



- 1 a) Consider $g \in H^1(\Omega)$. The set

$$\mathcal{A} = \{u \in H^1(\Omega) : u - g \in H_0^1(\Omega)\}$$

is weakly closed in $H^1(\Omega)$.

Proof. \mathcal{A} weakly closed in $H^1(\Omega)$ means that

$$\mathcal{A} \ni u_k \rightharpoonup u \text{ in } H^1(\Omega) \Rightarrow u \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Note that

$$\mathcal{A} \ni u_k \rightharpoonup u \text{ in } H^1(\Omega)$$

\Downarrow

$$H_0^1(\Omega) \ni (u_k - g) \rightharpoonup (u - g) \text{ in } H_0^1(\Omega)$$

\Downarrow

$$H_0^1(\Omega) \ni (u_k - g), \quad \|u_k - g\|_{1,2} \leq M \text{ for all } k$$

\Downarrow

$$\text{There exists } \{u_{k_j} - g\} \subset \{u_k - g\}, w \in H_0^1(\Omega) \text{ such that } u_{k_j} - g \rightharpoonup w$$

But, since $(u_{k_j} - g) \rightharpoonup (u - g)$ and the weak limit is unique,

$$u - g = w \in H_0^1(\Omega) \Rightarrow u \in \mathcal{A}$$

□

- b) Define

$$F(u) = \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + f u \right)$$

Consider a function $g \in H^1(\Omega)$ and the set

$$\mathcal{A} = \{u \in H^1(\Omega) : u - g \in H_0^1(\Omega)\}$$

Then,

$$F(v) \geq C_1 \|v\|_{1,2}^2 - C_2$$

for all $v \in \mathcal{A}$ and a $C_1 > 0$.

Proof. Obs 1: For $\epsilon > 0$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$(\bar{a} - \bar{b}) \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow 2\bar{a}\bar{b} \leq \bar{a}^2 + \bar{b}^2 \Rightarrow 2ab \leq \frac{a^2}{\epsilon} + \epsilon b^2 \text{ when } \bar{a} = \frac{a}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}, \bar{b} = \epsilon b^2.$$

Obs 2: $\|u\| \leq \|u - g\| + \|g\|$ and $\|g\| \leq \|u - g\| + \|u\|$. So,

$$\|u - g\|^2 \geq (\|u\| - \|g\|)^2 = \|u\|^2 - 2\|u\|\|g\| + \|g\|^2.$$

Hence by Obs 1 with $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$,

$$\|u - g\|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|^2 - \|g\|^2$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int |\nabla u|^2 &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla(u-g)|^2 - \int |\nabla g|^2 \quad (\text{Obs 2 with } u+g \text{ instead of } u) \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1+C_\Omega} \|u-g\|_{1,2}^2 - \|g\|_{1,2}^2 \quad (\text{Poincare: } \|\phi\|_2^2 \leq C_\Omega \|\nabla \phi\|_2^2, \phi \in H_0^1(\Omega)) \\
&\geq \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{1+C_\Omega} \|u\|_{1,2}^2 - \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1+C_\Omega} + 1 \right) \|g\|_{1,2}^2 \quad (\text{Obs 2 again}).
\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$K = \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{1+C_\Omega} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{K} = \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1+C_\Omega} + 1 \right),$$

and note that from Obs 1 with $\epsilon = \frac{K}{2}$ we get

$$\int |fu| \leq \frac{\|f\|_2^2}{2\epsilon} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \|u\|_{1,2}^2 \leq \frac{\|f\|_2^2}{2\epsilon} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \|u\|_{1,2}^2 = \frac{K}{4} \|u\|_{1,2}^2 + \frac{\|f\|_2^2}{K}.$$

Therefore, using the previous two relationships on $\int |\nabla u|^2$ and $\int |fu|$ and the fact that $u \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
F(u) &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla u|^2 - \int |fu| \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2} K \|u\|_{1,2}^2 - \bar{K} \|g\|_{1,2}^2 - \frac{1}{4} K \|u\|_{1,2}^2 - \frac{1}{K} \|f\|_2^2 \\
&\geq \frac{1}{4} K \|u\|_{1,2}^2 - (\bar{K} \|g\|_{1,2}^2 + \frac{1}{K} \|f\|_2^2)
\end{aligned}$$

□

2 *Exercise 7.1.6.* Here $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded domain, $q : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a bounded function, with upper bound $\eta \geq 0$, i.e., $q(x) \leq \eta$ for all $x \in \Omega$, and $f \in L^2(\Omega)$. We consider the Dirichlet problem

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta u + qu = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

We are asked to prove that there exists a unique weak solution.

By the usual integration by parts argument, the natural definition of a weak solution for this problem is the following:

$$u \in X := H_0^1(\Omega)$$

is a weak solution of (1) if

$$\int_{\Omega} -\nabla u \cdot \nabla v + quv \, dx = \int_{\Omega} f v \, dx \quad \text{for all } v \in C_0^\infty(\Omega).$$

Equivalently, in our standard notation,

$$(2) \quad -(u, v)_1 + \langle qu, v \rangle = \langle f, v \rangle.$$

Note also that by density of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ in X , saying that (2) holds for all $v \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ is equivalent to saying that it holds for all $v \in X$.

We now want to find a functional $F : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that the Euler-Lagrange equation $D_\nu F(u) = 0$ is equivalent to (2). Based on our experience with the standard Poisson equation, this is not too difficult. We try

$$F(u) = \frac{1}{2} (u, u)_1 - \frac{1}{2} \langle qu, u \rangle + \langle f, u \rangle.$$

Then

$$(3) \quad F(u+v) - F(u) = (u, v)_1 + \frac{1}{2} (v, v)_1 + \langle f, v \rangle - \langle qu, v \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle qv, v \rangle$$

for all $u, v \in X$, hence

$$(4) \quad D_v F(u) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(u + \varepsilon v) - F(u)}{\varepsilon} = (u, v)_1 + \langle f, v \rangle - \langle qu, v \rangle,$$

so that $D_v F(u) = 0$ is indeed equivalent to (2).

Existence. It suffices to find a minimizer for F , i.e., a $u \in X$ such that $F(u) = I$, where $I = \inf_X F$. We first prove that F is bounded below, so that I is a well-defined real number. Using the upper bound $q(x) \leq \eta$, and the inequalities of Cauchy-Schwarz and Poincaré, we have

$$(5) \quad \langle qu, u \rangle \leq \eta \|u\|_2^2 \leq C^2 \eta \|\nabla u\|_2^2 = C^2 \eta (u, u)_1,$$

where $C = C(\Omega) > 0$. Similarly, and using also exercise 1 above,

$$(6) \quad |\langle f, u \rangle| \leq \|f\|_2 \|u\|_2 \leq C \|f\|_2 \|\nabla u\|_2 \leq \frac{C^2}{4\varepsilon} \|f\|_2^2 + \varepsilon \|\nabla u\|_2^2.$$

By (5) and (14), we have for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$(7) \quad F(u) \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} C^2 \eta - \varepsilon \right) \|\nabla u\|_2^2 - \frac{C^2}{4\varepsilon} \|f\|_2^2,$$

which proves that F is bounded below, provided η satisfies

$$(8) \quad \eta < \frac{1}{C^2}.$$

(Then we can choose $\varepsilon > 0$ so small that $(1/2)C^2\eta + \varepsilon < 1/2$, hence the first term on the right hand side of (7) is non-negative.)

Now let $\{u_j\} \subset X$ be a minimizing sequence for F , i.e., $F(u_j) \rightarrow I$. We can also assume $F(u_j) \leq I + 1$ for all j . We show $\{u_j\}$ is bounded:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla u_j\|_2^2 &= 2F(u_j) + \langle qu_j, u_j \rangle - 2\langle f, u_j \rangle && \text{by definition of } F \\ &\leq 2(I + 1) + C^2 \eta \|\nabla u_j\|_2^2 + \frac{C^2}{2\varepsilon} \|f\|_2^2 + 2\varepsilon \|\nabla u_j\|_2^2, && \text{by (5) and (14)} \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$(1 - C^2 \eta - 2\varepsilon) \|\nabla u_j\|_2^2 \leq 2(I + 1) + \frac{C^2}{4\varepsilon} \|f\|_2^2.$$

So assuming (8) holds, and choosing $\varepsilon > 0$ so small that $C^2\eta + 2\varepsilon < 1$, we conclude that $\{u_j\}$ is bounded in X (recall that $\|u\|_{1,2} = \|\nabla u\|_2$ is one of the equivalent norms that we use on X).

By the theorem about weak compactness in a Hilbert space, we can therefore assume that

$$(9) \quad u_j \rightharpoonup u \quad \text{weakly in } X.$$

Moreover, by the compactness of the inclusion $H_0^1(\Omega) \subset L^2(\Omega)$, we can assume that

$$(10) \quad u_j \rightarrow u' \quad \text{in } L^2(\Omega).$$

We must have

$$(11) \quad u = u',$$

by uniqueness of weak limits in $L^2(\Omega)$. To finish we must show $F(u) \leq I$; then it follows that $F(u) = I$, i.e., u is a minimizer, hence a critical point, hence a weak solution of (1).

To this end, we need the following construction. Let us define, for all $u, v \in X$,

$$B(u, v) = (u, v)_1 - \langle qu, v \rangle.$$

We claim this is an inner product on X , whose associated norm is equivalent to the standard norms on X . Clearly, B is symmetric, and linear in both u and v . By (5),

$$(12) \quad B(u, u) \geq (1 - C^2\eta) \|\nabla u\|_2^2.$$

Let M be a bound for $|q|$, so $|q(x)| \leq M$ for all $x \in \Omega$. Then using again Cauchy-Schwarz and Poincaré as in the proof of (5), we have

$$|\langle qu, u \rangle| \leq M \|u\|_2^2 \leq C^2 M \|\nabla u\|_2^2,$$

whence

$$(13) \quad B(u, u) \leq (1 + MC^2) \|\nabla u\|_2^2.$$

From (12), (13) and (8), we conclude that B is positive definite, and the associated norm

$$\|u\| = \sqrt{B(u, u)}$$

is equivalent to the standard norms on X .

With this knowledge, we can finish the proof that u is a minimizer. We write

$$\begin{aligned} F(u) &= \frac{1}{2} B(u, u) + \langle f, u \rangle && \text{by definition of } F \\ &\leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{2} B(u_j, u_j) \right) + \langle f, u \rangle && \text{by (9) and exercises 5 and 2 above} \\ &= \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{2} B(u_j, u_j) \right) + \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \langle f, u_j \rangle && \text{by (10) and (11), and exercise 4(ii)} \\ &\leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} F(u_j) && \text{by exercise 3} \\ &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} F(u_j) && \text{by exercise 4(ii)} \\ &= I. \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof of weak existence.

Uniqueness. By (3), (5) and (12), and assuming (8) holds,

$$(14) \quad F(u + v) - F(u) - D_v F(u) = B(v, v) \geq (1 - C^2\eta) \|\nabla v\|_2^2 > 0$$

for all $u, v \in X$, $v \neq 0$. Thus, we have strict convexity, which implies uniqueness.

3 (McOwen 7.1:8 b)

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be bounded. Consider

$$F(u) = \int_{\Omega} \sqrt{1 + u_x^2 + u_y^2} dx dy$$

where $u \in H^1(\Omega)$.

$$\mathcal{A} = \{u \in H^1(\Omega) : u - g \in H_0^1(\Omega)\} = \{u = g + v : v \in H_0^1(\Omega)\}$$

The function u has a critical point of F on \mathcal{A} if

$$0 = D_v F(u) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(u + tv) - F(u)}{t}$$

for all $v \in H^1(\Omega)$ such that $u + tv \in \mathcal{A}$ for t small.

Obs: $u + tv \in \mathcal{A}$ for t small implies $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$.

Let

$$f(p, q) = \sqrt{1 + p^2 + q^2}$$

and note that $f_p = \frac{p}{f(p, q)}$, $f_q = \frac{q}{f(p, q)}$, and f, f_p, f_q continuous. Formally:

$$\begin{aligned} D_v F(u) &= \frac{d}{dt} F(u + tv) \Big|_{t=0} = \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(u_x + tv_x, u_y + tv_y) \Big|_{t=0} \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{(u_x + tv_x)v_x}{f(u_x + tv_x, u_y + tv_y)} + \frac{(u_y + tv_y)v_y}{f(u_x + tv_x, u_y + tv_y)} \right) \Big|_{t=0} \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_x v_x + u_y v_y}{f(u_x, u_y)} = \int_{\Omega} \frac{\nabla u \cdot \nabla v}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2}} \end{aligned}$$

These computations are correct if e.g. $u, v \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$ and then they hold by density for $u, v \in H^1(\Omega)$. Hence: $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ critical point of F on \mathcal{A} if the Euler-Lagrange equation holds:

$$0 = D_v(F) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{\nabla u \cdot \nabla v}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2}} \quad \text{for all } v \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

Assume $u \in C^2(\Omega)$, integration by parts in the previous expression gives

$$0 = - \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2}} \right) v \quad \text{for all } v \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

The variational lemma then implies

$$\operatorname{div} \left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2}} \right) = 0$$

Obs:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{x_i} \left(\frac{u_{x_i}}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2}} \right) &= \frac{u_{x_i x_i}}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2}} + u_{x_i} \partial_{x_i} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2}} \\ &= \frac{u_{x_i x_i}}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2}} - u_{x_i} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(1 + |\nabla u|^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \sum 2u_{x_j} u_{x_j x_i} \\ &= \frac{(1 + |\nabla u|^2)u_{x_i x_i} - \sum u_{x_i} u_{x_j} u_{x_j x_i}}{(1 + |\nabla u|^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$0 = \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2}} \right) = \frac{(1 + |\nabla u|^2)\Delta u - \sum \sum u_{x_i} u_{x_j} u_{x_j x_i}}{(1 + |\nabla u|^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}$$

So, since we are in \mathbb{R}^2 ,

$$0 = (1 + u_x^2 + u_y^2)u_{xx} - 2u_x u_y u_{xy} + (1 + u_x^2 + u_y^2)u_{yy}$$

In other words, the above Euler Lagrange equation is a weak formulation of a minimal surface equation!