

Institutt for matematiske fag

Eksamensoppgåve i **TMA4295 Statistisk inferens**

Fagleg kontakt under eksamen: Vaclav Slimacek

Tlf: 942 96 313

Eksamensdato: Tirsdag 2. desember 2014

Eksamenstid (frå–til): 09:00–13:00

Hjelpemiddelkode/Tillatne hjelpemiddel: C:

Tabeller og Formler i Statistikk, Tapir

NTNU-godkjent kalkulator

Personleg, handskriven, gul hugselapp - A5-format

Annan informasjon:

Kopier av nokre viktige resultat frå læreboka er gitt som vedlegg

Målform/språk: nynorsk

Sidetal: 3

Sidetal vedlegg: 4

Kontrollert av:

Dato

Sign

Oppg ve 1 *Terningane*

Det er mistanke om at terningane som ein brukar ved eit casino er manipulerte, slik at sjansane for   f  1-arar og 6-arar er endra, medan dei andre utfalla ikkje er p verka.

La p_i vere sannsynet for   f  i "auge" med ein terning fr  casinoet. F lgjande modell vil bli studert:

$$p_1 = \frac{1}{6} - \theta, \quad p_2 = p_3 = p_4 = p_5 = \frac{1}{6}, \quad p_6 = \frac{1}{6} + \theta,$$

der θ er ein ukjend parameter med $|\theta| < 1/6$.

Ein gjer n kast med ein slik terning, der X_i av desse kasta ender med i auge ($i = 1, 2, \dots, 6$).

- a) Kva for f resetnader m  ein gjere for   sikre at den observerte vektoren $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_6)$ er multinomisk fordelt? Anta i det f lgjande at desse f resetnadene held.

Merk at resultat fr  l reboka som gjeld uavhengige og identisk fordelte observasjonar ogs  vil gjelde for multinomiske fors k som i denne oppg va.

Vis at den simultane sannsynsmassefunksjonen (*pmf*) for \mathbf{X} kan verte skrive som ein eksponensiell familie p  f lgjande form:

$$f(\mathbf{x}|\theta) = h(\mathbf{x}) \exp \left\{ x_1 \ln \left(\frac{1}{6} - \theta \right) + x_6 \ln \left(\frac{1}{6} + \theta \right) \right\} \quad \text{for } \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{A}.$$

Spesifiser funksjonen $h(\mathbf{x})$ og mengda \mathbf{A} av mulige verdier for \mathbf{X} .

Gjer greie for kvifor den to-dimensjonale observatoren $T(\mathbf{X}) = (X_1, X_6)$ er suffisient for θ .

- b) Vis at $T(\mathbf{X})$ er minimal-suffisient.
- c) Vis at maximum likelihood estimatoren (*MLE*) for θ er gitt ved

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{x_6 - x_1}{x_6 + x_1} \quad \text{hvis } x_6 + x_1 > 0,$$

og at $\hat{\theta}$ er ubestemd dersom $x_6 + x_1 = 0$.

- d) For å kunne påvise at terningen er manipulert, vil ein teste

$$H_0 : \theta = 0 \text{ mot } H_1 : \theta \neq 0.$$

Finn eit uttrykk for sannsynskvota (*likelihood ratio*) $\lambda(\mathbf{x})$ for dette problemet.

Kva blir konklusjonen på sannsynskvotetesten (*LRT*) dersom $n = 100$ og den observerte vektor er

$$(10, 14, 17, 21, 16, 22) \text{ ?}$$

Du skal her bruke den asymptotiske fordelinga for sannsynskvota. Bruk signifikansnivå $\alpha = 0.05$.

- e) Vis at Cramér-Raos nedre grense for variansen til forventingsrette estimatrar for θ er

$$\frac{1 - 36\theta^2}{12n}$$

Bruk denne til å setje opp den asymptotiske fordelinga for maximum likelihood estimatoren $\hat{\theta}$.

Finn eit tilnærma 95% konfidensintervall for θ , og berekn intervallet når observasjonane er som i det førre punktet.

Gjer også kort greie for, utan å gjere alle berekningane, korleis ein kan utleie ei tilnærma 95% konfidensmengde for θ ved å inverttere ein sannsynskvotetest.

- f) Vis at estimatoren

$$\tilde{\theta} = \frac{X_6 - X_1}{2n}$$

er forventingsrett for θ , og finn eit uttrykk for variansen.

Kvifor kan ikkje denne estimatoren bli forbetra ved hjelp av Rao-Blackwells teorem?

- g) Estimatoren $\tilde{\theta}$ frå førre punkt er forventingsrett, og er dessutan ein funksjon av den suffisiente observator $T(\mathbf{X})$. Eit naturleg spørsmål er då om den er ein UMVU-estimator.

Kan du bruke Cramér-Raos nedre grense frå punkt e) til å avgjere dette? Grunngi svaret.

Dersom svaret er nei, er det naturleg å sjekke om Theorem 7.3.23 i vedlegget kan bli bruka til å avgjere om $\tilde{\theta}$ er UMVU. (Dette teoremet er essensielt det som i forelesningane er kalt *Lehmann-Scheffés teorem*).

Vis at $T(\mathbf{X})$ *ikkje* er komplett, ved å bruke definisjonen av komplettheit.

Kan du konkludere noko frå Theorem 7.3.23?

Oppg ve 2 *Tid til feil*

Ein maskin blir sett i gang ved begynninga av d ger nr. 1 og blir observert til den feilar f rste gong. La $Y = y$ tyde at dette skjer i d ger nr. y , ($y = 1, 2, \dots$).

- a) Sett opp f resetnader for at Y er geometrisk fordelt med parameter p , $0 < p < 1$, dvs. at Y har pmf

$$f(y) = (1 - p)^{y-1}p \quad \text{for } y = 1, 2, \dots$$

I det f lgjande skal du anta at desse f resetnadene er oppfylte.

Vis at den momentgenererande funksjon (*mgf*) for Y er gitt ved

$$M_Y(t) = \frac{pe^t}{1 - (1 - p)e^t} \quad \text{for } t < -\ln(1 - p).$$

Vis korleis dette kan bli bruka til   vise at tida til feil, Y , har forventing $\mu = 1/p$ (d ger).

For   kunne registrere feiltida meir n yaktig deler ein d geret i n delar (for heltall $n > 1$), slik at tida Y_n til feil n  blir m lt med eining $1/n$ d ger (t.d. i timar om $n = 24$).

Det er naturleg   anta at Y_n er geometrisk fordelt med parameter $p_n = p/n$, medan tida til feil, m lt med eining *d ger*, blir

$$X_n = \frac{1}{n}Y_n$$

- b) Vis at X_n konvergerer i fordeling mot ein tilfeldig variabel X , dvs. $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$. Kva for kjent fordeling har X ? Kva blir $E(X)$?

(*Vink*: Berekn *mgf* for X_n og finn grensa n r $n \rightarrow \infty$).

TMA 4295 Statistical Inference IME/IME/NTNU

Formulaes from Casella & Berger

Theorem 5.2.11 Suppose X_1, \dots, X_n is a random sample from a pdf or pmf $f(x|\theta)$, where

$$f(x|\theta) = h(x)c(\theta) \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^k w_i(\theta)t_i(x)\right)$$

is a member of an exponential family. Define statistics T_1, \dots, T_k by

$$T_i(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{j=1}^n t_i(X_j), \quad i = 1, \dots, k.$$

If the set $\{(w_1(\theta), w_2(\theta), \dots, w_k(\theta)), \theta \in \Theta\}$ contains an open subset of \mathbb{R}^k , then the distribution of (T_1, \dots, T_k) is an exponential family of the form

$$(5.2.6) \quad f_T(u_1, \dots, u_k|\theta) = H(u_1, \dots, u_k)[c(\theta)]^n \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^k w_i(\theta)u_i\right).$$

Definition 5.5.1 A sequence of random variables, X_1, X_2, \dots , converges in probability to a random variable X if, for every $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|X_n - X| \geq \epsilon) = 0 \quad \text{or, equivalently,} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|X_n - X| < \epsilon) = 1.$$

Definition 5.5.6 A sequence of random variables, X_1, X_2, \dots , converges almost surely to a random variable X if, for every $\epsilon > 0$,

$$P\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |X_n - X| < \epsilon\right) = 1.$$

Theorem 5.5.9 (Strong Law of Large Numbers) Let X_1, X_2, \dots be iid random variables with $E X_i = \mu$ and $\text{Var } X_i = \sigma^2 < \infty$, and define $\bar{X}_n = (1/n) \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$. Then, for every $\epsilon > 0$,

$$P\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\bar{X}_n - \mu| < \epsilon\right) = 1;$$

that is, \bar{X}_n converges almost surely to μ .

Definition 5.5.10 A sequence of random variables, X_1, X_2, \dots , converges in distribution to a random variable X if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{X_n}(x) = F_X(x)$$

at all points x where $F_X(x)$ is continuous.

Theorem 5.5.15 (Stronger form of the Central Limit Theorem) Let X_1, X_2, \dots be a sequence of iid random variables with $E X_i = \mu$ and $0 < \text{Var } X_i = \sigma^2 < \infty$. Define $\bar{X}_n = (1/n) \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$. Let $G_n(x)$ denote the cdf of $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu)/\sigma$. Then, for any x , $-\infty < x < \infty$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G_n(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-y^2/2} dy;$$

that is, $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu)/\sigma$ has a limiting standard normal distribution.

Theorem 5.5.17 (Slutsky's Theorem) If $X_n \rightarrow X$ in distribution and $Y_n \rightarrow a$, a constant, in probability, then

- a. $Y_n X_n \rightarrow aX$ in distribution.
- b. $X_n + Y_n \rightarrow X + a$ in distribution.

Theorem 5.5.24 (Delta Method) Let Y_n be a sequence of random variables that satisfies $\sqrt{n}(Y_n - \theta) \rightarrow n(0, \sigma^2)$ in distribution. For a given function g and a specific value of θ , suppose that $g'(\theta)$ exists and is not 0. Then

$$(5.5.10) \quad \sqrt{n}[g(Y_n) - g(\theta)] \rightarrow n(0, \sigma^2 [g'(\theta)]^2) \text{ in distribution.}$$

Definition 6.2.1 A statistic $T(\mathbf{X})$ is a sufficient statistic for θ if the conditional distribution of the sample \mathbf{X} given the value of $T(\mathbf{X})$ does not depend on θ .

Theorem 6.2.2 If $p(\mathbf{x}|\theta)$ is the joint pdf or pmf of \mathbf{X} and $q(t|\theta)$ is the pdf or pmf of $T(\mathbf{X})$, then $T(\mathbf{X})$ is a sufficient statistic for θ if, for every \mathbf{x} in the sample space, the ratio $p(\mathbf{x}|\theta)/q(T(\mathbf{x})|\theta)$ is constant as a function of θ .

Theorem 6.2.6 (Factorization Theorem) Let $f(\mathbf{x}|\theta)$ denote the joint pdf or pmf of a sample \mathbf{X} . A statistic $T(\mathbf{X})$ is a sufficient statistic for θ if and only if there exist functions $g(t|\theta)$ and $h(\mathbf{x})$ such that, for all sample points \mathbf{x} and all parameter points θ ,

$$(6.2.3) \quad f(\mathbf{x}|\theta) = g(T(\mathbf{x})|\theta)h(\mathbf{x}).$$

Theorem 6.2.10 Let X_1, \dots, X_n be iid observations from a pdf or pmf $f(x|\theta)$ that belongs to an exponential family given by

$$f(x|\theta) = h(x)c(\theta) \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^k w_i(\theta)t_i(x)\right),$$

where $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_d)$, $d \leq k$. Then

$$T(\mathbf{X}) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n t_1(X_j), \dots, \sum_{j=1}^n t_k(X_j) \right)$$

is a sufficient statistic for θ .

Definition 6.2.11 A sufficient statistic $T(\mathbf{X})$ is called a minimal sufficient statistic if, for any other sufficient statistic $T^*(\mathbf{X})$, $T(\mathbf{X})$ is a function of $T^*(\mathbf{X})$.

Theorem 6.2.13 Let $f(\mathbf{x};\theta)$ be the pmf or pdf of a sample \mathbf{X} . Suppose there exists a function $T(\mathbf{x})$ such that, for every two sample points \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} , the ratio $f(\mathbf{x};\theta)/f(\mathbf{y};\theta)$ is constant as a function of θ if and only if $T(\mathbf{x}) = T(\mathbf{y})$. Then $T(\mathbf{X})$ is a minimal sufficient statistic for θ .

Definition 6.2.21 Let $f(t|\theta)$ be a family of pdfs or pmfs for a statistic $T(\mathbf{X})$. The family of probability distributions is called complete if $E_{\theta}(T) = 0$ for all θ implies $P_{\theta}(g(T) = 0) = 1$ for all θ . Equivalently, $T(\mathbf{X})$ is called a complete statistic.

Theorem 6.2.28 If a minimal sufficient statistic exists, then any complete statistic is also a minimal sufficient statistic.

Definition 7.2.4 For each sample point \mathbf{x} , let $\hat{\theta}(\mathbf{x})$ be a parameter value at which $L(\theta|\mathbf{x})$ attains its maximum as a function of θ , with \mathbf{x} held fixed. A maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) of the parameter θ based on a sample \mathbf{X} is $\hat{\theta}(\mathbf{X})$.

Theorem 7.2.10 (Invariance property of MLEs) If $\hat{\theta}$ is the MLE of θ , then for any function $\tau(\theta)$, the MLE of $\tau(\theta)$ is $\tau(\hat{\theta})$.

Definition 7.3.7 An estimator W^* is a best unbiased estimator of $\tau(\theta)$ if it satisfies $E_{\theta}W^* = \tau(\theta)$ for all θ and, for any other estimator W with $E_{\theta}W = \tau(\theta)$, we have $\text{Var}_{\theta}W^* \leq \text{Var}_{\theta}W$ for all θ . W^* is also called a uniform minimum variance unbiased estimator (UMVUE) of $\tau(\theta)$.

Theorem 7.3.9 (Cramér–Rao Inequality) Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a sample with pdf $f(\mathbf{x}|\theta)$, and let $W(\mathbf{X}) = W(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ be any estimator satisfying

$$(7.3.4) \quad \frac{d}{d\theta} E_{\theta}W(\mathbf{X}) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} |W(\mathbf{x})f(\mathbf{x}|\theta)| \, d\mathbf{x}$$

and

$$\text{Var}_{\theta}W(\mathbf{X}) < \infty.$$

Then

$$(7.3.5) \quad \text{Var}_{\theta}(W(\mathbf{X})) \geq \frac{\left(\frac{d}{d\theta} E_{\theta}W(\mathbf{X})\right)^2}{E_{\theta}\left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(\mathbf{X}|\theta)\right)^2\right)}.$$

Corollary 7.3.15 (Attainment) Let X_1, \dots, X_n be iid $f(x|\theta)$, where $f(x|\theta)$ satisfies the conditions of the Cramér–Rao Theorem. Let $L(\theta|\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i|\theta)$ denote the likelihood function. If $W(\mathbf{X}) = W(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is any unbiased estimator of $\tau(\theta)$, then $W(\mathbf{X})$ attains the Cramér–Rao Lower Bound if and only if

$$(7.3.12) \quad a(\theta)|W(\mathbf{x}) - \tau(\theta)| = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log L(\theta|\mathbf{x})$$

for some function $a(\theta)$.

Theorem 7.3.17 (Rao–Blackwell) Let W be any unbiased estimator of $\tau(\theta)$, and let T be a sufficient statistic for θ . Define $\phi(T) = E(W|T)$. Then $E_{\theta}\phi(T) = \tau(\theta)$ and $\text{Var}_{\theta}\phi(T) \leq \text{Var}_{\theta}W$ for all θ ; that is, $\phi(T)$ is a uniformly better unbiased estimator of $\tau(\theta)$.

Theorem 7.3.23 Let T be a complete sufficient statistic for a parameter θ , and let $\phi(T)$ be any estimator based only on T . Then $\phi(T)$ is the unique best unbiased estimator of its expected value.

Definition 8.2.1 The likelihood ratio test statistic for testing $H_0: \theta \in \Theta_0$ versus $H_1: \theta \in \Theta_0^c$ is

$$\lambda(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\sup_{\Theta_0} L(\theta|\mathbf{x})}{\sup_{\Theta} L(\theta|\mathbf{x})}.$$

A likelihood ratio test (LRT) is any test that has a rejection region of the form $\{\mathbf{x}: \lambda(\mathbf{x}) \leq c\}$, where c is any number satisfying $0 \leq c \leq 1$.

Theorem 8.2.4 If $T(\mathbf{X})$ is a sufficient statistic for θ and $\lambda^*(t)$ and $\lambda(\mathbf{x})$ are the LRT statistics based on T and \mathbf{X} , respectively, then $\lambda^*(T(\mathbf{x})) = \lambda(\mathbf{x})$ for every \mathbf{x} in the sample space.

Definition 8.3.1 The power function of a hypothesis test with rejection region R is the function of θ defined by $\beta(\theta) = P_{\theta}(\mathbf{X} \in R)$.

Definition 8.3.5 For $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, a test with power function $\beta(\theta)$ is a size α test if $\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} \beta(\theta) = \alpha$.

Definition 8.3.6 For $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, a test with power function $\beta(\theta)$ is a level α test if $\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} \beta(\theta) \leq \alpha$.

Definition 8.3.9 A test with power function $\beta(\theta)$ is unbiased if $\beta(\theta') \geq \beta(\theta'')$ for every $\theta' \in \Theta_0^c$ and $\theta'' \in \Theta_0$.

Definition 8.3.11 Let C be a class of tests for testing $H_0: \theta \in \Theta_0$ versus $H_1: \theta \in \Theta_0^c$. A test in class C , with power function $\beta(\theta)$, is a uniformly most powerful (UMP) class C test if $\beta(\theta) \geq \beta'(\theta)$ for every $\theta \in \Theta_0^c$ and every $\beta'(\theta)$ that is a power function of a test in class C .

Definition 8.3.16 A family of pdfs or pmfs $\{g(t|\theta): \theta \in \Theta\}$ for a univariate random variable T with real-valued parameter θ has a monotone likelihood ratio (MLR) if, for every $\theta_2 > \theta_1$, $g(t|\theta_2)/g(t|\theta_1)$ is a monotone (nonincreasing or nondecreasing) function of t on $\{t: g(t|\theta_1) > 0 \text{ or } g(t|\theta_2) > 0\}$. Note that $c/0$ is defined as ∞ if $0 < c$.

Theorem 8.3.17 (Karlin–Rubin) Consider testing $H_0: \theta \leq \theta_0$ versus $H_1: \theta > \theta_0$. Suppose that T is a sufficient statistic for θ and the family of pdfs or pmfs $\{g(t|\theta): \theta \in \Theta\}$ of T has an MLR. Then for any t_0 , the test that rejects H_0 if and only if $T > t_0$ is a UMP level α test, where $\alpha = P_{\theta_0}(T > t_0)$.

Definition 8.3.26 A p -value $p(\mathbf{X})$ is a test statistic satisfying $0 \leq p(\mathbf{x}) \leq 1$ for every sample point \mathbf{x} . Small values of $p(\mathbf{X})$ give evidence that H_1 is true. A p -value is valid if, for every $\theta \in \Theta$ and every $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$,

$$(8.3.8) \quad P_\theta(p(\mathbf{X}) \leq \alpha) \leq \alpha.$$

Theorem 8.3.27 Let $W(\mathbf{X})$ be a test statistic such that large values of W give evidence that H_1 is true. For each sample point \mathbf{x} , define

$$(8.3.9) \quad p(\mathbf{x}) = \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} P_\theta(W(\mathbf{X}) \geq W(\mathbf{x})).$$

Then, $p(\mathbf{X})$ is a valid p -value.

Definition 9.1.1 An interval estimate of a real-valued parameter θ is any pair of functions, $L(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $U(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, of a sample that satisfy $L(\mathbf{x}) \leq U(\mathbf{x})$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}$. If $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}$ is observed, the inference $L(\mathbf{x}) \leq \theta \leq U(\mathbf{x})$ is made. The random interval $[L(\mathbf{X}), U(\mathbf{X})]$ is called an interval estimator.

Definition 9.1.4 For an interval estimator $[L(\mathbf{X}), U(\mathbf{X})]$ of a parameter θ , the coverage probability of $[L(\mathbf{X}), U(\mathbf{X})]$ is the probability that the random interval $[L(\mathbf{X}), U(\mathbf{X})]$ covers the true parameter, θ . In symbols, it is denoted by either $P_\theta(\theta \in [L(\mathbf{X}), U(\mathbf{X})])$ or $P(\theta \in [L(\mathbf{X}), U(\mathbf{X})]|\theta)$.

Definition 9.1.5 For an interval estimator $[L(\mathbf{X}), U(\mathbf{X})]$ of a parameter θ , the confidence coefficient of $[L(\mathbf{X}), U(\mathbf{X})]$ is the infimum of the coverage probabilities, $\inf_{\theta} P_\theta(\theta \in [L(\mathbf{X}), U(\mathbf{X})])$.

Theorem 9.2.2 For each $\theta_0 \in \Theta$, let $A(\theta_0)$ be the acceptance region of a level α test of $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$. For each $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}$, define a set $C(\mathbf{x})$ in the parameter space by

$$(9.2.1) \quad C(\mathbf{x}) = \{\theta_0: \mathbf{x} \in A(\theta_0)\}.$$

Then the random set $C(\mathbf{X})$ is a $1 - \alpha$ confidence set. Conversely, let $C(\mathbf{X})$ be a $1 - \alpha$ confidence set. For any $\theta_0 \in \Theta$, define

$$A(\theta_0) = \{\mathbf{x}: \theta_0 \in C(\mathbf{x})\}.$$

Then $A(\theta_0)$ is the acceptance region of a level α test of $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$.

Definition 9.2.6 A random variable $Q(\mathbf{X}, \theta) = Q(X_1, \dots, X_n, \theta)$ is a pivotal quantity (or pivot) if the distribution of $Q(\mathbf{X}, \theta)$ is independent of all parameters. That is, if $\mathbf{X} \sim F(\mathbf{x}|\theta)$, then $Q(\mathbf{X}, \theta)$ has the same distribution for all values of θ .

Theorem 9.3.2 Let $f(x)$ be a unimodal pdf. If the interval $[a, b]$ satisfies

- i. $\int_a^b f(x) dx = 1 - \alpha$,
 - ii. $f(a) = f(b) > 0$, and
 - iii. $a \leq x^* \leq b$, where x^* is a mode of $f(x)$,
- then $[a, b]$ is the shortest among all intervals that satisfy (i).

Corollary 9.3.10 If the posterior density $\pi(\theta|\mathbf{x})$ is unimodal, then for a given value of α , the shortest credible interval for θ is given by

$$\{\theta: \pi(\theta|\mathbf{x}) \geq k\} \quad \text{where} \quad \int_{\{\theta:\pi(\theta|\mathbf{x}) \geq k\}} \pi(\theta|\mathbf{x}) d\theta = 1 - \alpha.$$

Definition 10.1.1 A sequence of estimators $W_n = W_n(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is a consistent sequence of estimators of the parameter θ if, for every $\epsilon > 0$ and every $\theta \in \Theta$,

$$(10.1.1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_\theta(|W_n - \theta| < \epsilon) = 1.$$

Theorem 10.1.3 If W_n is a sequence of estimators of a parameter θ satisfying

- i. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Var}_\theta W_n = 0$,
 - ii. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Bias}_\theta W_n = 0$,
- for every $\theta \in \Theta$, then W_n is a consistent sequence of estimators of θ .

Theorem 10.1.6 (Consistency of MLEs) Let X_1, X_2, \dots be iid $f(x|\theta)$, and let $L(\theta|\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i|\theta)$ be the likelihood function. Let $\hat{\theta}$ denote the MLE of θ . Let $\tau(\theta)$ be a continuous function of θ . Under the regularity conditions in Miscellaneous 10.6.2 on $f(x|\theta)$ and, hence, $L(\theta|\mathbf{x})$, for every $\epsilon > 0$ and every $\theta \in \Theta$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_\theta(|\tau(\hat{\theta}) - \tau(\theta)| \geq \epsilon) = 0.$$

That is, $\tau(\hat{\theta})$ is a consistent estimator of $\tau(\theta)$.

Definition 10.1.7 For an estimator T_n , if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_n \text{Var} T_n = \tau^2 < \infty$, where $\{k_n\}$ is a sequence of constants, then τ^2 is called the limiting variance or limit of the variances.

Definition 10.1.9 For an estimator T_n , suppose that $k_n(T_n - \tau(\theta)) \rightarrow n(0, \sigma^2)$ in distribution. The parameter σ^2 is called the asymptotic variance or variance of the limit distribution of T_n .

Definition 10.1.11 A sequence of estimators W_n is asymptotically efficient for a parameter $\tau(\theta)$ if $\sqrt{n}|W_n - \tau(\theta)| \rightarrow n(0, v(\theta))$ in distribution and

$$v(\theta) = \frac{[\tau'(\theta)]^2}{E_\theta \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(\mathbf{X}|\theta) \right)^2},$$

that is, the asymptotic variance of W_n achieves the Cramér-Rao Lower Bound.

Theorem 10.1.12 (Asymptotic efficiency of MLEs) Let X_1, X_2, \dots be iid $f(x|\theta)$, let $\hat{\theta}$ denote the MLE of θ , and let $\tau(\theta)$ be a continuous function of θ . Under the regularity conditions in Miscellaneous 10.6.2 on $f(x|\theta)$ and, hence, $L(\theta|\mathbf{x})$,

$$\sqrt{n}[\tau(\hat{\theta}) - \tau(\theta)] \rightarrow n(0, v(\theta)),$$

where $v(\theta)$ is the Cramér-Rao Lower Bound. That is, $\tau(\hat{\theta})$ is a consistent and asymptotically efficient estimator of $\tau(\theta)$.

Definition 10.1.16 If two estimators W_n and V_n satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{n}|W_n - \tau(\theta)| &\rightarrow n[0, \sigma_W^2] \\ \sqrt{n}|V_n - \tau(\theta)| &\rightarrow n[0, \sigma_V^2]\end{aligned}$$

in distribution, the asymptotic relative efficiency (ARE) of V_n with respect to W_n is

$$\text{ARE}(V_n, W_n) = \frac{\sigma_W^2}{\sigma_V^2}.$$

Theorem 10.3.1 (Asymptotic distribution of the LRT—simple H_0) For testing $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$ versus $H_1 : \theta \neq \theta_0$, suppose X_1, \dots, X_n are iid $f(x|\theta)$, $\hat{\theta}$ is the MLE of θ , and $f(x|\theta)$ satisfies the regularity conditions in Miscellanea 10.6.2. Then under H_0 , as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$-2 \log \lambda(\mathbf{X}) \rightarrow \chi_1^2 \text{ in distribution,}$$

where χ_1^2 is a χ^2 random variable with 1 degree of freedom.

Theorem 10.3.3 Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample from a pdf or pmf $f(x|\theta)$. Under the regularity conditions in Miscellanea 10.6.2, if $\theta \in \Theta_0$, then the distribution of the statistic $-2 \log \lambda(\mathbf{X})$ converges to a chi squared distribution as the sample size $n \rightarrow \infty$. The degrees of freedom of the limiting distribution is the difference between the number of free parameters specified by $\theta \in \Theta_0$ and the number of free parameters specified by $\theta \in \Theta$.