

$\forall \theta \in \Omega$ is a consistent sequence of estimators.

Theorem 10.1.6

X_1, \dots, X_n iid. Let $\hat{\theta}$ be the MLE of θ . Let $\tau(\theta)$ be a continuous function of θ . Under certain regularity conditions on $q(x|\theta)$ (10.6.2, A1-A4 p. 516) we have $\forall \epsilon > 0$ and every $\theta \in \Omega$ that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|\tau(\hat{\theta}) - \tau(\theta)| \geq \epsilon) = 0$ i.e. $\tau(\hat{\theta})$ is a consistent estimator for $\tau(\theta)$

Definition 10.1.7 and 10.1.8

For an estimator T_n , if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} kn \text{Var}(T_n) = \tau^2 < \infty$, τ^2 is called a limiting variance. *This is a hopeless definition. Some restriction on kn is needed.*
 If $kn(T_n - \tau(\theta)) \xrightarrow{D} N(0, \sigma^2)$, σ^2 is called the asymptotic variance.

Example. Random sample X_1, \dots, X_n , $E[X_i] = \mu, 0 < \text{Var} X_i < \infty, i=1, 2, \dots, n$

Then MLE for $\mu = \bar{X}_n$ and from CLT $T_n(\bar{X}_n - \mu) \xrightarrow{D} N(0, \sigma^2)$

Also $\frac{1}{\bar{X}_n}$ is the MLE of $\frac{1}{\mu}$ and from the Delta theorem

$$T_n\left(\frac{1}{\bar{X}_n} - \frac{1}{\mu}\right) \xrightarrow{D} N\left(0, \frac{1}{\mu^4} \sigma^2\right) \text{ which shows that the}$$

asymptotic variance of $T_n = \frac{1}{\bar{X}_n}$ exists, $\mu \neq 0$

However, ~~if X_1, \dots, X_n are assumed to be normally distributed, the exact variance of $\frac{1}{\bar{X}_n} = \infty$, $\forall n$ and the~~

limiting variance does not exist.

Example 10.1.10

A mixture distribution

$$Y_m | W_m \sim N(0, W_m + (1 - W_m)\sigma_m^2)$$

$$W_m \sim B(p_m)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}[Y_m] &= E[\text{Var}[Y_m | W_m]] + \text{Var}[E[Y_m | W_m]] \\ &= E[W_m + (1 - W_m)\sigma_m^2] + \text{Var}[0] \\ &= p_m + (1 - p_m)\sigma_m^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{let } p_m = 1 - \frac{1}{m} \text{ and } \sigma_m^2 = m^2 \Rightarrow$$

$$\text{Var}[Y_m] = 1 - \frac{1}{m} + m \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty$$

Does $Y_m \xrightarrow{D} N(0, 1)$?

But

$$\begin{aligned} P(Y_m \leq a) &= P(Y_m \leq a \cap W_m = 1) + P(Y_m \leq a \cap W_m = 0) \\ &= P(Y_m \leq a | W_m = 1) \cdot P(W_m = 1) + P(Y_m \leq a | W_m = 0) \cdot P(W_m = 0) \\ &= P(Z \leq a) \cdot p_m + P(Z \leq \frac{a}{\sigma_m}) (1 - p_m) \\ &\xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} P(Z \leq a) \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} Y_m \xrightarrow{D} N(0, 1)$ where $p_m = 1 - \frac{1}{m}$

\Rightarrow asymptotic variance is 1.

Definition 10.1.11

A sequence of estimators W_m is asymptotically efficient for a parameter $\tau(\theta)$ if $\sqrt{m}(W_m - \tau(\theta)) \xrightarrow{D} N(0, v(\theta))$

and $v(\theta) = \frac{1}{E\left[\left(\frac{d}{d\theta} \log f(X|\theta)\right)^2\right]}$

$\frac{10.1.16}{\sqrt{m}}(W_m - \tau(\theta)) \rightarrow N(0, \sigma_w^2)$ $\sqrt{m}(W_m - \tau(\theta)) \rightarrow N(0, \sigma_v^2)$ $ARE(W_m) = \frac{\sigma_w^2}{\sigma_v^2}$ asymptotic relative efficiency of W_m w.r.t. W

Theorem 10.1.2

X_1, \dots, X_m iid $f(x|\theta)$. Let $\hat{\theta}$ be the MLE of θ and let $\tau(\theta)$ be a continuous function of θ . Under some regularity conditions on $f(x|\theta)$ (A1-A6 p 516)

$$\sqrt{m}[\tau(\hat{\theta}) - \tau(\theta)] \xrightarrow{D} N(0, v(\theta))$$

where $v(\theta)$ is the Cramer-Rao lower bound.

Proof. Let us consider $\tau(\theta) = \theta$. Then

$$l(\theta|x) = \log L(\theta|x) = \log \left(\prod_{i=1}^m f(x_i|\theta) \right) = \sum_{i=1}^m \log f(x_i|\theta)$$

$$l'(\hat{\theta}_{m,c}|x) = 0 \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{d}{d\theta} \log f(x_i|\hat{\theta}_{m,c}) = 0 = \sum_{i=1}^m h(x_i|\hat{\theta}_{m,c})$$

Now $h(x_i|\hat{\theta}_{m,c}) = h(x_i|\theta) + (\hat{\theta}_{m,c} - \theta) \left[\frac{d}{d\theta} h(x_i|\theta) + R(x_i|\hat{\theta}_{m,c}, \theta) \right]$

$h(x_i|\theta)$ $h(x_i|\theta)$ $(\hat{\theta}_{m,c} - \theta) [C_1(\hat{\theta}_{m,c}, \theta) + C_2(\hat{\theta}_{m,c}, \theta)^2 + \dots]$

Therefore $0 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m h(x_i|\hat{\theta}_{m,c})}{m} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m h(x_i|\theta)}{m} + (\hat{\theta}_{m,c} - \theta) \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{d}{d\theta} h(x_i|\theta)}{m} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m R(x_i|\hat{\theta}_{m,c}, \theta)}{m} \right)$

\bar{u}_m \bar{v}_m \bar{r}_m

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\theta}_{m,c} - \theta = \frac{-\bar{u}_m}{\bar{v}_m + \bar{r}_m}$$

Substitute \underline{x} by $\frac{\underline{x}}{m}$ gives.

$$\hat{\theta}_m - \theta = \frac{-\bar{U}_m}{\bar{V}_m + \bar{R}_m}$$

Now $U_i = S(X_i | \theta)$ are iid. $E[U_i] = 0$, $\text{Var}[U_i] = I(\theta)$

$\Rightarrow \sqrt{m} \bar{U}_m \xrightarrow{D} N(0, I(\theta))$ (Central limit theorem 5.5.15)

$V_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ are iid. $E[V_i] = -I(\theta)$ with finite variance $\Rightarrow \bar{V}_m \xrightarrow{P} -I(\theta)$ (weak law of large numbers 5.5.2)

and $\bar{R}_m \xrightarrow{P} 0$ since $\hat{\theta}_m - \theta \xrightarrow{P} 0$

$\Rightarrow \sqrt{m}(\hat{\theta}_m - \theta) \xrightarrow{D} \frac{N(0, I(\theta))}{-I(\theta)} \sim N(0, \nu(\theta))$

Theorem 10.3.1

~~Let~~ X_1, \dots, X_m iid ~~and~~, $\hat{\theta}$ is the MLE of θ and $f(x|\theta)$

satisfies the regularity conditions A1-A6 p. 516.

For a test $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$ vs. $H_1: \theta \neq \theta_0$, $-2 \log \lambda(\underline{x})$

asymptotically has a $\chi^2(1)$ distribution i. e.

$$-2 \log \lambda(\underline{x}) \xrightarrow{D} \chi^2(1)$$

Proof. Let $L(\theta|\underline{x}) = \log L(\theta|\underline{x})$. A Taylor expansion around

$$\hat{\theta}_{m,c} \text{ gives: } L(\theta|\underline{x}) = L(\hat{\theta}_{m,c}|\underline{x}) + \underbrace{L'(\hat{\theta}_{m,c}|\underline{x})}_{0}(\theta - \hat{\theta}_{m,c}) + \frac{L''(\hat{\theta}_{m,c}|\underline{x})}{2}(\theta - \hat{\theta}_{m,c})^2 + \dots$$

such that $L(\theta_0 | \underline{x}) = L(\hat{\theta}_{m,e} | \underline{x}) + \frac{L''(\hat{\theta}_{m,e} | \underline{x}) (\theta_0 - \hat{\theta}_{m,e})^2}{2} + \dots$

and $-2 \log \lambda(\underline{x}) = -2 \log \left[\frac{L(\theta_0 | \underline{x})}{L(\hat{\theta}_{m,e} | \underline{x})} \right] = -2 L(\theta_0 | \underline{x}) + 2 L(\hat{\theta}_{m,e} | \underline{x})$

$$= -L''(\hat{\theta}_{m,e} | \underline{x}) (\theta_0 - \hat{\theta}_{m,e})^2 + R_m$$

If $\theta = \theta_0$, we have

$$\sqrt{m}(\hat{\theta}_m - \theta_0) \xrightarrow{D} N(0, I(\theta_0)^{-1})$$

and substituting \underline{x} for \underline{x} we get

$$\frac{-L''(\hat{\theta}_m | \underline{x})}{m} = - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m L''(\hat{\theta}_m | X_i)}{m}$$

We have $\frac{\sum_{i=1}^m L''(\theta_0 | X_i)}{m} = \bar{V}_m \xrightarrow{P} -I(\theta_0)$

$$\hat{\theta}_m \xrightarrow{P} \theta_0 \Rightarrow L''(\hat{\theta}_m | X_i) \xrightarrow{P} L''(\theta_0 | X_i) \text{ (continuous).}$$

Therefore $-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^m L''(\hat{\theta}_m | X_i)}{m} \xrightarrow{P} I(\theta_0)$

Hence $-2 \log \lambda(\underline{x}) = - \frac{L''(\hat{\theta}_m | \underline{x}) (\sqrt{m}(\hat{\theta}_m - \theta_0))^2}{m} + R_m$

$$\xrightarrow{D} I(\theta_0) [N(0, I(\theta_0)^{-1})]^2$$

If $U \sim N(0, I(\theta_0)^{-1}) \Rightarrow Z = \sqrt{I(\theta_0)} U \sim N(0, 1)$

and $Z^2 \sim I(\theta_0) U^2 \sim \chi^2(1)$.

Theorem 10.3.3.