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#### Abstract

We compute Hochschild cohomology and Hochschild homology for arbitrary finite dimensional quantum complete intersections. It turns out that their behaviour varies largely, depending on the choice of commutation parameters, and we will give precise criteria when to expect what behaviour.


## 1. Introduction

Quantum complete intersections first appear in the work of Avramov, Gasharov, and Peeva [1]. Based on the introduction of quantized versions of polynomial rings by Manin [6] they introduced the notion of quantum regular sequences.
In this paper we restrict to finite dimensional quantum complete intersections, that is algebras of the form $k\left\langle x_{1}, \ldots, x_{c}\right\rangle / I$, where $I$ is an ideal generated by $x_{i}^{n_{i}}$ for some $n_{i} \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$, and $x_{j} x_{i}-q_{i j} x_{i} x_{j}$ for some commutation parameters $q_{i j}$ from the multiplicative group of the field.

In particular in the case of two variables it has been observed that the homological behaviour of finite dimensional quantum complete intersections varies greatly depending on the commutation parameters:

Buchweitz, Green, Madsen, and Solberg [5] have given a finite dimensional quantum complete intersection as the first example of an algebra of infinite global dimension which has finite Hochschild cohomology. This has been generalized by Bergh and Erdmann [2], who have shown that a finite dimensional quantum complete intersection of codimension 2 (that is $c=2$ in the description above) has infinite Hochschild cohomology if and only if the commutation parameter is a root of unity.

On the other hand, Bergh and the author [3] have shown that in the situation that all commutation parameters are roots of unity, the Hochschild cohomology of a quantum complete intersection is as well behaved as in the commutative case: It is a finitely generated $k$ algebra, and any $\operatorname{Ext}^{*}(M, N)$ for any finite dimensional modules $M$ and $N$ over the quantum complete intersection is finitely generated as a module over the Hochschild cohomology ring.

[^0]In this paper we give a general description of Hochschild cohomology and homology of finite dimensional quantum complete intersections.

In Theorems 3.4 and 7.4 we explicitly determine a $k$-basis for the Hochschild cohomology and homology, respectively.

Using these results we study the size of the Hochschild cohomology and homology in the following sense: We denote by

$$
\gamma\left(\operatorname{HH}^{*}(\Lambda)\right)=\inf \left\{t \in \mathbb{N} \left\lvert\, \lim \sup \frac{\operatorname{dim}_{k} \operatorname{HH}^{n}(\Lambda)}{n^{t-1}}<\infty\right.\right\}
$$

the rate of growth of Hochschild cohomology (and similar for Hochschild homology). We obtain explicit combinatorial formulas for $\gamma\left(\operatorname{HH}^{*}(\Lambda)\right)$ and $\gamma\left(\mathrm{HH}_{*}(\Lambda)\right)$ in Theorems 4.5 and 8.2, respectively. In particular it will be shown (as Corollary 4.6) that whenever not all commutation parameters are roots of unity we have $\gamma\left(\mathrm{HH}^{*}(\Lambda)\right) \leq c-2$. For $c=2$ that means that the Hochschild cohomology is finite. This explains why there are essentially only two cases for $c=2$, while we obtain more different behaviour for larger $c$.

We will also generalize Bergh's and Erdmann's result ([2]) in another way: It will be shown that whenever the commutation parameters are sufficiently generic the Hochschild cohomology of the quantum complete intersection is finite (see Example 6.2).

Finally we will study the multiplicative structure of the Hochschild cohomology ring. It will turn out (Theorem 5.5) that it always contains a subring $\mathcal{S}$ which is finitely generated over $k$, and isomorphic to the quotient of Hochschild cohomology modulo its nilpotent elements. We will give a criterion when the entire Hochschild cohomology ring is finitely generated over this subring (Theorem 5.9). We will give examples (6.4 and 6.5) that all the following behaviors occur (for $c \geq 3$ ):

- $\mathcal{S}=k$, but $\gamma\left(\operatorname{HH}^{*}(\Lambda)\right)=c-2$,
- $\gamma(\mathcal{S})=\gamma\left(\operatorname{HH}^{*}(\Lambda)\right)=c-2$, and $\mathrm{HH}^{*}(\Lambda)$ is finitely generated over $\mathcal{S}$, and
- $\gamma(\mathcal{S})=\gamma\left(\operatorname{HH}^{*}(\Lambda)\right)=c-2$, but $\operatorname{HH}^{*}(\Lambda)$ is not finitely generated over $\mathcal{S}$.


## 2. Notation and background

Throughout this paper we assume $k$ to be field.
Quantum complete intersections. (see also [2, 3, 4])
A finite dimensional quantum complete intersection of codimension $c$ is a $k$-algebra of the form

$$
\Lambda_{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathbf{n}}=\frac{k\left\langle x_{1}, \ldots, x_{c}\right\rangle}{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x_{i}^{n_{i}} & \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq c \\
x_{j} x_{i}-q_{i j} x_{i} x_{j} & \text { for } 1 \leq i<j \leq c
\end{array}\right)}
$$

with $\mathbf{n}=\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{c}\right) \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}^{c}$ and $\mathbf{q}=\left(q_{i j} \mid i<j\right) \in\left(k^{\times}\right)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$, where $k^{\times}$denotes the multiplicative group $k \backslash\{0\}$. For convenience of notation we also define $q_{i j}$ for $i \geq j$ : We set $q_{i i}=1$ for any $i \in\{1, \ldots, c\}$ and $q_{i j}=q_{j i}^{-1}$ for $1 \leq j<i \leq c$. Note that the relations $x_{j} x_{i}-q_{i j} x_{i} x_{j}$ for $1 \leq j \leq i \leq c$ are automatically satisfied in $\Lambda_{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathrm{n}}$.
Note that $\Lambda_{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathbf{n}}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}^{c}$-graded algebra by $\left|x_{i}\right|=\operatorname{degree}\left(x_{i}\right)=e_{i}$, the $i$-th unit vector. We will denote by $\leq$ the partial order on $\mathbb{Z}^{c}$ defined by comparing vectors component wise, and by $\mathbf{1}=\sum e_{i}$ the vector with 1 in every component. With this notation we have that the dimensions of the graded component of degree $\mathbf{d}$ (with $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}^{c}$ ) is

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(\Lambda_{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathbf{n}}\right)_{\mathbf{d}}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } \mathbf{0} \leq \mathbf{d} \leq \mathbf{n}-\mathbf{1} \\ 0 & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

For $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^{c}$ (here $\mathbb{N}$ denotes the non-negative integers, i.e. includes $0)$ we will write $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}=x_{1}^{a_{1}} \cdots x_{c}^{a_{c}}$. Note that the multiplication yields something different if we multiply in another order. In particular we do not have $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}}=\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}}$. By setting

$$
\mathbf{q}^{\langle\mathrm{a} \mid \mathbf{}\rangle}=\prod_{\substack{i, j \in\{1, \ldots\} \\ i<j}} q_{i j}^{a_{i j} b_{i}}
$$

we obtain the multiplication formula $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}}=\mathbf{q}^{\langle\mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{b}\rangle} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}}$.
Hochschild (co)homology. Let $\Lambda$ be a finite dimensional algebra. We set $\Lambda^{\mathrm{en}}=\Lambda \otimes_{k} \Lambda^{\mathrm{op}}$ the enveloping algebra. Then $\Lambda^{\mathrm{en}}$-modules are $\Lambda-\Lambda$ bimodules on which $k$ acts centrally. In particular $\Lambda$ has a natural structure of a $\Lambda^{\text {en }}$-module. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{HH}^{*}(\Lambda)=\operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda_{\text {en }}^{*}}^{*}(\Lambda, \Lambda) \text { and } \\
& \operatorname{HH}_{*}(\Lambda)=\operatorname{Tor}_{*}^{\Lambda^{\text {en }}}(\Lambda, \Lambda)
\end{aligned}
$$

are the Hochschild cohomology and Hochschild homology of $\Lambda$ respectively. With the Yoneda multiplication of extensions $\mathrm{HH}^{*}$ becomes a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $k$-algebra, which is graded commutative (see [7]).

Note that if $\Lambda$ is graded then so is $\Lambda^{\mathrm{en}}$, and $\Lambda$ is a graded $\Lambda^{\mathrm{en}}$-module. It follows that for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ the Hochschild homology and cohomology groups $\mathrm{HH}_{i}(\Lambda)$ and $\mathrm{HH}^{i}(\Lambda)$ are also graded.

Projective resolutions. In order to determine the Hochschild homology and cohomology of a quantum complete intersection $\Lambda=\Lambda_{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathbf{n}}$ we need to find a projective resolution of $\Lambda$ as $\Lambda^{\mathrm{en}}$-module. Moreover we want to keep track of the $\mathbb{Z}^{c}$-grading, so we will need a graded projective resolution.

It has been shown in [3, Lemma 4.5] that we can find such a graded projective resolution by tensoring together the projective resolutions of the $k\left[x_{i}\right] /\left(x_{i}^{n_{i}}\right)$ as $\left(k\left[x_{i}\right] /\left(x_{i}^{n_{i}}\right)\right)^{\text {en }}$ modules. To simplify notation we
set $\Lambda_{i}=k\left[x_{i}\right] /\left(x_{i}^{n_{i}}\right)$. Then the graded projective resolution of $\Lambda_{i}$ as a bimodule is
$\mathbb{P}_{i}: \Lambda_{i}^{\text {en }} \stackrel{x_{i} \otimes 1-1 \otimes x_{i}}{\leftrightarrows} \Lambda_{i}^{\mathrm{en}}\langle 1\rangle \stackrel{\sum_{k=0}^{n_{i}-1} x_{i}^{k} \otimes x_{i}^{n_{i}-1-k}}{<} \Lambda_{i}^{\mathrm{en}}\left\langle n_{i}\right\rangle \stackrel{x_{i} \otimes 1-1 \otimes x_{i}}{\longleftarrow} \Lambda_{i}^{\mathrm{en}}\left\langle n_{i}+1\right\rangle \longleftarrow \cdots$, where $\Lambda_{i}^{\mathrm{en}}\langle s\rangle$ is the graded module obtained from $\Lambda_{i}^{\text {en }}$ by increasing the degree of all homogeneous elements by $s$. Note that here all the bimodules are shifted into place such that all the morphisms have degree 0 .

With this notation by [3, Lemma 4.5] we have that the total complex

$$
\operatorname{Tot}\left(\mathbb{P}_{1} \otimes_{k} \mathbb{P}_{2} \otimes_{k} \cdots \otimes_{k} \mathbb{P}_{c}\right)
$$

is a graded projective resolution of $\Lambda$.
Note that the term in position $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{N}^{c}$ of the $c$-tuple complex $\mathbb{P}_{1} \otimes_{k}$ $\mathbb{P}_{2} \otimes_{k} \cdots \otimes_{k} \mathbb{P}_{c}$ is

$$
\Lambda_{1}^{\mathrm{en}}\left\langle\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{p_{1}}{2} n_{1} & \text { if } 2 \mid p_{1} \\
\frac{p_{1}-1}{2} n_{1}+1 & \text { else }
\end{array}\right\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes \Lambda_{c}^{\mathrm{en}}\left\langle\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{p_{c}}{2} n_{c} & \text { if } 2 \mid p_{c} \\
\frac{p_{c}-1}{2} n_{c}+1 & \text { else }
\end{array}\right\rangle
$$

To keep notation compact define the function $\mathfrak{s}: \mathbb{Z}^{c} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{c}$ by

$$
\mathfrak{s}(\mathbf{p})_{i}= \begin{cases}\frac{p_{i}}{2} n_{i} & \text { if } 2 \mid p_{i} \\ \frac{p_{i}-1}{2} n_{i}+1 & \text { else. }\end{cases}
$$

Moreover we will also need the following left inverse of the function $\mathfrak{s}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{p}: \mathbb{Z}^{c} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{c} \\
& \mathfrak{p}(\mathbf{s})=\min \left\{\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{Z}^{c} \mid \mathfrak{s}(\mathbf{p}) \geq \mathbf{s}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the $c$-tuple complex $\mathbb{P}_{1} \otimes_{k} \mathbb{P}_{2} \otimes_{k} \cdots \otimes_{k} \mathbb{P}_{c}$ all terms are of the form $\Lambda_{1}^{\mathrm{en}}\left\langle s_{1}\right\rangle \otimes_{k} \cdots \otimes_{k} \Lambda_{c}^{\mathrm{en}}\left\langle s_{c}\right\rangle$ for some $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{N}^{c}$. We have to recall how these are identified with $\Lambda^{\mathrm{en}}\langle\mathbf{s}\rangle$.
2.1. Lemma ([3, Lemma 4.3]). For $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^{c}$ we may identify

$$
\Lambda_{1}^{\mathrm{en}}\left\langle s_{1}\right\rangle \otimes_{k} \cdots \otimes_{k} \Lambda_{c}^{\mathrm{en}}\left\langle s_{c}\right\rangle=\Lambda^{\mathrm{en}}\langle\mathbf{s}\rangle .
$$

If we choose this identification such that

$$
(1 \otimes 1) \otimes \cdots \otimes(1 \otimes 1) \longmapsto 1 \otimes 1
$$

then

$$
\left(x_{1}^{a_{1}} \otimes x_{1}^{b_{1}}\right) \otimes \cdots \otimes\left(x_{c}^{a_{c}} \otimes x_{c}^{b_{c}}\right) \longmapsto \frac{\mathbf{q}^{\langle\mathbf{s} \mid \mathbf{s}\rangle}}{\mathbf{q}^{\langle\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{s} \mid \mathbf{b}+\mathbf{s}\rangle}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} \otimes \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}}
$$

Of course the differentials occurring in the various directions of the $c$ tuple complex are of particular interest. Therefore we note that under
the identification of Lemma 2.1 we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& (1 \otimes 1) \otimes \cdots \otimes(1 \otimes 1) \otimes\left(x_{i} \otimes 1-1 \otimes x_{i}\right) \otimes(1 \otimes 1) \otimes \cdots \otimes(1 \otimes 1) \\
& \mapsto \frac{1}{\mathbf{q}^{\left\langle e_{i} \mid \mathbf{s}\right\rangle}} x_{i} \otimes 1-\frac{1}{\mathbf{q}^{\left\langle\mathbf{s} \mid e_{i}\right\rangle}} 1 \otimes x_{i} \quad \text { and }  \tag{2.1}\\
& (1 \otimes 1) \otimes \cdots \otimes(1 \otimes 1) \otimes\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n_{i}-1} x_{i}^{j} \otimes x_{i}^{n_{i}-1-j}\right) \otimes(1 \otimes 1) \otimes \cdots \otimes(1 \otimes 1) \\
& \mapsto \sum_{j=0}^{n_{i}-1} \frac{1}{\mathbf{q}^{\left\langle j e_{i} \mid \mathbf{s}\right\rangle} \mathbf{q}^{\left\langle\mathbf{s} \mid\left(n_{i}-1-j\right) e_{i}\right\rangle}} x_{i}^{j} \otimes x_{i}^{n_{i}-1-j} \\
& \quad=\sum_{j=0}^{n_{i}-1}\left(\frac{1}{\mathbf{q}^{\left(e_{i}|\mathbf{s}\rangle\right.}}\right)^{j}\left(\frac{1}{\mathbf{q}^{\left\langle\mathbf{s} \mid e_{i}\right\rangle}}\right)^{n_{i}-1-j} x_{i}^{j} \otimes x_{i}^{n_{i}-1-j} . \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Technical notation. We need the following technical definitions to keep notation short in the rest of the paper.

I - We set $Q=\left(q_{i j}\right)_{i j}$, and think of $Q$ as a (skew symmetric) matrix with entries in the abelian group $k^{\times}$. That is, $Q$ represents the morphism of abelian groups

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q: \mathbb{Z}^{c} \longrightarrow\left(k^{\times}\right)^{c} \\
&\left(d_{i}\right)_{i} \longmapsto\left(\prod_{j=1}^{c} q_{i j}^{d_{j}}\right)_{i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

As usual for matrices we will denote the image of $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}^{c}$ under this map by $Q \mathbf{d}$, and its $i$-th component by $(Q \mathbf{d})_{i}$.

For $A, B \subseteq\{1, \ldots, c\}$ we denote by $Q_{A \times B}$ the submatrix only containing the rows in $A$ and the columns in $B$, that is the matrix representing the composition

$$
\mathbb{Z}^{B} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{c} \xrightarrow{Q}\left(k^{\times}\right)^{c} \longrightarrow\left(k^{\times}\right)^{A} .
$$

II - We set

$$
\mathcal{R}_{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\left\{\zeta \mid \zeta^{n_{i}}=1\right\} & \text { if char } k \text { divides } n_{i} \\
\left\{\zeta \mid \zeta^{n_{i}}=1 \text { and } \zeta \neq 1\right\} & \text { else }
\end{array} .\right.
$$

III - For a $\mathbb{Z}$-submodule $K$ of $\mathbb{Z}^{a}$ we denote by pos.rk $K$ the rank of the $\mathbb{Z}$-submodule $K^{\prime}$ of $K$ generated by $K \cap \mathbb{N}^{a}$. For example, pos.rk $\left\langle\left(\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 0 \\ 0\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{c}0 \\ 1 \\ -1\end{array}\right)\right\rangle=1$.

## 3. Hochschild cohomology

We wish to calculate for any $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}^{c}$ the degree $\mathbf{d}$ part of the Hochschild cohomology. Then we will obtain the entire Hochschild cohomology by adding up these parts.

In order to calculate the degree $\mathbf{d}$ part of cohomology we have to first understand the set

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda^{\mathrm{en}}}^{\mathrm{d}}\left(\Lambda^{\mathrm{en}}\langle\mathbf{s}\rangle, \Lambda\right)
$$

of degree $\mathbf{d}$ morphisms from the terms of the projective resolution to $\Lambda$.
3.1. Lemma. The set $\left.\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda^{\mathrm{en}}}^{\mathrm{d}}\left(\Lambda^{\mathrm{en}} / \mathbf{s}\right\rangle, \Lambda\right)$ is non-zero if and only if $\mathbf{0} \leq$ $\mathbf{s}+\mathbf{d} \leq \mathbf{n}-\mathbf{1}$, and then it is the one dimensional $k$-vector space generated by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi^{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{~d}}: \Lambda^{\mathrm{en}}\langle\mathbf{s}\rangle & \longrightarrow \Lambda \\
\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} \otimes \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}} & \longmapsto \mathbf{q}^{\langle\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{s}+\mathbf{d} \mid \mathbf{b}+\mathbf{s}+\mathrm{d}\rangle} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{s}+\mathbf{d}+\mathbf{b}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Clearly any $\Lambda^{\mathrm{en}}$-homomorphism from $\Lambda^{\mathrm{en}}\langle\mathbf{s}\rangle$ to any other module is uniquely determined by the image of $1 \otimes 1$. If the morphism is to be of degree $\mathbf{d}$ then this image can only be a scalar multiple of $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{s + d}}$. We choose the image of $1 \otimes 1$ to be $\mathbf{q}^{\langle\mathbf{s}+\mathbf{d} \mid \mathbf{s}+\mathbf{d}\rangle} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{s}+\mathbf{d}}$ and obtain the formula of the lemma by extending $\Lambda^{\text {en }}$-linearly.

### 3.2. Corollary.

$$
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda^{\mathrm{en}}}^{\mathrm{d}}\left(\Lambda^{\mathrm{en}}\langle\mathfrak{s}(\mathbf{p})\rangle, \Lambda\right)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } \mathfrak{p}(-\mathbf{d}) \leq \mathbf{p} \leq \mathfrak{p}(-\mathbf{d})+\mathbf{1} \\ 0 & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

This means that for $\mathbf{d} \leq \mathbf{n}-\mathbf{1}$ the $c$-tuple complex $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda^{\text {en }}}^{\mathbf{d}}\left(\mathbb{P}_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes\right.$ $\mathbb{P}_{c}, \Lambda$ ) is concentrated in a cube (with sides of length 0 (in directions $i$ with $\mathfrak{p}(-\mathbf{d})_{i}=-1$, i.e. $d_{i}=n_{i}-1$ ) or 1 ), where there is a onedimensional space in each corner of the cube.

Since by formulas (2.1) and (2.2) these are the terms occurring in the projective resolution, we are in particular interested in what the maps $\varphi^{\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{d}}$ of Lemma 3.1 do to terms of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\mathbf{q}^{\left\langle e_{i} \mid \mathbf{s}\right\rangle}} x_{i} \otimes 1-\frac{1}{\mathbf{q}^{\left\langle\mathbf{s} \mid e_{i}\right\rangle}} 1 \otimes x_{i} \quad \text { and } \\
& \sum_{j=0}^{n_{i}-1}\left(\frac{1}{\mathbf{q}^{\left\langle e_{i} \mid \mathbf{s}\right\rangle}}\right)^{j}\left(\frac{1}{\mathbf{q}^{\left\langle\mathbf{s} \mid e_{i}\right\rangle}}\right)^{n_{i}-1-j} x_{i}^{j} \otimes x_{i}^{n_{i}-1-j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

3.3. Lemma. Let $\mathbf{s}$ and $\mathbf{d}$ be such that $\mathbf{0} \leq \mathbf{s}+\mathbf{d} \leq \mathbf{n}-\mathbf{1}$, and let $i \in\{1 \ldots c\}$.
(1) Assume further that $s_{i}+d_{i}+1<n_{i}$. Then

$$
\varphi^{\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{d}}\left(\frac{1}{\mathbf{q}^{\left\langle e_{i} \mid \mathbf{s}\right\rangle}} x_{i} \otimes 1-\frac{1}{\mathbf{q}^{\left\langle\mathbf{s} \mid e_{i}\right\rangle}} 1 \otimes x_{i}\right)=0
$$

if and only if $(Q \mathbf{d})_{i}=1$ (for the definition of $Q$ see (I) at the end of Section 2).
(2) Assume further that $s_{i}+d_{i}=0$. Then

$$
\varphi^{\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{d}}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n_{i}-1}\left(\frac{1}{\mathbf{q}^{\left\langle e_{i} \mid \mathbf{s}\right\rangle}}\right)^{j}\left(\frac{1}{\mathbf{q}^{\left\langle\mathbf{s} \mid e_{i}\right\rangle}}\right)^{n_{i}-1-j} x_{i}^{j} \otimes x_{i}^{n_{i}-1-j}\right)=0
$$

if and only if $(Q \mathbf{d})_{i} \in \mathcal{R}_{i}$ (for the definition of $\mathcal{R}_{i}$ see (II) at the end of Section 2).

Proof. We only prove (2), the proof of (1) is a similar and simpler straightforward calculation using the formula of Lemma 3.1. By Lemma 3.1 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi^{\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{d}}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n_{i}-1}\left(\frac{1}{\mathbf{q}^{\left\langle e_{i} \mid \mathbf{s}\right\rangle}}\right)^{j}\left(\frac{1}{\mathbf{q}^{\left\langle\mathbf{s} \mid e_{i}\right\rangle}}\right)^{n_{i}-1-j} x_{i}^{j} \otimes x_{i}^{n_{i}-1-j}\right) \\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{n_{i}-1}\left(\frac{1}{\mathbf{q}^{\left\langle e_{i} \mid \mathbf{s}\right\rangle}}\right)^{j}\left(\frac{1}{\mathbf{q}^{\left\langle\mathbf{s} \mid e_{i}\right\rangle}}\right)^{n_{i}-1-j} \mathbf{q}^{\left\langle j e_{i}+\mathbf{s}+\mathbf{d} \mid\left(n_{i}-1-j\right) e_{i}+\mathbf{s}+\mathbf{d}\right\rangle} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{s}+\mathbf{d}+\left(n_{i}-1\right) e_{i}} \\
& =\mathbf{q}^{\langle\mathbf{s}+\mathbf{d} \mid \mathbf{s}+\mathbf{d}\rangle} \sum_{j=0}^{n_{i}-1}\left(\mathbf{q}^{\left\langle e_{i} \mid \mathbf{d}\right\rangle}\right)^{j}\left(\mathbf{q}^{\left\langle\mathbf{d} \mid e_{i}\right\rangle}\right)^{n_{i}-1-j} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{s}+\mathbf{d}+\left(n_{i}-1\right) e_{i}} \\
& =\underbrace{\mathbf{q}^{\langle\mathbf{s}+\mathbf{d} \mid \mathbf{s}+\mathbf{d}\rangle}}_{\neq 0} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{s}+\mathbf{d}+\left(n_{i}-1\right) e_{i}} \cdot \begin{cases}n_{i} \underbrace{\left(\mathbf{q}^{\left\langle e_{i} \mid \mathbf{d}\right\rangle}\right)^{n_{i}-1}}_{\neq 0} & \text { if } \mathbf{q}^{\left\langle e_{i} \mid \mathbf{d}\right\rangle}=\mathbf{q}^{\left\langle\mathbf{d} \mid e_{i}\right\rangle} \\
\frac{\left(\mathbf{q}^{\left\langle e_{i} \mid \mathbf{d}\right\rangle}\right)^{n_{i}}-\left(\mathbf{q}^{\left\langle\mathbf{d} \mid e_{i}\right\rangle}\right)^{n_{i}}}{\mathbf{q}^{\left\langle e_{i} \mid \mathbf{d}\right\rangle}-\mathbf{q}^{\left\langle\mathbf{d} \mid e_{i}\right\rangle}} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now the claim follows from the fact that $\frac{\mathbf{q}^{\left\langle\mathbf{d} \mid e_{i}\right\rangle}}{\mathbf{q}_{i}|\mathbf{d}\rangle}=\prod_{j=1}^{c} q_{i j}^{d_{j}}=(Q \mathbf{d})_{i}$.
We have shown that the vanishing of the maps on the edges in direction $i$ of the cube $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda^{\text {en }}}^{\mathbf{d}}\left(\mathbb{P}_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{P}_{c}, \Lambda\right)$ does not depend on $\mathbf{s}$, that is, if one edge in direction $i$ vanishes, then all vanish. Note also that if all the edges in one direction are isomorphisms, then the total complex is acyclic. Hence we have shown
3.4. Theorem. Let $\Lambda=\Lambda_{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathbf{n}}$ be a quantum complete intersection, and let $\mathbf{d} \leq \mathbf{n - 1}$. We divide the set $\{1 \ldots c\}$ into the following three parts:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{\max }=\left\{i \in\{1 \ldots c\}: d_{i}=n_{i}-1\right\} \\
& I_{1}=\left\{i \in\{1 \ldots c\}: n_{i} \mid d_{i}+1\right\} \backslash I_{\max } \\
& I_{2}=\left\{i \in\{1 \ldots c\}: n_{i} \nmid d_{i}+1\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\operatorname{HH}^{*, \mathrm{~d}}(\Lambda) \neq 0$ if and only if the following hold:

- for any $i \in I_{1}$ we have $(Q \mathbf{d})_{i} \in \mathcal{R}_{i}$, and
- for any $i \in I_{2}$ we have $(Q \mathbf{d})_{i}=1$.

In this situation $\mathrm{HH}^{*, \mathrm{~d}}(\Lambda)$ has the $k$-vector space basis

$$
\left\{E_{\mathbf{p}}^{\mathbf{d}} \mid \mathbf{0} \leq \mathbf{p} \text { and } \mathfrak{p}(-\mathbf{d}) \leq \mathbf{p} \leq \mathfrak{p}(-\mathbf{d})+\mathbf{1}\right\}
$$

where $E_{\mathbf{p}}^{\mathbf{d}}$ is represented by the (degree $\mathbf{d}$ ) map from the c-tuple complex $\mathbb{P}_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{P}_{c}$ to $\Lambda$ (shifted to position $\mathbf{p}$ ) sending $1 \otimes 1$ to $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d}+\boldsymbol{s}(\mathbf{p})}$ in position $\mathbf{p}$. In particular $E_{\mathbf{d}}^{\mathbf{p}}$ has extension degree $\sum_{i=1}^{c} p_{i}$. Note that the assumptions on $\mathbf{p}$ just make sure that $\mathbf{0} \leq \mathbf{d}+\mathfrak{s}(\mathbf{p}) \leq \mathbf{n}-\mathbf{1}$, or, in other words, that we are in the cube where $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda^{\text {en }}}^{\mathrm{d}}\left(\mathbb{P}_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{P}_{c}, \Lambda\right)$ does not vanish.

Let us now compare this result to the description of $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda}^{*}(k, k)$ obtained in [3]. More precisely: tensoring over $\Lambda$ with $k$ yields a map from Hochschild cohomology to the Ext-algebra of the $\Lambda$-module $k$. Our aim now is to determine its image. By [3, Theorem 5.3] the latter ring has the following form:

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda}^{*}(k, k)=\frac{k\left\langle y_{1}, \ldots, y_{c}, z_{1}, \ldots, z_{c}\right\rangle}{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
y_{j} y_{i}+q_{i} y_{i} y_{j} & \text { for } i \neq j \\
y_{j} z_{i}-q_{i j}^{q_{i}} z_{i} y_{j} & \\
z_{j} z_{i}-q_{i j}^{n} n_{j} z_{i} z_{j} & \\
y_{i}^{2}-z_{i} & \text { if } n_{i}=2 \\
y_{i}^{2} & \text { if } n_{i} \neq 2
\end{array}\right)}
$$

where $\left|y_{i}\right|=\left(1,-e_{i}\right)$ and $\left|z_{i}\right|=\left(2,-n_{i} e_{i}\right)$.
3.5. Corollary. With the above notation the image of the map $\left(-\otimes_{\Lambda}\right.$ $k)_{*}: \operatorname{HH}^{*}(\Lambda) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda}^{*}(k, k)$ is

$$
\bigoplus_{\substack{\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}^{c} \text { such that } \\ \forall i \text { with } n_{i} \mid d_{i}+1:(Q \mathbf{d})_{i} \in \mathcal{R}_{i} \\ \forall i \text { with } n_{i} \nmid d_{i}+1:(Q \mathbf{d})_{i}=1}} \mathrm{Ext}^{*, \mathbf{d}}(k, k) .
$$

That is the sum runs over exactly those graded pieces, where the corresponding graded piece of Hochschild cohomology does not vanish.

Proof. By construction the image cannot be bigger than the sum of the corollary. To see that any Ext ${ }^{*}$, $(k, k)$ with $\mathbf{d}$ as specified under the sum is contained in the image first note that

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{k} \operatorname{Ext}^{*, \mathbf{d}}(k, k)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } \forall i: d_{i} \leq 0 \text { and } n_{i}\left|d_{i} \vee n_{i}\right| d_{i}+1 \\ 0 & \text { else } .\end{cases}
$$

Note that the condition for $\operatorname{Ext}^{*, \mathbf{d}}(k, k)$ not vanishing is equivalent to asking that $\mathbf{d}=-\mathfrak{s}(\mathbf{p})$ for some $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{N}^{c}$. Now by definition $E_{\mathbf{p}}^{\mathbf{d}}$ is represented by a map sending $1 \otimes 1$ to 1 in position $\mathbf{p}$, and hence it does not vanish when being tensored over $\Lambda$ by $k$. Therefore the image is at least one dimensional in degree $\mathbf{d}$.

## 4. The rate of growth of Hochschild cohomology

In this section we study how big the Hochschild cohomology of a finite dimensional quantum complete intersection is. Our way to measure the size is the rate of growth as explained in the following definition.
4.1. Definition. Let $X=\coprod_{i=0}^{\infty} X_{i}$ be an $\mathbb{N}$-graded $k$-module, such that the $X_{i}$ have finite $k$-dimension. The rate of growth of $X$, denoted $\gamma(X)$, is defined as

$$
\gamma(X)=\inf \left\{t \in \mathbb{N} \mid \exists a \in \mathbb{N} \text { such that } \operatorname{dim}_{k} X_{i} \leq a i^{t} \forall i\right\}
$$

Note that if $X$ is a graded commutative ring which is finitely generated over $k$, then $\gamma(X)=$ Krull.dim $X$. However this assumption is not always satisfied for the Hochschild cohomology ring of quantum complete intersections (see Sections 5 and 6).

We first decompose Hochschild cohomology as follows:
4.2. Construction. For $G \subseteq\{1, \ldots, c\}$ we denote by $\mathrm{HH}_{G}^{*}$ the $k$-span of the $E_{\mathbf{p}}^{\mathbf{d}}$ with

$$
G=\left\{i \in\{1, \ldots, c\} \mid d_{i}<n_{i}-1 \text { or }(Q \mathbf{d})_{i} \in \mathcal{R}_{i}\right\} .
$$

That is we take all those $E_{\mathbf{p}}^{\mathbf{d}}$ from Theorem 3.4 such that the indices in $G$ are exactly the ones not in $I_{\max }$, plus those in $I_{\max }$ which fulfill the requirements for elements of $I_{1}$ anyway.

Clearly this yields a decomposition $\mathrm{HH}^{*}(\Lambda)=\bigoplus_{G \subseteq\{1, \ldots, c\}} \mathrm{HH}_{G}^{*}$, and hence

$$
\gamma\left(\mathrm{HH}^{*}(\Lambda)\right)=\max _{G \subseteq\{1, \ldots, c\}} \gamma\left(\mathrm{HH}_{G}^{*}\right) .
$$

4.3. Proposition. For $G \subseteq\{1, \ldots, c\}$ the rate of growth of $\mathrm{HH}_{G}^{*}$ is

$$
\gamma\left(\mathrm{HH}_{G}^{*}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
0 & \text { if } \mathrm{HH}_{G}^{*}=0 \\
\text { pos.rk } \operatorname{Ker} Q_{G \times G} & \text { else }
\end{array} .\right.
$$

(For the definition of pos.rk see (III) at the end of Section 2.) In particular we always have $\gamma\left(\mathrm{HH}_{G}^{*}\right) \leq|G|$.

For the proof we will need the following observation.
4.4. Observation. Let $K \leq \mathbb{Z}^{a}$ be a submodule. The $k$-module with basis $K \cap \mathbb{N}^{a}$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-graded by $|\mathbf{x}|=\sum_{i=1}^{a} x_{i}$ for $\mathbf{x} \in K$. With this grading, its rate of growth is $\gamma\left(k\left(K \cap \mathbb{N}^{a}\right)\right)=$ pos.rk $K$.
Proof of 4.3. We write $\bar{G}=\{1, \ldots, c\} \backslash G$. By construction $\mathrm{HH}_{G}^{*}$ has the $k$-basis

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\{E_{\mathbf{p}}^{\mathbf{d}} \mid \mathbf{p} \geq \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{d} \leq \mathbf{n}-\mathbf{1}, \mathfrak{p}(-\mathbf{d}) \leq \mathbf{p} \leq \mathfrak{p}(-\mathbf{d})+\mathbf{1},\right. \\
\\
\forall i \in \bar{G}: d_{i}=n_{i}-1 \text { and }(Q \mathbf{d})_{i} \notin \mathcal{R}_{i}, \\
\forall i \in G \text { with } n_{i} \mid d_{i}+1:(Q \mathbf{d})_{i} \in \mathcal{R}_{i}, \\
\left.\forall i \in G \text { with } n_{i} \nmid d_{i}+1:(Q \mathbf{d})_{i}=1\right\},
\end{gathered}
$$

and the extension degree of $E_{\mathbf{p}}^{\mathbf{d}}$ is $\sum_{i=1}^{c} p_{i}$.
Note that the map $\mathfrak{p}$ is linear up to some rounding. Hence we may calculate the rate of growth with respect to the grading given by $-\sum_{i=1}^{c} d_{i}$.

Since for any $\mathbf{d}$ there are at least one and at most $2^{c}$ values of $\mathbf{p}$ satisfying the conditions of the set above, we may disregard the number of different choices for $\mathbf{p}$ for a given $\mathbf{d}$.

Finally, since $\mathbf{d}$ is fixed outside $G$, we may restrict our attention to the $G$ part of the indices. That is, we need to understand the rate of growth of the $k$-module with basis $\mathcal{B}_{\{1, \ldots, c\}}$, where for $G \subseteq G^{\prime} \subseteq$ $\{1, \ldots, c\}$ we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}_{G^{\prime}}=\left\{\mathbf{d}_{G}\right. & \in \mathbb{Z}^{G} \mid \mathbf{d}_{G} \leq \mathbf{n}_{G}-\mathbf{1} \\
& \forall i \in G^{\prime} \cap \bar{G}: Q_{\{i\} \times G} \mathbf{d}_{G} \cdot Q_{\{i\} \times \bar{G}}\left(\mathbf{n}_{\bar{G}}-\mathbf{1}\right) \notin \mathcal{R}_{i} \\
& \forall i \in G \text { with } n_{i} \mid d_{i}+1: Q_{\{i\} \times G} \mathbf{d}_{G} \cdot Q_{\{i\} \times \bar{G}}\left(\mathbf{n}_{\bar{G}}-\mathbf{1}\right) \in \mathcal{R}_{i} \\
& \left.\forall i \in G \text { with } n_{i} \nmid d_{i}+1: Q_{\{i\} \times G} \mathbf{d}_{G} \cdot Q_{\{i\} \times \bar{G}}\left(\mathbf{n}_{\bar{G}}-\mathbf{1}\right)=1\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that for $G^{\prime} \subseteq G^{\prime \prime}$ we have $\mathcal{B}_{G^{\prime}} \supseteq \mathcal{B}_{G^{\prime \prime}}$. In particular $\mathcal{B}_{\{1, \ldots, c\}} \subseteq$ $\mathcal{B}_{G}$.

Now $\mathcal{B}_{G}$ is invariant under adding elements of the set

$$
-\left(\prod_{i \in G} n_{i} \mathbb{N}\right) \cap \operatorname{Ker} Q_{G \times G}
$$

and contains only finitely many elements which are not obtained from another element by such an addition. Hence, if $\mathcal{B}_{G}$ is non-empty, the rate of growth of the $k$-module with basis $\mathcal{B}_{G}$ is identical to the rate of growth of the $k$-module with basis $\mathbb{N}^{G} \cap \operatorname{Ker} Q_{G \times G}$, which, by Observation 4.4, is pos.rk $\operatorname{Ker} Q_{G \times G}$.

It follows that $\gamma\left(\mathrm{HH}_{G}^{*}\right) \leq$ pos.rk $\operatorname{Ker} Q_{G \times G}$.
Now we let $\widehat{G}$ be maximal with $G \subseteq \widehat{G} \subseteq\{1, \ldots, c\}$ such that pos.rk $\operatorname{Ker} Q_{\widehat{G} \times G}=$ pos.rk $\operatorname{Ker} Q_{G \times G}$. It follows as in the discussion above that if $\mathcal{B}_{\widehat{G}} \neq \emptyset$ then the rate of growth of the $k$-module with basis $\mathcal{B}_{\widehat{G}}$ is pos.rk $\operatorname{Ker} Q_{G \times G}$.

Finally let $i \notin \widehat{G}$. Using similar arguments as above one sees that the rate of growth of the free module with basis $\mathcal{B}_{G} \backslash \mathcal{B}_{G \cup\{i\}}$ is strictly smaller than pos.rk $\operatorname{Ker} Q_{G \times G}$.

Since

$$
\mathcal{B}_{\{1, \ldots, c\}}=\mathcal{B}_{\widehat{G}} \backslash\left(\bigcup_{i \notin \widehat{G}}\left(\mathcal{B}_{G} \backslash \mathcal{B}_{G \cup\{i\}}\right)\right)
$$

it follows that, provided $\mathcal{B}_{\{1, \ldots, c\}} \neq \emptyset$, the rate of growth of the $k$-module with basis $\mathcal{B}_{\{1, \ldots, c\}}$ is pos.rk $\operatorname{Ker} Q_{G \times G}$.

Summing up the results for the $\mathrm{HH}_{G}^{*}$ we have shown
4.5. Theorem. The rate of growth of the Hochschild cohomology of a finite dimensional quantum complete intersection is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\max \left\{\text { pos.rk } \operatorname{Ker} Q_{G \times G} \mid\right. & \mid G=\left\{i \in\{1, \ldots, c\} \mid d_{i}<n_{i}-1 \text { or }(Q \mathbf{d})_{i} \in \mathcal{R}_{i}\right\} \\
& \text { for some } \mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}^{c} \text { with } \mathbf{d} \leq \mathbf{n}-\mathbf{1}, \\
& \forall i \text { with } n_{i} \mid d_{i}+1 \text { and } d_{i}<0:\left(Q \mathbf{d}_{i}\right) \in \mathcal{R}_{i}, \text { and } \\
& \left.\forall i \text { with } n_{i} \nmid d_{i}+1:\left(Q \mathbf{d}_{i}\right)=1\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

4.6. Corollary. For a finite quantum complete intersection either all $q_{i j}$ are roots of unity, or the rate of growth of Hochschild cohomology is at most $c-2$.

Proof. Assume not all $q_{i j}$ are roots of unity. Then we have rk $\operatorname{Ker} Q \leq$ $c-2$, since $Q$ is skew symmetric. Hence pos.rk $\operatorname{Ker} Q \leq c-2$. Now we consider $G$ with $|G|=c-1$, that is $G=\{1, \ldots, c\} \backslash\{h\}$ for some $h$. If rk $\operatorname{Ker} Q_{G \times G} \leq c-2$ there is nothing to show, so assume $Q_{G \times G}$ only contains roots of unity. Since $Q$ does not only contain roots of unity there is $i \in G$ such that $q_{i h}$ is not a root of unity. But then $(Q \mathbf{d})_{i}$ cannot be a root of unity for any $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}^{c}$ with $d_{h}=n_{h}-1 \neq 0$. Hence this $G$ is not to be considered in the maximum of Theorem 4.5.

## 5. On the multiplicative structure of Hochschild COHOMOLOGY

In this section we will identify a subring $\mathcal{S}$ of the Hochschild cohomology ring, which is a finitely generated commutative $k$-algebra without zero divisors, and is isomorphic to Hochschild cohomology modulo nilpotent objects. We will completely describe $\mathcal{S}$, determine its Krull dimension, and determine when the entire Hochschild cohomology ring is finitely generated as a module over $\mathcal{S}$.

By Theorem 3.4 we know that Hochschild cohomology has a $k$-vector space basis

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\{E_{\mathbf{p}}^{\mathbf{d}} \mid \mathbf{d} \text { such that } \forall i: \quad n_{i} \mid d_{i}+1>0 \Rightarrow(Q \mathbf{d})_{i} \in \mathcal{R}_{i},\right. \\
\\
n_{i} \nmid d_{i}+1 \Rightarrow(Q \mathbf{d})_{i}=1, \\
\mathbf{p} \geq \mathbf{0}, \text { and } \mathfrak{p}(-\mathbf{d}) \leq \mathbf{p} \leq \mathfrak{p}(-\mathbf{d})+\mathbf{1}\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

For simplicity of notation we set $E_{\mathbf{p}}^{\mathbf{d}}=0$ whenever $\mathbf{d}$ and $\mathbf{p}$ do not satisfy the conditions above. Then we always have

$$
E_{\mathbf{p}}^{\mathbf{d}} \cdot E_{\mathbf{p}^{\prime}}^{\mathbf{d}^{\prime}} \in k E_{\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{p}^{\prime}}^{\mathbf{d}+\mathbf{d}^{\prime}} .
$$

5.1. Lemma. Assume $\mathfrak{s}(\mathbf{p}) \neq-\mathbf{d}$. Then $E_{\mathbf{p}}^{\mathbf{d}}$ is nilpotent.

Proof. Let $i$ be such that $\mathfrak{s}(\mathbf{p})_{i}>-d_{i}$. Then

$$
\mathfrak{s}\left(n_{i} \mathbf{p}\right)_{i} \geq n_{i} \mathfrak{s}(\mathbf{p})_{i} \geq n_{i}\left(1-d_{i}\right) \geq n_{i}-n_{i} d_{i},
$$

and hence $\left(E_{\mathbf{p}}^{\mathbf{d}}\right)^{n_{i}} \in k E_{n_{i}}^{n_{i} \mathbf{d}}=0$.

We are particularly interested in the non-nilpotent elements of the Hochschild cohomology ring. For simplicity of notation, we give the remaining candidates a new name:

$$
s_{\mathbf{p}}:=E_{\mathbf{p}}^{-s(\mathbf{p})}
$$

5.2. Lemma. Let $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{N}^{c}$ such that there is $i \in\{1, \ldots, c\}$ with $n_{i}>2$ and $p_{i}$ is odd. Then $s_{\mathbf{p}}$ is nilpotent.

Proof. Straightforward calculation shows that $\left(s_{\mathbf{p}}\right)^{2}$ satisfies the assumption of Lemma 5.1.

Now we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{S}={ }_{k}\left\langle s_{\mathbf{p}}\right| \forall i \text { with } p_{i} \text { even: }(Q \mathfrak{s}(\mathbf{p}))_{i}=1 \\
&\left.\forall i \text { with } p_{i} \text { odd: } n_{i}=2 \text { and }(Q \mathfrak{s}(\mathbf{p}))_{i}=-1\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

By the above two lemmas the composition $\mathcal{S} \longrightarrow \mathrm{HH}^{*}(\Lambda) \longrightarrow \frac{\mathrm{HH}^{*}(\Lambda)}{\text { (nilpotence) }}$ is onto.

Our next aim is to understand how the elements of $\mathcal{S}$ are multiplied with each other and with the other $E_{\mathbf{p}}^{\mathbf{d}}$. To do so we lift the map representing $s_{\mathbf{p}}$, with $\mathbf{p}$ as in the definition of $\mathcal{S}$, to a map of $c$-tuple complexes.
5.3. Lemma. The element $s_{\mathbf{p}}$ with $\mathbf{p}$ as in the definition of $\mathcal{S}$ is represented by the map of $c$-tuple complexes $\mathbb{P}_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{P}_{c} \longrightarrow\left(\mathbb{P}_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes\right.$ $\left.\mathbb{P}_{c}\right)[\mathbf{p}]$, which sends $1 \otimes 1$ to $\frac{1}{\mathbf{q}^{(\xi(\mathbf{r})|s(\mathbf{p})\rangle}} \cdot 1 \otimes 1$ in position $\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{r}$.

Proof. It suffices to verify that the map given in the lemma is a map of $c$-tuple complexes, since then it clearly does the right thing in position p. This amount to checking that various squares commute. Doing so is a (somewhat tiresome) straightforward calculation, with four different cases according to the parity of the $p_{i}$ and $r_{i}$.

Note that when passing from $c$-tuple complexes to their total complexes some maps need to be multiplied by -1 . One choice of doing so is to multiply the map in direction $i$ from position $\mathbf{p}+e_{i}$ to $\mathbf{p}$ by $\prod_{j<i}(-1)^{p_{i}}$. With this convention the following is an immediate consequence of Lemma 5.3.
5.4. Corollary. We have

$$
s_{\mathbf{p}} E_{\mathbf{p}^{\prime}}^{\mathbf{d}}=\frac{\prod_{j<i}(-1)^{p_{j} p_{i}^{\prime}}}{\mathbf{q}^{\left\{s\left(\mathbf{p}^{\prime}\right)|\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{p})\rangle\right.}} E_{\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{p}^{\prime}}^{\mathbf{d}-\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{p})}
$$

and in particular

$$
s_{\mathbf{p}} s_{\mathbf{p}^{\prime}}=\frac{\prod_{j<i}(-1)^{p_{j} p_{i}^{\prime}}}{\mathbf{q}^{\left\langle\boldsymbol{s}\left(\mathbf{p}^{\prime}\right) \mid \mathfrak{s}(\mathbf{p})\right\rangle}} s_{\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{p}^{\prime}} .
$$

From these results we obtain the following theorem.
5.5. Theorem. The Hochschild cohomology ring of a quantum complete intersection has a subring $\mathcal{S}$ which is isomorphic to

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\langle y_{1}^{\left.\frac{p_{1} n_{1}}{22} \cdots y_{c}^{\frac{p_{c} n_{c}}{2}} \in k\left[y_{1}, \ldots y_{c}\right] \right\rvert\, \forall i \text { with } p_{i} \text { even }:(Q \mathfrak{s}(\mathbf{p}))_{i}=1, \text { and }}\right. \\
\left.\forall i \text { with } p_{i} \text { odd: } n_{i}=2 \text { and }(Q \mathfrak{s}(\mathbf{p}))_{i}=-1\right\rangle .
\end{gathered}
$$

In particular $\mathcal{S}$ is a finitely generated $k$-algebra without zero-divisors.
Moreover the composition $\mathcal{S} \longrightarrow \mathrm{HH}^{*}(\Lambda) \longrightarrow \frac{\mathrm{HH}^{*}(\Lambda)}{(\text { nilpotence })}$ is an isomorphism. Hence $\frac{\operatorname{HH}^{*}(\Lambda)}{(\text { nilpotence })}$ is a split quotient of $\operatorname{HH}^{*}(\Lambda)$, which is isomorphic to $\mathcal{S}$.

Proof. The fact that the $s_{\mathbf{p}}$ commute can be check directly, using the formula of Corollary 5.4. Alternatively note that, since by that formula $s_{\mathbf{p}}^{2} \neq 0$, we have that $s_{\mathbf{p}}$ lies in the even part of Hochschild cohomology, or char $k=2$. In both cases it follows from general theory that $s_{\mathbf{p}}$ lies in the center of the Hochschild cohomology ring.

Thus $\mathcal{S}$ has the form described in the theorem.
To see that $\mathcal{S}$ is finitely generated as a $k$-algebra we partially order the set $\left\{y_{1}^{\frac{p_{1} n_{1}}{2}} \cdots y_{c}^{\frac{p_{c} n_{c}}{2}} \in \mathcal{S}\right\}$ by comparing the exponents component wise. Since the ideal in $k\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{c}\right]$ generated by this set is finitely generated it follows that there are only finitely many minimal elements with respect to this partial order. We claim that these generate $\mathcal{S}$ as $k$-algebra. Assume that $y_{p_{1} n_{1}}^{\frac{p_{1} n_{1}}{2}} \cdots y_{c}^{\frac{p_{c} n_{c}}{2}} \in \mathcal{S}$ is not minimal. Then one easily sees that $y_{1}^{\frac{p_{1} n_{1}}{2}} \cdots y_{c}^{\frac{p_{c} n_{c}}{2}}$ is the product of two smaller elements of this form (for instance one of them could be chosen minimal). Iterating this we see that any $y_{1}^{\frac{p_{1} n_{1}}{2}} \cdots y_{c}^{\frac{p_{c} c_{c}}{2}} \in \mathcal{S}$ is a product of minimal ones.

The final part of the theorem follows from the comment below the definition of $\mathcal{S}$, and Corollary 5.4.

We conclude this section by giving a precise criterion of when the entire Hochschild cohomology ring is finitely generated over $\mathcal{S}$.
 struction 4.2 respects the $\mathcal{S}$-module structure.
Proof. This follows immediately from the definition of the $\mathrm{HH}_{G}^{*}$, and from the multiplication formula in Corollary 5.4.
5.7. Proposition. The module $\mathrm{HH}_{G}^{*}$ is finitely generated over $\mathcal{S}$ if and only if one of the following holds.
(1) $\mathrm{HH}_{G}^{*}=0$, or
(2) pos.rk $\operatorname{Ker} Q_{G \times G}=$ pos.rk $\operatorname{Ker} Q_{\{1, \ldots, c\} \times G}$.

Proof. Clearly we may assume $\mathrm{HH}_{G}^{*} \neq 0$. Note that the $s_{\mathbf{p}}$ with $p_{i} \neq 0$ for some $i \in\{1, \ldots, c\} \backslash G$ annihilate $\mathrm{HH}_{G}^{*}$, and hence that $\mathrm{HH}_{G}^{*} \neq 0$ is actually a module over the split quotient

$$
\mathcal{S}_{G}:={ }_{k}\left\langle s_{\mathbf{p}} \in \mathcal{S} \mid \forall i: i \in G \vee p_{i}=0\right\rangle .
$$

Now note that $\gamma\left(\mathcal{S}_{G}\right)=$ pos.rk $\operatorname{Ker} Q_{\{1, \ldots, c\} \times G}$ by Observation 4.4.
Moreover $\mathcal{S}_{G}$ acts on $\mathrm{HH}_{G}^{*}$ without zero-divisors: Since both the $\mathcal{S}_{G}$ and $\mathrm{HH}_{G}^{*}$ are $\mathbb{Z}^{c}$-graded it suffices to look at graded parts. For those this is immediate from the multiplication formula in Corollary 5.4.

Now the claim follows.
5.8. Corollary. For any finite dimensional quantum complete intersection $\mathrm{HH}_{\{1, \ldots, c\}}^{*}$ is a finitely generated $\mathcal{S}$-module.
5.9. Theorem. The Hochschild cohomology ring is finitely generated as a module over $\mathcal{S}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \forall G \subseteq\{1, \ldots, c\} \text { such that there is } \mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}^{c} \text { with } \mathbf{d} \leq \mathbf{n}-\mathbf{1}, \\
& \quad \forall i \in\{1, \ldots, c\} \backslash G: d_{i}=n_{i}-1, \\
& \quad \forall i \in G \text { with } n_{i} \mid d_{i}+1:\left(Q \mathbf{d}_{i}\right) \in \mathcal{R}_{i}, \text { and } \\
& \quad \forall i \in G \text { with } n_{i} \nmid d_{i}+1:\left(Q \mathbf{d}_{i}\right)=1
\end{aligned}
$$

the equality pos.rk $\operatorname{Ker} Q_{G \times G}=$ pos.rk $\operatorname{Ker} Q_{\{1, \ldots, c\} \times G}$ holds.

## 6. Examples

The following result has been obtained in [2].
6.1. Example. Let $\Lambda=\Lambda_{q_{12}}^{n_{1}, n_{2}}$ be a codimension 2 quantum complete intersection, such that $q_{12}$ is not a root of unity. Let $\mathbf{d}=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right) \leq$ $\left(n_{1}-1, n_{2}-1\right)$. Then $\operatorname{HH}^{*, \mathbf{d}}(\Lambda)$ does not vanish if and only if for any $i \in\{1,2\}$ we have $d_{i}=n_{i}-1$ or $n_{i} \nmid d_{i}+1$ and $q_{12}^{d_{3-i}}=1$. Since $q_{12}$ is not a root of unity this means that whenever one of the $d_{i}$ is not $n_{i}-1$, then $d_{3-i}$ has to be 0 . Therefore the only $\mathbf{d}$ which contribute to Hochschild cohomology are $\left(n_{1}-1, n_{2}-1\right)$ and $(0,0)$. For $\mathbf{d}=\left(n_{1}-1, n_{2}-1\right)$ we obtain

$$
I_{\max }=\{1,2\} \quad I_{1}=\emptyset \quad I_{2}=\emptyset \quad \mathfrak{p}(-\mathbf{d})=(-1,-1)
$$

and hence

$$
\operatorname{HH}^{*, \mathrm{~d}}(\Lambda)={ }_{k}\left\langle E_{(0,0)}^{\left(n_{1}-1, n_{2}-1\right)}\right\rangle .
$$

For $\mathbf{d}=(0,0)$ we obtain

$$
I_{\max }=\emptyset \quad I_{1}=\emptyset \quad I_{2}=\{1,2\} \quad \mathfrak{p}(-\mathbf{d})=(0,0)
$$

and hence

$$
\operatorname{HH}^{*, \mathrm{~d}}(\Lambda)={ }_{k}\left\langle E_{(0,0)}^{(0,0)}, E_{(0,1)}^{(0,0)}, E_{(1,0)}^{(0,0)}, E_{(1,1)}^{(0,0)}\right\rangle .
$$

Summing up we obtain

$$
\operatorname{HH}^{*, \mathrm{~d}}(\Lambda)={ }_{k}\left\langle E_{(0,0)}^{\left(n_{1}-1, n_{2}-1\right)}, E_{(0,0)}^{(0,0)}, E_{(0,1)}^{(0,0)}, E_{(1,0)}^{(0,0)}, E_{(1,1)}^{(0,0)}\right\rangle,
$$

and hence

$$
\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{HH}^{*}(\Lambda)=(2,2,1,0, \ldots)
$$

We generalize this example to arbitrary codimension:
6.2. Example. Let $c \geq 2$ and the $q_{i j}$ generic (that means, $(Q \mathbf{d})_{i}$ is a root of unity only if $d_{j}=0$ for all $j \neq i$. Then $\operatorname{HH}^{*, \mathrm{~d}}(\Lambda) \neq 0$ only for $\mathbf{d}=\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{1}$ or $\mathbf{d}=\mathbf{0}$. Similar to Example 6.1 we obtain

$$
\mathrm{HH}^{*, \mathbf{n}-\mathbf{1}}(\Lambda)={ }_{k}\left\langle E_{\mathbf{0}}^{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{1}}\right\rangle,
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{HH}^{*, \mathbf{0}}(\Lambda)={ }_{k}\left\langle E_{\mathbf{p}}^{\mathbf{0}} \mid \mathbf{0} \leq \mathbf{p} \leq \mathbf{1}\right\rangle .
$$

In particular

$$
\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{HH}^{*}(\Lambda)=\left(1+\binom{c}{0},\binom{c}{1},\binom{c}{2},\binom{c}{c}, \ldots\right) .
$$

Since the total dimension is finite, the rate of growth $\gamma\left(\operatorname{HH}^{*}(\Lambda)\right)=0$, and $\mathcal{S}=k$.

Now let us look at the other extreme case. This case has already been studied in [3].
6.3. Example. Let $c \geq 2$ and let all $q_{i j}$ be roots of unity. Then

$$
\text { pos.rk } \operatorname{Ker} Q_{G^{\prime} \times G}=\operatorname{rk} \operatorname{Ker} Q_{G^{\prime} \times G}=|G|
$$

for any $G, G^{\prime} \subseteq\{1, \ldots, c\}$. Hence $\operatorname{HH}^{*}(\Lambda)$ is finitely generated over $\mathcal{S}$, and

$$
\text { Krull. } \operatorname{dim} \mathcal{S}=\gamma\left(\operatorname{HH}^{*}(\Lambda)\right)=c
$$

The final two examples illustrate that in the case $\gamma\left(\operatorname{HH}^{*}(\Lambda)\right)=c-2$ very different kinds of behaviour can occur.
6.4. Example. Let $q \in k^{\times}$not a root of unity, and $c \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 3}$. Let $\Lambda$ be a codimension $c$ quantum complete intersection with

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
q_{i j}=1 & \text { for } i, j<c-1, & q_{i, c-1}=q \quad \text { for } i<c-1, \\
q_{i c}=q^{-1} & \text { for } i<c-1, & q_{c-1, c}=q^{-1} .
\end{array}
$$

One easily sees that $\mathcal{S}=k$. A case by case study (according to for which $i$ we have $\left.d_{i}=n_{i}-1\right)$ shows that the subspace $\mathrm{HH}_{\{1, \ldots, c-2\}}^{*}$ has a finite dimensional complement in $\mathrm{HH}^{*}(\Lambda)$. It is non-empty if and only if $n_{c-1}=n_{c}$, and in that case

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma\left(\mathrm{HH}^{*}(\Lambda)\right) & =\gamma\left(\operatorname{HH}_{\{1, \ldots, c-2\}}^{*}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{pos} . \operatorname{rk} \operatorname{Ker} \underbrace{Q_{\{1, \ldots, c-2\} \times\{1, \ldots, c-2\}}}_{=0} \\
& =c-2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

6.5. Example. Let $q \in k^{\times}$not a root of unity, and $c \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 3}$ and (for simplicity) char $k \neq 2$. Let $\Lambda$ be a codimension $c$ quantum complete intersection with

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
q_{i j}=1 \quad \text { for } i, j<c-1, & q_{i, c-1}=q \quad \text { for } i<c-1, \\
q_{i c}=q^{-1} & \text { for } i<c-1, & q_{c-1, c}=q .
\end{array}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S} & \left.={ }_{k}\left\langle s_{\mathbf{p}}\right| \forall i: p_{i} \text { even, and }\left(Q\left(\frac{p_{j} n_{j}}{2}\right)_{j}\right)_{i}=1\right\rangle \\
& \left.=\left\langle s_{\mathbf{p}}\right| \forall i: p_{i} \text { even, and } \sum_{j=0}^{c-2} p_{j} n_{j}=p_{c-1} n_{c-1}=p_{c} n_{c}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular

$$
\text { Krull. } \operatorname{dim} \mathcal{S}=c-2,
$$

and hence (by Corollary 4.6) also $\gamma\left(\operatorname{HH}^{*}(\Lambda)\right)=c-2$.
Similar to Example 6.4 one sees that $H_{\{1, \ldots, c-2\}}^{*}+\mathrm{HH}_{\{1, \ldots, c\}}^{*}$ form a subspace of $\mathrm{HH}^{*}(\Lambda)$ which has a finite dimensional complement. Since by Corollary $5.8 \mathrm{HH}_{\{1, \ldots, c\}}^{*}$ is always finitely generated over $\mathcal{S}$, we only have to look at $\mathrm{HH}_{\{1, \ldots, c-2\}}^{*}$. As in Example 6.4, one sees that $\mathrm{HH}_{\{1, \ldots, c-2\}}^{*} \neq 0$ if and only if $n_{c-1}=n_{c}$. Since
pos.rk $\operatorname{Ker} Q_{\{1, \ldots, c-2\} \times\{1, \ldots, c-2\}}=c-2 \neq 0=\operatorname{pos}$.rk $\operatorname{Ker} Q_{\{1, \ldots, c\} \times\{1, \ldots, c-2\}}$ it follows that $\mathrm{HH}^{*}(\Lambda)$ is finitely generated over $\mathcal{S}$ if and only if $n_{c-1} \neq$ $n_{c}$.

## 7. Hochschild homology

To calculate Hochschild homology, we proceed as for Hochschild cohomology. That is we calculate for any $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}^{c}$ the degree $\mathbf{d}$ part of the Hochschild homology. The actual calculations are very similar to the corresponding ones in Section 3, and will therefore be omitted here.
7.1. Observation. The degree $\mathbf{d}$-part $\left(\Lambda^{\mathrm{en}}\langle\mathbf{s}\rangle \otimes_{\Lambda^{\mathrm{en}}} \Lambda\right)_{\mathbf{d}}$ is non-zero if and only if $\mathbf{s} \leq \mathbf{d} \leq \mathbf{s}+\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{1}$. Moreover in that case it is one dimensional.
As in the case of cohomology, it follows that the $c$-tuple complex $\left(\mathbb{P}_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{P}_{c} \otimes_{\Lambda^{\text {en }}} \Lambda\right)_{\mathrm{d}}$ is concentrated in a cube (with sides of length 0 or 1 ), where there is a one-dimensional space in each corner of the cube.
Next we need to understand what happens to a map $f: \Lambda^{\mathrm{en}}\langle\mathbf{s}\rangle \longrightarrow \Lambda^{\mathrm{en}}\left\langle\mathbf{s}^{\prime}\right\rangle$ when it is tensored over $\Lambda^{\mathrm{en}}$ with $\Lambda$.
7.2. Lemma. Let $f: \Lambda^{\mathrm{en}}\langle\mathbf{s}\rangle \longrightarrow \Lambda^{\mathrm{en}}\left\langle\mathbf{s}^{\prime}\right\rangle$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
f \otimes_{\Lambda^{\text {en }}} \Lambda: \Lambda\langle\mathbf{s}\rangle & \longrightarrow \Lambda\left\langle\mathbf{s}^{\prime}\right\rangle \\
\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} \longmapsto & \sum_{i} f_{2}^{i} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} f_{1}^{i},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $f(1 \otimes 1)=\sum_{i} f_{1}^{i} \otimes f_{2}^{i}$.
Now we are ready to calculate what tensoring over $\Lambda^{\mathrm{en}}$ with $\Lambda$ does to the maps occurring in the $c$-tuple complex $\mathbb{P}_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{P}_{c}$.
7.3. Lemma. Let $\mathbf{s}$ and $\mathbf{d}$ such that $\mathbf{s} \leq \mathbf{d} \leq \mathbf{s}+\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{1}$, and let $i \in\{1, \ldots, c\}$.
(1) Assume further that $d_{i}>s_{i}$. The map $\left(\Lambda\left\langle\mathbf{s}+e_{i}\right\rangle\right)_{\mathbf{d}} \longrightarrow(\Lambda\langle\mathbf{s}\rangle)_{\mathbf{d}}$ induced obtained by tensoring the map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Lambda^{\mathrm{en}}\left\langle\mathbf{s}+e_{i}\right\rangle & \longrightarrow \Lambda^{\mathrm{en}}\langle\mathbf{s}\rangle \\
1 \otimes 1 & \longrightarrow \frac{1}{\mathbf{q}^{\left\langle e_{i} \mid \mathbf{s}\right\rangle}} x_{i} \otimes 1-\frac{1}{\mathbf{q}^{\left\langle\mathbf{s} \mid e_{i}\right\rangle}} 1 \otimes x_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

over $\Lambda^{\mathrm{en}}$ with $\Lambda$ and taking the degree $\mathbf{d}$ part vanishes if and only if $(Q \mathbf{d})_{i}=1$.
(2) Assume further that $d_{i}=s_{i}+n_{i}-1$. The map $\left(\Lambda\left\langle\mathbf{s}+\left(n_{i}-1\right) e_{i}\right\rangle\right)_{\mathbf{d}} \longrightarrow(\Lambda\langle\mathbf{s}\rangle)_{\mathbf{d}}$ induced obtained by tensoring the map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Lambda^{\mathrm{en}}\left\langle\mathbf{s}+e_{i}\right\rangle & \longrightarrow \Lambda^{\mathrm{en}}\langle\mathbf{s}\rangle \\
1 \otimes 1 & \longmapsto \sum_{j=0}^{n_{i}-1}\left(\frac{1}{\mathbf{q}^{\left\langle e_{i} \mid \mathbf{s}\right\rangle}}\right)^{j}\left(\frac{1}{\mathbf{q}^{\left\langle\mathbf{s} \mid e_{i}\right\rangle}}\right)^{n_{i}-1-j} x_{i}^{j} \otimes x_{i}^{n_{i}-1-j}
\end{aligned}
$$

over $\Lambda^{\mathrm{en}}$ with $\Lambda$ and taking the degree $\mathbf{d}$ part vanishes if and only if $(Q \mathbf{d})_{i} \in \mathcal{R}_{i}$.

As for cohomology, it follows that if the map on one edge of the cube $\left(\mathbb{P}_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{P}_{c} \otimes_{\Lambda^{\text {en }}} \Lambda\right)_{\mathbf{d}}$ vanishes then all parallel maps also vanish.
7.4. Theorem. Let $\Lambda=\Lambda_{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathbf{n}}$ be a quantum complete intersection, and let $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}^{c}$. We divide the set $\{1 \ldots c\}$ into the following three parts:

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{0} & =\left\{i \in\{1 \ldots c\}: d_{i}=0\right\} \\
I_{1} & =\left\{i \in\{1 \ldots c\}: n_{i} \mid d_{i}\right\} \backslash I_{0} \\
I_{2} & =\left\{i \in\{1 \ldots c\}: n_{i} \nmid d_{i}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\mathrm{HH}_{*, \mathbf{d}}(\Lambda) \neq 0$ if and only if the following hold:

- for any $i \in I_{1}$ the product $(Q \mathbf{d})_{i} \in \mathcal{R}_{i}$, and
- for any $i \in I_{2}$ we have $(Q \mathbf{d})_{i}=1$.

In this situation $\mathrm{HH}_{*, \mathbf{d}}(\Lambda)$ has a $k$-vector space basis

$$
\left\{T_{\mathbf{d}}^{\mathbf{p}} \mid \mathbf{p} \geq \mathbf{0} \text { and } \mathfrak{p}(\mathbf{d}+\mathbf{1})-\mathbf{2} \leq \mathbf{p} \leq \mathfrak{p}(\mathbf{d}+\mathbf{1})-\mathbf{1}\right\}
$$

Here $T_{\mathbf{d}}^{\mathbf{p}}$ is represented by $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d}-\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{p})}$ in position $\mathbf{p}$. In particular $T_{\mathbf{d}}^{\mathbf{p}}$ has torsion degree $\sum_{i=1}^{c} p_{i}$.

## 8. The rate of growth of Hochschild Homology

To study the rate of growth of Hochschild homology, we decompose it similar to our decomposition of Hochschild cohomology in 4.2.
8.1. Construction. For $G \subseteq\{1, \ldots, c\}$ we denote by $\mathrm{HH}_{*}^{G}$ the $k$-span of the $T_{\mathbf{d}}^{\mathrm{p}}$ with

$$
G=\left\{i \in\{1, \ldots, c\} \mid d_{i}>0 \text { or }(Q \mathbf{d})_{i} \in \mathcal{R}_{i}\right\} .
$$

This yields a decomposition $\mathrm{HH}_{*}(\Lambda)=\bigoplus_{G \subseteq\{1, \ldots, c\}} \mathrm{HH}_{*}^{G}$, and hence

$$
\gamma\left(\mathrm{HH}_{*}(\Lambda)\right)=\max _{G \subseteq\{1, \ldots, c\}} \gamma\left(\mathrm{HH}_{*}^{G}\right) .
$$

As in the proof of Theorem 4.5 one obtains the following result.
8.2. Theorem. The rate of growth of the Hochschild homology of a finite dimensional quantum complete intersection is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\max \left\{\text { pos.rk } \operatorname{Ker} Q_{G \times G}\right. & \mid G=\left\{i \in\{1, \ldots, c\} \mid d_{i}>0 \text { or }(Q \mathbf{d})_{i} \in \mathcal{R}_{i}\right\} \\
& \text { for some } \mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}^{c} \text { with } \\
& \forall i \text { with } n_{i} \mid d_{i} \text { and } d_{i}>0:\left(Q \mathbf{d}_{i}\right) \in \mathcal{R}_{i}, \text { and } \\
& \left.\forall i \text { with } n_{i} \nmid d_{i}:\left(Q \mathbf{d}_{i}\right)=1\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We conclude this paper by showing that the Hochschild homology of $\Lambda$ is closely related to the Hochschild homologies of certain subalgebras.

Let $\Lambda_{I}$ denote the subalgebra of $\Lambda$ generated by the $x_{i}$ with $i \in I$ for some $I \subset\{1, \ldots, c\}$. Note that $\Lambda_{I}$ is a split quotient of $\Lambda$ (that is we have algebra homomorphisms $\Lambda_{I} \rightarrow \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda_{I}$ whose composition is the identity on $\Lambda_{I}$ ). Therefore it follows from the functoriality of Hochschild homology that $\mathrm{HH}_{*}\left(\Lambda_{I}\right)$ can be embedded into $\mathrm{HH}_{*}(\Lambda)$.

The following theorem shows that the Hochschild homologies of these subalgebras determine the Hochschild homology of $\Lambda$ to a large extent.
8.3. Theorem. Let $M$ be the maximum of the rates of growth of $\mathrm{HH}_{*}\left(\Lambda_{\overline{\langle i\}}}\right)$, where $i \in\{1, \ldots, c\}$ and $\overline{\{i\}}=\{1, \ldots, c\} \backslash\{i\}$. Then the rate of growth of $\mathrm{HH}_{*}(\Lambda)$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M \\
& \max \{M, \text { pos.rk } \operatorname{Ker} Q\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { if } \mathrm{HH}_{*}^{\{1, \ldots, c\}}=0 \\
& \text { if } \mathrm{HH}_{*}^{\{1, \ldots, c\}} \neq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We will need to look at the sets $\mathrm{HH}_{*}^{G}$ as well as their analogs for $\operatorname{HH}_{*}\left(\Lambda_{\overline{\{i\}}}\right)$. To avoid confusion we write $\operatorname{HH}_{*}^{G}(\Lambda)$ and $\operatorname{HH}_{*}^{G}\left(\Lambda_{\overline{\langle i\}}}\right)$, respectively, for these vector spaces.

Let $i_{0} \in\{1, \ldots, c\}$ and $G \subseteq \overline{\left\{i_{0}\right\}}$. Then if follows from the explicit description of bases in Theorem 7.4 (and Construction 8.1) that $\mathrm{HH}_{*}^{G}(\Lambda)$ can be identified with a subspace of $\mathrm{HH}_{*}^{G}\left(\Lambda_{\overline{\left\{i_{0}\right\}}}\right)$, and moreover that the set

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\{T_{\mathbf{d}}^{\mathbf{p}} \mid G\right. & =\left\{i \in \overline{\left\{i_{0}\right\}} \mid d_{i}>0 \text { or }(Q \mathbf{d})_{i} \in \mathcal{R}_{i}\right\} \\
\quad G & \left.\neq\left\{i \in\{1, \ldots, c\} \mid d_{i}>0 \text { or }(Q \mathbf{d})_{i} \in \mathcal{R}_{i}\right\}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

is a basis of the quotient space. This clearly means that

$$
\left\{i \in\{1, \ldots, c\} \mid d_{i}>0 \text { or }(Q \mathbf{d})_{i} \in \mathcal{R}_{i}\right\}=G \cup\left\{i_{0}\right\}
$$

so the quotient embeds naturally into $\mathrm{HH}_{*}^{G \cup\left\{i_{0}\right\}}$.
It follows that

$$
\gamma\left(\operatorname{HH}_{*}^{G}(\Lambda)\right) \leq \gamma\left(\operatorname{HH}_{*}^{G}\left(\Lambda_{\left\{i_{0}\right\}}\right)\right) \leq \max \left\{\gamma\left(\operatorname{HH}_{*}^{G}(\Lambda)\right), \gamma\left(\operatorname{HH}_{*}^{G \cup\left\{i_{0}\right\}}(\Lambda)\right)\right\} .
$$

Taking the maximum over all $G$ and $i_{0}$ the claim follows.
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