

We have

$$\begin{aligned} P((X_1^{\circ}, X_2^{\circ}) = (0,0)) &= P((X_1^{\circ}, X_2^{\circ}) = (0,0) \cap T(X_1, X_2) = 0) \\ &= P((X_1^{\circ}, X_2^{\circ}) = (0,0) | T(X_1, X_2) = 0) \cdot P(T(X_1, X_2) = 0) = (1-\theta)^2 = \\ P((X_1, X_2) &= (0,0)). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly for $(X_1^{\circ}, X_2^{\circ}) = (1,0), (0,1)$ and $(1,1)$

Hence (X_1, X_2) and $(X_1^{\circ}, X_2^{\circ})$ have the same distribution, but are normally not identical, but inference about θ can be equally well made from $(X_1^{\circ}, X_2^{\circ})$.

Definition 6.2.1

$T(\underline{X})$ is a sufficient for θ if the conditional distribution of \underline{X} given $T(\underline{X})$ does not depend on θ .

A sufficient statistic for a parameter θ is a statistic that in a certain sense capture all the information about θ in a sample.

Discrete case

In general experimenter \mathcal{E} who knows $T(\underline{X}) = t$ can generate a distribution $P(\underline{X} = \underline{y} | T(\underline{X}) = t)$ on the set $A_{T(\underline{X})} = \{\underline{y} : T(\underline{y}) = t\}$

A random variable \underline{Y} with this distribution satisfies

$$P(\underline{Y} = \underline{y} | T(\underline{X}) = t) = P(\underline{X} = \underline{y} | T(\underline{X}) = t)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{and } P(\underline{X} = \underline{x}) &= P(\underline{X} = \underline{x} \cap T(\underline{X}) = t) \\ &= P(\underline{X} = \underline{x} \mid T(\underline{X}) = t) \cdot P(T(\underline{X}) = t) \\ &= P(\underline{Y} = \underline{x} \mid T(\underline{X}) = t) \cdot P(T(\underline{X}) = t) \\ &= P(\underline{Y} = \underline{x} \cap T(\underline{X}) = t) = P(\underline{Y} = \underline{x}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{We have } P(\underline{X} = \underline{x} \mid T(\underline{X}) = T(\underline{x}) = t) &= \frac{P(\underline{X} = \underline{x} \cap T(\underline{X}) = T(\underline{x}))}{P(T(\underline{X}) = T(\underline{x}))} \\ &= \frac{P(\underline{X} = \underline{x})}{P(T(\underline{X}) = T(\underline{x}))} = \frac{p(\underline{x} | \theta)}{q(T(\underline{x}) | \theta)} \end{aligned}$$

This gives Theorem 6.2.3

If $p(\underline{x} | \theta)$ is the joint pdf/pmf of \underline{X} and $q(t | \theta)$ is the pdf/pmf of $T(\underline{X})$, then $T(\underline{X})$ is a sufficient statistic for θ if, for every \underline{x} in the sample space $\frac{p(\underline{x} | \theta)}{q(T(\underline{x}) | \theta)}$ is constant as a function of θ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Example. } X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m &\text{ iid Bernoulli } \theta, \quad T = \sum_{i=1}^m X_i \\ \frac{p(\underline{x} | \theta)}{q(T(\underline{x}) | \theta)} &= \frac{\theta^{\sum x_i} (1-\theta)^{m - \sum x_i}}{\binom{m}{t} \theta^t (1-\theta)^{m-t}} = \frac{1}{\binom{m}{t}} \text{ independent of } \theta \text{ is} \\ &\text{constant as a function of } \theta. \end{aligned}$$

Example 6.2.4

X_1, \dots, X_m i.i.d. $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, σ^2 known. $T(\underline{x}) = \bar{x}$

$$f(\underline{x} | \mu) = \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{-\frac{(x_i-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}} = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{m}{2}} e^{-\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{(x_i-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

$$= (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{m}{2}} e^{-\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{(x_i - \bar{x} + \bar{x} - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}} = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{m}{2}} e^{-\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{(x_i - \bar{x})^2}{2\sigma^2} - \frac{m(\bar{x} - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

$$q(T(\underline{x}) | \mu) = q(\bar{x} | \mu) = \left(\frac{2\pi\sigma^2}{m}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{(\bar{x}-\mu)^2 \cdot m}{2\sigma^2}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{f(\underline{x} | \theta)}{q(\bar{x} | \theta)} = \frac{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{m}{2}} e^{-\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{(x_i - \bar{x})^2}{2\sigma^2}}}{\left(\frac{2\pi\sigma^2}{m}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \text{ constant as a function of } \mu.$$

Theorem 6.2.6. Factorization theorem

Let $f(\underline{x} | \theta)$ be the joint pmf/pdf of a sample \underline{x}

$T(\underline{x})$ is a sufficient statistic for $\theta \Leftrightarrow$ There exist a $g(t | \theta)$ such that $\forall \underline{x}$ and all values of θ

$$f(\underline{x} | \theta) = g(T(\underline{x}) | \theta) h(\underline{x})$$

Proof, \underline{x} discrete.

$\Rightarrow T(\underline{x})$ sufficient. let $g(t | \theta) = P(T(\underline{x}) = t)$ and $h(\underline{x}) =$

$P(\underline{x} = \underline{x} | T(\underline{x}) = T(\underline{x}) = t)$. Then $f(\underline{x} | \theta) = P(\underline{x} = \underline{x}) = P(\underline{x} = \underline{x} \cap T(\underline{x}) = T(\underline{x}))$

$= P(\underline{x} = \underline{x} | T(\underline{x}) = T(\underline{x})) \cdot P(T(\underline{x}) = T(\underline{x})) = h(\underline{x}) g(T(\underline{x}) | \theta)$, $\forall \underline{x}$ and $\forall \theta$

$\Leftarrow f(\underline{x} | \theta) = g(T(\underline{x}) | \theta) h(\underline{x})$ and define $A_{T(\underline{x})} = \{\underline{y} : T(\underline{y}) = T(\underline{x}) = t\} = A_t$

Then $\frac{f(\underline{x}|\theta)}{g(T(\underline{x})|\theta)} = \frac{g(T(\underline{x})|\theta) \cdot h(\underline{x})}{g(T(\underline{x})|\theta)} = \frac{g(T(\underline{x})|\theta) \cdot h(\underline{x})}{\sum_{T(\underline{y})} g(T(\underline{y})|\theta) \cdot h(\underline{y})}$

 $= \frac{g(T(\underline{x})|\theta) \cdot h(\underline{x})}{g(T(\underline{x})|\theta) \sum_{T(\underline{y})} h(\underline{y})} = \frac{h(\underline{x})}{\sum_{T(\underline{y})} h(\underline{y})}$ is a constant as a function of θ .

Example. X_1, \dots, X_m iid $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, σ^2 known. $T(\underline{x}) = \bar{x}$

$$f(\underline{x}|\mu) = \underbrace{\left(2\pi\sigma^2\right)^{-\frac{m}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^m (x_i - \bar{x})^2}}_{h(\underline{x})} \cdot \underbrace{e^{-\frac{m(\bar{x} - \mu)}{2\sigma^2}}}_{g(T(\underline{x})|\mu)}$$

Example.

$$f(x|\theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\theta}, & x \in (0, \theta) \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases} = \frac{1}{\theta} I_{(0, \theta)}(x)$$

Sample X_1, \dots, X_m with observations x_1, \dots, x_m

$$f(\underline{x}|\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\theta} I_{(0, \theta)}(x_i) = \frac{1}{\theta^m} \prod_{i=1}^m I_{(0, \theta)}(x_i)$$

Let $T = \max_{i=1, \dots, m} X_i$

$$\text{Then } f(\underline{x}|\theta) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{\theta^m}}_{g(T(\underline{x})|\theta)} \underbrace{\frac{1}{T} I_{(0, T)}(t)}_{h(\underline{x})} \prod_{i=1}^m I_{(0, \infty)}(x_i)$$

$\Rightarrow T = \max_i X_i$ is sufficient for θ

$T(\underline{x}) = \underline{x}$ is sufficient

Let $T(\underline{x})$ be sufficient and define $T^*(\underline{x}) = h(T(\underline{x}))$, $\theta \in$

Then $f(\underline{x}|\theta) = g(T(\underline{x})|\theta) = g(h^{-1}(T^*(\underline{x}))|\theta) h(\underline{x})$

$\Rightarrow T^*(\underline{x})$ is also sufficient.

Theorem 6.2.10

X_1, \dots, X_m iid from a pmf/pdf $f(x|\theta)$

where $f(x|\theta) = h(x) c(\theta) e^{\sum_{i=1}^k w_i(\theta) t_i(x)}$

and $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_d)$, $d \leq k$. Then

$T(\underline{x}) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^m t_1(x_j), \dots, \sum_{j=1}^m t_k(x_j) \right)$ is a sufficient statistic for θ

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} f(\underline{x}|\theta) &= \frac{m}{\prod_{j=1}^m h(x_j)} c(\theta) e^{\sum_{i=1}^k w_i(\theta) \sum_{j=1}^m t_i(x_j)} \\ &= \underbrace{\frac{m}{\prod_{j=1}^m h(x_j)} \left(c(\theta) \right)^m}_{h(\underline{x})} \underbrace{e^{\sum_{i=1}^k w_i(\theta) \sum_{j=1}^m t_i(x_j)}}_{g(T_1(\underline{x}), \dots, T_k(\underline{x})|\theta)} \end{aligned}$$

Example

$$f(x|\mu, \sigma^2) = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{\sigma} e^{-\frac{\mu^2}{2\sigma^2} - \frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{\mu x}{\sigma^2}}$$

$$t_1(x) = x, \quad w_1(\mu, \sigma^2) = \frac{\mu}{\sigma^2}, \quad t_2(x) = \frac{x^2}{2}, \quad w_2(\mu, \sigma^2) = -\frac{1}{\sigma^2}$$

\Rightarrow for X_1, \dots, X_m that.

$\sum_{j=1}^m x_j$ and $\sum_{j=1}^m x_j^2$ are sufficient for (μ, σ^2) .