow \infty$ and $\alpha\beta = \mu$ $[\beta^{-1} \rightarrow 0]$

Prior model $\pi(\lambda)$:

$$E[\lambda] = \alpha \cdot \beta = \mu$$

$$\text{Var}[\lambda] = \alpha \cdot \beta^2 = (\alpha \cdot \beta) \beta = \mu \beta \rightarrow \infty$$

Hence $\pi(\lambda) \rightarrow \text{"Uni}[0, \infty]^n$ - uniform pdf

Estimates:

$$E[\lambda | x] \rightarrow \sum x_i \cdot \frac{1}{6} = \hat{\lambda}$$

$$\text{Var}[\lambda | x] \rightarrow \sum x_i \cdot \frac{1}{6^2} = \frac{\hat{\lambda}}{6}$$

Hence, estimators are MLE for λ and $\text{Var}[\hat{\lambda}]$.

ii) $\alpha \rightarrow \infty, \beta \rightarrow 0$ and $\alpha\beta = \mu$ $[\alpha^{-1} \rightarrow 0]$

Prior model $\pi(\lambda)$:

$$E[\lambda] = \alpha \cdot \beta = \mu$$

$$\text{Var}[\lambda] = \alpha \cdot \beta^2 = (\alpha \beta) \beta = \mu \beta \rightarrow 0$$

Hence $\pi(\lambda) \rightarrow \text{"Dir}[\mu]^n$ - dirac pdf

Estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} E[\lambda | x] &= (\alpha + \sum x_i) \left[\frac{1}{\beta} + 6 \right]^{-1} \\ &= \frac{\alpha \beta}{1 + 6\beta} + \frac{\beta}{1 + 6\beta} \sum x_i \rightarrow \mu \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}[\lambda | x] &= (\alpha + \sum x_i) \left[\frac{1}{\beta} + 6 \right]^{-2} \\ &= \frac{(\alpha \beta)^2 \beta}{1 + 6\beta} + \frac{\beta^2}{1 + 6\beta} \sum x_i \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, estimators are constants corresponding to an estimate at μ without variance which coincide with the prior model $\pi(\lambda)$.