## Quasi-generalised KPZ equation

#### Yvain Bruned University of Edinburgh Work in progress with Mate Gerencser

10th May 2019, Trondheim

< □ > < □ > < 클 > < 클 > ミ 클 > う Q <sup>Q</sup> 1/14

#### The equation

It is given by

$$\partial_t u - a(u)\partial_x^2 u = f(u)(\partial_x u)^2 + g(u)\xi$$

where  $\xi$  is a space-time white noise and *a* smooth and non degenerate.

- The case a = 1 is well-understood.
- The main difficulty is the treatment of  $a(u)\partial_x^2 u$  and the need of some renormalisation.

Writing the equation in the divergence form we get:

$$\partial_t u - \partial_x (a(u)\partial_x u) = (f(u) - a'(u))(\partial_x u)^2 + g(u)\xi.$$

# Generalised KPZ equation

Geometric stochastic heat equations

$$\partial_t u^{\alpha} = \partial_x^2 u^{\alpha} + \Gamma^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma}(u) \,\partial_x u^{\beta} \partial_x u^{\gamma} + \sigma^{\alpha}_i(u) \xi_i,$$



$$\partial_t u = \partial_x^2 u + f(u) (\partial_x u)^2 + g(u)\xi, \quad \partial_t v = \partial_x^2 v + \xi.$$

The solution u is described by u = v + w but through a Taylor type expansion:

$$u = \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} c_{\tau,x} u_{\tau,x} + R_{\mathcal{T},x}$$

where

- $\bullet \ \mathcal{T}$  is a finite set of decorated trees
- $u_{\tau,x}$  are recentered (Gaussian) stochastic processes
- $c_{\tau,x}$  are coefficients of the Taylor expansion
- $R_{\mathcal{T},x}$  is a remainder nicer than the  $u_{\tau,x}$ .

$$\partial_t u = \partial_x^2 u + f(u) (\partial_x u)^2 + g(u)\xi, \quad \partial_t v = \partial_x^2 v + \xi.$$

The Recentered stochastic processes exp  $u_{\bigcirc,x} = G * \xi - (G * \xi)(x), \quad u_{X^k,x} = (\cdot - x)^k$   $u_{\bigcirc,x} = G * (\partial_x G * \xi)^2 - (G * (\partial_x G * \xi)^2)(x)$ where

- $\mathcal{T}$  is a finite set of decorated trees
- $u_{\tau,x}$  are recentered (Gaussian) stochastic processes
- $c_{\tau,x}$  are coefficients of the Taylor expansion
- $R_{\mathcal{T},x}$  is a remainder nicer than the  $u_{\tau,x}$ .



$$\partial_t u_{\varepsilon} = \partial_x^2 u_{\varepsilon} + (\partial_x u_{\varepsilon})^2 + \xi^{\varepsilon}$$

<ロ> < 母> < 量> < 量> < 量> = のへで 5/14





$$\partial_t u_{\varepsilon} = \partial_x^2 u_{\varepsilon} + (\partial_x u_{\varepsilon})^2 + \xi^{\varepsilon}$$

<ロ> < □ > < □ > < □ > < Ξ > < Ξ > < Ξ > Ξ の < ℃ 5/14





$$\partial_t u_{\varepsilon} = \partial_x^2 u_{\varepsilon} + (\partial_x u_{\varepsilon})^2 + \xi^{\varepsilon} + C_{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\curvearrowleft})$$

<ロ> < 団 > < 団 > < 三 > < 三 > 三 の へ つ 5/14

A series of papers defines a large class of equations which can be solved by regularity structures:

- Martin Hairer (2014), "A theory of regularity structures", Invent. Math.
- Yvain Bruned, Martin Hairer, Lorenzo Zambotti (2019), "Algebraic renormalisation of regularity structures", Invent. Math.
- Ajay Chandra, Martin Hairer (2016), "An analytic BPHZ theorem for regularity structures", arxiv.
- Yvain Bruned, Ajay Chandra, Ilya Chevyrev, Martin Hairer (2017), "Renormalising SPDEs in regularity structures", to appear in JEMS.

The geometric KPZ is treated in

• Yvain Bruned, Franck Gabriel, Martin Hairer, Lorenzo Zambotti (2019), "Geometric stochastic heat equations", arxiv.

### Renormalised equation

#### Theorem (B., Chandra, Chevyrev, Hairer 2017)

There exist some constants  $(c_{\varrho,\varepsilon}^{\tau})_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}}$  such that the renormalised equation for  $u_{\varepsilon}$  is given by

$$\partial_t u_{\varepsilon}^{lpha} = \partial_x^2 u_{\varepsilon}^{lpha} + \Gamma^{lpha}_{eta\gamma}(u_{\varepsilon}) \, \partial_x u_{\varepsilon}^{eta} \partial_x u_{\varepsilon}^{\gamma} + \sigma^{lpha}_i(u_{\varepsilon}) \xi_i^{\varepsilon} 
onumber \ + \sum_{ au \in \mathcal{T}} c_{arrho, arepsilon}^{ au}\left(\Upsilon^{lpha}_{\Gamma, \sigma} au
ight) \left(u_{arepsilon}, \partial_x u_{arepsilon}
ight).$$

Some examples of coefficients:

$$\begin{split} \Upsilon^{\alpha}_{\Gamma,\sigma} \begin{pmatrix} {}^{j}_{o} \\ {}^{j}_{o} \end{pmatrix} &= \sigma^{\beta}_{j} \partial_{\beta} \sigma^{\alpha}_{i}, \qquad \Upsilon^{\alpha}_{\Gamma,\sigma} \begin{pmatrix} {}^{k}_{o} {}^{j}_{o} \\ {}^{j}_{o} \end{pmatrix} &= \sigma^{\gamma}_{k} \sigma^{\beta}_{j} \partial_{\beta} \partial_{\gamma} \sigma^{\alpha}_{i}, \\ \Upsilon^{\alpha}_{\Gamma,\sigma} \begin{pmatrix} {}^{\ell}_{o} {}^{j}_{o} \\ {}^{i}_{o} {}^{j}_{o} \\ {}^{k} \end{pmatrix} &= 2 \sigma^{\eta}_{k} \partial_{\eta} \Gamma^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma} \sigma^{\beta}_{j} \sigma^{\mu}_{\ell} \partial_{\mu} \sigma^{\gamma}_{i}. \end{split}$$

<ロ> < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

## Decorated trees ${\cal T}$



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

8/14

Write  $K^c$  the kernel given by

$$(\partial_t - c\partial_x^2)K^c = \delta_0$$

then one sets

$$I_{\alpha}(b,f)(z) = (\partial_{\alpha}K^{c} * f)(z)|_{c=b(z)} = \int \partial_{\alpha}K^{b(z)}(z-\bar{z})f(\bar{z})d\bar{z}.$$

The main idea is to enforce a mild formulation and try to find a  $\hat{F}$  such that

$$u = I(a(u), \hat{F})$$

< □ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQで 9/14

where u is the solution of the quasi-generalised KPZ.

This equation

$$\partial_t u - a(u)\partial_x^2 u = f(u)(\partial_x u)^2 + g(u)\xi$$

is equivalent to

$$u = I(a(u), \hat{F})$$
  
$$\hat{F} = \hat{f}(u)(\partial_x u)^2 + \hat{g}(u)\xi + 2(aa')(u)(\partial_x u)v_{cx} + a'(u)(\partial_x u)v_x,$$

where  $v_c = l_c(a(u), \hat{F})$  and  $\hat{f}, \hat{g}$  are explicit coefficients depending on  $v_c$  and  $v_{cc}$ .

#### Theorem (Gerencser, Hairer 2019)

There exist some constants  $(c_{\varrho,\varepsilon}^{\tau})_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}}$  such that the renormalised equation for  $u_{\varepsilon}$  is given by

$$\partial_t u_{\varepsilon} - a(u_{\varepsilon}) \partial_x^2 u_{\varepsilon} = f(u_{\varepsilon}) (\partial_x u_{\varepsilon})^2 + g(u_{\varepsilon})\xi + \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_c} c_{\varrho,\varepsilon}^{\tau} \frac{\Upsilon_{\hat{F}}(\tau)}{q}$$

where  $q = (1 - a'(u_{\varepsilon})v_{c}^{\varepsilon})$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_{c}$  is a set of planar trees and  $\Upsilon_{\hat{F}}$  depends on  $v_{c}^{\varepsilon}, v_{cc}^{\varepsilon}, \dots$ 

#### Theorem

There exist some constants  $(c_{\varrho,\varepsilon}^{\tau})_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}}$  such that the renormalised equation for  $u_{\varepsilon}$  is given by

$$\partial_t u_{\varepsilon} - \mathsf{a}(u_{\varepsilon}) \partial_x^2 u_{\varepsilon} = f(u_{\varepsilon}) (\partial_x u_{\varepsilon})^2 + g(u_{\varepsilon})\xi + \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} c_{\varrho,\varepsilon}^{\tau} \Upsilon_F(\tau)$$

where  $F = (f(u) - a'(u)) (\partial_x u)^2 + g(u)\xi$ .

# Space $\mathcal{T}_{\scriptscriptstyle{ ext{geo}}}$

• Space 
$$\mathcal{T}_{\text{geo}}$$
:  $\varphi \cdot (\Upsilon_{\Gamma,\sigma} \tau) = \Upsilon_{\varphi \cdot \Gamma, \varphi \cdot \sigma} \tau$ .

Let consider

$$\mathcal{F}_{\nabla} = \Big\{ \nabla_{\bullet} \circ, \nabla_{\bullet} \nabla_{\circ} \nabla_{\bullet} \circ, \nabla_{\circ} \nabla_{\bullet} \nabla_{\bullet} \circ, \nabla_{\bullet} \nabla_{\bullet} \nabla_{\circ} \circ, \nabla_{\bullet} \nabla_{\nabla_{\bullet} \circ} \circ, \nabla_{\nabla_{\bullet} \circ} \nabla_{\circ} \circ, \nabla_{\nabla_{\bullet} \circ} \circ, \nabla_{\nabla_{\nabla_{\bullet} \circ} \circ} \circ, \nabla_{\nabla_{\nabla_{\circ} \circ} \circ} \circ, \nabla_{\nabla_{\circ} \circ} \circ \circ, \nabla_{\circ} \circ \circ, \nabla_{\circ} \circ \circ \circ, \nabla_{\circ} \circ,$$

For example,  $\nabla_{\bullet} \circ = {}^{\bullet}_{\circ} + \frac{1}{2} {}^{\bullet}_{\circ}$  and  $\Upsilon_{\Gamma,\sigma} (\nabla_{\bullet} \circ) = \nabla_{\sigma_i} \sigma_j$ 

#### Proposition

One has  $T_{geo} = \langle F_{\nabla} \rangle$ . In particular, the space of geometric elements has dimension 14.

Under some geometric conditions, we get

Theorem (B., Gabriel, Hairer, Zambotti 2019)

Let  $\varrho$  a mollifier, we set  $\xi_i^{\varepsilon} = \varrho_{\varepsilon} * \xi_i$ . Then there exist a unique  $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{geo}$  such that the solution  $u_{\varepsilon}$  of

 $\partial_t u_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha} = \partial_x^2 u_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha} + \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha}(u_{\varepsilon}) \partial_x u_{\varepsilon}^{\beta} \partial_x u_{\varepsilon}^{\gamma} + \sigma_i^{\alpha}(u_{\varepsilon}) \xi_i^{\varepsilon} + (\Upsilon_{\Gamma,\sigma}^{\alpha} \tau)(u_{\varepsilon}) .$ 

converges in probability to a Markov process u when  $\varepsilon$  goes to zero. The limit does not depend on the mollifier  $\varrho$ . Its law satisfies Itô's isometry and behaves well under the change of coordinates.