

TMA4305 Partial Differential Equations Spring 2009

Solutions Week 16

1 (Corrected version)

a) The easiest way to solve this problem is to check that (do it!) from the definitions of H_0^2 and H_0^1 that

$$u \in H_0^2(\Omega) \implies u, u_{x_1}, \dots, u_{x_n} \in H_0^1(\Omega),$$

and then use the Poincare inequality in $H_0^1(\Omega)$,

$$\|u\|_2^2 \le C \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \qquad \forall v \in H^1_0(\Omega).$$

The result is the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{2}^{2} + \|u_{x_{1}}\|_{2}^{2} \cdots + \|u_{x_{n}}\|_{2} &\leq (1+C) \|u_{x_{1}}\|_{2}^{2} \cdots + (1+C) \|u_{x_{n}}\|_{2} \\ &\leq C(1+C)(\|u_{x_{1}x_{1}}\|_{2}^{2} + \|u_{x_{1}x_{2}}\|_{2}^{2} + \cdots + \|u_{x_{1}x_{n}}\|_{2}^{2}) + \\ &\cdots + C(1+C)(\|u_{x_{n}x_{1}}\|_{2}^{2} + \|u_{x_{n}x_{2}}\|_{2}^{2} + \cdots + \|u_{x_{n}x_{n}}\|_{2}^{2}) \\ &= C(1+C) \|D^{2}u\|_{2}^{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we can conclude from the inequility $||D^2u||_2 \le C||\Delta u||_2$.

b) The idea is to prove that $(H_0^2(\Omega), (\cdot, \cdot))$ is a Hilbert space when

$$(u,v) = \int_{\Omega} \Delta u \Delta v,$$

and that

$$F(v) = \int_{\Omega} f v$$

is a bounded linear functional on $(H_0^2(\Omega), (\cdot, \cdot))$ when $f \in L^2(\Omega)$. We can then use Riesz representation theorem to conclude that there is a unique $u \in H_2^2(\Omega)$ such that

$$(u, v) = F(v)$$
 for all $v \in H_0^2(\Omega)$,

and hence there is a unique weak solution of (1).

- 1) (\cdot, \cdot) is an inner product on $H_0^2(\Omega)$: Let $u, v, w \in H_0^2(\Omega)$, $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, then
 - i) $(u, u) \ge 0$

ii)
$$0 = (u, u) = \|\Delta u\|_2^2 \implies \|u\|_2 = 0 \implies u = 0 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega \implies u = 0 \text{ in } H_0^2(\Omega).$$

iii) $(au + bu | w) = a(u | w) + b(u | w)$

- iii) (au + bv, w) = a(u, w) + b(v, w)
- iv) (u, v) = (v, u)
- 2) The induced norm $|u|^2 = (u, u)$ is equivalent to the H^2 norm $\|\cdot\|_{2,2}$, and hence $(H_0^2(\Omega), |\cdot|_{2,2})$ is complete since $(H_0^2(\Omega), \|\cdot\|_{2,2})$ is complete:

It is obvious that

$$|u|_{2,2} \le ||u||_{2,2}$$
 for $u \in H_0^2(\Omega)$.

To prove the opposite inequality, we need the Poincare type inequalities from Exercise 1 and the Hint:

$$\|u\|_{2}^{2} + \|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2} + \|D^{2}u\|_{2}^{2} \le C\|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2} \quad \text{for} \quad u \in H_{0}^{2}(\Omega).$$

By these inequalities it follows that

$$\|u\|_{2,2}^2 = \|u\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \|D^2 u\|_2^2 \le C \|\Delta u\|_2^2 = C |u|_{2,2}^2,$$

and hence the norms are equivalent.

3) *F* is a bounded linear functional: This is obvious e.g.

$$|F(v)| \le ||f||_2 ||v||_2 \le K ||f||_2 |v|_{2,2}.$$

2 *Exercise 6.2.4.* For a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we set

$$\lambda_1 = \inf_{u \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega), \ u \neq 0} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^2 \ dx}{\int_{\Omega} u(x)^2 \ dx}.$$

a) We prove $\lambda_1 > 0$. In fact, by the Poincaré inequality,

$$\int_{\Omega} u(x)^2 \, dx \le C \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^2 \, dx,$$

for all $u \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$, where C > 0 only depends on Ω . So if $u \neq 0$ (so that $\int_{\Omega} u(x)^2 dx > 0$), then we have

$$\frac{1}{C} \le \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^2 \, dx}{\int_{\Omega} u(x)^2 \, dx},$$

which implies $\lambda_1 \ge 1/C > 0$.

b) We consider the Dirichlet problem

(1)
$$\begin{cases} \Delta u + cu = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ is given and $c < \lambda_1$ is a constant.

We are asked to prove the existence of a weak solution $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$. By definition, this must satisfy

$$\underbrace{\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v - cuv \, dx}_{=B(u,v)} = -\underbrace{\int_{\Omega} f v \, dx}_{=F_f(v)} \quad \text{for all } v \in C_0^{\infty}.$$

So the existence follows from the Riesz representation theorem, provided we can show that B(u, v) is an inner product on $H_0^1(\Omega)$, whose associated norm $\sqrt{B(u, u)}$ is equivalent to the standard norms $\|\cdot\|_{1,2}$ and $|\cdot|_{1,2}$ on $H_0^1(\Omega)$ (recall that the latter two are equivalent by Poincaré's inequality).

Clearly, B(u, v) is symmetric, and it is linear in both u and v. It remains to obtain upper and lower bounds on B(u, u). By density, it suffices to do this for $u \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$, $u \neq 0$. Since

$$-\int_{\Omega} u(x)^2 dx \ge -\frac{1}{\lambda_1} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx,$$

we have

(2)
$$B(u,u) \ge \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx = \varepsilon |u|_{1,2}^2, \quad \text{where} \quad \varepsilon = \left(1 - \frac{c}{\lambda_1}\right) > 0.$$

On the other hand, it is obvious that

(3)
$$B(u, u) \le (1 + |c|) \|u\|_{1,2}^2$$

From (2) we conclude that *B* satisfies the positivity required of an inner product, and from (2) and (3) together we conclude that the norm $\sqrt{B(u, u)}$ is equivalent to the standard norms $\|\cdot\|_{1,2}$ and $|\cdot|_{1,2}$ on $H_0^1(\Omega)$.

<u>3</u> *Exercise 6.3.3.* Suppose *H* is a Hilbert space, and that $x_n \to x$ weakly in *H*. Moreover, suppose that $||x_n|| \to ||x||$. We are going to prove that this implies strong convergence $x_n \to x$.

Indeed, we have

$$||x_n - x||^2 = \langle x_n - x, x_n - x \rangle = ||x_n||^2 - 2 \langle x_n, x \rangle + ||x||^2.$$

By assumption, the first term on the right hand side converges to $||x||^2$. By weak convergence, the second term converges to $-2\langle x, x \rangle = -2 ||x||^2$. We conclude that $||x_n - x||^2 \to 0$, hence $||x_n - x|| \to 0$, which means precisely that $x_n \to x$ strongly.

<u>4</u> *Exercise 6.3.7.* If suffices to consider $H = l^2(\mathbb{N})$, since every separable Hilbert space is isometrically isomorphic to this space.

So assume $\{x_j\} \subset l^2(\mathbb{N})$ is bounded. I.e., writing $x_j = \{\alpha_n^j\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in l^2(\mathbb{N})$, there exists a constant C > 0 such that

(4)
$$||x_j||^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\alpha_n^j)^2 \le C^2$$

for all j. In particular, this implies that

$$\left|\alpha_{n}^{j}\right| \leq C$$
 for all j and n .

Therefore, starting with n = 1, we can find a sequence

(5)
$$j_1^1 < j_2^1 < \dots < j_k^1 < \dots$$

in \mathbb{N} such that $\alpha_1^{j_k^1}$ converges to a number α_1 as $k \to \infty$.

Next, take n = 2. Since $\alpha_2^{j_k^1} \le C$ for all k, we can then find a sequence

(6)
$$j_1^2 < j_2^2 < \dots < j_k^2 < \dots$$

which is a *subsequence* of (5), and such that $\alpha_2^{j_k^2}$ converges to a number α_2 as $k \to \infty$. Continuing in this way, we obtain an infinite matrix

with the properties: (i) each row is a subsequence of the previous row, and (ii) $\lim_{k\to\infty} \alpha_n^{j_n^k} = \alpha_n$. Now we apply the diagonal argument, defining $\beta_n^k = \alpha_n^{j_k^k}$. Then by the properties (i) and (ii),

(7)
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \beta_n^k = \alpha_n$$

for all *n*.

Define

$$y_k = \{\beta_n^k\}_{n=1}^\infty$$

Thus, $y_k = x_{j_k^k}$, so $\{y_k\}$ is a subsequence of $\{x_j\}$.

From (4) we get, letting $j \to \infty$ along the subsequence j_k^k ,

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n^2 \le C^2,$$

for all N, hence

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^2 \le C^2$$

Defining

$$x = \{\alpha_n\},\$$

we then have $x \in l^2(\mathbb{N})$.

To finish up, we show that $y_k \to x$ weakly in $l^2(\mathbb{N})$. To this end, let $z = \{\gamma_n\} \in l^2(\mathbb{N})$. We have to prove that $\langle y_k, z \rangle \to \langle x, z \rangle$ as $k \to \infty$, i.e.,

(8)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\beta_n^k - \alpha_n \right] \gamma_n \to 0 \quad \text{as } k \to \infty.$$

So let $\varepsilon > 0$. For arbitrary *N*, we have by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and (4),

$$\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \beta_n^k \gamma_n \le \sqrt{\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} (\beta_n^k)^2} \sqrt{\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \gamma_n^2} \le C \sqrt{\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \gamma_n^2}.$$

Now choose N so large that

$$\sqrt{\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \gamma_n^2} \le \frac{\varepsilon}{C}.$$

Then we get

 $\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \beta_n^k \gamma_n \le \varepsilon \qquad \text{for all } k.$

Similarly we obtain

(10)
$$\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \alpha_n \gamma_n \le \varepsilon.$$

By (7) we have

(11)
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} \left[\beta_n^k - \alpha_n \right] \gamma_n \right) = 0$$

Combining (9), (10) and (11), we conclude that (8) holds.