



Our aim here is to establish *domain of dependence/finite speed of propagation* for the wave equation with lower-order linear terms. The material here supersedes the discussion in Section 3.4c of McOwen.

So we consider

$$(1) \quad \underbrace{u_{tt} - c^2 \Delta u}_{\text{principal part}} + \underbrace{b(x, t) \cdot Du + a(x, t)u}_{\text{lower-order terms}} = 0 \quad (u = u(x, t), x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t \in \mathbb{R}),$$

where we use the notation

$$Du = (c\nabla, u_t)$$

and

$$b = (b_1, \dots, b_{n+1}),$$

so that

$$b \cdot Du = (b_1 c)u_{x_1} + \dots + (b_n c)u_{x_n} + b_{n+1}u_t.$$

Moreover, we assume that  $a(x, t)$  and  $b_j(x, t)$  are continuous and bounded. Thus, there exists  $M < \infty$  such that

$$(2) \quad 1 + |a(x, t)| + |b(x, t)| \leq M \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Now fix a point  $P = (x_0, t_0)$  with  $t_0 > 0$ . Let  $\Lambda_P$  denote the domain of dependence of the point  $P$ , as for the standard wave equation (without lower-order terms). Specifically,

$$\Lambda_P = \{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} : 0 \leq t \leq t_0, |x - x_0| \leq c(t_0 - t)\}.$$

We shall show that this is also the domain of dependence for the wave equation *with* lower order terms. (Therefore, it is the principal part which determines the domain of dependence, not the lower-order terms.) The time-slices of the cone  $\Lambda_P$  are defined by

$$B_t = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : (x, t) \in \Lambda_P\} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x - x_0| \leq c(t_0 - t)\} \quad (0 \leq t \leq t_0).$$

So  $B_t$  is just the closed ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of radius  $c(t_0 - t)$  and centered at 0.

We shall prove the following theorem (this generalizes Theorem 2 in Section 3.3 of McOwen).

**Theorem 1.** *With notation as above, suppose  $u \in C^2(\Lambda_P)$  satisfies (1) in  $\Lambda_P$ , with zero initial data in the base:*

$$u(x, 0) = u_t(x, 0) = 0 \quad \text{for } x \in B_0.$$

*Then  $u = 0$  in  $\Lambda_P$ .*

Before proving this, let us note the following corollary:

**Corollary (Uniqueness).** *Uniqueness holds for solutions  $u \in C^2(\Lambda_P)$  of the Cauchy problem*

$$(3) \quad \begin{cases} u_{tt} - c^2 \Delta u + b(x, t) \cdot Du + a(x, t)u = f(x, t), & (x, t) \in \Lambda_P, \\ u(x, 0) = g(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = h(x), & x \in B_0. \end{cases}$$

To prove this corollary, assume that  $u, v \in C^2(\Lambda_P)$  both solve (3). Then  $w = u - v$  solves (3) with  $f = 0$  and  $g = h = 0$ , so Theorem 1 implies that  $w = 0$ , and hence  $u = v$ , in  $\Lambda_P$ .

This corollary shows that  $\Lambda_P$  is the domain of dependence of  $P$ . Indeed, suppose now that  $u$  is a  $C^2$  solution of (3), but on the whole space  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ , not just on  $\Lambda_P$ . Then the corollary shows that  $u(P)$  can only depend on the values of  $f(x, t)$  for  $(x, t) \in \Lambda_P$  and on  $g(x)$  and  $h(x)$  for  $x$  in the base  $B_0$  of  $\Lambda_P$ .

We now prove Theorem 1.

*Step 1.* We define

$$\mathcal{E}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_t} \underbrace{u_t^2 + c^2 |\nabla u|^2 + u^2}_{\text{call this } e = e(x, t)} dx \quad (0 \leq t \leq t_0).$$

Note that  $\mathcal{E}(0) = 0$ . Our plan is to show that  $\mathcal{E}(t) = 0$  for all  $0 \leq t \leq t_0$ . If we are able to do this, then it follows that  $e(x, t) = 0$  for all  $(x, t) \in \Lambda_P$  (here we rely on the fact that  $e(x, t) \geq 0$  by definition), so in particular  $u(x, t) = 0$ , and then we are done.

*Step 2.* We calculate  $\mathcal{E}'(t)$ . To this end we rewrite the integral defining  $\mathcal{E}(t)$ , by passing to polar coordinates  $x = x_0 + ry$ , where  $r = |x - x_0|$  and  $y$  is on the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Thus,  $0 \leq r \leq c(t_0 - t)$ , and  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $|y| = 1$ . Let  $dS(y)$  be the surface area element on the unit sphere. Thus,<sup>1</sup>

$$\mathcal{E}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_t} e(x, t) dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{c(t_0-t)} \left( \int_{|y|=1} e(x_0 + ry, t) dS(y) \right) r^{n-1} dr.$$

The advantage now is that it is easy to take the derivative; by the usual rule for differentiating an integral with respect to a parameter,<sup>2</sup>

$$\mathcal{E}'(t) = -\frac{c}{2} (c[t_0 - t])^{n-1} \int_{|y|=1} e(x_0 + c[t_0 - t]y, t) dS(y) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{c(t_0-t)} \left( \int_{|y|=1} e_t(x_0 + ry, t) dS(y) \right) r^{n-1} dr.$$

Having differentiated, it is more convenient to switch back to the original variables. For the first integral, we note that  $x = x_0 + c[t_0 - t]y$  is a point on the boundary  $\partial B_t$  of  $B_t$ , and the relation between the surface area elements is  $dS(x) = (c[t_0 - t])^{n-1} dS(y)$  (the areas in question are  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional). Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}'(t) &= -\frac{c}{2} \int_{\partial B_t} e(x, t) dS(x) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_t} e_t(x, t) dx \\ &= -\frac{c}{2} \int_{\partial B_t} u_t^2 + c^2 |\nabla u|^2 + u^2 dS(x) + \int_{B_t} u_t u_{tt} + c^2 \nabla u \cdot \nabla u_t + u u_t dx \\ &= -\frac{c}{2} \int_{\partial B_t} u_t^2 + c^2 |\nabla u|^2 + u^2 dS(x) + \int_{B_t} u_t (u_{tt} - c^2 \Delta u + u) dx + \int_{\partial B_t} c^2 u_t (\nabla u \cdot \nu) dS(x) \\ &= \int_{\partial B_t} c \left[ u_t c (\nabla u \cdot \nu) - \frac{1}{2} (u_t^2 + c^2 |\nabla u|^2 + u^2) \right] dS(x) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_t} u_t (-b \cdot Du + (1 - a)u) dx \\ &= I + J, \end{aligned}$$

where in the third step we used the general integration by parts formula,<sup>3</sup> and in the fourth step we used the equation (1). Note that  $\nu$  is the exterior unit normal on the sphere  $\partial B_t$ .

<sup>1</sup>If you prefer, just take  $n = 3$ . Then you can use spherical coordinates  $y = (\cos \phi, \sin \phi \cos \theta, \sin \phi \sin \theta)$ , where  $0 \leq \phi \leq \pi$  and  $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$ . Then  $dS(y) = \sin \phi d\phi d\theta$ , hence

$$\mathcal{E}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_t} e(x, t) dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{c(t_0-t)} \left( \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi e(x_0 + r(\cos \phi, \sin \phi \cos \theta, \sin \phi \sin \theta), t) \sin \phi d\phi d\theta \right) r^2 dr.$$

<sup>2</sup>The rule we need is  $\frac{d}{dt} \left( \int_0^{\alpha(t)} F(s, t) ds \right) = F(\alpha(t), t) \cdot \alpha'(t) + \int_0^{\alpha(t)} F_t(s, t) ds$ . This is valid, e.g., if  $F(s, t)$  and  $F_t(s, t)$  are both continuous functions, which is the case in the present application.

<sup>3</sup>This formula reads

$$\int_{\Omega} f_{x_i} g dx = - \int_{\Omega} f g_{x_i} dx + \int_{\partial \Omega} f g \nu_i dS,$$

for  $f, g \in C^1(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$  such that  $f_{x_i}, g_{x_i} \in L^1(\Omega)$ . Here  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain with smooth boundary, and  $\nu$  is the exterior unit normal on  $\partial \Omega$ .

Step 3. We estimate  $\mathcal{E}'(t)$ . From Step 2 we have  $\mathcal{E}'(t) = I + J$ . First we estimate

$$(4) \quad I = \int_{\partial B_t} c \left[ u_t c (\nabla u \cdot \nu) - \frac{1}{2} (u_t^2 + c^2 |\nabla u|^2 + u^2) \right] dS(x) \leq -\frac{c}{2} \int_{\partial B_t} u^2 dS(x) \leq 0,$$

where we used the fact that for any real numbers  $q$  and  $r$ , we have

$$(5) \quad qr \leq \frac{1}{2}(q^2 + r^2),$$

and we used the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, which states that if  $x$  and  $y$  are vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then

$$(6) \quad |x \cdot y| \leq |x| |y|.$$

Together these inequalities imply (recall also that  $|\nu| = 1$ )

$$u_t c (\nabla u \cdot \nu) \leq \frac{1}{2} (u_t^2 + c^2 |\nabla u \cdot \nu|^2) \leq \frac{1}{2} (u_t^2 + c^2 |\nabla u|^2),$$

which gives (4).

Next, we estimate

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} J &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_t} u_t (-b \cdot Du + (1-a)u) dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_t} u_t (|b| |Du| + [1+|a|] |u|) dx \\ &\leq \frac{M}{2} \int_{B_t} u_t (|Du| + |u|) dx && \text{by (2)} \\ &\leq \frac{M}{2} \int_{B_t} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (u_t^2 + |Du|^2) + \frac{1}{2} (u_t^2 + u^2) \right] dx && \text{by (5)} \\ &\leq M \int_{B_t} [u_t^2 + c^2 |\nabla u|^2 + u^2] dx && \text{since } |Du|^2 = u_t^2 + c^2 |\nabla u|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Step 4. From (4) and (7) we conclude that

$$\mathcal{E}'(t) \leq M\mathcal{E}(t) \quad (0 \leq t \leq t_0).$$

To solve this *differential inequality*, as it is called, we should think about how we would solve the corresponding *differential equation*, if we had equality instead of inequality. Thus, it is natural to try to multiply both sides by the integrating factor  $\exp(-Mt)$ . This gives

$$\frac{d}{dt} (e^{-Mt} \mathcal{E}(t)) \leq 0,$$

so the function  $e^{-Mt} \mathcal{E}(t)$  is nonincreasing. Therefore,  $e^{-Mt} \mathcal{E}(t) \leq \mathcal{E}(0)$ , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{E}(t) \leq e^{Mt} \mathcal{E}(0) \quad (0 \leq t \leq t_0).$$

But in our case,  $\mathcal{E}(0) = 0$ , so we finally conclude that

$$\mathcal{E}(t) = 0 \quad (0 \leq t \leq t_0),$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 1.