## Exercise set 8

• Many use very complicated methods for finding the asymptotic variance of  $S_n$  in problem 2c). It is, however, enough to notice that

$$\sum_{i} \frac{(Y_i - \mu)^2}{\sigma^2} \stackrel{d}{=} Z_n,$$

meaning that  $S_n$  is equal in distribution to  $W_n$  times some constants,

$$S_n \stackrel{d}{=} \sigma 2^{1/4} W_n$$

Since the asymptotic distribution of  $W_n$  is  $N(2^{-1/4}, \frac{2^{-3/2}}{n})$ , the asymptotic distribution of  $S_n$  is the asymptotic distribution of  $\sigma 2^{1/4} W_n$  which is  $N(\sigma, \frac{\sigma^2}{2n})$ . It is not necessary to use the delta-method once more, since we already did that for finding the asymptotic distribution of  $W_n$ , and it is not necessary to use the delta-method for finding the asymptotic distribution of  $c \cdot W_n$ , since c is only a constant, and we know how normally distributed variables behave when we multiply them by constants.

• The distribution of a maximum is not the same as the distribution of a random variable. We can therefore not say that  $E[\max X] = \theta/2$ . Use

$$P(\max X \le x) = P(X_1 \le x, X_2 \le x, \dots, X_n \le x) = P(X_1 \le x)^n,$$

when all random variables are iid.

• In problem 3c) a lot of people forget to define where the likelihood is non-zero. Most people say that

$$L(\theta) = \theta^{-n},$$

but then the maximum likelihood estimator would be  $\hat{\theta} = 0$ , not  $\hat{\theta} = \max X$ . The only way to find the MLE is to use the correct likelihood function

$$L(\theta) = \theta^{-n} \prod_{i=1}^{n} I_{[0,\theta]}(X_i) = \theta^{-n} I_{(-\infty,\theta]}(\max X) I_{[0,\infty)}(\min X).$$

• In problem 4c) most people comment that we put more weight on the prior if  $\sigma^2$  is larger than  $\tau^2$ , and more weight on the observations if  $\tau^2$  is larger than  $\sigma^2$ , but

almost none mention the fact that we have an n in the expression as well. The weights are  $\sigma^2$  and  $n\tau^2$ , meaning that we also put more weight on the observations if we have a lot of observations, and more weight on the prior if we have few observations.

• In problem 2b) it is not necessary to prove that the distribution of  $Z_n$  is a gammadistribution using moment-generating functions. This is a well-known thing by now, and the exercise only asks us what the distribution is. It is enough to say that we have a sum of iid gamma-distributed variables, and we know that the sum of iid gamma-distributed variables is gamma-distributed and what the parameters in the distribution are.