

Solution Exercise 10

Problem 1

b) 1. $e^{-\bar{X}}$ is MLE of $\tau(\lambda)$ because of the invariance property of MLEs (see theorem 7.2.10)

It is necessary to compute $E\left(e^{-\frac{T}{n}}\right)$ and $E\left(e^{-\frac{2T}{n}}\right)$, where $T = n\bar{X}$. In general, with use of the fact that $T \sim \text{Poisson}(n\lambda)$ and the Taylor expansion of the function e^x

$$E\left(e^{-\frac{aT}{n}}\right) = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{at}{n}} \frac{(n\lambda)^t}{t!} e^{-n\lambda} = e^{-n\lambda} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{(e^{-\frac{a}{n}} n\lambda)^t}{t!} = e^{n\lambda(e^{-\frac{a}{n}} - 1)}$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} E\left(e^{-\frac{T}{n}}\right) &= e^{n\lambda(e^{-\frac{1}{n}} - 1)} \\ \text{Var}\left(e^{-\frac{T}{n}}\right) &= e^{n\lambda(e^{-\frac{2}{n}} - 1)} - e^{2n\lambda(e^{-\frac{1}{n}} - 1)} \end{aligned}$$

Since $e^{-\frac{1}{n}} - 1 \approx -\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{2n^2}$ and $e^{-\frac{2}{n}} - 1 \approx -\frac{2}{n} + \frac{2}{n^2}$,

$$\begin{aligned} E\left(e^{-\frac{T}{n}}\right) &\approx e^{-\lambda} e^{\frac{\lambda}{2n}} \\ \text{Var}\left(e^{-\frac{T}{n}}\right) &\approx e^{-2\lambda + \frac{\lambda}{n}} (e^{\frac{\lambda}{n}} - 1) \approx \frac{\lambda}{n} e^{-2\lambda + \frac{\lambda}{n}} \end{aligned}$$

2. Analogously to the previous case,

$$\begin{aligned} E\left(\left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^T\right) &= \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^t \frac{(n\lambda)^t}{t!} e^{-n\lambda} = e^{-n\lambda} \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \frac{((n-1)\lambda)^t}{t!} = e^{-n\lambda} e^{(n-1)\lambda} = e^{-\lambda} \\ E\left(\left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^{2T}\right) &= \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^{2t} \frac{(n\lambda)^t}{t!} e^{-n\lambda} = e^{-2\lambda + \frac{\lambda}{n}} \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\text{Var}\left(\left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^T\right) = e^{-2\lambda} (e^{\frac{\lambda}{n}} - 1) \approx \frac{\lambda}{n} e^{-2\lambda}$$

Note, that the estimator e^{-nT} is the limit of the estimator $\left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^T$.

Problem 2

7.38 Use Corollary 7.3.15.

a.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log L(\theta | \mathbf{x}) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log \prod_i \theta x_i^{\theta-1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \sum_i [\log \theta + (\theta-1) \log x_i] \\ &= \sum_i \left[\frac{1}{\theta} + \log x_i \right] = -n \left[-\sum_i \frac{\log x_i}{n} - \frac{1}{\theta} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $-\sum_i \log X_i/n$ is the UMVUE of $1/\theta$ and attains the Cramér-Rao bound.

b.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log L(\theta | \mathbf{x}) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log \prod_i \frac{\log \theta}{\theta-1} \theta^{x_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \sum_i [\log \log \theta - \log(\theta-1) + x_i \log \theta] \\ &= \sum_i \left(\frac{1}{\theta \log \theta} - \frac{1}{\theta-1} \right) + \frac{1}{\theta} \sum_i x_i = \frac{n}{\theta \log \theta} - \frac{n}{\theta-1} + \frac{n\bar{x}}{\theta} \\ &= \frac{n}{\theta} \left[\bar{x} - \left(\frac{\theta}{\theta-1} - \frac{1}{\log \theta} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, \bar{X} is the UMVUE of $\frac{\theta}{\theta-1} - \frac{1}{\log \theta}$ and attains the Cramér-Rao lower bound.

Note: We claim that if $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log L(\theta | \mathbf{X}) = a(\theta)[W(\mathbf{X}) - \tau(\theta)]$, then $E W(\mathbf{X}) = \tau(\theta)$, because under the condition of the Cramér-Rao Theorem, $E \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log L(\theta | \mathbf{x}) = 0$. To be rigorous, we need to check the “interchange differentiation and integration” condition. Both (a) and (b) are exponential families, and this condition is satisfied for all exponential families.

Problem 3

7.47 $X_i \sim n(r, \sigma^2)$, so $\bar{X} \sim n(r, \sigma^2/n)$ and $E\bar{X}^2 = r^2 + \sigma^2/n$. Thus $E[(\pi\bar{X}^2 - \pi\sigma^2/n)] = \pi r^2$ is best unbiased because \bar{X} is a complete sufficient statistic. If σ^2 is unknown replace it with s^2 and the conclusion still holds.

Problem 4

6.18 The distribution of $Y = \sum_i X_i$ is Poisson($n\lambda$). Now

$$Eg(Y) = \sum_{y=0}^{\infty} g(y) \frac{(n\lambda)^y e^{-n\lambda}}{y!}.$$

If the expectation exists, this is an analytic function which cannot be identically zero.

for all values of λ .

Problem 5

7.52 a. Because the Poisson family is an exponential family with $t(x) = x$, $\sum_i X_i$ is a complete sufficient statistic. Any function of $\sum_i X_i$ that is an unbiased estimator of λ is the unique best unbiased estimator of λ . Because \bar{X} is a function of $\sum_i X_i$ and $E\bar{X} = \lambda$, \bar{X} is the best unbiased estimator of λ .

b. S^2 is an unbiased estimator of the population variance, that is, $E S^2 = \lambda$. \bar{X} is a one-to-one function of $\sum_i X_i$. So \bar{X} is also a complete sufficient statistic. Thus, $E(S^2|\bar{X})$ is an unbiased estimator of λ and, by Theorem 7.3.23, it is also the unique best unbiased estimator of λ . Therefore $E(S^2|\bar{X}) = \bar{X}$. Then we have

$$\text{Var } S^2 = \text{Var} (E(S^2|\bar{X})) + E \text{Var}(S^2|\bar{X}) = \text{Var } \bar{X} + E \text{Var}(S^2|\bar{X}),$$

so $\text{Var } S^2 > \text{Var } \bar{X}$.

c. We formulate a general theorem. Let $T(X)$ be a complete sufficient statistic, and let $T'(X)$ be any statistic other than $T(X)$ such that $E T(X) = E T'(X)$. Then $E[T'(X)|T(X)] = T(X)$ and $\text{Var } T'(X) > \text{Var } T(X)$.